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ABSTRACT: The self-assembly of 1,3,5-benzenetri-benzoic acid (BTB) molecules on both Cu(111) and epitaxial graphene grown on Cu(111) were studied by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. On Cu(111), the BTB molecules were found to mainly arrange in close-packed structures through H-bonding between the (partially) deprotonated carboxylic acid groups. In addition, porous structures formed by intact BTB molecules and also based on H-bonding were observed. On graphene grown on Cu(111) the BTB molecules mainly form porous structures accompanied by small patches of disordered close-packed structures. Upon annealing, BTB adsorbed on Cu(111) is fully deprotonated and arranges in the close-packed structure while in contrast on graphene/Cu(111) the porous network is exclusively formed. This shows that the molecular self-assembly behavior is highly dependent on the first substrate layer: one graphene layer is sufficient to considerably alter the interplay of molecule-substrate and intermolecular interactions in favor of the latter interactions.

INTRODUCTION

Graphene is considered as a wonder material due to its countless outstanding properties,1,2 which make it the candidate of choice for numerous applications in areas ranging from photovoltaics over sensing to electronics.3–6 Because of its two-dimensional structure, graphene generally will be in touch with other materials. For example, in the field of graphene-based organic electronics, the interaction between organic molecules and graphene is expected to play an important role in the performance of these devices.7–9 Therefore, an improved understanding of the self-assembly of molecules on graphene becomes of vital interest, also in view of industrial applications. So far, experimental investigations of molecular self-assembly on graphene have been mainly focused on commercially available molecules like perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic dihydride (PTCDA),10 perylene tetracarboxylic diimide (PTCDI),11 pentacene,12 and further other molecules.13–16 There have been also a number of theoretical studies reported which often focus on the changes in the band structure of graphene due to the adsorption of organic molecules with the goal to identify a molecule which leads to the opening of a band gap at the K point.17–19 On the other hand, graphene is in contact with a support layer, on which it either has been grown via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) or placed via a transfer technique. Because of the single-atom-thickness of graphene, adsorbed molecules will likely “feel” the underlying substrate and thus, the molecular layer formation as well as the electronic properties will depend on both the interaction with graphene and the underlying substrate. For example for graphene on Ru(0001), the adsorption of C6020 and Fe phthalocyanine21 as well as tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ)22 were found to be guided by the Moiré pattern which graphene exhibits on Ru(0001). Moreover, for TCNQ on graphene/Ru(0001) magnetic properties were found for the originally nonmagnetic TCNQ molecules arising due to the influence of the Ru substrate. Therefore, a comparative study of the molecular adsorption behavior on graphene as well as on the supporting substrate of graphene will shed light on the molecule/graphene interface properties.

In this article, we present a comparative study of the self-assembly behavior of 1,3,5-benzenetri-benzoic acid (BTB) on graphene grown on Cu(111) and of BTB on bare Cu(111).
Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements showed that for BTB deposited on Cu(111) held at room temperature hexagonal porous structures of small sizes coexist with close-packed structures. While the molecules in the porous structures are intact the ones in the close-packed structure have their carboxylic acid groups deprotonated. Upon annealing at elevated temperatures, all BTB molecules get deprotonated and only the close-packed structure forms. On the other hand, when BTB is deposited on graphene the molecules are mainly found to form the hexagonal porous network already observed on Cu(111). Improved quality and increased size of the porous network domains are achieved upon annealing at 365 K. Low energy electron diffraction (LEED) measurements suggest that the porous network preferably aligns along principal directions of the graphene lattice.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Structural Characterization. The STM measurements were performed at 77 K under ultrahigh vacuum conditions (base pressure \( \sim 10^{-11} \) mbar) with a commercial low temperature STM (Scienta Omicron GmbH). The STM tip was made from a mechanically cut Pt/Ir wire. All bias voltages are given with respect to a grounded tip. The STM images were acquired in constant current mode and were analyzed with the WSxM software. LEED measurements were performed at room temperature.

Graphene Preparation. The Cu(111) surface was first cleaned by repeated cycles of Ar+ sputtering (5.0 Messer) and annealing (800 K) in ultrahigh vacuum and then transferred to an ex-situ oven for the graphene growth. Graphene was grown by cracking methane on the Cu(111) surface at around 1270 K. A detailed description can be found in ref 24. After graphene was grown on Cu(111), the samples were transferred back to the UHV system where they were degassed at 700 K for 30 min to remove residual water and further adsorbates that might adsorbed onto graphene during the short transfer of the sample through air.

Molecule Deposition. BTB with a purity of 98% was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The structure of BTB is shown in Figure 1. Deposition of BTB was done in situ from a commercial molecule evaporator (OmniVac) onto the sample kept at room temperature. Before depositing the molecules onto Cu(111) and graphene, the BTB molecules were thoroughly degassed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in Figure 1, each BTB molecule has three carboxylic acid groups forming an angle of 120° with respect to each other. The carboxylic acid groups can serve as both donor and acceptor for hydrogen bonds. Therefore, BTB molecules are good candidates for the formation of H-bonded porous networks structures. So far, the adsorption behavior of BTB has been investigated on Ag(111) under UHV conditions and on HOPG at the solid-liquid interface. For both substrates a hexagonal porous network has been observed. On Ag(111), in dependence of the annealing temperature, two other more densely packed structures have been observed which are based on the interaction of partially deprotonated BTB molecules. On HOPG a so-called oblique structure exhibiting a denser packing than the porous network has been found. In our study, the BTB molecules formed two different assembly structures upon deposition on Cu(111) held at room temperature (Figure 2a).

A hexagonal porous network and a close-packed structure were found to coexist. The BTB molecules in the hexagonal porous network interact via double hydrogen bonding between their carboxylic acid end groups similar to what has been observed on Ag(111) and HOPG. In Figure 2c, the tentative structural model of the close-packed pattern formed by deprotonated BTB molecules. The unit cell is marked in blue.

Figure 1. Structure of 1,3,5-benzenetribenzoic acid. Carbon atoms are gray, oxygen atoms red, and hydrogen atoms white.

Figure 2. (a) STM image (47 nm \( \times \) 47 nm, \( U = -1.5 \) V, \( I = 20 \) pA) for BTB deposited on Cu(111) held at room temperature. The molecules arrange in a close-packed structure as well as a porous network. Both structures coexist on the surface. (b) STM image (32 nm \( \times \) 32 nm, \( U = -1 \) V, \( I = 20 \) pA) after annealing the sample at 385 K. Now, only the close-packed structure is observed. (c) Tentative structural model of the porous network formed by double hydrogen bonding between neighboring molecules. The unit cell is marked in blue. (d) Tentative structural model of the close-packed pattern formed by deprotonated BTB molecules. The unit cell is marked in blue.
porous network, a close-packed structure was also observed. The BTB molecules are oriented in the same direction and the carboxylic acid groups point to the center of neighboring BTB molecules. The unit cell of the close-packed structure was measured to be \( a = b = 1.24 \pm 0.11 \) nm with an angle \( \theta = 60 \pm 1^\circ \). When building the structure model, it turns out that the hydrogen atoms of the hydroxyl groups are too close to the hydrogen atoms of the CH groups of neighboring BTB molecules. This would result in repulsive interactions and prevent the formation of a stable structure. Studies on related molecules having carboxylic acid end groups showed that the hydroxyl groups get deprotonated upon deposition on Cu surfaces.\(^{29-32}\) Therefore, we suggest that the BTB molecules in the close-packed structure are deprotonated. The deprotonated carboxylic acid groups point to the center of neighboring BTB molecules and two hydrogen bonds are formed between the oxygen atoms of the COO group and hydrogen atoms of neighboring CH groups (see structure model in Figure 2d). A close inspection of the STM images revealed that some of the BTB molecules are partially deprotonated (see Supporting Information). Figure 2b displays an STM image taken for a sample which was annealed at 385 K. The porous network structure disappeared and only the same close-packed structure already observed for room temperature preparation is present. It can be concluded, in accordance with what is reported for related molecules,\(^{29-32}\) that the deprotonation process is enhanced upon annealing. For annealing BTB on Cu(111) at 385 K all molecules are deprotonated and thus, the close-packed structure is the only structure present after annealing.

In a next step the influence of a graphene layer adsorbed on the Cu(111) surface on the adsorption behavior of BTB was investigated and the findings are compared to BTB on Cu(111). Graphene was grown on Cu(111) according to the procedure described in ref 24. The pristine graphene sample was first checked by LEED and STM before depositing BTB onto it. Figure 3a shows the LEED pattern of graphene grown on Cu(111) taken at an energy of 74.5 eV. The light blue lines indicate the Cu directions. In the inset, the diffraction features originating from Cu and graphene are marked by a blue and red arrow, respectively. (b) STM image (18 nm × 18 nm, \( U = -1.5 \) V, \( I = 10 \) pA) showing the Moiré pattern as well as atomic resolution of graphene on Cu(111).

\[ a = b = 1.24 \pm 0.11 \text{ nm, } \theta = 60 \pm 1^\circ \]

Figure 3. (a) LEED pattern of graphene grown on Cu(111) taken at an energy of 74.5 eV. The light blue lines indicate the Cu directions. In the inset, the diffraction features originating from Cu and graphene are marked by a blue and red arrow, respectively. (b) STM image (18 nm × 18 nm, \( U = -1.5 \) V, \( I = 10 \) pA) showing the Moiré pattern as well as atomic resolution of graphene on Cu(111).
the blue dashed line indicating a principal Cu direction. The
diffraction pattern of graphene and the porous network have
the ring-like diffraction pattern in common which, nevertheless,
exhibits clear preferred directions. This suggests that the porous
BTB network follows the orientation of graphene. On the other
hand, if the porous BTB network would follow the orientation
of the Cu(111) surface, the ring-like diffraction pattern present
for the BTB network should not be observed.

Our study shows that the adsorption behavior of BTB on
graphene is quite different from the adsorption behavior on
Cu(111). On Cu(111), the BTB molecules get already partially
deprotonated when deposited on a sample held at room
temperature and thus, cannot form long-range ordered H-
bonded networks-like the hexagonal porous network-based on
double hydrogen bonding between the carboxylic acid end
groups. Instead, the BTB molecules prefer to arrange in a close-
packed structure. Upon annealing at elevated temperatures, all
end groups can be deprotonated and the close-packed structure
is exclusively formed. On the other hand, for deposition on
graphene held at room temperature the porous network is the
dominating assembly and only a few disordered patches are
found. Upon moderate annealing, the porous network can be
exclusively formed. This demonstrates that graphene can act as
a buffer layer to (partially) decouple the molecules from the
metallic substrate and to prevent the deprotonation. However,
an influence from the metallic Cu substrate is still present. This
is the case because the BTB network aligns with regard to the
graphene lattice which in turn aligns with regard to the
principal Cu directions.

■ CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a comparative study on the molecular self-
assembly of BTB on Cu(111) and graphene grown on Cu(111)
was performed. On Cu(111) a partial deprotonation of the
molecules was observed resulting in the coexistence of close-
packed and porous structures at room temperature. Upon
annealing, the carboxylic acid end groups are completely
deprotonated and only the close-packed structure forms. In
contrast, on graphene no deprotonation of the end groups takes
place. Already when BTB is deposited onto graphene held at
room temperature, the molecules primarily assemble in a
porous network, but also disordered close-packed structures
were found. With the help of moderate annealing, long-range
ordered domains of the porous network can be exclusively
fabricated. LEED measurements indicate that the orientation of
the porous BTB network follows the graphene lattice which in
turn exhibits preferred orientations with regard to the Cu(111)
surface.

Our study shows that graphene can effectively serve as a
decoupling layer for the formation of long-range ordered self-
assembled molecular structures. On the one side the molecule
substrate interaction is reduced (molecular chemisorption to

---

**Figure 4.** (a) STM image (75.5 nm × 75.5 nm, U = −2.1 V, I = 10 pA) for BTB deposited onto graphene/Cu(111) held at room temperature. A porous network forms which exhibits several disordered areas. (b) STM image (65 nm × 62 nm, U = −1.5 V, I = 10 pA) for submonolayer coverage of BTB on graphene/Cu(111) after annealing at 365 K. The quality of the porous network is highly improved. (c) High-resolution STM image (7.7 nm × 7.7 nm, U = −1.5 V, I = 10 pA) of submonolayer coverage of BTB on graphene/Cu(111) showing submolecular resolution for the individual BTB molecules. The unit cell is drawn in blue.

**Figure 5.** (a) LEED pattern (taken at a primary energy of 70 eV) of the porous BTB network on graphene/Cu(111) after annealing at 365 K. The features arising from the Cu surface, graphene and the BTB network are marked in blue, red and green, respectively. (b) LEED pattern for the same sample but taken at a primary energy of 16.6 eV. Now, the diffraction pattern arising from the porous BTB network can be seen more clearly.
the metal is prevented which can hinder the formation of self-assembled structures) while on the other side the molecules still “feel” the metal underneath the graphene layer. This influence of the metal can be used to tune the molecular adsorption energy in dependence of the type of metal used and offers the possibility to let the molecules and the metal interact selectively.
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