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SUMMARY

rDNA repeats constitute the most heavily transcribed region in the human genome. Tumors frequently display elevated levels of recombination in rDNA, indicating that the repeats are a liability to the genomic integrity of a cell. However, little is known about how cells deal with DNA double-stranded breaks in rDNA. Using selective endonucleases, we show that human cells are highly sensitive to breaks in 45S but not the 5S rDNA repeats. We find that homologous recombination inhibits repair of breaks in 45S rDNA, and this results in repeat loss. We identify the structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 5 (SMC5) as contributing to recombination-mediated repair of rDNA breaks. Together, our data demonstrate that SMC5-mediated recombination can lead to error-prone repair of 45S rDNA repeats, resulting in their loss and thereby reducing cellular viability.

INTRODUCTION

In order to maintain genomic stability, cells contain a variety of quality control systems that ensure accurate transmission of their genome during cell division. In addition, cells can detect damage to the genome and promote repair or cell death (Hoeijmakers, 2009; Jackson and Bartek, 2009). DNA double-stranded breaks (DSBs) severely threaten genomic stability. Cells are protected from breaks by the DNA damage response, a signaling cascade activating the DNA damage checkpoints and responsible for the initiation of DNA repair. DSBs can be repaired through direct ligation of broken DNA ends in a process called non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and through homologous recombination (HR). The latter process requires an undamaged homologous sequence—usually, the sister chromatid (Warmerdam and Kanaar, 2010). Unlike NHEJ, HR-mediated repair is thought to be an error-free process.

rDNA is the largest protein-coding repeat in the genome. In *S. cerevisiae*, loss of active repeats enhances genome instability, resulting in reduced proliferation and accelerated aging (Ide et al., 2010; Kobayashi, 2006, 2014), underlining the importance of maintaining rDNA stability for genomic integrity and cellular viability (Kobayashi et al., 2004). A recent report indicated that breaks in the 45S repeat are predominantly repaired through NHEJ (Harding et al., 2015). However, HR-mediated repair is also involved in the restoration of breaks in the rDNA (Torres-Rosell et al., 2005b, 2007; van Sluis and McStay, 2015). Moreover, the rDNA is a recombinational hotspot in cancer, and translocations involving the rDNA are one of the most commonly observed chromosomal alterations in solid tumors (Stults et al., 2009). In yeast, the inhibition of DNA resection inhibits rDNA recombination (Hoang et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2012), and, in humans, DNA breaks in highly transcribed regions are more likely to become repaired through HR (Aymard et al., 2014). This would suggest that recombination-mediated repair could facilitate repair in the human rDNA locus. However, the repetitive nature of the rDNA and its distribution over multiple chromosomes make recombination-mediated repair of broken rDNA potentially error prone. Here, we investigate how human cells cope with breaks within the rDNA repeats. Interestingly, cells are highly sensitive to breaks in 45S repeats as compared to breaks in the 5S rDNA repeats. We found that HR perturbs the repair of breaks in the 45S rDNA and produces a reduction in the number of repeats, combined with reduced cellular viability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Targeting the 45S rDNA Repeats

To investigate how human cells deal with breaks in rDNA repeats, we made use of the I-PpoI nuclease that cuts a rare sequence present in the 45S rDNA locus of human cells (Figure 1A), next to approximately 30 sites present elsewhere in the human genome (Berkovich et al., 2007; Monnat et al., 1999). Using a switchable system in which I-PpoI was fused to the FKBP destabilization domain and placed under the response of a tetracyclin-inducible promoter, we can turn I-PpoI activity on and off through the addition or removal of tetracyclin and shield-1 (Figure 1B), allowing us to generate a limited amount of breaks and follow their repair. We optimized I-PpoI induction in U2OS cells to produce levels of H2AX phosphorylation ($\gamma$H2AX), a well-characterized marker for DSBs, comparable to 2 Gy of ionizing radiation (IR) (Figures 1C, S1A, and S1B).
Next, we examined checkpoint activation upon induction of breaks in the rDNA. Activation of I-Ppol-induced breaks in G2 cells resulted in an 80% reduction in mitotic entry, compared to undamaged cells (Figures 1D and S1C). In contrast, breaks generated through 5 and 10 Gy IR resulted in a 40% and 75% reduction in mitotic entry, indicating that breaks in the rDNA lead to a more prominent G2 arrest. We found similar results in retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells (Figure S1D). As expected, I-Ppol-induced G2 checkpoint activation was largely dependent on the DNA damage checkpoint kinase ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) (Figures S1E–S1G). In addition, colony outgrowth in U2OS and RPE cells was severely impaired by I-Ppol-induced breaks, and also when compared to breaks generated through IR, indicating that cells do not easily cope with damage in the rDNA repeats (Figures 1E and S1H).

Breaks in the 45S rDNA Transiently Inhibit rDNA Transcription
rDNA repeats are clustered in nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) (Richard et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 1995) in the nucleolus, a specialized compartment within the nucleus that enables the transcription and subsequent production of the ribosome. Polymerase-I-dependent transcription of the 45S repeats in active NORs produces a 45S pre-rRNA that is processed to 28S, 5.8S, and 18S transcripts. The 5S repeat is transcribed by polymerase III, producing the 5S rRNA. Combined, these four transcripts are part of the 60S (28S, 5.8S, and 5S) and 40S (18S) ribosomal subunits (Tschochner and Hurt, 2003). Besides harboring the rDNA, the nucleolus also acts as a central hub for signaling pathways that are important for cell proliferation and genomic integrity (Boisvert et al., 2007; Hein et al., 2013). When analyzing 45S pre-RNA and 18S transcript levels following rDNA breaks, we did not find a reduction in the abundance of these transcripts 2 and 24 hr after I-Ppol induction (Figure S2A). Treatment of cells with 5 Gy IR also did not reduce RNA levels, whereas inhibition of polymerase I using actinomycin D did result in a transient reduction in 45S pre-RNA transcript levels. I-Ppol induction did produce a transient inhibition in rDNA transcription, as determined by incorporation of 5-ethyluridine in the nucleoli (Figures S2B and S2C). Inhibition of rDNA transcription was dependent on ATM (Harding et al., 2015; Kruhlak et al., 2007; van Sluis and McStay, 2015) (Figures S2B and S2C), and inhibition of rDNA transcription is known to lead to a re-localization of nucleolar components to the nucleoplasm (Burger et al., 2010). Indeed, we found that nucleolin and nucleophosmin transiently translocate out of the nucleoli after I-Ppol induction (Figures S2D–S2F) in an ATM-dependent manner (Figure S2B), consistent with previous findings (Harding et al., 2015). Thus, we established a tunable rDNA break system that transiently inhibits rDNA transcription but does not seem to impair 45S transcript levels.

Cells Are Less Sensitive to Breaks in the 5S Than in the 45S rDNA Repeat
The rDNA is located on the 45S and 5S loci. The 45S locus consists of approximately 300 repeats distributed over the five acrocentric chromosomes. The 5S locus contains a similar amount of repeats uniquely located on chromosome 1 (Gibbons et al., 2015). To investigate whether cells respond similarly to breaks in the 45S and 5S rDNA repeat, we used CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas9 (Cong et al., 2013; Mali et al., 2013), in combination with guides targeting a sequence in either the 45S or 5S rDNA (Figures 1A and S1J). Breaks introduced in the rDNA of RPE cells result in recruitment of 53BP1, a known DNA damage response protein, into large foci that localize close to the nucleolar periphery (Figure 1F), consistent with recent evidence that damaged rDNA relocates to the nucleolar periphery (Harding et al., 2015; van Sluis and McStay, 2015). We observed 53BP1 foci at the nucleolar periphery after the induction of Cas9 with either of the two rDNA targeting guides in 60% of the cells, whereas only 5% of these cells were observed in the control (Figure 1O), indicating that the 5S and 45S guides specifically generate breaks in the rDNA. Indeed, using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) with an antibody directed against γH2AX, we could show that activation of Cas9 produces a clear enrichment of γH2AX at the designated target location only (Figure 1H). Interestingly, the increase in H2AX phosphorylation appears to be greater at the 5S repeats, compared to the 45S repeats.

Figure 1. Cells Are More Sensitive toward Breaks in the 45S than in the 5S rDNA Repeat
(A) Graphical illustration of 45S and 5S rDNA repeats, with the location of the I-Ppol and CRISPR target sites and primers used.
(B) U2OS cells stably expressing FKBP-HA-I-Ppol were synchronized by thymidine and released in normal medium for 8 hr in the presence of tetracyclin (Tet) and shield-1 (Shield) for the last 1 hr. Cells were washed and lysed after 1 or 24 hr and analyzed by western blot with the indicated antibodies.
(C) U2OS I-Ppol cells were synchronized in G2 and damaged using I-Ppol, 2 Gy IR, or 5 Gy IR. After 1 hr, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence. The average γH2AX intensity per nucleus was determined in at least 250 cells. Error bars represent SD.
(D) Same as in (C), but cells were damaged using I-Ppol, 5 Gy IR, or 10 Gy IR. After 1 hr, cells were washed, and medium-containing nocodazole was added. Cells were collected after 2 hr, fixed and stained for MPM2 and propidium iodide (PI), and analyzed by flow cytometry. The undamaged control was normalized to 100%. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiments.
(E) Colony survival of U2OS I-Ppol cells damaged with I-Ppol, 2 Gy IR, or 5 Gy IR. Error bars represent 95% confidence interval (C).
(F) RPE cells expressing Cas9 were infected with CRISPR guides targeting the 5S or 45S rDNA. After 24 hr, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence and stained against 53BP1.
(G) Quantification of (F). Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiments. EV, empty vector.
(H) Same as in (F), but after 24 hr, cells were lysed. ChIP for γH2AX was performed, followed by qPCR with the indicated primers. Error bars represent SD of three technical replicates.
(I) RPE cells expressing Cas9 and mCherry-53BP1 were followed directly after infection with the guide sequences using live-cell imaging. For 5S and 28S, cells containing 53BP1 foci were followed, and entry into mitosis was determined over time. At least 100 cells were counted for each condition.
(J) Same as in (I), but 24 hr after transfection, nocodazole was added for an additional 24 hr. Cells were fixed and stained for MPM2 and PI. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiments.
Using time-lapse microscopy, we observed that breaks in 5S resulted in an 8-hr delay in mitotic entry (Figure 1I), whereas only a very small percentage of cells recovered after targeting of the 45S rDNA repeats. Trapping cells in mitosis by the addition of nocodazole confirmed that breaks in the 45S rDNA induce a much stronger G2 arrest than those in the 5S rDNA (Figure 1J). Cas9 activity was required to induce breaks, since expression of the guides in combination with a nuclease-deficient Cas9 did not arrest the cells (Figures 1J and S1J). Together, these results indicate that human cells are more sensitive to DSBs in the 45S than in the 5S rDNA repeat.

**Loss of 45S rDNA Repeats after Damage**

The distribution of the 45S rDNA repeats over multiple chromosomes could, of course, complicate recombination-mediated repair, resulting in an increase or decrease of repeats. Therefore, we investigated the abundance of repeats after the introduction of rDNA breaks. Upstream binding factor (UBF) binds the rDNA promoter region and localizes inside the nucleoli (Wright et al., 2006). After I-PpoI activation, UBF relocates toward the outside of the nucleoli co-localizing with the damage marker 53BP1 (van Sluis and McStay, 2015) (Figure 1J). UBF levels were clearly decreased at 24 hr after I-PpoI activation (Figure 2A), at a time when the majority of cells still contained breaks, as observed by the presence of 53BP1 foci. UBF levels were partly restored after 48 hr but remained lower than before the damage, also in cells without 53BP1 foci, indicating that the amount of rDNA was decreased after the completion of repair. Interestingly, cells that survived I-PpoI activation, indicated as RPE+1 (or U2OS+1), remained sensitive to I-PpoI-induced damage, as determined by colony outgrowth (Figure 2C), indicating that at least a subset of the I-PpoI target sites, and thus part of the rDNA repeats, were maintained. Also, UBF protein levels in the nucleus remained similar after I-PpoI activation (Figure S2G), whereas UBF levels at the nucleoli decreased in RPE+1 cells (Figure 2D). A reduction in UBF was also observed on the chromosomes of U2OS+1 cells when compared to the naive cell lines, suggesting that rDNA break survivors have a lower amount of rDNA repeats (Figure 2E). Indeed, compared to the undamaged control cells, RPE+1 cells display a large reduction in the abundance of 18S rDNA (Figure 2F). Combined, these results clearly indicate that rDNA repeats can be lost after damage, likely because of incorrect alignments between the rDNA repeats on sister chromatids or between the repeats on separate chromosomes.

**HR-Mediated Repair of 45S rDNA Breaks**

Besides the 45S rDNA, I-PpoI also cuts at a location in the DAB1 gene on chromosome 1 (Goldstein et al., 2013). Using PCR and primer pairs designed at opposite sides of the break (Figure 1A), we observed that, 2 hr after I-PpoI activation, both the 45S and DAB1 sites were broken (Figure 3A). However, within 24 hr, the DAB1 break site was repaired, whereas the 45S rDNA sites were still largely unrepaired. Similar results were obtained in both U2OS and RPE cells (Figure S3A), indicating that breaks in the 45S rDNA are not repaired efficiently. To further investigate...
Figure 3. Recombination Hampers Repair of 45S rDNA Breaks Causing Loss of Repeats

(A) Genomic DNA was extracted from G2-synchronized U2OS I-PpoI cells 2 and 24 hr after I-PpoI activation. PCR was conducted using primers located at the I-PpoI break site in the 45S and DAB1 loci, the rDNA transcriptional start site (TSS), and GAPDH.

(B) U2OS I-PpoI cells were synchronized in G2 and fixed for immunofluorescence after I-PpoI activation. Samples were stained with the indicated antibodies.

(C) Quantification of (B) showing the percentage of cells containing nucleolar γH2AX foci. Error bars represent SEM of three independent experiments.

(legend continued on next page)
rDNA break formation and repair, we determined the amount of cells with γH2AX foci close to the nucleoli at different time points after I-PpoI induction (Figures 3B, 3C, and S3B). The presence of γH2AX at the rDNA was confirmed by ChIP (Figure S1f). We observed that the intensity of γH2AX foci decreased over time (Figure S3C), but we found that a large fraction of the introduced rDNA breaks were not efficiently repaired, as determined by the persistence of γH2AX foci close to the nucleoli 24 hr after I-PpoI activation (Figures 3C and S3B). We confirmed that breaks in 45S are not repaired efficiently, by determining the residence time of 53BP1-mCherry foci at 5S, 45S, and IR-induced break sites (Figure S1L).

To investigate whether breaks in the 45S repeat can also be subject to recombination-mediated repair, we determined the recruitment of HR-related repair proteins, such as Rad51, RPA, BRCA1, and BRCA2 into I-PpoI-induced foci. We found that all of these are recruited to foci that overlap with γH2AX and localize at the nucleolar periphery after the induction of breaks in the rDNA (Figures 3D, 3E, and S3G), indicating that breaks in the rDNA can also form a substrate for homology-directed repair. We confirmed these results after generating breaks using the 5S and 28S CRISPR guides (Figure S1K). We found that Rad51, RPA, and BRCA1 all localize at the nucleolar periphery, together with γH2AX. Combined, these results suggest that HR is active at rDNA breaks.

**Resolution of 45S rDNA Breaks Is Hampered by HR**

HR-mediated repair of the rDNA repeats after incorrect alignment could result in gain or loss of repeats. Moreover, inter-chromosomal repair through HR could result in chromosomal translocations. To determine how classical end joining and recombination-mediated repair influenced the resolution of rDNA breaks, we inhibited either pathway through siRNA (small interfering RNA)-mediated depletion of 53BP1, XRCC5, BRCA1, or BRCA2 (Figure S3D). We determined γH2AX foci formation and resolution at 2 and 24 hr after I-PpoI induction (Figure 3F). Phosphorylation of H2AX was dependent on ATM, as expected (Figure S3E). Knockdown of any of the genes subject to recombination-mediated repair, we determined the amount of rDNA in cells that survived I-PpoI induction and loss of repeats after damage in the rDNA, we determined the activity of Artemis can trim them down to directly fusible ends (Ma et al., 2002; Riballo et al., 2004), or that resected ends form a substrate for repair through alternative NHEJ, which utilizes micro-homology (Sfeir and Symington, 2015). Indeed, proteins involved in micro-homology-mediated end joining localize to the nucleoli (Meder et al., 2005). However, it should also be considered that the DNA damage response in the nucleolus might be different from what we know happens elsewhere in the nucleus (Goulon et al., 2010).

To investigate whether recombination is responsible for the loss of repeats after damage in the rDNA, we determined the abundance of rDNA in cells that survived I-PpoI induction and were inhibited for either NHEJ or HR (Figure 3I). Depletion of BRCA1 and BRCA2 rescues the rDNA-break-induced reduction in rDNA, indicating that HR is, indeed, responsible for the loss of rDNA repeats during repair.

**Loss of SM5 Enhances Cell Viability after Breaks in the 45S rDNA**

In yeast cells, DSBs in the rDNA can be repaired through HR, and smc5 facilitates this process (Torres-Rosell et al., 2005b, 2007). Because SMC5 is conserved throughout evolution and has been implicated in DNA repair in higher eukaryotes (Jeppsson et al.,...
Figure 4. SMC5 Mediates Recombination-Mediated Repair of Breaks in the 45S rDNA

(A) RPE I-PpoI cells were synchronized in G2, damaged using I-PpoI, fixed for immunofluorescence, and stained with the indicated antibodies.

(B) U2OS I-PpoI cells were transfected with siRNAs against Luciferase (siLuc) or SMC5 (siSMC5). Cells were fixed for immunofluorescence and stained. The percentage of cells containing nucleolar BRCA1 and Rad51 foci was determined. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiments. ***p < 0.001.

(C) RPE I-PpoI cells were transfected with siRNAs against Luciferase or SMC5 and synchronized in G2. 36 hr after transfection I-PpoI was activated and cells were fixed for immunofluorescence at the indicated time points. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiments. ***p < 0.001.

(legend continued on next page)
we investigated the function of this protein in the repair of rDNA breaks in human cells (Potts et al., 2006). Interestingly, SMC5 relocates to the nucleoli after I-Ppol-induced breaks, suggesting that SMC5 is involved in the repair of rDNA breaks (Figure 4A). Consistent with a role in HR, we found a significant reduction in BRC1 and Rad51 recruitment to foci after loss of SMC5 (Figures 4B, 4C, 4S, and 4D). Also, loss of SMC5 resulted in enhanced resolution of γH2AX foci after I-Ppol activation, suggesting that SMC5 facilitates the repair of rDNA breaks through HR (Figure 4C; Figure S4E). The absence of SMC5 also resulted in more recovery (Figure 4D), indicating that SMC5-mediated repair blocks cell-cycle re-entry after rDNA breaks. Importantly, loss of SMC5 partially rescued the reduction in survival after I-Ppol activation (Figure 4E), in line with our observation that recombination-mediated loss of repeats reduces cell viability.

One shortcoming of the systems we used thus far is that they all result in the induction of many breaks in the rDNA repeats. To investigate whether rDNA breaks induced by IR are similarly difficult to resolve, we irradiated cells with a low dose of IR. Interestingly, we found that γH2AX foci that are in close proximity to the nucleoli appear to be more difficult to resolve, as evidenced by their persistence at later time points (Figure S4F). Loss of SMC5 reduced the persistence of the IR-induced nucleolar γH2AX foci, as compared to the control (Figures 4F, 4G, and S4F), indicating that SMC5 also plays a role in the repair of IR-induced rDNA breaks. Combined, these results identify SMC5 as an important modulator involved in the repair of broken rDNA. Interestingly, yeast without smc5 is genomically stable, and mutations in SMC5 are found in human cancers (Torres-Rosell et al., 2005a), suggesting that deregulation of SMC5 could be a cause of genomic instability in humans as well.

Taken together, we present an inducible rDNA break system that allows us to study how human cells respond to breaks in the rDNA. We show that, unlike breaks in the SS rDNA, breaks in the 45S rDNA are not repaired efficiently. Unresolved 45S rDNA breaks result in prolonged checkpoint activation and reduced cell viability. Breaks in the 45S rDNA are a substrate for recombination-mediated repair, and, as a result, cells lose repeats. We identify SMC5 as a specific regulator of HR-mediated rDNA repair, and we show that loss of recombination promotes more efficient repair of rDNA breaks, promotes maintenance of rDNA integrity, and enhances cell viability. Although HR is commonly thought to represent an error-free process, our data show that it can also be error prone. It will be interesting to investigate whether other repeats in our genome are also subject to error-prone recombination-mediated repair.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Immunofluorescence, flow cytometry, western blotting, and ChiP were performed as described previously (Medhurst et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2009; van Vuigt et al., 2004; Warmerdam et al., 2009). Cell culture and PCR were performed using standard methods. Detailed protocols can be found in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Plasmids, siRNAs, inhibitors, antibodies, and primer sequences used in this study are described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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