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Key Points

- Lymphocytic sialadenitis in labial salivary glands is a widely accepted criterion for histological confirmation of Sjögren’s syndrome (SS).
- Sensitivity and specificity of parotid and labial biopsies for diagnosing SS are comparable.
- Parotid gland incision biopsy can overcome most of the disadvantages of labial gland excision biopsy.
- In contrast to labial salivary glands, lymphoepithelial lesions and early stage lymphomas can be often observed in parotid gland tissue of SS patients.
- Parotid tissue can be harvested easily, repeated biopsies from the same parotid gland are possible, and histopathological results can be compared with other diagnostic results derived from the same gland.
- Parotid biopsies, in contrast to labial salivary gland biopsies, allow the clinician to prospectively monitor disease progression and to assess effects of intervention treatment at a glandular level.

Introduction

Salivary gland biopsy is a technique broadly applied for the diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome (SS), lymphoma accompanying SS, sarcoidosis, amyloidosis and other connective tissue disorders. SS has characteristic microscopic findings, involving lymphocytic infiltration surrounding the excretory ducts in combination with destruction of acinar tissue (Figure 1). In affected parotid glands, epimyoepithelial islands in a background of lymphoid stroma can be additionally seen and lymphoepithelial lesions (LELs) are a common phenomenon (Figure 2).

Biopsy of the labial salivary glands is considered as one of the four objective European-American Consensus Group classification criteria (AECG) and one of the three objective American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for SS (Table 1). While the parotid biopsy has been shown as an alternative for labial salivary gland biopsy when applying AECG classification criteria, it has still to be validated in regard to the ACR classification criteria [1].

This chapter will focus on the main techniques used for taking labial and parotid salivary gland biopsies in the diagnostic work-up of SS with respect to their advantages, their post-operative complications, and their usefulness for diagnostic procedures, monitoring disease progression and treatment evaluation.
Table 1: Histological criteria for diagnosing SS on salivary gland biopsies [1,18,19].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of biopsy</th>
<th>Positivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labial gland</td>
<td>if minor salivary glands (obtained through normal appearing mucosa) demonstrate focal lymphocytic sialadenitis, evaluated by an expert histopathologist, with a focus score ≥1, defined as a number of lymphocytic foci (which are adjacent to normal appearing mucous acini and contain more than 50 lymphocytes) per 4 mm² of glandular tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotid gland</td>
<td>if one of the two following criteria is fulfilled: i. a focus score of ≥1, defined as the number of lymphocytic foci (which are adjacent to normal appearing acini and contain &gt;50 lymphocytes) per 4 mm² of glandular parotid tissue (including fat tissue), irrespective of the presence of benign LELs ii. small lymphocytic infiltrates, not fulfilling the criterion of a focus score of ≥1, in combination with the presence of benign LELs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Labial salivary gland biopsy**

Minor salivary glands are widely distributed in the labial, buccal and palatal mucosa of the oral cavity [2]. Since pathognomonic changes are seen in minor salivary glands, the minor salivary gland biopsy is largely used for assisting the diagnosis of SS. Labial salivary glands in particular are easily accessible, lie above the muscle layer and are separated from the oral mucous membrane by a thin layer of fibrous connective tissue. Although the chance of excessive bleeding is minimal, since the arterial supply to the lip lies deep [3], there is a risk of sensible nerve injury, as the branches of the mental nerve in the lower lip are closely associated to the minor salivary glands (Figure 3) [4].

Labial salivary gland biopsies in the diagnosis of SS was introduced by Chisholm and Mason in 1968 and involved oral preparation of the patient with local anesthetic infiltration followed by excising an ellipse of oral mucous membrane down to the muscle layer [5]. The wound was closed with 4-0 gauge silk sutures, which were removed after 4-5 days. Ideally 6 to 8 minor glands must be harvested and sent for histopathologic examination.

Several clinicians have revised this technique (Table 2). Greenspan and colleagues described a 1.5-2 cm linear incision of mucosa, parallel to the vermilion border and lateral to the midline [6]. Marx et al. modified Greenspan’s technique with a mucosal excision of 3x0.75 cm [7]. Delgado and Moscueda preferred a longitudinal incision of 1 cm in the labial mucosa in front of the mandibular cuspids [8]. Guevara-Gutierrez and coworkers proposed the punch biopsy technique, performed with a 4 mm punch just penetrating the epithelium of the lower lip [9]. Mahlstedt et al. recommended a 1-1.5 cm wedge-shaped excision of mucosa between the midline and commissure [10]. Gorson and Ropper reported a 1 cm vertical incision just behind the wet line through the mucosa and submucosa [11]. An oblique incision, starting 1.5 cm from the midline and proceeding latero-inferiorly, avoiding the glandular free zone in the center of the lower lip was advocated by Berquin and colleagues [12]. Caporali et al. reported a small incision of 2-3 mm on the inner surface of the lower lip [13]. In view of the lack of sufficient evidence to support the superiority of one technique over the others, especially in respect to short and long term morbidity, the shape and the size of the incision can be considered a matter of preference. Incision shape has included elliptical, horizontal, vertical and wedge shapes, and incision length has varied from a few mm to 2 cm. The authors of the present article, based on their clinical experience, suggest a horizontal incision of approximately 2 cm in agreement with the technique proposed by Greenspan and colleagues [6], where the surgeon uses loupe operation glasses (magnification x2.5) to precisely excise the salivary glands without disturbing the direct underlying sensible nerves (Figure 4).

The first grading system for salivary gland biopsies was employed by Chisholm and Mason in an attempt to standardize the examined area and record the degree of histopathological change [5]. At present, according to the revised AECG classification criteria and the ACR classification criteria for SS, a labial salivary gland biopsy is considered positive if minor salivary glands (obtained through normal appearing mucosa) demonstrate focal lymphocytic sialadenitis, evaluated by an expert histopathologist, with a focus score ≥1, defined as a number of lymphocytic foci, containing more than 50 lymphocytes per 4 mm² of glandular tissue (Table 1).

**Complications**

The most commonly reported complications of labial gland biopsy are [1,6-8,10,12-17]:

1. Localized sensory alteration, which is frequently described with the terms anesthesia, reduced or partial loss of sensation, transitory numbness and hyposthesia. The condition may last for a few months or can be even permanent;
2. External haematoma;
3. Local swelling;
4. Formation of granulomas;
5. Internal scarring and cheloid formation;
6. Failing sutures;
7. Local pain.

**Suitability for diagnostic and treatment evaluation purposes**

A widely accepted criterion for histological confirmation of SS is focal lymphocytic sialadenitis in labial salivary glands [18,19]. Labial biopsies are mainly well suited for the diagnostic work-up, but not for treatment and disease activity evaluation [20].
although very rare, B-cell MALT lymphomas can be found in labial biopsies of SS patients [21,22].

**Parotid gland biopsy**

The parotid gland is the largest salivary gland and is positioned on the lateral aspect of the face overlying the posterior surface of the mandible and antero-inferior to the auricle [23]. Traditionally, the gland is divided into a superficial and deep lobe based on the course of the facial nerve as it passes through. When the facial nerve enters the parotid gland, it forms a characteristic branching pattern that resembles a goose foot and is known as ‘the pes anserinus’, giving two main divisions of the facial nerve (Figure 5). Surgically, the facial nerve can be located in approximately 2-4 mm deep to the inferior end of the tympanomastoid suture line and 1 cm deep and slightly antero-inferior to the tragal pointer.

The technique of the parotid gland biopsy was initially described by Kraijenhagen [24]: the area is anesthetized with local infiltration anesthesia after the standard preparation. With a No 15 blade, a small 1-2 cm incision is made just below the earlobe near the posterior angle of the mandible. The skin is incised and the pa-

---

**Figure 3:** The branch of the mental nerve (*) that supplies the mucous membrane of the lower lip divides usually into two sub-branches: a horizontal and a vertical, which has an ascending course toward the vermilion border and is in close relation to the labial salivary glands (**).
rotid capsule is exposed by blunt dissection. The capsule of the gland is carefully opened and a small amount of superficial parotid tissue is removed. The procedure is completed with a 2 to 3-layered closure. The capsule must be cautiously closed to avoid future leakage or development of sialocele (Figure 6).

The technique was slightly modified by the present authors with an incision below and slightly behind the earlobe (Figure 7). The capsule of the parotid gland and subcutaneous tissue is closed with 4-0 Vicryl™ sutures, whereas the skin is closed with 5-0 Ethilon® sutures. In this way, aesthetic results are excellent and future scar is invisible to the eye from anterior/lateral point of view.

Pijpe and coworkers established a new set of validated histopathological criteria for diagnosing SS according to the AECG classification criteria based on biopsy of the parotid gland (Table 1) [1]. A parotid biopsy was considered positive if one of the two following criteria was fulfilled:

i. a focus score of ≥1, defined as the number of lymphocytic foci (which are adjacent to normal-appearing acini and contain ≥50 lymphocytes) per 4 mm² of glandular parotid tissue (including fat tissue), irrespective of the presence of benign LELs.

ii. small lymphocytic infiltrates, not fulfilling the criterion of a focus score of ≥1, in combination with the presence of benign LELs.

Complications
Despite the potential risk of facial nerve damage, the development of sialoceles and salivary fistulae, temporary change in sensation in the skin area of the incision is the only well documented complication described to date [1,7].

Suitability for diagnostic and treatment evaluation purposes
Parotid biopsies allow the clinician to monitor disease progression and to assess the effect of an intervention treatment in SS. This is feasible due to the fact that parotid tissue can be harvested easily, repeated biopsies from the same parotid gland are possible, and the histopathological results can be compared with other diagnostic results derived from the same gland (e.g. secretory function, sialographic appearance, and ultrasound) [25]. Additionally, by performing parotid biopsies as a routine diagnostic procedure for SS, LELs and lymphomas located in the parotid gland can be identified [7,26].

Sublingual salivary gland biopsy
The sublingual salivary gland is the smallest of the major salivary glands. It lies in the floor of the mouth on both sides of the tongue and is covered only by oral mucosa. There are a few reports about taking a biopsy of the sublingual salivary gland for the diagnosis of SS [12,27,28]. The technique is performed with an 1 cm linear mucosal incision in the floor of the mouth, 1 cm anterolaterally from Wharton’s duct to 1 cm anteroposteriorly [12,28,28].

Complications
The post-operative complications of sublingual salivary gland biopsy are [12,27]:

1. Ligaturing Wharton’s duct, resulting from the placement of sutures;
2. Bleeding;
3. Swelling in the floor of the mouth.

Comparison of techniques (Table 2)
Although focal lymphocytic sialadenitis in the labial salivary gland is a widely accepted criterion for histological confirmation of SS, biopsies of the labial salivary glands may have several disadvantages. The sensitivity and specificity of labial salivary gland biopsies vary in the literature. Data from different studies are often difficult to compare because different sets of criteria for diagnosing SS have been used and the outcome of the labial biopsy is a strong determinant for the final diagnosis. In a normal population, the labial biopsy resulted in 6–9% false-positive diagnoses, and 18–40% of the patients with a clinical diagnosis of SS have a negative labial biopsy, resulting in a sensitivity of 60–82% and a specificity of 91–94% (Table 3) [14,29-33]. According to the ACR classification criteria, the labial biopsy has a sensitivity of 89.8 (95% CI: 87.2–92.0), but a lower specificity of 74.3 (95% CI: 71.0–77.5) [18]. Moreover, it may be difficult to harvest a sufficient number of labial salivary glands in atrophic submucosa of patients with longstanding SS [30]. In addition, permanent sensory loss of the mucosa of the lower lip, occurring in 1–10% of the patients, is a known complication of a labial biopsy [7,14,15]. Pijpe and coworkers report sensory loss in 6% of patients after labial biopsy, while no permanent sensory loss was observed after parotid biopsy [1].

Incisional biopsy of the parotid gland can overcome most of the disadvantages of the labial biopsy. When evaluating the parotid and the labial biopsy, sensitivity and specificity are comparable (Table 3), estimated in 78% and 86% respectively [1]. Parotid gland tissue can be harvested easily, repeated biopsies from the same parotid gland are possible (an important asset in studies assessing the efficacy of a treatment in SS patients or monitoring disease progression), and the histopathological results can be compared with other diagnostic results derived from the same gland (secretory function, sialographic appearance, ultrasound). In contrast to labial salivary glands, LELs are often observed in parotid gland tissue of SS patients. These LELs, a characteristic histological feature of the major salivary glands
in SS [33], develop as a result of hyperplasia of ductal basal cells within a lymphocytic infiltrate. In addition, well-formed lymphoid follicles or germinal centers, often adjacent to ductal epithelium, can be found in the major salivary glands [34]. Since both LELs and reactive lymphoid follicles are also indicative of malignant lymphoma, benign LELs must be discriminated from (pre)malignant lesions, using strict criteria [35,36].

Four to seven per cent of patients with SS develop malignant B cell lymphoma [37, 38], 48%–75% of which are of the MALT-type. These B cell lymphomas are most frequently located in the parotid gland [39,40,41]. Assessment of SS patients who may have developed a MALT lymphoma is not always easy, but an incisional biopsy of the parotid gland can safely be performed under local anesthesia [4] and can help towards this diagnosis. Pollard and coworkers have established an algorithm for the management of MALT-type lymphoma of parotid gland and associated SS (MALT-SS), showing the importance of a parotid gland biopsy for controlling the disease [26].

Additionally, in pediatric patients with clinical suspicion of SS and a negative minor salivary gland biopsy result, a parotid gland biopsy could be safe and effective in order to establish histopathologic evidence for the diagnosis of SS [43].

Finally, it is noteworthy that the pain following labial and parotid biopsies is comparable in severity and disappears within 1 month [1].

Notwithstanding these aforementioned advantages, biopsies of the parotid gland have not become commonplace because of the concern for damage to the facial nerve, development of sialoceles and salivary fistulae (Table 2). In addition, parotid gland biopsies are not part of the established criteria for diagnosing SS and demand higher surgical expertise. They are validated for the AECG classification criteria, but not yet for the ACR classification criteria.

Comparison of sublingual gland biopsy to labial gland biopsy has shown that the sensitivity of sublingual gland biopsy is better than the one of the labial gland biopsy, while the specificity of the latter is better than that of the former (Table 3) [27]. As far as the post-operative complications are concerned, researchers claim that sublingual gland biopsy is a relatively safe procedure (Table 2). Owing the fact that placing a suture might increase the risk of ligaturing Wharton’s duct and lead to swelling of the floor of the mouth, no suture [28] or careful placement of one to two sutures could be an alternative [29]. A damage to the mental nerve is obviously not feasible, because of the operation site, while a damage to the lingual nerve related to this biopsy technique has never been reported in the literature. Advanced risk

Figure 6: Incisional biopsy of the parotid gland.

A. The area is anesthetized with local infiltration anesthesia.

B. With a No 15 blade a small 1-2 cm incision is made just below and behind the earlobe near the posterior angle of the mandible.

C. The skin is incised and the parotid capsule is exposed by blunt dissection. The capsule of the gland is carefully opened and a small amount of superficial parotid tissue is removed.

D. The procedure is completed with a 2 to 3-layered closure with 4-0 gauge absorbable sutures (polyglycolic acid), while the skin layer is closed with 5-0 nylon sutures.

Figure 7: The technique of the biopsy of the parotid gland was slightly modified by the present authors with an incision below and slightly behind the earlobe.
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Parotid gland

Kraaijenhagen 1975 1-2 cm incision just below and behind the ear-lobe near the posterior angle of the mandible. The skin is incised and the parotid capsule is exposed by blunt dissection. The capsule of the gland is opened and adequate amount of superficial parotid tissue is removed. The procedure is completed with a 2 to 3-layered closure.

Greenspan et al., 1975 1.5-2 cm linear incision of mucosa, parallel to the vermilion border and lateral to the midline.

Marx et al., 1988 Mucosal incision of 3x0.75 cm

Delgado and Moscueda, 1989 Longitudinal incision of 1 cm in the labial mucosa in front of the mandibular cuspidids

Guevara-Gutierrez et al., 2001 Punch biopsy

Mahlsted et al., 2002 1-1.5 cm wedge-shaped incision between the midline and commissure

Gorson and Ropper, 2003 1 cm vertical incision just behind the wet line through the mucosa and submucosa

Berquin et al., 2006 Oblique incision, starting 1.5 cm from the mid-line and proceeding latero-inferiorly, avoiding the glandular free zone in the center of the lower lip

Caporali et al., 2008 Small incision of 2-3 mm on the inner surface of the lower lip

Table 2: Comparison of techniques [5-13,24,27,28,42].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labial gland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm and Mason, 1968</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenspan et al., 1975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marx et al., 1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc Guirt et al., 2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumrash et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pijpe et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Widely distributed glands</td>
<td>1. Temporary or permanent alteration in sensation in the area of the incision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Easily accessible glands</td>
<td>2. External haematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Minimal chance of bleeding</td>
<td>3. Local swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Granulomas formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Internal scarring and cheloid formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Suture failing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Local pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublingual salivary gland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennec et al., 1990</td>
<td>Incision between the first premolar and the lateral cutting tooth</td>
<td>Collection of sufficient amount of tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam et al., 1992</td>
<td>Mucosal incision 1 cm anterolaterally from the Whartonian duct to 1 cm anteroposteriorly. Blunt dissection and harvest of 0.5 ml of gland. The wound edges are joined with 1/2 resorbable stiches</td>
<td>1. Uncomfortable scars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berquin et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Swelling in the floor of the mouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Risk of ligaturing Wharton's duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Not established histopathologic criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of bleeding is encountered in cases a more posterior incision is made, which, however, resolves spontaneously [12]. To date, specialized histopathological criteria have not been established for the diagnosis of SS after a sublingual gland biopsy and researchers merely employed the criteria for labial gland biopsies [12,27,29].

**Conclusion**

Early diagnosis and treatment are of high importance for preventing the complications associated with SS. Unfortunately, so far there is not a single test capable of confirming the diagnosis of SS. A positive salivary gland biopsy provides strong evidence, which in correlation with additional diagnostic tests can establish the classification of SS. Parotid gland biopsy is a relatively simple technique, has the potential to overcome most of the disadvantages of the labial biopsy and can additionally aid in monitoring disease progression and the effect of an intervention treatment in SS.
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