Plastoquinone (PLQ) acts as an electron carrier between photosystem II (PSII) and the cytochrome b6f complex. To understand how PLQ enters and leaves PSII, here we show results of coarse grained molecular dynamics simulations of PSII embedded in the thylakoid membrane, covering a total simulation time of more than 0.5 ms. The long time scale allows the observation of many spontaneous entries of PLQ into PSII, and the unbinding of plastoquinol (PLQol) from the complex. In addition to the two known channels, we observe a third channel for PLQ/PLQol diffusion between the thylakoid membrane and the PLQ binding sites. Our simulations point to a promiscuous diffusion mechanism in which all three channels function as entry and exit channels. The exchange cavity serves as a PLQ reservoir. Our simulations provide a direct view on the exchange of electron carriers, a key step of the photosynthesis machinery.
Photosynthetic organisms convert light into chemical energy. This fundamental process involves four major protein complexes: photosystem II (PSII), cytochrome b6f complex (Cyt b6f), photosystem I and ATP synthase. The process starts at PSII, which extracts electrons from water. The electrons travel subsequently to Cyt b6f and PSI, after which they reduce NADP. The electrons are transported between these protein complexes by charge carriers. Plastoquinone (PLQ) is the charge carrier responsible for the electron transport from PSII to Cyt b6f. Upon photoactivation of PSII, PLQ is double reduced and takes up two protons to become plastoquinol (PLQol) (Fig. 1a).

The PSII core complex, a homodimer consisting of 27 subunits in plants and 20 in cyanobacteria, coordinates two PLQs per monomer, named QA and QB, symmetrically positioned around a non-heme iron (Fig. 1b,c). QA is stationary and does not leave the protein; it just passes the electron on to QB. QB, however, leaves the protein after its conversion to PLQol; then a new QB enters the binding site and the process can start again. In the X-ray structure of Gusakov et al. a third PLQ is present, coined QC. QC is however not found in the later Umema and Wei structures. The QC site is located close to the QA site, but the role of QC is still highly debated.

While the QA site is well buried in the core of the PSII complex, the QB and QC sites connect to a small cavity located within the protein (Fig. 1c). This so-called PLQ/PLQol exchange cavity is filled with lipids: on the stromal side with the negative charged phosphatidylglycerol (PG) and sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol (SQDG) lipids and on the luminal side with digalactosyldiacylglycerol (DGDG) and monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG) lipids. Two channels link the cavity to the thylakoid membrane. Channel I, containing the tail of QC, is flanked by Cyt b559α and PsbJ and opens up to the centre of the thylakoid membrane. The QC headgroup protrudes into the PLQ/PLQol exchange cavity, where it interacts with lipid and cofactor tails, but not with any amino acids. Channel II, containing the QB tail, is located between the D2 subunit and cyt b559β, opening up more on the stromal side compared to channel I. The QB headgroup is located close to the non-heme iron.

The presence of these two channels led to three different models for PLQ/PLQol diffusion involving the QB and QC sites. In the ‘alternating’ mechanism, channels I and II are used both as an entry and as an exit. Each PLQol leaves however through the same channel as through which it entered as a PLQ. In the ‘wriggling’ mechanism, PLQ enters via channel I and PLQol leaves via channel II. This would be in line with the fact that PLQol is more polar than PLQ, preferring to leave through channel II which opens up closer to the membrane surface. In the ‘single channel’ mechanism, only channel II is used and channel I is occupied by a stationary PLQ molecule (QC) that might be involved in redox reactions with cyt b559 (refs 8,9).

Here we present the results of coarse grained (CG) molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the diffusion of PLQ and PLQol in and out of the cyanobacterial PSII complex. The use of a CG model enables simulations of multi-meric protein assemblies in a complex membrane environment, exploring time scales in the microsecond range. Recently, we described the dynamics of the PSII complex including all of its cofactors, embedded in a realistic description of the thylakoid membrane based on the CG Martini model. We extended this work, and now focus our analysis on the exchange pathways of PLQ and PLQol by quantifying the role of the different channels. Previous computational work has focused on the energetics of the QA and QB binding sites, and on simulation of PLQ either in solution or in the thylakoid membrane; for a review see ref. 18. An atomistic simulation has recently been performed on the difference in binding of PLQ/PLQol at the QA and QB binding sites, and on simulation of PLQ either in solution or in the thylakoid membrane; for a review see ref. 18.

We simulated the PSII dimer complex of the cyanobacteria *T. vulcanus*, including all cofactors and embedded in a realistic representation of the thylakoid membrane composed of MGDG, DGDG, SQDG and PG lipids (Fig. 2). To probe the exit and entry pathways of PLQ and PLQol, the QB site was initially occupied by a PLQol, and PLQs were placed in the bulk membrane at about 5 mol%. Five replicate simulations were performed for a time period between 80 and 100 µs each (see Methods for details). We observe multiple binding and unbinding events of the

---

**Figure 1 | PSII and the PLQ exchange channels.** (a) Structure of plastoquinone, plastosemiquinone and plastoquinol. The uptake of one electron by plastoquinone results in the radical semiplastoquinone, an additional electron and two protons result in PLQol. (b) View on PSII dimer from the plane of the membrane, with labelling of the 19 subunits coloured according to chain. The grey box roughly indicates the position of the thylakoid membrane. (c) Stomatal view on the PSII dimer. The left monomer is coloured and labelled as in (b). In the right monomer the protein is coloured transparent white and the PLQ binding sites QA (blue), QB (red) and QC (green) are indicated, as well as the two known channels I, II and the new channel III.
PLQ enters exchange cavity and reaches binding sites. To investigate how PLQs diffuse into the PLQ exchange cavity, we calculated a PLQ density map, combining the data from the five replicate simulations that contained additional PLQ molecules (138 molecules, $\sim 5$ mol%) in the surrounding bulk thylakoid membrane. The resulting density map is visualized in Fig. 3a, showing the areas around the PSII dimer where it is more likely to encounter a PLQ during the simulation.

The first remarkable feature is the non-homogeneous distribution of PLQ around the protein. PLQ clearly has a preferred region of interacting with PSII, ranging approximately from subunits PsbZ to PsbH, with a few spots around CP43 and D1 where the PLQ tries to penetrate PSII. Remarkably, there is no density in and around the dimer cleft. It appears that PLQs accumulate at the side of the protein with access to the exchange cavity.

The exchange cavity itself is also clearly visible in the density map. This implies that PLQs spontaneously enter the exchange cavity from the bulk thylakoid membrane. Interestingly the density is not homogenously distributed within the cavity, but located more towards the cyt b559 and away from CP43 (Fig. 3a).

At the end of the simulation the amount of PLQ inside the exchange cavity is $1.0 \pm 0.2$ (s.e.m., $n=10$) PLQ molecules. It is likely that this is still an underestimation, as equilibration of the PLQ population in the exchange cavity is a slow process. Note that, in most simulations, a PLQ molecule is also present, either at the Q$_B$ site or trapped in the exchange cavity.

Discovery of a third exchange channel. Interestingly, the PLQ density map shows the existence of three clear distinct pathways connecting the membrane to the PLQ exchange cavity, indicated by arrows in Fig. 3a. These pathways correspond to channels I and II previously reported in the literature, as well as a novel pathway, denoted channel III. Our data thus imply the existence of a third exchange channel. The novel channel emerges when PsbJ moves towards cyt b559 while PsbK and ycf12 move in the opposite direction, creating a tunnel between PsbJ on one side and PsbK and ycf12 on the other side.

To get an estimate about the PLQ fluxes through the three channels we counted how many PLQs pass through each channel in the five different simulations (see Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Table 1). The data are shown in Table 1, averaged over the ten monomers (results for the individual monomers are given in Supplementary Table 2). In total, we observed 19 full entries and 11 full exits, over the 0.5 ms aggregate simulation time. In addition, many additional PLQs are found trapped inside the channels at the end of the simulation.

Our data furthermore show that each of the channels is used both as an entrance and as an exit to the PLQ cavity. Sometimes a different channel is used to leave the cavity than the channel used.
to enter. It is however also common that the same channel is used. In most of the complexes, the entry flux is higher than the exit flux (Table 1), causing the net increase in the amount of PLQs present in the PLQ exchange cavity (see above). On average, every 33 μs a cofactor enters or leaves one of the two PLQ cavities.

Figure 3 | Diffusion of PLQ and PLQol in and out of PSII. (a) Stromal view on PSII with occupancy density of PLQ from the five replicate simulations. Indicated are the locations of the headgroups of QA, QB and QC, as well as channel I, channel II and channel III. The red line approximately indicates the location of the PLQ exchange cavity. Note that in the right monomer channel III is not visible at the chosen threshold level. (b) Time series of snapshots of two diffusion events of a single PLQ into PSII (left) and a single PLQol out of PSII (right); the PLQ and PLQol headgroup beads are shown as spheres for clarity. The red dashed circle indicates the location of the QB binding site and the green dashed circle the location of the QC headgroup. In the left panel the PLQ enters the complex via channel I. After entry the PLQ diffuses around in the PLQ exchange cavity, its tail briefly sticking out of channel III. By the end of the simulation the PLQ headgroup is very close to the QB binding site. In the right panel the PLQol moves from its initial position at the QB site with its tail inside channel II, towards the PLQ exchange cavity. Subsequently its headgroup moves into channel III, with the PLQol tail still in the exchange cavity. The headgroup remains in the channel opening, while the PLQol tail folds over the headgroup into the membrane through channel III. Eventually the molecule diffuses out of the channel into the membrane. (c) Snapshots of PSII from the side showing the increase of the channel III opening due to the movement of the PsbJ and ycf12 subunits.
Comparing the fluxes between the channels, it appears that channels I and III are used by PLQ with roughly the same frequency, but significantly fewer cofactors pass through channel II. In the latter channel, they often get stuck and do not completely pass through the channel (see also Supplementary Table 2), which we attribute to the smaller size of channel II. Channel I has dimensions of $1.0 \times 2.0 \text{ nm}^2$, while the dimensions of channel II are only $1.0 \times 1.2 \text{ nm}^2$ (ref. 20). We estimate the size of channel III as $1.1 \times 2.0 \text{ nm}^2$, similar to channel I (see Supplementary Methods). During the simulation, the shape and size of the channels fluctuates significantly, with sizes transiently increasing or decreasing up to 100%.

To explore whether PLQols can also enter the cavity using the same channels, six additional simulations were performed (aggregate time 0.25 ms) with PLQol at 5 mol% in the bulk membrane (see Supplementary Methods). The results (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4) indicate a very similar behaviour as observed for PLQ, implying that PLQol is potentially able to (re)enter the PLQ exchange cavity.

To explore whether PLQols can also enter the cavity using the same channels, six additional simulations were performed (aggregate time 0.25 ms) with PLQol at 5 mol% in the bulk membrane (see Supplementary Methods). The results (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4) indicate a very similar behaviour as observed for PLQ, implying that PLQol is potentially able to (re)enter the PLQ exchange cavity. Visual inspection of our simulation trajectories reveals that the subunits lining the channels are dynamic, and can move with respect to each other. In particular, there is movement of the helices cyt b559α + β, PsbJ, PsbK ycf12 and PsbX. These relative movements can either result in constriction or even complete closure of a channel, or result in an increased capacity of a channel due to a larger channel opening. This is especially noticeable for channels I and III. Graphical snapshots illustrating the entrance of PLQ through channel I, the exit of PLQol through channel III and the opening of channel III are shown in Fig. 3b,c. See also Supplementary Movies 1–3.

### PLQs can reorient inside the exchange cavity.

Also of interest is the observation that both PLQs and PLQols can enter the channels in two orientations, headgroup first or tail first (see Supplementary Fig. 3). Inside the exchange cavity, PLQ can reorient inside the exchange cavity. The latter is especially relevant because some of the PLQs enter tail first. Note that the PLQ cavity reservoir should not be confused with the total PLQ pool, which is likely located for a large part outside the PSII complex in the thylakoid membrane, and estimated to contain between 9 and 30 PLQs per complex.25,26. The entry and exit kinetics of PLQ, on average one PLQ per 33 μs per monomer, is fast compared to both the first reduction step of PLQ at the Q$_B$ site towards semiplastoquinone, that has a time constant of a few hundreds of microseconds, and the second redox step that shows somewhat slower kinetics.26,27. This implies that a PLQ is always available close to the Q$_B$ pocket after being converted to PLQol. This is confirmed by our simulations: we observe that while all PLQs remain in the Q$_B$ pocket, PLQols diffuse out of this binding site. The affinity of PLQ and PLQols for the QC site appears weak. Although the site is frequently visited by PLQ/PLQols diffusing through channel I, actual binding is not observed. We hypothesize that the Q$_C$ site in the crystal structure of Guskov et al.3 either originates from a PLQ trapped inside the channel under the crystallization conditions, or represents a number of weaker binding spots around the Q$_C$ site.

The simulations reveal that there are preferential regions on PSII where PLQ interacts, and from which PLQ can enter the exchange cavity. Importantly, our data suggest that the PLQ cavity could function as a PLQ reservoir. We quantified a gain of about one PLQ molecule per monomer compared with the Umena structure in which only Q$_A$ is present.4 Considering our simulations did not reach equilibrium yet, the equilibrium population could be even higher. The PLQ exchange cavity can thus function as a kind of local reservoir of PLQs, where PLQs can reorient. The latter is especially relevant because some of the PLQs enter tail first. Note that the PLQ cavity reservoir should not be confused with the total PLQ pool, which is likely located for a large part outside the PSII complex in the thylakoid membrane, and estimated to contain between 9 and 30 PLQs per complex.25,26. The entry and exit kinetics of PLQ, on average one PLQ per 33 μs per monomer, is fast compared to both the first reduction step of PLQ at the Q$_B$ site towards semiplastoquinone, that has a time constant of a few hundreds of microseconds, and the second redox step that shows somewhat slower kinetics.26,27. 

### Discussion

We have investigated the behaviour of PLQ and PLQol in PSII, based on coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. The CG approach allowed us to simulate the full PSII dimer system, including all cofactors and embedded in a realistic thylakoid membrane environment, on an aggregate time scale of almost 0.5 ms. We find that PLQs accumulate around the PSII complex at sides close to the exchange cavity, and are able to enter and leave this cavity using three different channels. Of these, two channels correspond to the known channels I and II. The third channel is a novel channel that has not been reported before. Taken together, our simulations point to a plastic behaviour of the PLQ exchange channels. Here we discuss our results in light of the current literature view on PLQ binding and exchange pathways. A discussion of the limitations of our approach can be found in the Supporting Information (Supplementary Note 1). In light of these limitations, it is imperative that our results are eventually verified by more detailed all-atom models, and/or validated experimentally.

In our simulations with PLQ occupying the Q$_B$ site, both Q$_A$ and Q$_B$ remain stationary. This is in line with their function in photosynthesis. Experiments suggests that it is relatively difficult to remove Q$_A$ from its binding site.22–24 The Q$_A$ PLQ is only expected to leave the site after being converted to PLQol. This is confirmed by our simulations: we observe that while all PLQs remain in the Q$_B$ pocket, PLQols diffuse out of this binding site. The affinity of PLQ and PLQols for the Q$_C$ site appears weak. Although the site is frequently visited by PLQ/PLQols diffusing through channel I, actual binding is not observed. We hypothesize that the Q$_C$ site in the crystal structure of Guskov et al.3 either originates from a PLQ trapped inside the channel under the crystallization conditions, or represents a number of weaker binding spots around the Q$_C$ site.

The simulations reveal that there are preferential regions on PSII where PLQ interacts, and from which PLQ can enter the exchange cavity. Importantly, our data suggest that the PLQ cavity could function as a PLQ reservoir. We quantified a gain of about one PLQ molecule per monomer compared with the Umena structure in which only Q$_A$ is present.4 Considering our simulations did not reach equilibrium yet, the equilibrium population could be even higher. The PLQ exchange cavity can thus function as a kind of local reservoir of PLQs, where PLQs can reorient. The latter is especially relevant because some of the PLQs enter tail first. Note that the PLQ cavity reservoir should not be confused with the total PLQ pool, which is likely located for a large part outside the PSII complex in the thylakoid membrane, and estimated to contain between 9 and 30 PLQs per complex.25,26. The entry and exit kinetics of PLQ, on average one PLQ per 33 μs per monomer, is fast compared to both the first reduction step of PLQ at the Q$_B$ site towards semiplastoquinone, that has a time constant of a few hundreds of microseconds, and the second redox step that shows somewhat slower kinetics.26,27. This implies that a PLQ is always available close to the Q$_B$ site to replace PLQ upon its reduction to PLQol, at least when the PLQ pool is largely oxidized. Interestingly, the simulations with excess PLQol in the thylakoid membrane showed that the reduced electron carrier is able to potentially re-enter the exchange cavity, competing with PLQ. This could be an important regulation mechanism to reduce reduction rates under conditions when PSII is operating too fast and the PLQ pool becomes reduced.

### Table 1 | Comparison of the flux* of PLQ through different channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Flux in</th>
<th>Flux out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The average flux of PLQs (no. of molecules ms$^{-1}$ per monomer), diffusing in and out of the two PLQ exchange cavities through the three channels, is shown. Standard errors are given based on ten independent measurements (five simulations, two monomers). More details are shown in Supplementary Table 2.
channel between subunits PsbJ and ycf12/PsbK. The simulations show that channels I and III are used more or less equally, but that the narrower channel II is used significantly less. The subunits lining the three channels can undergo conformational changes, modulating the relative opening or closure of channels I and III in particular. Is channel III really used in vivo? Mutants studies have already shown that PsbJ is likely to be involved in the electron transport from QA to the PLQ pool, pointing to the importance of cyt b559 for photosynthesis, and revealed that PsbX has an influence on PLQ turnover. A schematic of the new model is shown in Fig. 4.

In the literature, three different PLQ exchange mechanisms have been proposed: the alternating, the wriggling and the single channel mechanism. The simulations do not match any of them fully. Our data agree with the alternating mechanism in the sense that both channels are used as an entry and an exit. It differs to the point that the cofactors do not have to leave through the same helices over time. This could be achieved by the inclusion of fluorescent or electromagnetic probes in helices cyt b559, PsbJ and ycf12 and subsequently reorient the distances between the subunits over time using FRET or EPR measurements. Closing channels, by crosslinking the constituent helices, might be another approach to study the existence and the usage of the various channels. One might be able to close, for example, channel III by crosslinking PsbJ, ycf12 and PsbK together and subsequently measure the effect on the redox potential of QA and the PLQ pool.

Methods

System setup. To study the dynamics of PLQ and PLQols exchange in the PSII complex, we used the equilibrated structure from our previous simulations of the PSII complex. Here, we describe five independent simulations of the dimer in and exit the various channels. One might be able to close, for example, channel III by crosslinking PsbJ, ycf12 and PsbK together and subsequently measure the effect on the redox potential of QA and the PLQ pool.

The combination of these nine observations leads to a new model, the ‘promiscuous’ mechanism, in which three channels exist, each serving as both entry and exit pathway for both PLQ and PLQols. The exchange cavity serves as a temporary PLQ reservoir in which the PLQs can reorient. We denote this model the ‘promiscuous’ mechanism, to be considered as alternative for the alternating, the wriggling and the single-channel mechanisms. A schematic of the new model is shown in Fig. 4.

Taken together, based on large-scale simulations, we have been able to shed important light on the mechanism by which PLQs and PLQols diffuse in and out of the PSII complex. Our simulations do not fully agree with any of the three diffusion mechanisms described in the literature. Instead they point to a less organized, less deterministic model. Nine main observations can be made. (1) Three different channels exist that all can be used as an entry and an exit channel. (2) The entry and exit channel do not have to be the same for an individual PLQ; a number of PLQs enter and leave through the same channel, but others do this by a different channel. (3) The QA site likely represents a weaker binding spot. (4) PLQs can pass through the channels in at least two different orientations, with their headgroup first or with their tail first. (5) PLQs do not directly dock at the QA site from the channel, instead they first enter in the PLQ exchange cavity where they can diffuse around and reorient themselves. (6) PLQs can accumulate in the PLQ exchange cavity forming a PLQ reservoir. (7) The flux through channels I and III is more or less equal and several times larger than through channel II. (8) The relative flux through channels I and III is influenced by the relative conformations of cyt b559, PsbJ, ycf12 and PsbK. Possibly PsbX might be able to influence the flux through channel II. (9) The side of the protein where the channel openings are located acts as a funnel, accumulating PLQs towards the entrances of the exchange cavity.

The combination of these nine observations leads to a new model, the ‘promiscuous’ mechanism, in which channels I and III are primarily used, and each channel functions as an uncorrelated entry and exit of PLQ/PLQols. The PLQ exchange cavity can function as a local PLQ supply in which the PLQs and PLQols can reorient and there is a regulatory function of subunits cyt b559, PsbJ, ycf12 and PsbK.
which the Qₐ pocket contained a PLQol and extra PLQs were added to the thylakoid membrane.

The simulations are based on the crystal structure of the cyanobacterial PSII complex from Umena et al.17 with PDB ID: 3ARC. The protein was coarse grained together with all of its cofactors and embedded in a thylakoid membrane composed out of 2,686 lipids using the insane script.18 The membrane is composed of the negative charged PG and SQDG, and the neutral MGDG and DGDG lipids with oleoyl and palmitoyl tails. A realistic representation of the thylakoid membrane was performed at 328 K, maintaining the thylakoid membrane in the fluid phase. The simulations were run in the isochorespheric (NpT) ensemble. Since T. vulcanus is a thermophile, the simulations were used to increase the chance of finding a favourable pocket45. Here, configurations were selected in which a PLQ was in a favourable site of Photosystem II reaction center core preparations isolated from the photosystem II core complexes.17,21 The plastoquinol-plastoquinone exchange mechanism in photosystem II: insight from molecular dynamics simulations.18

The five different simulations described in the current work all started from the same initial structure, but with different seeds for the initial randomized velocities. In order to investigate the pathways of PLQ diffusion to the Qₐ binding site, 69 PLQ lipids were inserted into each membrane leaflet at the start of the simulation, totalling to 138 free PLQs, yielding a concentration of about 5 mol % in the membrane. The PLQs were added to a pre-equilibrated bilayer by increasing the lateral dimensions of the box by 1.2 nm and adding 69 PLQs to each leaflet using the insane script.46 The PLQs present in the Qₐ sites were modified to PLQols. The Qₐ site was left unoccupied.

Simulation details. The Martini force field version 2.2 (ref 37,38) in conjunction with the EiNDyn elastic network46 were used to model the interactions. The PLQ and PLQol parameters originate from ref. 21. The lipid parameters were taken from ref. 40 with the modification as described in ref. 17. GRAMACS version 4.5.5 (ref 41) was used to integrate the equations of motion with the common Martini settings in the Martini field42. The simulations were run in the isochorespheric (NpT) ensemble. Since T. vulcanus is a thermophile, the simulations were performed at 328 K, maintaining the thylakoid membrane in the fluid phase. The temperature was controlled using the V-rescale thermostat with a coupling constant of τᵥ = 0.5 ps (ref. 42). The pressure was semi-isotropically coupled to an external bath of ρ = 1 g/cm³ with a coupling constant of τₚ = 0.5 ps and a compressibility of γ = 3.0 bar⁻¹ using the Berendsen barostat.17 A shifted potential with a cutoff of 1.2 nm in conjunction with a dielectric constant of 15 was used to model the electrostatic interactions. The Van der Waals interactions were also calculated using a shifted potential, with a cut off of 1.2 nm and a switch at 0.9 nm.

The five replicate simulations had a length of 84.2, 92.1, 97.4, 93.6 and 106.5 μs, summing up to almost 0.5 ms. Trajectories were saved and analysed every 500 ps, the first 1 μs being discarded as equilibration time. In order to increase the chance of a PLQ docking to the Qₐ site, an adaptive MD simulation approach was used consisting of an iterative process in which new, relative short simulations are spawned from promising configurations. Such an adaptive approach has been shown to be efficient in observing rare events, such as the binding of a ligand to its binding pocket.42 Here, configurations were selected in which a PLQ was in a favourable condition to approach the bicarbonate ion. All together, we performed 41 independent short simulations totaling more than 50 μs simulation time. Details of the analysis, and additional simulations with excess PLQol, can be found in the Supporting Information (Supplementary Methods).46,47 Visual molecular dynamics (VMD) (ref. 46) and pymol (ref. 47) were used to generate the images.

Data availability. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the manuscript and its supplementary files or are available from the corresponding author on request.
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