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Abstract

This informal document was motivated by a question here at my university by a bachelor student. I will try to expose something that personally I think is impressive. The aim is to do it in such a way that is understandable with a basic knowledge of algebra.

We will examine without any rigor the "generalization" in some sense of the concept of "factorization", not just in the integers \(\mathbb{Z}\) but in some "generalized" integers from number fields of the form \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})\) for \(n > 0\) which are called imaginary quadratic number fields. The problems in these new rings that will arise will give us interesting mathematics.
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First of all we will assume that the rings that we will use here are commutative and they will have a multiplicative neutral element 1.

We begin with basics, most of the people here are familiar with the ring of integers:

\[ \mathbb{Z} = \{ \ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \} \]

We know that in \( \mathbb{Z} \) are prime numbers and composite numbers.

Then we have the field \( \mathbb{Q} \) with all the "fractions" in it gotten from numbers of \( \mathbb{Z} \). In \( \mathbb{Q} \) numbers like \( \pi, e \) are missing. There are just numbers that can be represented as \( \frac{a}{b} \) such that \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \).

The most usual ring of integers is of course \( \mathbb{Z} \), but we can add a new algebraic element in it and experiment with this new space. For example if we add the root of a polynomial which is not rational (an algebraic number); and then we calculate all the possible combinations of it with the field \( \mathbb{Q} \), we will get a new field.

For example if we adjoin one of the roots of \( x^2 + 5 \) which is \( \sqrt{-5} = i\sqrt{5} \) where \( i \) is the imaginary unit we get the imaginary quadratic field:

\[ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) = \{ a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \} \quad (1) \]

This space is like the usual \( \mathbb{Q} \), but we added a new element not in \( \mathbb{Q} \) (the algebraic number \( \sqrt{-5} \)). Is easy to see that every nonzero element there has inverse and that the product of two elements also belongs to \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \).

Take \( a + b\sqrt{-5}, c + d\sqrt{-5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \) then the product of both numbers is given by \( ac - 5bd + (ad + bc)\sqrt{-5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \) and the inverse of \( a + b\sqrt{-5} \) is given by:

\[
\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{-5}} = \frac{a}{a^2 + 5b^2} + \frac{-b\sqrt{-5}}{a^2 + 5b^2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})
\]

So, \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \) is a well defined field, just as \( \mathbb{Q} \).

The ring of integers which will be the equivalent to \( \mathbb{Z} \) in \( \mathbb{Q} \), but now for \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \) is:

\[ \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{ a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \} \quad (2) \]

Is well known that the usual ring of integers \( \mathbb{Z} \) is a Unique Factorization Domain, this means that any number can be factored in prime numbers of \( \mathbb{Z} \) in a unique way.

2
For a general number field (extensions of \( \mathbb{Q} \) by other algebraic elements like our example) this is not always true, in our example \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) is not a unique factorization domain.

Example:

\[
6 = 3 \cdot 2 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})
\]

(3)

So we will see what is happening here, but first we will need some theory, I begin with the essential, and finish with the deep theory.

0.1 Rings

We know that a general ring has two operations \( \langle R, +, \cdot \rangle \) where with the addition \( \langle R, + \rangle \) all elements have an additive inverse, a neutral element and here particularly is commutative. Also it has a product \( \langle R, \cdot \rangle \) which interacts via the law of distributivity with the addition. Here in \( R \) not necessary every element is invertible.

The common example is again \( \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot \rangle \) because the multiplicative inverse of the nonzero \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) is an integer \( a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( a \cdot a^{-1} = 1 \), such number does not exist in \( \mathbb{Z} \) unless \( a = \pm 1 \) because there is no \( \frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{Z} \) for \( a \neq \pm 1 \). For the addition for each integer \( a \) there is always a ”negative” \( -a \) such that under + it gives us 0, this means \( a + (-a) = 0 \) (neutral element).

0.2 Ideals of a Ring

A ring \( \langle R, +, \cdot \rangle \) is an algebraic structure that has some subalgebraic structures called ideals. If \( I \subset R \) is an ideal then their elements form a group under +, namely \( \langle I, + \rangle \leq \langle R, + \rangle \) and for \( a \in I \) multiplication by every element \( r \in R \), namely \( a \cdot r \in I \), that is \( aI = I \).

For example in \( \mathbb{Z} \) consider the ”multiples of n” there are the ideals and we denote them as \( n\mathbb{Z} := \{n \cdot a : a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \).

The reason that \( n\mathbb{Z} \) is closed under addition is rather obvious as \( a, b \in n\mathbb{Z} \) implies that \( a + b = n\alpha + n\beta = n(\alpha + \beta) \in n\mathbb{Z} \) for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \). The other property of the ideal is also obvious as for \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( a \in n\mathbb{Z} \) we have that \( ra = nar \in n\mathbb{Z} \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Said this, these ideals \( I \subset R \) behave more-less like a vector space over \( R \) (an \( R \)-module), as \( rI = I \) for all \( r \in R \), just like in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) which can be seen as a \( \mathbb{R} \)-vector space, as any element \( v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), can be multiplied by an \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \).
such that \( \alpha v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Note that if \( 1 \in I \) then \( I = R \), so much more interesting examples arise when the ideal is not the ring, which is our case.

So now, we said that the ideals \( I \subset R \) behave "like a vector space", well then we can think in a "basis" of them, which means, a set of elements that generate the ideal. This is denoted by \( I = \langle a, b \rangle \) If you just need 1 element we call the ideal principal, that is \( I = \langle a \rangle = aR \) for some \( a \in R \).

In \( \mathbb{Z} \) all ideals are principal.

So, now \( \mathbb{Z} \) is starting to be boring, because an ideal of \( \mathbb{Z} \) given by \( \langle m, n \rangle \) consists in all the linear combinations \( xm + yn \) and is generated by one element.

More precisely \( \langle m, n \rangle = \langle \gcd(m, n) \rangle = \gcd(m, n) \mathbb{Z} \).

The proof that every ideal in \( \mathbb{Z} \) is principal can be seen here for the curious [proofwiki.org/wiki/Ring_of_Integers_is_Principal_Ideal_Domain](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Ring_of_Integers_is_Principal_Ideal_Domain).

Returning to our example with the ring of integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) of the field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \), there are ideals, like \( I = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \) that are not principal.

Principal ideals play a crucial role in unique factorization of \( R \) as we will see in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1:**

Let \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) be an algebraic number field and \( R \) its ring of integers, then:

All ideals of \( R \) are principal if and only if \( R \) has unique factorization for its elements.

We will prove in the next section that \( I = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \) is not principal and hence, using the latter theorem \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) is not a unique factorization domain. We already knew that it was not a factorization domain as \( 6 \) as an element of \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) has two different non trivial factorizations.

The next section can be skipped as it has more technicalities, but is just to fill a big hole that a curious person may find in this informal text.

### 0.3 Proving that an ideal of a ring of integers is not principal

Recall that this is informal, so I will give the essential ideas.

The basic tool to factorize in the ring of integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] \) (where \( D \) is non
square) is the following:

**Definition:** The norm of a quadratic field of the form \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \) for a non-square \( D \) is given by the function \( N : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \to \mathbb{Q} \) such that:

\[
N(a + b\sqrt{D}) = (a + b\sqrt{D})(a - b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - Db^2
\]

The following property is the key of why the norm is important to factorize when applied to elements \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] \).

**Proposition 2:** Let \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \) then if \( N \) is its associated norm then \( N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta) \).

Now using this proposition the next theorem tells us information about the "dimension" of the ideal of a number field in general, i.e. the number of generators of the ideal.

**Theorem 3:** Let \( R \) be a ring of integers of a number field \( K \), consider \( z \in R \) and the principal ideal generated by \( z \), namely \((z) = zR\) then \( N(z) = \#R/(z) \)

With this theorem 3 now we can prove something about the factorization of the integers in \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \) when we combine it with theorem 2. So here \( R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) and \( N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2 \).

We want to prove that \( I = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \) is not principal. So using the previous theorem this reduces to prove that \( \#R/I \neq N(z) \) for all \( z \in R \). This is easy as \( R/(3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) then \( \#R/(3) = 9 \) and this implies that for the quotient with the bigger ideal \( I \) we have \( \#R/I = 3 \), then we would like an element \( a + b\sqrt{-5} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) with norm 3, which means we want an integer solution to \( a^2 + 5b^2 = 3 \) which is impossible. and then \( I \) cannot be principal.

### 0.4 Operations with ideals of a ring

Consider now set of all ideals \( I \subseteq R \) (counting the trivial ideal \( R \)), call them \( \mathfrak{I} \), now if you take \( I, J \in \mathfrak{I} \) there is a way of multiply them \( K = I \otimes J \) in such a way that \( K \in \mathfrak{I} \), that is, \( K \) is also an ideal of \( \langle R, +, \cdot \rangle \).

We define

\[
I \otimes J := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot b_i : a_i \in I, b_i \in J \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}
\]

This new ideal \( I \otimes J \) is intuitively all the possible products \( ab \) that can be constructed for \( a \in I \) and \( b \in J \).
Example: Consider the ring of polynomials in 4 variables with complex coefficients $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]$ and consider the following ideals generated by different combinations of variables $I = (z, w), J = (x+z, y+w), K = (x+z, w)$. Then:

$IJ = (z(x+z), z(y+w), w(x+z), w(y+w)) = (z^2 + xz, zy + wy, wx + wz, wy + w^2)$

$IK = (xz + z^2, zw, xw + zw, w^2)$

Now we need to drop redundant ideals in $\mathcal{I}$ so we define an equivalence relation $\sim$ in the ideals of $\mathcal{I}$, namely $I \sim J$ if there are $a, b \in R$ such that $aI = bJ$. So here, ideals which are related by $\sim$ are denoted as $\begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix}$. If you choose a representative of $\begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix}$ then $\begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} IJ \end{bmatrix}$ is well defined and commutative.

So here we have a new structure $\mathcal{I}/\sim$ which has multiplicative structure with the ideals.

0.5 Extending the ideals to fractional ideals

Here comes an interesting part, we are limiting ourselves to rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ for a non square $D$ which are the integers of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

Now we would like to define for an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ another ideal $I^{-1}$ which we will call fractional Ideal, that will give us an "identity", the identity will be the boring ideal we mentioned before, which is the whole $R$. So we are trying to find a good structure for $I^{-1}$ such that $I \oplus I^{-1} = R$.

This $I^{-1}$ will depend now in the elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, as we need some "fractions", to "clear" denominators and get the $1 \in I \otimes I^{-1}$ which makes an ideal trivial, i.e. it makes it $R$.

$I^{-1} := \{ z \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) : z \cdot I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] \}$

This is exactly as we need, as the $z$ are the "fractions" in the fields where multiplied by $I$ stays in the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$. Then we have that $I \otimes I^{-1} = R$.

This fractional ideals are not exactly ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ as they are not elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$, they are $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$-submodules of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ but this
is a matter of terminology.

A big remark is that for a general integer ring $R$ is not always possible to invert the ideals, but in our world of number fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ where $\alpha$ is an algebraic integer, the ideals of the corresponding integer ring are always invertible.

Here we are studying **Dedekind Rings** which means that every ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ can be factored by prime ideals using the multiplication $\otimes$. This means that it is always possible to find a **unique finite set** of prime ideals \( \{P_i\} \) of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ such that $I = \bigotimes P_i$.

### 0.6 Class group of a ring of integers

The final object is built from the multiplicative structure given before, namely by all the ideals $I \subseteq R$ with the equivalence relation $\sim$, and with multiplication of ideals $\otimes$ namely $\langle I/\sim, \otimes \rangle$.

Now extend $I/\sim$ to all the fractional its ideals (we can do this as we are supposing that $R$ is a Dedekind domain), call this extension to fractional ideals $\mathfrak{F}$.

Consider now the same equivalence classes of $\mathfrak{F}$ using $\sim$ defined in the previous section.

We define the Class group of $R$ by

$$ Cl(R) := \langle \mathfrak{F}/\sim, \otimes \rangle \quad (5) $$

**Theorem 4**: Let $R$ be a ring of integers of a number field $K$ then $\# Cl(R) = 1$ if and only if $R$ has unique factorization

### 0.7 Weird and impressive facts about $\text{Cl}(R)$ and conclusion

The class group $\text{Cl}(R)$ as the theorem 4 says, has only 1 element then the ring $R$ has unique factorization in its elements (not only on ideals), so it measures in some way how complicated the factorization behaves in a ring $R$.

A Weird and impressive thing is that for rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-n}]$ there is only a finite number of values for $n$ where $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-n}]$ has Unique factorization of its elements (not only in on the ideals). This is the same as saying
that \( \#Cl(\mathbb{Z}[^{-}\sqrt{n}]) = 1 \) by theorem 4. The numbers for \( n \) such that we get Unique factorization are: \( \{1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\} \).

These weird numbers that generate Unique factorization in their associated imaginary quadratic fields are called Heegner numbers, and our example \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \) as we saw does not have Unique factorization as 5 is not a Heegner number, and in fact \( \#Cl(\mathbb{Z}[^{-}\sqrt{5}]) = 2 \).

These Heegner numbers also are related to something that could be a mathematical coincidence but, it isn’t, and is the fact that the numbers \( e^{\pi \sqrt{43}} \), \( e^{\pi \sqrt{67}} \), \( e^{\pi \sqrt{163}} \) are ”almost an integer”:

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{\pi \sqrt{43}} & \approx 884736743.99977466 \\
e^{\pi \sqrt{67}} & \approx 147197952743.999998662454 \\
e^{\pi \sqrt{163}} & \approx 262537412640768743.9999999999925007
\end{align*}
\]

This is not a coincidence but proving it, requires a little more analytic theory and the theory of Eisenstein series, but I hope this move your mind to be more interested in algebraic number theory.