Effect of childhood maltreatment and brain-derived neurotrophic factor on brain morphology
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Abstract

Childhood maltreatment (CM) has been associated with altered brain morphology, which may partly be due to a direct impact on neural growth, e.g. through the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) pathway. Findings on CM, BDNF and brain volume are inconsistent and have never accounted for the entire BDNF pathway. We examined the effects of CM, BDNF (genotype, gene expression and protein level) and their interactions on hippocampus, amygdala and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) morphology. Data were collected from patients with depression and/or an anxiety disorder and healthy subjects within the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA) (N = 289). CM was assessed using the Childhood Trauma Interview. BDNF Val66Met genotype, gene expression and serum protein levels were determined in blood and T1 MRI scans were acquired at 3T. Regional brain morphology was assessed using FreeSurfer. Covariate-adjusted linear regression analyses were performed. Amygdala volume was lower in maltreated individuals. This was more pronounced in maltreated met-allele carriers. The expected positive relationship between BDNF gene expression and volume of the amygdala is attenuated in maltreated subjects. Finally, decreased cortical thickness of the ACC was identified in maltreated subjects with the val/val genotype. CM was associated with altered brain morphology, partly in interaction with multiple levels of the BDNF pathway. Our results suggest that CM has different effects on brain morphology in met-carriers and val-homozygotes and that CM may disrupt the neuroprotective effect of BDNF.
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Introduction

Childhood maltreatment (CM), which comprises neglect and psychological, physical and sexual abuse, can lead to a wide range of adverse consequences. CM is associated with the development of various psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder and addiction (Molnar et al., 2001; Spinhoven et al., 2010), and has been...
associated with an unfavorable disease course and poor response to treatment (Hovens et al., 2012; Nanni et al., 2012). CM has also been associated with changes in regional brain morphology: decreased volume of the hippocampus (Dannlowski et al., 2012; Teicher et al., 2012; Hanson et al., 2014), amygdala (Hanson et al., 2014) and prefrontal cortex (Dannlowski et al., 2012; Van Harmelen et al., 2010) have been reported in individuals with a history of CM, although results regarding hippocampal and amygdala volume have been inconsistent (Van Harmelen et al., 2010; Dannlowski et al., 2012).

These inconsistent findings regarding the impact of CM on brain morphology could perhaps be explained by postulating that the effect of environmental stress is more prominent in individuals with a biological vulnerability. Previous studies have provided some evidence for an interaction effect of childhood maltreatment and a specific genotype of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) gene on regional brain volume. BDNF is a protein that is important for plasticity, neurogenesis and neuronal survival (Huang and Reichardt, 2001). The met-allele of the Val66Met Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) of the BDNF gene, coding for a replacement of the amino acid valine (val) by methionine (met), has been associated with decreased activity-dependent secretion of BDNF (Egan et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004). Previous studies investigating a BDNF gene by CM interaction have shown that Met-carriers of the BDNF gene with a history of CM have decreased volume of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Gerritsen et al., 2012), hippocampus (Molendijk et al., 2012; Carballedo et al., 2013; Frodl et al., 2014) and amygdala (Gatt et al., 2009) compared to met-carriers without CM and individuals with a val/val genotype, although again results have been inconsistent (Gerritsen et al., 2012).

The above mentioned studies focused on the Val66Met genotype. However, Val66Met genotype is only one element of the BDNF pathway. Previous studies have not examined other elements of the BDNF pathway including BDNF gene expression levels and serum protein levels. Therefore, in the current study, we examined the effect of CM and different markers in the BDNF pathway, and the interaction between CM and BDNF on volume of the hippocampus and amygdala and cortical thickness and surface area of the ACC. We expected an overall negative effect of CM on regional brain morphology. Consistent with previous studies, we hypothesized a gene-environment interaction effect showing a stronger decrease in amygdala and hippocampus volume and ACC thickness and surface area in met-carriers with a history of CM. Due to the important role of BDNF in neuronal survival, we expected a positive relationship between BDNF protein and gene expression levels and regional brain volume and cortical measures. To our knowledge, no previous studies have examined the relationship between BDNF gene expression or BDNF protein levels and brain morphology in relation to CM, but we expected that stress may obscure the protective effect of BDNF gene expression or serum levels on regional brain morphology in maltreated subjects.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA) is a longitudinal cohort study, which aims to examine the naturalistic course of depression and anxiety in a total of 2981 participants. NESDA includes subjects with depressive and/or anxiety disorder as well as subjects without a lifetime psychiatric diagnosis. Subjects were recruited from the community, general practitioners and specialized mental health care institutions (for details please see Penninx et al., 2009).

A subgroup of NESDA patients and healthy controls were asked to participate in the NESDA neuroimaging study (N = 301). Inclusion criteria for the imaging study were a DSM-IV diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) and/or anxiety disorder (social anxiety disorder and/or panic disorder and/or generalized anxiety disorder) in the six months preceding the interview for patients and no history of psychiatric disorders for controls. These diagnoses were established using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI version 2.1) (Wittchen, 1994). Exclusion criteria for patients and controls were abuse or dependency of drugs or alcohol in the past year, general MRI contraindications and presence or history of a severe internal or neurological disorder. Additional exclusion criteria were use of psychotropic medication other than stable use of SSRIs or infrequent benzodiazepine use for patients and use of any psychoactive medication for healthy controls. The Ethical Review Boards of the three participating centers (i.e. Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, University Medical Center Groningen and Leiden University Medical Center) approved this study and all subjects provided written consent.

In the current study we included all healthy controls and patients with a 6-month diagnosis of MDD and/or an anxiety disorder. We excluded twelve participants due to poor image quality, leaving a total of 289 subjects in our study.

Maltreatment

Childhood maltreatment was assessed with the Nemesis Childhood Trauma Interview (De Graaf et al., 2002). In this semi-structured interview, participants were asked whether they had ever experienced emotional neglect, psychological abuse, physical abuse or sexual abuse before the age of 16 (see supplements for a detailed description). As these forms of abuse often occur together (Hovens et al., 2010), it is difficult to examine effects related to specific forms of maltreatment. Therefore, we used a broad definition of CM and classified subjects as having a history of childhood abuse if they reported at least one type of maltreatment.

Imaging

Imaging was performed on 3T Philips MR scanners (Philips, Best, The Netherlands) at the three participating centers (Leiden University Medical Center, Amsterdam Medical Center and University Medical Center Groningen). In Amsterdam, a SENSE-6 channel head coil was used, while the other sites used a SENSE-8 channel head coil. Anatomical scans were acquired using a sagittal three-dimensional gradient-echo T1-weighted sequence (TR: 9 ms; TE: 3.5 ms; matrix: 256_256; voxel size: 1 mm³; 170 slices).

Cortical reconstruction and volumetric segmentation were performed using FreeSurfer image analysis suite (version 5.3; Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Harvard-MIT, Boston, MA; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). FreeSurfer includes motion correction and averaging, Talairach transformation, removal of non-brain tissue, segmentation of subcortical structures and cortical regions, intensity normalization and cortical reconstruction. For quality assessment, a visual inspection of all subcortical structures and cortical regions was performed, using a protocol developed by the ENIGMA consortium (http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/).
We chose volumes of the amygdala and hippocampus and cortical thickness and surface area of the rostral and caudal ACC as regions of interest in the current study, because of their specific association with both CM and BDNF (Gatt et al., 2009; Gerritsen et al., 2012; Frodl et al., 2014).

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)

Val66met genotype. Methods for biological sample collection and DNA extraction have been described previously (Boomsma et al., 2008; Abdellaoui et al., 2013). In brief, genotyping was performed on multiple chip platforms in different, and partially overlapping subsets of the total NESDA sample (Affymetrix-Perlegen 5.0 and Affymetrix 6.0). Quality checks and imputation methods have been detailed in a previous report and are described in the supplement (Nivard et al., 2014). In subsequent analyses, we compared subjects who had at least one met-allele to val-val homozygotes (dominant model).

Gene expression measurement. RNA processing and measurements have likewise been outlined previously (Jansen et al., 2014) and are described in the supplement. In this study, we calculated the mean BDNF expression across all five probe sets after correcting for technical covariates (i.e. plate number, position on plate, month and hour of blood withdrawal, hemoglobin level and days between blood withdrawal and RNA extraction).

Serum protein measurement. Blood withdrawal was performed in the morning between 7:30 and 9:30 after subjects had fasted overnight. Serum was extracted and stored at −85 °C. Protein levels were measured using the Emax ImmunoAssay system from Promega (for this procedure, see Bus et al., 2011). All serum BDNF protein levels (expressed in nanograms per milliliter) were above the reliable detection limit of the ELISA kit (1.56 ng/ml).

Statistical analyses

Sample characteristics. To examine differences in demographic variables, BDNF measures and brain structure between individuals who had and those who had not experienced CM, we used independent sample t-tests and χ² tests. We considered all results significant if P < 0.05.

BDNF correlations. Partial correlation coefficients were calculated to examine the relationship between BDNF gene expression and BDNF serum levels, while correcting for age, sex and education level. Point-biserial correlation coefficients were calculated with similar covariates to investigate the association between BDNF Val66Met genotype and gene expression levels and between Val66Met genotype and serum protein levels.

Repeated measures ANOVA analyses. For our primary analyses, we performed repeated measures ANOVA analyses in SPSS 20 (IBM) to examine the main effects of CM on subcortical brain volume and cortical thickness. Furthermore, main effects of BDNF Val66Met (dummy coded 0 for met-carriers and 1 for val-allele homozygotes), gene expression levels and BDNF serum protein levels on brain morphology were explored. In addition, repeated measure ANOVA analyses were performed to examine the interaction of CM with Val66Met genotype, BDNF gene expression levels and serum protein levels on brain morphology in different models for each BDNF marker. Hemisphere (left or right) was added to the model as a within-group factor to investigate whether there were significant two-way (BDNF’hemisphere or CM’hemisphere) or three-way (BDNF’CM’hemisphere) lateralization effects. If a significant interaction was observed, post-hoc tests were performed to examine by which hemisphere the results were driven.

Significant interactions were followed by two-sample t-tests (Bonferroni corrected for the number of post-hoc comparisons) or stratified regression analyses to examine group differences. In secondary analyses, potential confounding effects of SSRI use, smoking, alcohol use and population structure were controlled for by performing linear regression analyses with these variables as additional covariates (see below).

Covariates. Potential variance due to age, sex, education level (in years), scan site, presence of depression (coded as a dummy variable: yes/no), presence of an anxiety disorder (coded as a dummy variable: yes/no) and intracranial volume was corrected for all regression and ANOVA analyses. In secondary analyses we also corrected for smoking (coded as a dummy variable: current smoker vs non-smoker), alcohol use (number of alcohol drinks per week) and SSRI use (coded as a dummy variable: yes/no). Analyses focusing on Val66Met SNP were additionally adjusted for three ancestry-informative principal components derived from GWAS data (Abdellaoui et al., 2013) to take possible population stratification into account.

Effect of psychiatric diagnosis

As maltreatment, depression and anxiety disorders are strongly associated and we examine the effect of maltreatment in a sample that includes patients with depression and/or anxiety disorders, we performed additional analyses to examine if presence of a psychiatric disorder has a similar effect as CM on brain morphology. Presence of a psychiatric diagnosis (coded as a dummy: yes/no) was entered into repeated measure ANOVA analyses with age, sex, education, scan site and intracranial volume added as covariates.

Results

Sample characteristics

Table 1 shows the demographic and biological characteristics of our total sample (N = 289) and stratified for CM. Subjects with a history of CM (N = 146) had smaller amygdala volumes (P = 0.017) and more often had a diagnosis of depressive and/or anxiety disorders (both P < 0.01) than subjects who were not maltreated (N = 143). Age, sex, education level, total intracranial volume, Val66Met genotype, BDNF gene expression levels and serum protein levels did not differ between maltreated and non-maltreated subjects.

Due to missing BDNF values, 255 subjects were included in Val66Met genotype analyses, 195 subjects in BDNF gene expression analyses and 282 subjects in BDNF protein analyses.

Childhood maltreatment and brain morphology

In covariate adjusted repeated measures ANOVA analyses, presence of CM was associated with decreased volume of the amygdala (P = 0.038) (Table 2). CM was unrelated to hippocampal volume or ACC thickness and surface area. There was no significant interaction between CM and hemisphere on brain morphology (Table S1), indicating that findings were not driven by one hemisphere.
BDNF and brain morphology

BDNF Val66Met, gene expression levels or serum protein levels were not associated with volume of the amygdala and hippocampus or ACC morphology (Table 2). However, at a trend level, there was an association between BDNF Val66Met genotype and surface area of the caudal ACC (P = 0.060), with increased surface area observed in met-carriers (M:773.81; SD:123.44) compared to individuals with a val/val genotype (M:742.91; SE:0.02). This CM*Val66Met interaction effect appeared to be driven by the right hemisphere ACC (post-hoc regression analysis: standardized beta: -0.322; SE: 0.119; T=-2.707, P=0.007) and not the left hemisphere ACC (standardized beta: -0.062; SE: 0.113 T=-0.547, P=0.585), as there was a significant three-way interaction between CM, Val66Met genotype and hemisphere on rostral ACC thickness (Table S1).

Interaction between maltreatment and BDNF

Interaction between maltreatment and Val66Met. We observed an interaction effect between CM and Val66Met genotype on amygdala volume (P < 0.001; Table 2 and Figure 1). Post-hoc ANCOVA tests indicated that in individuals with a history of CM, the met-allele was associated with decreased amygdala volume (M: 1555.23; SE: 25.00), compared to non-maltreated met-carriers (M: 1704.94; SE: 24.94) (P = 0.003, Bonferroni-corrected). There was no significant CM*Val66Met*hemisphere interaction effect (P = 0.099; Table S1), suggesting that this finding was not driven by one hemisphere.

We also observed an interaction effect between CM and Val66Met on thickness of the caudal and rostral ACC (Figure 1; P = 0.007 and P = 0.029, respectively). Post-hoc tests did not show significant differences between groups in caudal ACC thickness, but rostral ACC thickness was lower in maltreated individuals with a val/val genotype (M: 2.547; SE:0.013), compared to individuals with a val/val genotype (M: 2.547; SE:0.013). This CM*Val66Met interaction effect appeared to be driven by the right hemisphere ACC (post-hoc regression analysis: standardized beta: -0.322; SE: 0.119; T=-2.707, P=0.007) and not the left hemisphere ACC (standardized beta: -0.062; SE: 0.113 T=-0.547, P=0.585), as there was a significant three-way interaction between CM, Val66Met genotype and hemisphere on rostral ACC thickness (Table S1).

Hippocampal volume and ACC surface area were not associated with an interaction between CM and Val66Met genotype (Table 2).

Interaction between maltreatment and BDNF gene expression.

A significant interaction effect between CM and BDNF gene expression levels on amygdala volume was observed (P = 0.010; Table 2 and Figure 2). Post-hoc regression analyses stratified for
CM revealed that BDNF gene expression was positively associated with amygdala volume in individuals without CM (standardized beta: 0.252; SE: 0.094; \( T = 2.687; P = 0.009 \)), while this relationship was absent in individuals with a history of CM (standardized beta: -0.066; SE: 0.091; \( T = -0.722; P = 0.472 \)).

There was also a significant interaction between BDNF gene expression and CM on rostral ACC thickness \( (P = 0.029; \text{Table } 2 \text{ and Figure } 2) \). Stratified post-hoc analysis revealed a trendwise positive relationship between gene expression and thickness in individuals without CM (standardized beta: 0.190; SE: 0.012; \( T = 1.869; P = 0.065 \)) and a negative, but non-significant relationship in individuals with a history of CM (standardized beta: -0.125; SE: 0.096; \( T = -1.297; P = 0.198 \)).

Hippocampal and caudal ACC thickness were unrelated to an interaction between CM and BDNF expression. In addition, no significant CM*gene expression*hemisphere interaction effects were observed (Table S1).

**Interaction between maltreatment and BDNF serum protein levels.** We did not observe an interaction effect between BDNF protein levels and CM on amygdala and hippocampus volumes or ACC cortical thickness and surface area (Table 2).

**Psychiatric diagnosis, BDNF and brain volume**

While depression and anxiety disorders were corrected for in all analyses, we performed additional analyses to examine to what extent our findings could also be explained by psychiatric status. Presence of a psychiatric disorder was associated with decreased volume of the hippocampus, rostral ACC thickness and caudal ACC surface area \( (P = 0.029, P < 0.001 \text{ and } P = 0.008 \), respectively; Table 3) and amygdala volume and caudal ACC thickness at trend-level \( (P = 0.064 \text{ and } P = 0.059 \), respectively, Table 3) . We also observed an interaction between psychiatric diagnosis and Val66Met genotype on thickness of the rostral ACC and surface area of the caudal ACC \( (P = 0.051 \text{ and } 0.007 \); Table 3). Post-hoc ANCOVA analyses did not reveal significant differences in rostral ACC thickness between groups, but did reveal a trend towards decreased caudal ACC surface area in patients with val/val genotype \( (M = 738.62; SD = 128.53 \text{ compared to healthy met-carriers: } M = 819.95; SD = 141.95; P = 0.058; \text{Bonferroni-corrected}) \). These results suggest that the CM*BDNF genotype effect on the ACC may be partly explained by a psychiatry*BDNF interaction effect.

Furthermore, there was an interaction effect between psychiatric diagnosis and BDNF gene expression levels on thickness of the rostral ACC \( (P < 0.001 \); Table 3) . Stratified analyses showed that there was a positive effect of BDNF gene expression on rostral ACC thickness in healthy controls (standardized beta: 0.510; SE: 0.172; \( T = 2.972; P = 0.006 \)), which was absent in patients (standardized beta: -0.141; SE: 0.075; \( T = -1.888; P = 0.061 \)).

There was no interaction effect of psychiatric diagnosis and BDNF on amygdala volume, suggesting that the observed interaction effects of CM, Val66Met genotype and BDNF gene expression levels on amygdala volume are independent of psychiatric status.

**Secondary analyses**

**Correction for additional confounding variables.** Results of the secondary analyses correcting for potential confounders

---

### Table 2: Main effects and interaction effects of childhood maltreatment and BDNF on brain morphology measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions of interest</th>
<th>Childhood maltreatment ( N = 289 )</th>
<th>BDNF genotype ( N = 255 )</th>
<th>Childhood maltreatment x genotype interaction ( N = 255 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Df ( F ) P-value Partial ( n^2 )</td>
<td>Df ( F ) P-value Partial ( n^2 )</td>
<td>Df ( F ) P-value Partial ( n^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>1,279 0.330 0.066 0.001</td>
<td>1,242 0.018 0.894 0.000</td>
<td>1,240 1.908 0.168 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1,279 4.334 0.038 0.015</td>
<td>1,242 0.124 0.725 0.001</td>
<td>1,240 21.588 0.000 0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,279 0.091 0.763 0.000</td>
<td>1,242 0.264 0.608 0.001</td>
<td>1,240 7.315 0.007 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,279 0.894 0.345 0.003</td>
<td>1,242 0.762 0.384 0.003</td>
<td>1,240 4.822 0.029 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,278 0.317 0.574 0.001</td>
<td>1,241 3.578 0.060 0.015</td>
<td>1,240 1.033 0.310 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,278 1.010 0.316 0.004</td>
<td>1,241 2.209 0.138 0.009</td>
<td>1,240 0.190 0.663 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BDNF gene expression \( N = 195 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions of interest</th>
<th>Childhood maltreatment x expression interaction ( N = 195 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Df ( F ) P-value Partial ( n^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>1,185 0.990 0.321 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1,185 3.062 0.082 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,185 0.211 0.646 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,185 0.018 0.894 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,184 0.379 0.539 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,184 0.373 0.542 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BDNF protein levels \( N = 282 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions of interest</th>
<th>Childhood maltreatment x protein interaction ( N = 282 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Df ( F ) P-value Partial ( n^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>1,272 1.715 0.191 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1,272 0.080 0.777 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,272 0.036 0.849 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th. rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,272 0.043 0.835 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,271 0.226 0.635 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,271 0.755 0.386 0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation between Val66Met, BDNF gene expression and amygdala volume. Since we observed both a significant interaction effect between CM*Val66Met and between CM* BDNF gene expression levels on amygdala volume, we additionally examined whether these two interaction effects related to each other. To this aim we performed an additional post-hoc linear regression analysis in which we included these variables, covariates, as well as both interaction terms with amygdala volume as outcome. Both interaction terms remained significant (CM*gene expression: standardized beta = -0.228; SE = 0.084; \(T = -2.703\); \(P = 0.008\), CM*gene: standardized beta = 0.431; SE = 0.121; \(T = 3.571\); \(P < 0.001\)), indicating that the observed interaction effects were independent from each other. This independence between BDNF genotype and gene expression is further demonstrated by a lack of association between the different BDNF markers. The correlation between BDNF gene expression levels and protein levels was not significant (\(R = -0.041\), \(P = 0.582\)). There was no significant correlation between Val66Met genotype and gene expression levels (\(R_{pb} = 0.05\); \(P = 0.5\)) and between Val66Met genotype and protein levels. (\(R_{pb} = 0.01; P = 0.869\)).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to examine the effect of CM, BDNF and their interaction on volume of the amygdala and hippocampus and thickness of the ACC. This is the first study to examine multiple levels of the BDNF pathway—including the Val66Met SNP, gene expression and protein levels—in relation to CM. Amygdala volume was lower in subjects with a history of CM. Furthermore, we found a gene-environment interaction on amygdala volume and thickness of the ACC. We also observed these interaction effects at the gene expression level.

Overall, amygdala volume was lower in subjects who were exposed to CM. The amygdala is a key structure for processing emotional information including memory formation and has been implicated in many maltreatment-related disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder and other anxiety disorders (Etkin and Wager, 2007). Previous studies have reported conflicting results, including increased (Pechtel et al., 2014), decreased (Edmiston et al., 2011; Hanson et al., 2014) and unaltered amygdala volume (Woon and Hedges, 2008) in maltreated subjects. Rodent studies have shown an increase in dendritic arborisation in the amygdala in response to stress (Vyas et al., 2002; Padival et al., 2013), which may result in an increase in volume. It has been proposed that CM may cause an initial increase in amygdala volume and activity, which over time may be followed by neurodegeneration and reduced volume of the amygdala in maltreated subjects (Hanson et al., 2014). In support of this hypothesis, prolonged stress was associated with degeneration of amygdala cells in adult rats (Ding et al., 2010). Our finding of lower amygdala volume in maltreated adults also fits this model, although longitudinal research is needed to corroborate our results. Given the role of the amygdala in emotion processing, we speculate that decreased amygdala volume may underlie emotion regulation impairments in maltreated subjects (Dvir et al., 2014; O’Mahen et al., 2015).

We did not find evidence for a direct effect of BDNF on brain morphology. As BDNF is expressed in the prefrontal cortex, amygdala and hippocampus (Conner et al., 1997; Dwivedi et al., 2003), and is crucial for neurogenesis and neuronal survival (Huang and Reichardt, 2001), we expected a positive relationship between BDNF (gene expression levels and serum protein
levels) and measures of regional brain morphology. Previous work has shown a positive relationship between serum protein levels, hippocampal volume and memory performance (Erickson et al., 2010), however this finding was not replicated in later studies (Driscoll et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015). In the current study, the evidence for an effect of BDNF gene expression or serum protein levels on brain morphology was limited. The effect of BDNF on brain volume may be small or BDNF levels may vary over time, preventing us from detecting an effect of current BDNF levels on volume of the amygdala, hippocampus and ACC (Piccinni et al., 2008). We also did not see an effect of BDNF genotype on brain volume and therefore were unable to replicate initial findings of decreased hippocampus volume in carriers of the met-allele (Bueller et al., 2006; M I Molendijk et al., 2012), however recent meta-analyses suggest this effect is non-existent and is related to publication bias (Marc I. Molendijk et al., 2012; Harrisberger et al., 2014).

Results of our interaction analyses suggest that smaller amygdala volumes in individuals with a history of CM are even more prominent in carriers of the met-allele of the BDNF gene. The met-allele may increase vulnerability to CM related morphological changes in the amygdala due to decreased activity-dependent secretion of BDNF (Egan et al., 2003) or increased HPA-axis reactivity to stress (Colzato et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2012) in met-carriers. The amygdala has many glucocorticoid receptors (Wang et al., 2013) and high glucocorticoid levels have been associated with decreased amygdala volume (Schuhmacher et al., 2012). Therefore increased glucocorticoid levels in response to stress during development may result in decreased amygdala volume in met carriers. A history of maltreatment and the presence of a met-allele appear to interact to lead to more pronounced reduced volume in maltreated met-carriers. We did not find evidence for a similar interaction between depression and/or anxiety and Val66Met genotype on amygdala volume, suggesting that the interaction effects on amygdala volume may not be related to depression and/or anxiety. It is important to note that this is a cross-sectional study and that longitudinal studies are needed to address any association.

Fig. 2. BDNF gene expression and brain morphology in maltreated and non-maltreated subjects. Shown here are results of a stratified linear regression analysis. (A) Interaction effect between BDNF gene expression and childhood maltreatment on amygdala volume. There is a positive relationship between BDNF gene expression and volume of the amygdala in subjects without a history of maltreatment, which is absent in maltreated subjects. (B) Interaction effect between BDNF gene expression and childhood maltreatment on rostral ACC thickness. Stratified analyses reveal a positive, but non-significant relationship in non-maltreated subjects and a negative, but non-significant relationship in maltreated subjects. CM−: no history of childhood maltreatment; CM+: history of childhood maltreatment.
between maltreatment—related changes in brain morphology and development of maltreatment related psychiatric disorders.

Although BDNF gene expression levels were not significantly lower in maltreated subjects, alterations in the interaction between BDNF gene expression and CM on volume of the amygdala were observed. In line of what would be expected, higher levels of gene expression were associated with greater amygdala volume in non-maltreated individuals, but this effect was absent in maltreated subjects. This lack of an association between BDNF gene expression levels and amygdala volume could be suggestive of an absence of a neuroprotective effect of BDNF in maltreated subjects. This disruption could in turn be explained by disrupted BDNF signalling downstream of the receptor. For instance, pro-inflammatory cytokines and glucocorticoids have been shown to interact and affect BDNF signaling (see review by Numakawa, 2014). It has been reported that BDNF-stimulated signaling in the ACT and ERK pathways and BDNF-related facilitation of long term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus is reduced after administration of pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 1β (Tong et al., 2008; Tong et al., 2012). Glucocorticoids have also been found to suppress BDNF signaling in the MAPK/ERK pathway (Kumamaru et al., 2011). Increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and dysregulation of the HPA-axis have been observed in maltreated individuals (Gonzalez, 2013; Coelho et al., 2014). High levels of glucocorticoids and pro-inflammatory cytokines may thus interfere with the effects downstream of the BDNF receptor in maltreated individuals thereby interfering with the neuroprotective effect of BDNF, yielding an absence of a positive association between BDNF gene expression levels and amygdala volume.

Our results suggest that the observed CM-Val66Met genotype and CM-BDNF gene expression interaction effects on amygdala morphology are independent, indicating that the effect of the Val66Met gene on amygdala volume is not explained by BDNF gene expression effects on amygdala volume in maltreated participants. This is in line with our observation of a lack of an correlation between the different markers. This is also in accordance with previous studies, which did not find a difference in plasma BDNF levels between met-carriers and val/val homozygotes (Terracciano et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015). Perhaps a one-to-one mapping of genotype to gene expression and serum protein effects is also not to be expected because BDNF serum protein and gene expression levels are influenced by multiple genes, epigenetic effects and other biological markers, including cytokines, glucocorticoids and sex hormones (Carbone and Handa, 2013; Terracciano et al., 2013; Calabrese et al., 2014), which may obscure the direct associations between markers of BDNF.

The CM-BDNF interaction effects we observed are complex, as maltreatment was related to decreased amygdala volume in met-carriers and reduced cortical thickness of the right rostral ACC in val-homozygotes. The rostral anterior cingulate is important for emotion regulation and is highly interconnected with the amygdala (Etkin et al., 2011). Stress has been associated with decreased dendritic arborisation in the anterior cingulate cortex (Radley et al., 2004; Liston et al., 2006), which may

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions of interest</th>
<th>Psychiatric diagnosis N = 289</th>
<th>Psychiatric diagnosis x genotype interaction N = 255</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Df</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>4.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>3.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>3.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>14.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA caudal ACC</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>7.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA rostral ACC</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>1.995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of repeated measure ANOVA analyses. The dependent variables (hippocampal and amygdala volume, cortical thickness and surface area of the ACC) are shown in the first column. Presented results are corrected for differences in age, sex, educational level, scan site and intracranial volume. ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; SA: surface area.
decrease cortical thickness. Reduced ACC volume and cortical thickness have previously been reported in maltreated subjects (Cohen et al., 2006; Treadway et al., 2009; Gerritsen et al., 2012; Kelly et al., 2013), but results of our study suggest that this effect may have been driven by subjects with a val/val genotype. As a similar interaction effect between the presence of a psychiatric diagnosis and BDNF was found on rostral ACC thickness, our findings may be driven by or related to depression and/or anxiety disorders. It remains unclear why the effect of CM on cortical thickness of the ACC was specifically observed in val-allele homozygotes, as to our knowledge decreased BDNF activity-dependent secretion and structural deficits have only been found in met-carriers (e.g. Egan et al., 2003; Pezawas et al., 2004; Montag et al., 2009). More research is needed to replicate this effect and examine possible mechanisms.

In contrast to some, but not all, previous studies we did not find evidence for structural changes in the hippocampus of maltreated subjects or a relationship between BDNF and hippocampal volume. Although a CM/BDNF gene expression/hemisphere interaction effect was observed (Table S1), post-hoc tests did not reveal an effect of BDNF gene expression on the left or right hippocampus in maltreated or non-maltreated subjects (data not shown). Furthermore, we did not find a main effect of maltreatment on hippocampal volume. Several manual segmentation studies have shown decreased hippocampal volume in individuals with a history of CM (Bremner et al., 1997; Weniger et al., 2009)(with sample sizes of N – 34 and N – 42, respectively), voxel-based morphometry studies in larger samples were not able to replicate this finding (Van Harmelen et al., 2010; Gerritsen et al., 2012) (N – 568 and N – 181), or only at trend-level (Cohen et al., 2006) (N – 256), suggesting that the earlier results may have been false positive results or may have been related to differences in analysis technique (e.g. manual segmentation, FreeSurfer segmentation or voxel-based morphometry) (Frodl and O’Keane, 2013).

One of the strengths of the present study is that Val66Met genotype, BDNF gene expression and protein serum levels were determined in a large study sample, providing adequate power to control for various potentially confounding variables. An obvious limitation is that gene expression and protein levels were determined in peripheral blood. However, preclinical studies have shown that peripheral serum protein levels reflect cortical and hippocampal BDNF (Karege et al., 2002; Sullivan et al., 2006; Klein et al., 2011). A second limitation is that BDNF gene expression and Val66Met genotype information was not available for every subject. Analyses revealed that subjects that could not be included in the BDNF gene expression analyses, more often had a diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety than individuals that were not included (data not shown). Third, this study was performed in a heterogeneous sample, consisting of healthy controls and patients with major depression and/or an anxiety disorders, however we corrected for the presence of depression and/or anxiety in all CM analyses and have also examined the effect of depression and/or anxiety (in interaction with BDNF) on brain morphology to examine to what extent our findings could also be explained by psychiatric status.

In conclusion, CM is associated with lower amygdala volume, a region that has been implicated in many maltreatment-related psychiatric disorders. Decreased amygdala volume may underlie emotion regulation impairments. This finding of smaller amygdala volume in maltreated individuals appears to be even more pronounced in individuals carrying a met-allele, while ACC thickness was specifically decreased in maltreated val-homozygotes. The observed interaction effects are thus complex, as childhood maltreatment has different effects on brain morphology in met-carriers and val-homozygotes. Furthermore, the individual components of the BDNF pathway did not show identical relationships with brain morphology. These findings add to our understanding of the effect of early life stress on the brain by showing that maltreatment-related changes in brain structure are related to BDNF genotype and gene expression, but not protein level. Further research is needed to elucidate on potential causal mechanisms between BDNF, CM and their effects on brain morphology, also in relation to vulnerability of developing a maltreatment-related psychiatric disorder.
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