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Plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine as an early response marker in classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma
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ABSTRACT

Background
Plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine is a potential biomarker for classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma. To define its value as a marker to monitor treatment response, we correlated serial plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine levels with clinical response in newly diagnosed and relapsed classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma patients.

Design and Methods
Plasma was collected from 60 (39 early stage and 21 advanced stage) newly diagnosed classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma patients before, during, and after treatment, and from 12 relapsed patients before and after treatment. Plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and were related to pre-treatment metabolic tumor volume, as measured by quantification of 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography images, and to treatment response.

Results
Baseline plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine levels correlated with stage of disease and bulky disease, and more closely with metabolic tumor volume. Response to treatment was observed among 38 of 39 early stage and 19 of 21 advanced stage patients. Reduction in plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine to normal range levels could be observed as early as after one cycle of chemotherapy in all responsive patients, while plasma levels remained elevated during and after treatment in the 3 non-responsive patients. Plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine was elevated in all 12 relapsed patients at time of relapse and remained elevated after salvage treatment in the 4 non-responsive patients.

Conclusions
Baseline plasma thymus and activation-regulated chemokine levels correlate with classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma tumor burden and serial levels correlate with response to treatment in patients with classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma.
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Introduction

In classical Hodgkin’s Lymphoma (cHL), the neoplastic Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg (HRS) cells are vastly outnumbered by cells in the surrounding reactive infiltrate. This infiltrate is of major importance for the proliferation and survival of HRS cells. Different chemokines and cytokines produced by HRS cells and cells in the reactive infiltrate are responsible for the formation and maintenance of this reactive environment.1-4

The CC chemokine ligand 17 (CCL17) or Thymus and Activation-Regulated Chemokine (TARC) is highly expressed by HRS cells in cHL, but not by the tumor cells of nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma or other B-cell derived non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas.5,6 TARC binds specifically to the CC chemokine receptor 4 (CCR4). CCR4 is mainly expressed on regulatory T and Th2 cells that are both abundant in the reactive infiltrate of cHL.5,6 Approximately 90% of the cHL patients show positive TARC staining in HRS cells by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and about 85% have significantly elevated levels of TARC in their pre-treatment serum or plasma sample compared to healthy controls.7,8 Although patients with active atopic diseases can also have elevated plasma TARC levels, this is only a modest elevation which is in a significantly lower range than the high plasma TARC levels observed in cHL.7

Pre-treatment serum TARC levels correlate with stage of disease, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and leukocyte and lymphocyte counts in cHL.9,10 Niens et al.12 reported TARC levels within the normal range after successful treatment in 7 cHL patients and persistent elevated TARC in a single non-responsive patient. Weihrauch et al.12 reported diminished survival rates among patients with higher TARC levels after treatment. However, nothing is known about TARC dynamics during treatment in relation to clinical treatment response.

We, therefore, prospectively collected serial plasma samples from newly diagnosed and relapsed cHL patients. The aim of the current study was to correlate plasma TARC levels with tumor burden at time of diagnosis, and to correlate serial plasma TARC levels during and after treatment with cHL treatment response.

Design and Methods

Patient inclusion and treatment

Serial plasma samples were prospectively collected from all newly diagnosed and relapsed cHL patients treated at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) from January 2006 until June 2011.

Inclusion criteria for both newly diagnosed and relapsed cHL patients were: i) receiving standard treatment regimens; ii) availability of a plasma sample before start of treatment and one or more plasma samples during or after treatment; and iii) confirmation of TARC expression in diagnostic tissue by immunohistochemistry or by elevated baseline plasma TARC if diagnostic tissue was not available. From 78 newly diagnosed patients treated in the UMCG, 18 were excluded: one patient refusal, 2 were receiving palliative treatment, 9 lacked a pre-treatment plasma sample, 3 had negative tissue TARC staining, and 8 had no available tissue and normal pre-treatment plasma TARC levels. The total study cohort was, therefore, made up of 60 patients. From 17 relapsed patients, 12 patients eligible for DHAP salvage treatment followed by autologous stem cell transplantation were included, while 5 patients were excluded (4 were receiving only palliative treatment and one lacked a plasma sample after treatment).

Permission for this study was obtained from the institutional review board of the UMCG and all participating patients and healthy controls gave their signed informed consent. Routine staging of patients at diagnosis or at relapse included diagnostic Computer Tomography (CT) imaging, ‘whole body’ 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging, and bone marrow biopsy. Presence of bulky disease was defined as presence of a mediastinal mass greater than one-third of the thoracic diameter on chest X-ray (on level Th5-Th6) and/or a nodal mass of more than 10 cm CT imaging. Response to treatment was evaluated according to the revised International Working Group response criteria.13 Evaluation included FDG-PET/CT scanning which was interpreted according to the International Harmonization Project criteria (IHP).13 In the vast majority of patients, FDG-PET/CT scanning was performed using a Siemens Biograph PET/CT mCT 64 scanner.

Patients were treated according to European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) clinical trial protocols. Table 1 shows patients’ characteristics and data on chemotherapeutic and radiotherapy regimens. Briefly, standard treatment for stage I/II (early stage) patients consisted of 3-6 cycles of ABVD chemotherapy with or without 30-36 Gy involved node radiotherapy (IN-RT) according to the EORTC (20051) H10 trial.14 Standard treatment for stage III/IV (advanced stage) patients consisted of 6-8 cycles of ABVD without radiotherapy, or in cases participating in the EORTC 20021 trial, patients were randomized between 8 cycles of ABVD and 4 cycles of escalated BEACOPP (eBEACOPP) followed by 4 cycles of base-line BEACOPP.15 Patients not enrolled in these clinical trials received conventional treatment, which is similar to the standard arm of these trials.

In the relapsed cohort, all patients were scheduled for DHAP-VIM-DHAP salvage chemotherapy, followed by high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) in cases of at least a partial response on salvage re-induction. Three patients who were non-responsive to DHAP received second salvage chemotherapy consisting of 2 cycles of mini-BEAM before receiving ASCT (Table 1).

Tissue and plasma collection

Diagnostic formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue samples were retrieved from the tissue banks of the pathology departments of the UMCG and other regional pathology laboratories affiliated with the hospitals from which the patients were referred for treatment (Martini Hospital Groningen, Saxion Hoogeveen, Isala Klinieken Zwolle, SSZOG Winschoten and Pathology Friesland Leeuwarden). Immunohistochemistry for TARC was performed to confirm expression of TARC by Hodgkin tumor cells. Immunohistochemistry was performed on formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue samples mounted on 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APES) coated slides using a goat anti-human TARC antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA) after heat-induced antigen retrieval. Ninety-four percent of analyzed tissue samples were positive for TARC. Patients with TARC negative tumor cells also had low plasma TARC at diagnosis and were excluded (see above).

In the newly diagnosed patients, plasma was collected at diagnosis (baseline), after one cycle of chemotherapy, at mid-treatment and after completion of first-line treatment and during routine follow up. Mid-treatment was after 2 cycles of ABVD in early stage patients and after 4 cycles of ABVD or eBEACOPP in advanced stage patients, in parallel with formal response evaluation by FDG-PET/CT. In the relapsed patients, plasma samples were collected before and after salvage treatment. In addition, plasma samples
were collected from 107 age-matched (median 31, range 19-62 years) and sex-matched (57% female) healthy controls.

**Plasma TARC analysis**
Ten mL of blood was collected in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes at each time point. Plasma was obtained after centrifugation at 900 x g and stored in aliquots at -20°C. TARC levels were measured by a double antibody sandwich ELISA (R&D systems, Minneapolis, USA). To reduce variation we used mass-calibrated standards and analyzed samples retrieved from a single patient simultaneously. Samples were analyzed without prior knowledge of patient identity or treatment results. To minimize a potential influence of active atopic diseases, we set the cut off for elevated plasma TARC levels at 1,000 pg/mL.

**Determination of metabolic volume**
Baseline FDG-PET studies performed at the University Medical Center Groningen were used for the determination of the pre-treatment metabolic volume. Reconstruction of the FDG-PET studies was performed according to the Netherlands protocol for standardization of FDG whole body PET studies. The four sites with the most intense visual FDG uptake were selected as regions of interest (ROIs). All ROIs were analyzed for the maximum Standard Uptake Value (SUVmax) and the corresponding metabolic volume at a fixed threshold of 70% of the SUVmax. The total metabolic volume was calculated by adding up the volumes of the ROIs. All scans were analyzed without knowledge of plasma TARC levels.

**Statistics**
We used non-parametric analyses because of the skewed distribution of the plasma TARC levels. Baseline plasma TARC levels were correlated to Ann Arbor stage of disease, presence of bulky disease, metabolic volume and SUVmax. Differences in plasma TARC levels between categorical variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Linear correlation coefficients (r) between plasma TARC levels and the metabolic volume were determined using Spearman’s rank correlation test. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0.

**Results**

**Patients’ characteristics**
Basic characteristics and treatments of the 60 newly diagnosed (59 early stage and 21 advanced stage) and 12 relapsed cHL patients are summarized in Table 1. Median age among the newly diagnosed patients was 33 years (range 16-75) with slightly more females than males. Most patients had nodular sclerosis subtype.

**Baseline plasma TARC levels and tumor burden in newly diagnosed patients**
Baseline plasma TARC was elevated (>1,000 pg/mL) in 55 out of 60 newly diagnosed patients (92%) and was significantly higher in cHL patients (median 28,013; range 69–269,048 pg/mL) compared to healthy controls (median 118 pg/mL, range 7–470; P<0.001; Figure 1).

Significantly higher levels of baseline plasma TARC levels were observed in patients with stage II-IV disease compared to patients with stage I disease (P<0.001, Figure 2A), as well as in patients with bulky disease compared to patients without bulky disease (P=0.02, Figure 2B). Baseline plasma TARC levels directly correlated with the metabolic FDG-PET volume (r=0.61, P<0.001, Figure 2C) and not with IPS score or presence of B symptoms.

**Table 1. Patients’ characteristics and treatment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patients’ characteristics and treatment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newly diagnosed cHL patients (n=60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age (range)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histology</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed cellularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHL NOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ann Arbor stage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II (early stage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV (advanced stage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B symptoms present</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bulky disease</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment stage I/II patients (n=39):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABVD 3-4 cycles + IN-RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABVD 4-6 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABVD 2 cycles, EscBEACOPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 cycles + IN-RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment stage III/IV patients (n=21):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABVD 6-8 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEACOPP 5 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EscBEACOPP 4 cycles, BEACOPP 4 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment relapsed patients (n=12):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-VIM-DHAP + BEAM + ASCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-VIM-Mini-BEAM (2x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+BEAM + ASCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*chL, classical Hodgkin’s Lymphoma; NOS, not otherwise specified; ABVD: Adriamycin-etoposide-bleomycin-uniblastine-dacarbazline containing chemotherapy regimen; IN-RT: involved node radiotherapy; BEACOPP: bleomycin-etoposide-etomycin-cyclophosphamide-vincristine-procarbazine-prednisone containing chemotherapy regimen; EscBEACOPP: escalated (dose intensified) BEACOPP; DHAP: dexamethasone-cisplatin-containing salvage re-induction regimen; VIM: etoposide-ifosfamide-methotrexate containing regimen; ASCT: autologous stem cell transplantation; BEAM: carboplatin-etoposide-cytarabine-melphalan myeloablative chemotherapy.*

**Figure 1. TARC expression in plasma from newly diagnosed cHL patients and healthy controls.**
Plasma TARC levels among 107 healthy controls and 60 pre-treatment newly diagnosed cHL patients. The median plasma TARC level was 118 pg/mL (range: 7-470) and 28,013 pg/mL (range 69–269,048) in the healthy controls and the cHL patients’, respectively. Pre-treatment patient samples were significantly higher compared to the healthy controls (P<0.001).
Plasma TARC as a response marker in early stage patients

Of 39 newly diagnosed patients with early stage disease, 37 achieved a complete response (CR), one a partial response (PR; UPN 38) and one had progressive disease (PD; UPN 39). In all patients with a CR, reduction in plasma TARC levels could be observed as early as after one cycle of chemotherapy (Figure 3 and Online Supplementary Table S1). Thirty-one of 37 patients with a final CR already had a CR at mid-treatment. The other 6 patients (of whom 2 with bulky disease) had a PR at mid-treatment, while TARC levels were already low after one cycle of chemotherapy. The single patient with a PR at end of treatment (UPN 38) also showed a reduction of plasma TARC to normal range levels after one cycle of chemotherapy. This patient did not receive additional therapy and remained limited FDG-PET positive at serial post-treatment imaging studies but did not progress (21 months of follow up).

In the patient with PD (UPN 39), plasma TARC was persistently elevated during and after treatment. After progression during salvage chemotherapy, this patient died of progressive lymphoma.

Plasma TARC as a response marker in advanced stage patients

Of 21 patients with advanced stage disease, 18 patients had a CR, one a PR (UPN 58) and 2 had PD (UPN 59 and 60). Similar to early stage patients, reduction in plasma TARC was significant after one cycle of chemotherapy in all CR patients (Figure 3B and Online Supplementary Table S2). Seventeen of 18 patients with a final CR already had a CR at mid-treatment and one patient with a final CR had...
a PR at mid-treatment (UPN 57). Plasma TARC was slightly elevated at mid-treatment and low at end-treatment in this patient. None of the patients with a CR relapsed (median follow up of 31 months, range 7-75).

The single patient with a final PR (UPN 58) showed low plasma TARC levels during and after treatment. After second-line chemotherapy including ASCT and IN-RT this patient remained FDG-PET positive in the same spots as observed after first-line treatment. Repeated FDG-PET studies showed that FDG uptake faded out over time and at 10 months post radiotherapy, FDG uptake disappeared.

The 2 patients with PD (UPN 59 and 60) had persistently high TARC levels during and after treatment. Both patients switched to second-line chemotherapy and one of them achieved a CR (UPN 60) with a concomitant reduction of plasma TARC to normal levels. The second patient (UPN 59) is still being treated and TARC results have to be awaited.

**Plasma TARC as a response marker in relapsed patients**

All 12 patients with relapsed disease had elevated levels of TARC at relapse (Figure 3C). Eight patients achieved a CR after salvage therapy with a concomitant reduction of plasma TARC to normal range levels after treatment (Figure 3C). These 8 patients had a continuous remission (median follow up 28 months, range 3-62). Plasma TARC levels in one patient with SD (UPN 69) and 3 patients with PD (UPN 70, 71 and 72) remained elevated. Three of these patients died of lymphoma and one patient ultimately achieved a CR (UPN 71) after additional radiotherapy with concomitant normalization of plasma TARC.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that plasma TARC levels closely correlate with Hodgkin’s lymphoma metabolic tumor volume, and that serial TARC levels correlate with clinical response to treatment. Interestingly, all responsive patients already had a decrease in plasma TARC after one cycle of chemotherapy while TARC levels remained high in the 3 non-responsive patients, indicating that plasma TARC might be a potential marker for very early response assessment in cHL. In addition, we show that plasma TARC is also elevated at relapse, and again correlates well with clinical response.

Since TARC is specifically produced and excreted by Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg cells, we hypothesized that plasma TARC might closely reflect cHL tumor burden. Consistent with previous studies, we showed that baseline plasma TARC levels correlate with classical clinical parameters of tumor burden, such as stage of disease and presence of bulky disease.9,10 However, there was a considerable overlap in plasma TARC levels between the different groups defined by stage and bulk, probably because these clinical parameters are poor substitutes for total tumor load. Quantified pre-treatment FDG-PET images, reflecting metabolic tumor volume, correlated much better with plasma TARC levels. This indicates that plasma TARC levels do indeed reflect cHL tumor burden and might be an ideal marker to determine cHL disease activity.

A proportion of patients with stage I disease had low baseline plasma TARC levels, similar to those we had observed in an independent cohort in a previous study.9 Since we now only included patients with TARC positive HRS cells, the lack of elevated plasma TARC is not caused by lack of TARC production by the tumor cells. Consistent with the correlation of plasma TARC with the metabolic tumor volume, it might be envisaged that, in some early stage cases, almost all TARC producing tumor cells are removed by the diagnostic lymph node biopsy and/or that the limited (remaining) amount of tumor cells are not capable of producing amounts of TARC that exceed the capture capacity of the surrounding or circulating CCR4+ T cells.

Early interim FDG-PET response is a predictor of final outcome in cHL.17-24 Treatment adaptation based on the interim FDG-PET result is currently the focus of investigation in several clinical trials. Although the introduction of FDG-PET imaging has been a great breakthrough in response evaluation of malignant lymphomas, a disadvantage of using this type of imaging is the relatively high number of false positive scans during treatment.25-26 By applying more sophisticated interpretation methods, such as the five point scale, the number of false positive scans seems to be markedly reduced compared to the dichotomous “negative or positive” evaluation that is currently used in the IHP criteria.32-36 In contrast to FDG-PET imaging, TARC is specific for cHL tumor cell activity and seems not to be influenced by concurrent infections or inflammation caused by chemotherapeutic or radiotherapy, making plasma TARC an ideal biomarker to assess cHL treatment response.

Early plasma TARC response might be applied in the clinic to determine prognosis or to guide treatment, similar to FDG-PET imaging. In our cohort, all patients with a reduction in TARC after start of treatment had a favorable prognosis, while all patients with persistently high TARC levels failed treatment. Moreover, the 6 patients who had a PR at mid-treatment (based on FDG-PET/CT imaging) and achieved a CR at end of treatment already had low plasma TARC levels after one cycle of chemotherapy. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that, given current FDG-PET interpretation criteria (the IHP), both positive and negative predictive value of interim plasma TARC might even be better than interim FDG-PET imaging. However, in agreement with the current favorable treatment results in cHL, our small cohort contained only 7 non-responsive patients (3 newly diagnosed and 4 relapsed). Therefore, the prognostic impact of plasma TARC could not be directly compared to interim FDG-PET images or other prognostic factors such as the IPS. Future clinical trials including both new FDG-PET interpretation criteria such as the five point scale and plasma TARC evaluation are needed to directly compare the prognostic value of these two response markers.

Our separate cohort of relapsed cHL patients showed that plasma TARC is also elevated at time of relapse, and TARC levels after salvage treatment corresponded with clinical response. Monitoring of TARC during follow up might be a minimally-invasive and effective method for evaluation of disease recurrence, potentially reducing the burden of imaging studies during follow up. Indeed, 2 relapsed patients showed elevation of plasma TARC levels months prior to actual clinical diagnosis of relapse (data not shown).

In conclusion, we have for the first time shown that plasma TARC levels closely reflect cHL tumor load, and...
that serial plasma TARC levels correlate with treatment response. Interestingly, a change in TARC levels could already be observed after one cycle of chemotherapy, indicating its potential to serve as a very early response marker in newly diagnosed patients. However, future prospective studies should be carried out to examine the true potential of plasma TARC in response evaluation and follow up of chLH in relation to current evaluation methods.
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