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ABSTRACT

Dupuytren disease is a fibrotic disorder characterized by contraction of myofibroblast-rich cords and nodules in the hands. The Hippo member Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP) is activated by tissue stiffness and the pro-fibrotic transforming growth factor-β1, but its role in cell fibrogenesis is yet unclear. We hypothesized that YAP regulates the differentiation of dermal fibroblasts into highly contractile myofibroblasts and that YAP governs the maintenance of a myofibroblast phenotype in primary Dupuytren cells. Knockdown of YAP in transforming growth factor-β1-stimulated dermal fibroblasts decreased the formation of contractile smooth muscle α-actin stress fibers and the deposition of collagen type I, which are hallmark features of myofibroblasts. Translating our findings to a clinically relevant model, we found that YAP deficiency in Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts resulted in decreased expression of ACTA2, COL1A1, and CCN2 mRNA, but this did not result in decreased protein levels. YAP-deficient Dupuytren myofibroblasts showed decreased contraction of a collagen hydrogel. Finally, we showed that YAP levels and nuclear localization were elevated in affected Dupuytren disease tissue compared with matched control tissue and partly co-localized with smooth muscle α-actin-positive cells. In conclusion, our data show that YAP is a regulator of myofibroblast differentiation and contributes to the maintenance of a synthetic and contractile phenotype, in both transforming growth factor-β1-induced myofibroblast differentiation and primary Dupuytren myofibroblasts.
INTRODUCTION

Dupuytren disease is a benign fibroproliferative disorder of the hands and fingers of unknown cause, characterized by the formation of myofibroblast-rich cords and nodules\textsuperscript{1,2}. Myofibroblasts are key cells in the pathophysiology of Dupuytren disease and a variety of other fibrotic disorders\textsuperscript{1-4}. Myofibroblasts synthesize excessive amounts of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins such as collagens, glycoproteins, and proteoglycans\textsuperscript{4-6}. Furthermore, myofibroblasts are characterized by the expression of high levels of smooth muscle (SM) α-actin, which enhances their ability to contract tissue\textsuperscript{7,8}. Myofibroblast contraction in Dupuytren disease results in severe contracture of the palmar fascia, with subsequent progressive flexion of the fingers and a substantial reduction in the quality of life\textsuperscript{1,2}. The most potent factor that activates myofibroblasts in fibrotic lesions is transforming growth factor (TGF)-β\textsuperscript{1,8-10}. TGF-β1 was shown to be up-regulated in affected Dupuytren tissue\textsuperscript{10,11}, but, up to now no feasible treatments to inhibit profibrotic signaling in this disease have been found\textsuperscript{2}.

TGF-β1 was shown to activate the transcriptional coactivator Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP), which binds to and promotes nuclear accumulation/activity of Smad1 and Smad3\textsuperscript{12,13}. As part of the Hippo signaling cascade, YAP was shown to govern organ size by influencing cell proliferation and cell death in both Drosophila and mammals\textsuperscript{14,15}. YAP activity is negatively regulated by the Hippo core kinase complex, and inactive Hippo signaling results in YAP activation and translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus. In the nucleus, active YAP associates with transcription factors and enhances their activity in regulating the expression of genes such as connective tissue growth factor and β2 integrin\textsuperscript{16}. Recent studies that used epithelial cells, mesenchymal stem cells, and cancer-associated fibroblasts showed that YAP activity and nuclear localization are also regulated by mechanical cues from the ECM such as increased tissue stiffness\textsuperscript{17-21}. Both high tissue stiffness and TGF-β1 are prerequisites for myofibroblast differentiation\textsuperscript{22}, which led us to propose a role for YAP in regulating fibrogenesis. It is currently not completely known whether and how YAP plays a role in myofibroblast differentiation in the context of TGF-β1 and the pathophysiology of fibrotic disorders such as Dupuytren disease.

Our results show that YAP is an important factor in TGF-β1-stimulated human dermal fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation in vitro and maintenance of a myofibroblast phenotype in diseased primary Dupuytren myofibroblasts.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Primary Dupuytren tissue collection
Dupuytren disease nodules were obtained from patients undergoing primary limited fasciectomy or dermofasciectomy, at the University Medical Center Groningen. Unaffected transverse ligaments of the palmar aponeurosis from the same patients were used as control tissue. Tissues were either snap frozen at -80°C for immunohistochemistry or directly used for the isolation of primary Dupuytren myofibroblasts. Informed written consent was obtained through approval of the local ethics committee (METc 2007/067), in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Cell Culture
Dupuytren nodules were minced with a sterile scalpel and incubated with 1 mg/mL collagenase and 0.1 mg/mL DNase in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) for 2 hours at 37°C. Digested tissue fragments were filtered through a 70-µm cell strainer, and the cell suspension was centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Pellets were resuspended in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium, containing 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (Lonza), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), and seeded in tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) culture ware. Subcultures were maintained until reaching 80% to 90% confluence, before starting experiments. Cells from all donors were used up to passage 4. Human dermal fibroblasts were purchased from ATCC (CCD-1093Sk; Wesel, Germany), and subcultures were maintained in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (Lonza) that contained 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (complete growth medium) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

Transfections and Treatments
For YAP localization experiments, 10,000 cells/cm² were seeded on fibronectin-coated polyacrylamide gels with a substrate elasticity of 4 kPa. For transfection and stimulation experiments, 15,000 cells/cm² were seeded on tissue culture polystyrene culture ware. Cells were transfected with endoribonuclease-prepared siRNA (esiRNA) against YAP (62.5 ng/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and esiRNA against a nonsense sequence (62.5 ng/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) was used as control. All transfections were performed with Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Life Technologies) in antibiotics free complete growth medium, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For all cell types, medium was refreshed 8 hours after transfection, and cells were starved for 16 hours in complete growth medium supplemented with 0.5% fetal bovine serum and 0.17 mmol/L vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate sesquimagnesium hydrate; Sigma-Aldrich). For the generation of myofibroblasts, dermal fibroblasts were stimulated with 10 ng/mL TGF-β1 (PeproTech EC Ltd, London, United Kingdom) in starvation medium for 3 or 7 days. For cell contraction assays, cells were stimulated with TGF-β1 in starvation medium for 3 days. Subsequently, 5000 cells/cm² were seeded on fibronectin-coated wrinkling silicone substrates, produced as described previously. Cells were allowed to wrinkle the substrate for 48 hours before analysis. Per experiment, we analyzed 12
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high-power fields and counted the total cell numbers and the numbers of wrinkled
cells with the use of ImageJ version 1.49a (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Primary Dupuytren
myofibroblasts revert to a quiescent state after several passages in vitro\textsuperscript{23}. To generate
an activating, profibrotic environment, Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts were
therefore stimulated with 10 ng/mL TGF-β1 (PeproTech) in starvation medium for 3 or
7 days. All cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 1. YAP activation during TGF-β1 induced myofibroblast differentiation of dermal fibroblasts.
(A) Representative images of immunofluorescence staining of YAP and nuclei (DAPI) on soft polyacrylamide
substrates (4 kPa) and tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) with or without TGF-β1 stimulation for 24 h
(400x magnification; scale bar = 50µm). (B) YAP1 mRNA expression after TGF-β1 stimulation on 4 kPa
polyacrylamide substrates and TCPS for 72 h (n=3, two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction * p<0.05).
(C) Immunoblot for YAP and YWHAZ in dermal fibroblasts cultured on TCPS after 72 h stimulation with
TGF-β1 (n=3). DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; kPa, kilo Pascal; TCPS, tissue culture polystyrene;
TGF-β1, transforming growth factor-β1; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/
tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.
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Collagen Contraction Assay
Three days after transfection, activated dermal fibroblasts and Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts were seeded in collagen gels with a final concentration of 2.4 mg/mL rat tail collagen type I (BD, San Jose, CA), 5.8 mmol/L NaOH, 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 20 mmol/L HEPES, 50% Eagle’s minimal essential medium complete growth medium, and 5% fetal bovine serum. Cells were seeded at a concentration of $2 \times 10^5$/mL gel. Cells were allowed to build up tension within the gels 3 days before detachment. At time point $t = 0$ minutes, gels were cut loose from the well and allowed to contract. Well plates were scanned at multiple time points on a flatbed scanner. Gel contraction was analyzed with ImageJ (NIH).

RNA Extraction and Real-Time PCR
For gene expression analysis, total RNA was isolated with the Tissue Total RNA mini kit (Favorgen Biotech Corp., Taiwan), and RNA quantity and purity were determined with UV spectrophotometry (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed with the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific). Real-time PCR was performed with SYBR green PCR master mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and a VIIA7 thermal cycling system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). The thermal cycling conditions were 2 minutes at 95°C (enzyme activation), followed by 15 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C (40 cycles). Melting curve analysis was performed to verify the absence of primer dimers. Used primer sequences (forward and reverse, respectively) were as follows: ACTA2, 5’-CTGTTCCAGCCATCCTTCAT-3’ and 5’-TCATGATGCTGTGTAGGTGGT-3’; COL1A1, 5’-GCCTCAAGGTATTGCTGGAC-3’ and 5’-ACCTTGTTTGCCAGGTTAC-3’; CCN2, 5’-AGCTGACCTGGAAGAGAACATT-3’ and 5’-GCTCGGTATGTCTTCATGCTG-3’; YAP1, 5’-AATCCCCACTCCCGACAGG-3’ and 5’-GACTACTCCAGTGGGGCTCA-3’; YHWAZ, 5’-GATCCCCAATGCTTCACAAG-3’ and 5’-TGCTTGTGTAGCTGATCGAC-3’. mRNA expression levels of genes from the collagen biosynthesis and remodeling pathway were analyzed with a custom made microfluidic card-based low-density array (Applied Biosystems) and a VIIA7 thermal cycling system, as described previously24. For each gene, fluorescent intensity was related to the fluorescent intensity of the reference gene tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, ζ polypeptide, which proved to be most stable out of a selection of eight reference genes. Primers were designed and optimized to have a calculated 95% to 105% reaction efficiency.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy
For immunofluorescence of YAP, vinculin, and F-actin, cells were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes. For immunofluorescence of SM α-actin and collagen type I, cells were washed twice in PBS and fixed with ice-cold methanol/acetone (1:1) for 10 minutes. Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes. Primary mouse anti-human SM α-actin (dilution 1:100; M0851; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), mouse anti-human collagen type I (dilution 1:1000; ab90395; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), mouse anti-human vinculin (dilution 1:1000; V9131; Sigma-Aldrich), and
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rabbit anti-human YAP (dilution 1:100; sc-15407; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) were diluted in PBS that contained 2.2% bovine serum albumin (Sanquin reagents, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (dilution 1:100; E0432; Dako), biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG2a (dilution 1:100; 1081-08; Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL), and streptavidine cyanine-3 (dilution 1:400; Southern Biotech) were used for fluorescent signals. Tetramethylrhodamine (TRITC) labeled phalloidin (dilution 1:5000; P1951; Sigma-Aldrich) was used to label cytoskeletal F-actin. Nuclei were visualized with DAPI (dilution 1:5000). All wash steps were performed in PBS that contained 0.05% Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich). Microphotographs were acquired in a random blind fashion (B.P.) with the use of a Leica DMRA microscope (Leica Microsystems, Rijswijk, The Netherlands), a Tissuefax microscopy system (TissueGnostics GmbH, Vienna, Austria), or a Leica TCS SP8 confocal system (Leica Microsystems).

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific) supplemented with 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and lysates were disrupted with sonication. Protein concentrations were determined with a DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and equal amounts of protein per lane were subjected to SDS gel electrophoresis. Protein transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane was performed with the semidy Transblot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). For dot blot analysis, per sample 1.5 µg of protein was spotted on a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were dried for 30 minutes and subsequently used for immunodetection. Membranes were blocked in Tris buffered saline (TBS) + 0.5% Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich) that contained 5% milk powder. Primary mouse anti-human SM α-actin (dilution 1:500; M0851; Dako), rabbit anti-human β-actin (dilution 1:1000; ab8227; Abcam), rabbit anti-human collagen type I (dilution 1:1000; ab93095; Abcam), rabbit anti-human glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (dilution 1:1000; ab9482; Abcam), rabbit anti-human YAP (dilution 1:250; sc-15407; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-human tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, ζ polypeptide (dilution 1:1000; ab51129; Abcam) were diluted in TBS-Tween20. All washing steps were performed in TBS-Tween20. Protein bands were visualized with chemiluminescence and a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad). Image analysis was performed with ImageJ (NIH).

**Immunohistochemistry on Dupuytren Disease Tissue**

Cryosections (5 µm) were dried under a fan and subsequently fixed in acetone for 10 minutes at -20°C. Sections were blocked in 10% goat serum (First Link Ltd, Wolverhampton, United Kingdom) and subsequently incubated with primary rabbit anti-human YAP (dilution 1:100; GTX-129151; Genetex, Irvine, CA), and mouse anti-human SM α-actin (dilution 1:100; M0851; Dako) in TBS that contained 2.2% bovine serum albumin. Secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP (dilution 1:100; P0448; Dako) and biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG2a (dilution 1:100; 1081-08; Southern Biotech) were diluted in TBS that contained 2% human serum. Alkaline phosphatase-labeled streptavidin (dilution 1:500; 7100-04; Southern Biotech) was diluted in TBS.
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3,3’-Diaminobenzidine (ImmPACT DAB; Vector Labs, Burlingham, CA) and Vector Red (Vector Labs) substrates were used for chromogenic development. Nuclei were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich). Microphotographs were acquired and analyzed with a NuanceFX spectral imaging system (Caliper LS, Hopkinton, MA).

Confocal Analysis of Dupuytren Disease Tissue
Cryosections (5 µm) were dried and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes, blocked in 5% goat and 5% donkey serum (First Link Ltd), and subsequently incubated with primary rabbit anti-human YAP (dilution 1:100; GTX-129151; Genetex), and mouse anti-human SM α-actin (dilution 1:100; M0851; Dako) diluted in PBS that contains 2.2% bovine serum albumin. Secondary biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (dilution 1:100; E0432; Dako) and donkey anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 647 (dilution 1:100; A31571; Life Technologies) were diluted in PBS that contained 2% human serum. Cyanine-3-labeled streptavidin (dilution 1:500; Southern Biotech) was diluted in PBS + DAPI (dilution 1:5000). Autofluorescence was blocked with a 1 mg/mL solution of Sudan Black B (199664; Sigma-Aldrich) in 70% ethanol. Fluorescent images and z-stacks were obtained with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal system (Leica Microsystems), and image files were analyzed and processed with Fiji software version 1.49v (NIH). 25

Statistical Analysis
All data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism version 5.03 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

Figure 2. YAP knock down during myofibroblast differentiation cultured on TCPS. (A) Representative immunofluorescence photomicrographs of YAP staining in dermal fibroblasts treated with nonsense or YAP esiRNA (400x magnification; scale bar = 100µm). (B) ACTA2 mRNA expression after TGF-β1 stimulation for 72 h; data are represented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments (two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction). (C) Immunoblot for SMα-actin and YWHAZ in dermal fibroblasts after 72 h stimulation with TGF-β1 (n=3). (D) Quantification of SM α-actin immunoblot relative to YWHAZ (paired student’s t-test * p<0.05). (E) Representative immunofluorescence photomicrographs of SM α-actin (red) and DAPI (blue) staining in dermal fibroblasts after 72 h (200x magnification; scale bar = 100µm). (F, G) Wrinkling assay on dermal fibroblasts stimulated with TGF-β1 after 48 h; data represented as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (paired student’s t-test * p<0.05). The percentage of wrinkled cells was calculated over 12 high power fields (200x magnification) per experiment. (H, I) Collagen gel contraction assay. (H) Gels were photo scanned every 30 min up to 240 min (n=3, mean ± SEM, two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01). (I) Representative photo scans of collagen gels at time point t=240 min. (J) Representative immunofluorescence photomicrographs of F-actin (red) and DAPI (blue) staining in dermal fibroblasts (200x magnification; scale bar = 100µm). (K) Representative photomicrographs of vinculin (red) and DAPI (blue) immunofluorescence staining in dermal fibroblasts (200x magnification; scale bar = 25µm). ACTA2, actin, alpha 2; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; kD, kilo Dalton; SM α-actin, smooth muscle α-actin; TGF-β1, transforming growth factor-β1; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.
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**A** siControl vs siYAP1

**B** ACTA2 mRNA expression relative to YWHAZ

**C** siControl vs siYAP1

**D** SM α-actin fold difference

**E** siControl vs siYAP1

**F** % wrinkled cells

**G** siControl vs siYAP1

**H** Collagen gel contraction

**I** siControl vs siYAP1

**J** siControl vs siYAP1

**K** siControl vs siYAP1
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RESULTS

TGF-β1 Activates YAP in Dermal Fibroblasts

To determine whether YAP is involved in the differentiation of myofibroblasts, we first cultured human dermal fibroblasts on TCPS in the presence and absence of TGF-β1. We found that in unstimulated cells cultured on standard TCPS substrates, YAP1 was already activated as seen by a predominantly nuclear localization (Figure 1A) with no substantial change after treatment (data not shown). To verify if TGF-β1 could activate YAP in dermal fibroblasts, we cultured human dermal fibroblasts on 4 kPa soft fibronectin-coated hydrogels to create a condition with low YAP activity. YAP localized weakly in both cytoplasm and nucleus in fibroblasts cultured on soft hydrogels, but on TGF-β1 stimulation YAP translocated to the nucleus (Figure 1A). On both soft hydrogels and TCPS, YAP1 mRNA levels decreased on TGF-β1 stimulation for 24 hours, although YAP protein levels remained unchanged (Figure 1, B and C). These findings are consistent with a previous report that found reduced YAP1 mRNA levels on injury, whereas protein levels did not change26.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Mean expression sControl*</th>
<th>Mean expression sYAP1*</th>
<th>Fold difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen-l-lysine 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 1</td>
<td>PLOD1</td>
<td>0.3980</td>
<td>0.7569</td>
<td>1.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen-l-lysine 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 2</td>
<td>PLOD2</td>
<td>0.3676</td>
<td>0.5191</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen-l-lysine 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 3</td>
<td>PLOD3</td>
<td>0.2477</td>
<td>0.4415</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolyl 4-hydroxylase α polypeptide I</td>
<td>P4HA1</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>2.038</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolyl 4-hydroxylase α polypeptide II</td>
<td>P4HA2</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>1.9011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolyl 4-hydroxylase α polypeptide III</td>
<td>P4HA3</td>
<td>0.1253</td>
<td>0.2097</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolyl 4-hydroxylase beta polypeptide</td>
<td>P4HB</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>3.228</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine proline-enriched proteoglycan (leprecan) 1</td>
<td>LEPRE1</td>
<td>0.1986</td>
<td>0.2470</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leprecan-like 1</td>
<td>LEPRE1</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leprecan-like 2</td>
<td>LEPRE2</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyl oxidase</td>
<td>LOX</td>
<td>2.888</td>
<td>2.857</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyl oxidase-like 1</td>
<td>LOX1</td>
<td>0.3596</td>
<td>0.3988</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyl oxidase-like 2</td>
<td>LOX2</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyl oxidase-like 3</td>
<td>LOX3</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
<td>1.6111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyl oxidase-like 4</td>
<td>LOX4</td>
<td>0.0962</td>
<td>0.1207</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpin peptidase inhibitor HSP47</td>
<td>SERP1H1</td>
<td>2.213</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 2</td>
<td>ADATT2</td>
<td>0.5648</td>
<td>0.7577</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 3</td>
<td>ADATT3</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 14</td>
<td>ADATT14</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen C-endopeptidase enhancer</td>
<td>PGCLE</td>
<td>0.8288</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>1.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen C-endopeptidase enhancer 2</td>
<td>PGCLEC2</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKS05 binding protein 10 65 kDa</td>
<td>FKBP10</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solute carrier family 39 (zinc transporter) member 13</td>
<td>SLC3A13</td>
<td>0.1795</td>
<td>0.2419</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 1 (interstitial collagenase)</td>
<td>MMP13</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 13 (collagenase 3)</td>
<td>MMP13</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 14 (membrane-inserted)</td>
<td>MMP14</td>
<td>0.4899</td>
<td>0.8063</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 1</td>
<td>TIMP1</td>
<td>2.278</td>
<td>3.559</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathepsin K</td>
<td>CTSK</td>
<td>0.1503</td>
<td>0.2584</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mRNA expression of human dermal fibroblasts stimulated with TGF-b1 for 3 days. *mRNA expression relative to expression of YWHAZ (n = 3). †Fold-difference mRNA expression of siYAP1 compared with siControl. Data were analyzed with a two-way analysis of variance, with Bonferroni correction. P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. TGF, transforming growth factor; YAP1, Yes-associated protein 1; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.
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YAP Is Required for Myofibroblast Differentiation in Vitro

We performed esiRNA-mediated knockdown of YAP during TGF-β1-induced myofibroblast differentiation and verified YAP knockdown efficiency by immunofluorescence (Figure 2A). In unstimulated cells, YAP knockdown had no effect on the expression of ACTA2, the gene encoding the SM α-actin protein. Furthermore, on TGF-β1 stimulation, YAP-deficient cells did not show up-regulation of ACTA2 mRNA, whereas control cells showed a trend toward increased ACTA2 expression (Figure 2B). Interestingly, we did not find any differences in ACTA2 mRNA levels between cells cultured on 4 and 100-kPa polyacrylamide hydrogels and fibroblasts cultured on TCPS (Supplemental Figure S1). Because YAP is constitutively nuclear/active on TCPS, subsequent experiments into the effects of YAP deficiency during TGF-β1 stimulation were performed on TCPS. In this setting we found that SM α-actin protein up-regulation on 72 hours of TGF-β1 stimulation was significantly lower...
Figure 4. YAP knock down in Dupuytren's disease myofibroblasts cultured on TCPS. (A) mRNA expression of ACTA2, COL1A1, CCN2 and YAP1 in Dupuytren's disease myofibroblasts after culture in starvation medium for 7 days; data are represented as mean ± SEM from 4 donors (Wilcoxon matched pairs test, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01). (B, C) Immunoblot analysis of YAP, SM α-actin and YWHAZ in Dupuytren's disease myofibroblasts from 5 donors, cultured in starvation medium for 7 days. (C) Quantification of SM α-actin immunoblot relative to YWHAZ (Wilcoxon matched pairs test); lines show paired data for each donor. (D, E) Immunoblot analysis of YAP, SM α-actin and YWHAZ in Dupuytren's disease myofibroblasts from 5 donors, stimulated with TGF-β1 for 7 days. (E) Quantification of SM α-actin immunoblot relative to YWHAZ (Wilcoxon matched pairs test); lines show paired data for each donor. (F, G) Immunoblot analysis of collagen type I in Dupuytren's disease myofibroblasts from 5 donors, stimulated with TGF-β1 for 7 days. (G) Quantification of collagen type I immunoblot relative to GAPDH (Wilcoxon matched pairs test); lines show paired data for each donor. (H, I) Dupuytren's disease myofibroblast contraction in a 3D collagen gel; gels were photo scanned every 30 min up to 240 min (N=4, two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001). (I) Representative photo scans of collagen gels at time point t=240 min. The dashed lines indicate the well rim (outer line) and the gel edge (inner line). Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ACTA2, actin, alpha 2; COL1A1, collagen type 1, alpha 1; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; kD, kilo Dalton; SM α-actin, smooth muscle α-actin; TGF-β1, transforming growth factor-β1; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.
Figure 5. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining for YAP and SM α-actin in Dupuytren’s disease nodules and healthy control tissues. (A, B) Representative photomicrographs showing an overview of IHC staining for YAP (brown) and SM α-actin (magenta) on Dupuytren’s disease nodule cryo sections (magnification 100x). Nuclei are stained with hematoxylin (blue). (C-F) High magnification microphotographs of regions from A and B, showing heterogeneity of Dupuytren’s disease nodular tissue (magnification 400x). (G-J) High magnification microphotographs of IHC staining for YAP and SM α-actin on control tissue (magnification 400x). (K) Quantification of IHC YAP staining; data are represented as mean ± SEM (N=8, Wilcoxon matched pairs test, ** p<0.01). (L) Quantification of IHC SM α-actin staining; data are represented as mean ± SEM (N=8, Wilcoxon matched pairs test, ** p<0.01). (M) Quantification of YAP positive area within nuclear staining; data are represented as mean ± SEM (N=7, Wilcoxon matched pairs test, * p<0.05). DD, Dupuytren’s disease donor; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1.
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after YAP knockdown, as shown by immunoblotting (Figure 2, C and D), which was confirmed by immunofluorescence (Figure 2E). We also investigated if β-actin, another component of the actin cytoskeleton, was affected by YAP deficiency, but we did not observe any changes in the expression of β-actin (Figure 2C). Expression of SM α-actin was shown to increase fibroblast contraction\(^{22}\). To test the contraction potential of myofibroblasts after YAP knockdown, we performed a single cell wrinkling assay\(^{22}\). Transfected cells were stimulated with TGF-β1 for 3 days, seeded on fibronectin-coated silicone-based wrinkling substrates, and monitored for 2 days. Knockdown of YAP resulted in a decreased percentage of wrinkling/contracting cells (Figure 2, F and G). We further showed that YAP has a significant role in the myofibroblast-mediated contraction of three-dimensional collagen gels (Figure 2, H and I). Interestingly, we found no changes in the levels of F-actin and the focal adhesion component vinculin in either unstimulated or stimulated cells (Figure 2, J and K).

In addition to being highly contractile cells, myofibroblasts produce high amounts of collagenous ECM that contribute to the progression of fibrotic disorders. Thus, we investigated whether YAP is involved in collagen production by myofibroblasts. YAP-deficient cells showed increased mRNA levels of \(\text{COL1A1}\) after stimulation with TGF-β1 (Figure 3A). Again, we found no differences between fibroblasts cultured on 4 and 100 kPa polyacrylamide hydrogels and fibroblasts cultured on TCPS (Supplemental Figure S1). As expected, stimulation of control cells with TGF-β1 resulted in a marked increase in collagen type I deposition. Interestingly, this increase was attenuated in the absence of YAP, as seen by immunofluorescence (Figure 3B). These results were confirmed by dot blot analysis, which also showed significantly lower collagen type I levels in YAP-deficient cells compared with YAP-expressing cells (Figure 3, C and D). We next performed a gene expression analysis of genes involved in the collagen biosynthesis pathway, because an altered expression pattern in these genes could account for the impaired collagen type I deposition in YAP-deficient cells. We found that, of the 28 genes examined, 4 genes (\(\text{PLOD1}\), \(\text{P4HA2}\), \(\text{LOXL3}\), and \(\text{PCOLCE}\)) were significantly up-regulated in YAP-deficient cells, compared with controls, after stimulation with TGF-β1 (Table 1). However, up-regulation of these genes suggests an increase in the biosynthesis and remodeling of collagens, whereas the opposite was found for collagen type I. We did not see a down-regulation in any of the examined genes involved in collagen biosynthesis.

YAP Is Necessary for Maintenance of Dupuytren Disease Myofibroblasts

We next examined whether we could translate our findings to a more clinically relevant setting by studying YAP in primary human Dupuytren myofibroblasts and tissue. Dupuytren disease is a fibroproliferative disorder of the hands and fingers, characterized by excessive ECM synthesis and contracture of the palmar fascia by myofibroblasts. Dupuytren myofibroblasts quickly revert to a quiescent state when cultured in vitro\(^{23}\). However, at baseline, quiescent Dupuytren myofibroblasts from all donors showed higher levels of SM α-actin than unstimulated dermal fibroblasts (Supplemental Figure S2). Because the collagenous tissue in Dupuytren patients has increased stiffness\(^{1}\), we hypothesized that YAP activity may be increased in primary Dupuytren myofibroblasts. Interestingly, after 72 hours of culture on 4 kPa soft fibronectin-coated
Figure 6. Representative confocal photomicrographs of immunofluorescent stained Dupuytren’s nodule tissues. (A) Overview of nodule tissue from DD1, showing YAP, SM α-actin, and nuclei (DAPI) staining (magnification 630x). Orthogonal views show 40 z-stacks over 10 µm. (B) Detailed view of panel A, showing co-localization of YAP and nuclei, and perinuclear staining of SM α-actin. (C) Representative confocal photomicrographs of nodule tissues (N=8) showing YAP, SM α-actin, and DAPI staining in all 8 donors (magnification 630x). DD1-DD5 show high levels of YAP with both nuclear and cytoplasmic localization, and varying levels of SM α-actin. DD6 showed medium levels of YAP and high SM α-actin levels. DD7 showed high levels of cytoplasmic YAP with low nuclear localization and low levels of SM α-actin. DD8 showed very low levels of both YAP and SM α-actin. DD, Dupuytren’s disease donor; DAPI, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; SM α-actin, smooth muscle α-actin; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1.
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hydrogels YAP still localized primarily to the nucleus (Supplemental Figure S3). This suggests that, on compliant substrates, YAP remains active in quiescent Dupuytren myofibroblasts. YAP knockdown in quiescent Dupuytren myofibroblasts resulted in a decrease of mRNA levels of ACTA2, COL1A1, and CCN2 (Figure 4A). YAP knockdown did not change protein levels of SM α-actin in inactivated cells (Figure 4, B and C). We then investigated if we could show the effect of YAP deficiency in an activating environment by stimulation with TGF-β1. On TGF-β1 stimulation, SM α-actin levels were lower in YAP-deficient cells from four donors, but overall we found no statistical differences compared with control cells (Figure 4, D and E). Furthermore, we found no differences in collagen type 1 levels between YAP-deficient and control cells stimulated with TGF-β1 (Figure 4, F and G). We then tested if Dupuytren myofibroblasts require YAP for their contractile phenotype. Indeed, YAP deficiency resulted in decreased contraction of three-dimensional collagen gels compared with gels populated with YAP-expressing cells (Figure 4, H and I).

Dupuytren Myofibroblasts Show Increased YAP Expression

To verify if YAP is involved in the pathology of Dupuytren disease, we performed double immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence staining for YAP and SM α-actin in matched nodule and control tissues obtained from eight patients. Immunohistochemical analysis revealed that both YAP and SM α-actin were significantly increased in Dupuytren nodular tissue compared with control tissue (Figure 5). As described before, we found that Dupuytren nodules were highly heterogeneous with multiple SM α-actin+ areas, surrounded by SM α-actin− tissue regions (Figure 5). YAP was expressed at low levels in control tissues (Figure 5G–J). Notably, YAP was highly expressed throughout the Dupuytren nodules, in both SM α-actin+ and SM α-actin− tissue regions. Moreover, we found an increased association of YAP staining with nuclear staining in affected Dupuytren nodule tissue compared with control tissue (Figure 5). We could confirm nuclear localization of YAP in both SM α-actin− and SM α-actin+ cells in Dupuytren nodule tissue with the use of confocal microscopy (Figure 6), although we also found cells to be negative for both YAP and SM α-actin. These data are consistent with previous studies that suggest that the cell population in the Dupuytren lesion is highly heterogeneous. Moreover, we did not find a correlation between cells with nuclear YAP and SM α-actin staining.

DISCUSSION

Studies have identified YAP as a link between the Hippo and TGF-β1 signaling cascades. Understanding how TGF-β1 signaling connects with the Hippo pathway member YAP in relation to pro-fibrotic signaling is therefore necessary. Our data provide evidence that YAP plays a distinct role in the regulation of myofibroblast differentiation and maintenance. We showed that YAP contributes to the establishment of a contractile and synthetic phenotype of fibroblasts activated by TGF-β1 and primary Dupuytren myofibroblasts. Finally, the high expression and nuclear localization of YAP protein in Dupuytren nodular tissue suggest a role for YAP in the pathology of Dupuytren disease. YAP is a transcriptional co-activator, known for its role in mechanobiology.
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in several cell types\textsuperscript{18-21}. In cancer-associated fibroblasts, YAP activity is necessary for the up-regulation of the cytoskeletal components myosin light chain 2 and myosin heavy chain 10. YAP deficiency in these cells resulted in decreased ECM remodeling and contraction\textsuperscript{19}. A recent study on idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis also found both YAP and TAZ involved in matrix stiffness-related fibrotic signaling\textsuperscript{20}. Consistently, we show that the contraction of collagen gels by both dermal (myo)fibroblasts and Dupuytren myofibroblasts is influenced by active YAP. However, it is currently unknown why the YAP-deficient Dupuytren myofibroblasts showed reduced gel contraction, because we found no differences in SM α-actin and collagen type I protein levels compared with control cells.

A possible explanation may lie in altered expression or distribution of other components of the actin cytoskeleton or focal adhesion proteins. Indeed, in cancer-associated fibroblasts and mouse fibroblasts, YAP activity was required for the association of paxillin and vinculin with the focal adhesion complex, suggesting that the integrity of focal adhesions is disrupted when YAP is absent\textsuperscript{19}. However, we found that the distribution of the focal adhesion component vinculin was unchanged in YAP-deficient dermal fibroblasts, which suggests that the functions of YAP may vary, depending on the source of the fibroblasts. The same studies suggested that YAP-deficient cells have a decreased capacity to deposit collagen, which is consistent with the results found in our study. Although we found that mRNA levels of \textit{COL1A1} were increased after YAP knockdown, we found a dramatic reduction in collagen deposition in both dermal fibroblasts and Dupuytren myofibroblasts. We hypothesized that the high mRNA expression may represent a rescue mechanism of the cell to compensate for defects in the collagen biosynthesis pathway. This might also be the case for the observed up-regulation of the collagen-modifying enzymes \textit{PLOD1}, \textit{P4HA2}, \textit{LOXL3}, and \textit{PCOLCE}. In fact, we did not find a down-regulation of genes involved in the collagen biosynthesis pathway that could explain the dramatic reduction in collagen type I deposition. Alternatively, the discrepancy between collagen mRNA expression and protein deposition may lie in altered rate of mRNA translation. Previous work on the correlation between mRNA expression, mRNA stability, and protein translation revealed that the translation rate of collagen type I depends more on translation than transcription\textsuperscript{28}. Finally, YAP deficiency increases the expression of \textit{Let7} miRNAs, which are known to target collagen transcripts\textsuperscript{29,30}. Future clarification of the collagen biosynthesis pathway in YAP-deficient fibroblasts should shed light on these findings.

TGF-β1-stimulated fibroblasts (myofibroblasts) are well known for their excessive production of ECM components and the ability to remodel and contract the tissue around them\textsuperscript{45}. Activation by TGF-β1 results in an up-regulation of ECM components such as collagen type I and fibronectin and a maturation of the cytoskeleton as seen by increased levels of SM α-actin\textsuperscript{6}. Although YAP activation in response to TGF-β1 was shown\textsuperscript{13,19}, the effect of activation of YAP in response to TGF-β1 in myofibroblasts remains elusive. Interestingly, we found that YAP mRNA levels were lower in TGF-β1 stimulated cells on both soft and stiff substrates, suggesting a decrease in YAP1 signaling. However, regarding the increased nuclear localization of YAP on TGF-β1
stimulation, lower mRNA levels may serve as a negative feedback mechanism, because YAP protein levels did not change. These results are in agreement with data from a dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis and regeneration model that showed an increase in YAP protein, but a decrease in YAP1 mRNA. It is possible that YAP binds to Smad2/3 and promotes the nuclear translocation of the SMAD2/3/4 complex. YAP deficiency may thus result in decreased Smad2/3 levels in the nucleus, impairing TGF-β1 induced expression of target genes, such as ACTA2, as we found in our study. In this regard, YAP may function similar to its counterpart TAZ. In addition, a recent study places SM α-actin upstream of YAP, because it found a direct link between SM α-actin expression and YAP activity in the differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells toward myofibroblasts. Although we did not find a correlation between SM α-actin and YAP in Dupuytren nodule tissue, whether a direct link exists in other fibrotic disorders remains unclear.

Furthermore, it remains unclear how active YAP promotes the induction of a synthetic and contractile myofibroblast phenotype. One of the possibilities is through the induction of the YAP target CCN2, a matricellular protein that is thought to enhance TGF-β1 signaling, creating a forward feedback loop. YAP deficiency results in a decreased expression of CCN2, which in turn may result in decreased TGF-β1 signaling. In this manner, active YAP could invoke a possible feedback loop in which TGF-β1 and CCN2 signaling are increased. In support of this hypothesis, we found a decreased expression of CCN2 in YAP-deficient Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts, suggesting a role for CCN2 signaling via YAP in these cells. Indeed, both TGF-β1 and CCN2 signaling are enhanced in Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts and play a role in the pathogenic phenotype of these cells. We were able to show that YAP functions as a regulator of contractility in Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts. One limitation of this study is that the Dupuytren cells had to be subcultured up to passage four on plastic cultureware. These conditions may have altered the initial phenotype of the cells which could have influenced our results. Nevertheless, the trend seen in decreased protein levels of SM α-actin and collagen type I suggest that similar mechanisms apply to dermal fibroblasts and Dupuytren myofibroblasts. Moreover, high expression of YAP in both SM α-actin+ and SM α-actin- cells in affected tissue suggests a prominent role in affected Dupuytren disease tissue. Increased association of YAP staining with nuclear staining suggests that YAP activity in affected Dupuytren nodule tissue is increased compared with control tissue. We could confirm the nuclear localization of YAP with confocal analysis but also found YAP+ cells and cells that showed primarily cytoplasmic localization of YAP.

Whether the YAP+/SM α-actin- cells are a quiescent or deactivated form of the Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts remains unknown. Although SM α-actin is expressed in contractile-differentiated myofibroblasts, collagen-producing proto-myofibroblasts are SM α-actin- but can remodel the ECM around them, suggesting that the cells within the Dupuytren disease lesion are in a different state of activation. Notably, high YAP expression and increased nuclear localization in affected Dupuytren disease tissue may be caused by both TGF-β1 signaling and high tissue stiffness because YAP
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is a known mechanotransducer\textsuperscript{13,18}. Future studies should be focused on unraveling the cross talk of YAP with TGF-\(\beta\)1 signaling in Dupuytren disease and, more generally, fibrotic disorders.

We have found that YAP plays an evident role in myofibroblast differentiation and maintenance in both TGF-\(\beta\)1 stimulated dermal fibroblasts and primary Dupuytren disease myofibroblasts and that Dupuytren disease nodule tissue is characterized by elevated YAP expression. Our results agree with and augment previous studies that identified YAP as key molecule in fibrogenesis\textsuperscript{19,20,33}. YAP is emerging as a protein acting on the crossroads of multiple signal transduction pathways such as TGF-\(\beta\)1 and CCN2 signaling. Altogether, our data suggest that YAP acts as a major regulator of myofibroblasts in fibrosis.
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Supplemental Figure 1. YAP knock down during myofibroblast differentiation cultured on compliant substrates and TCPS. (A) Relative mRNA expression of ACTA2 after 72 h culture on 4 kPa and 100 kPa polyacrylamide substrates and TCPS (n=3, two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction). (B) Relative mRNA expression of COL1A1 after 72 h culture on 4 kPa and 100 kPa polyacrylamide substrates and TCPS (n=3, two way ANOVA with bonferroni correction ** p<0.01). ACTA2, actin, alpha 2; COL1A1, collagen type 1, alpha 1; kPa, kilo Pascal; TCPS, tissue culture polystyrene; YAP, Yes-associated protein 1; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.

Supplemental Figure 2. Characterization of primary Dupuytren’s myofibroblasts. Immunoblot for SM α-actin and YWHAZ in normal dermal fibroblasts (N=1) and Dupuytren’s myofibroblasts (N=5) after 3 days culture in starvation medium. All cells were cultured on TCPS. DD, Dupuytren’s disease donor; kD, kilo Dalton; SM α-actin, smooth muscle α-actin; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.
**Supplemental Figure 3.** YAP localization in Dupuytren’s myofibroblasts. Immunofluorescence staining for YAP1 (green) in Dupuytren’s myofibroblasts (N=5, passage 1) cultured on fibronectin-coated polyacrylamide gels with a substrate elasticity of 4 kPa for 72 hours. Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue) (magnification 400x). DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DD, Dupuytren’s disease donor; SM α-actin, smooth muscle α-actin; YWHAZ, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide.