Demographical, Clinical, and Psychological Characteristics of Users and Nonusers of an Online Platform for T2DM Patients (e-VitaDM-3/ZODIAC-44)

Yvonne Roelofsen, Michael van Vugt, Steven H. Hendriks, Kornelis J. J. van Hateren, Klaas H. Groenier, Frank J. Snoek, Nanne Kleefstra, Robbert Huijsman, and Henk J. G. Bilo

1 Diabetes Centre, Isala, Zwolle, Netherlands
2 Department of Medical Psychology, VU University Medical Center and Academic Medical Center (AMC), Amsterdam, Netherlands
3 Department of General Practice, University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands
4 Department of Internal Medicine, University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands
5 Langerhans Medical Research Group, Zwolle, Netherlands
6 Institute of Healthcare Management & Policy, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Correspondence should be addressed to Yvonne Roelofsen; y.roelofsen@isala.nl

Received 7 January 2015; Accepted 30 April 2015

Academic Editor: Nitin Gupta

Copyright © 2016 Yvonne Roelofsen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Online platforms offer opportunities for support in changing lifestyle and taking responsibility for one’s health, but engaging patients with type 2 diabetes is challenging. Previous studies have shown that patients interested in platforms were more often male, younger, and higher educated. This study aims to investigate differences in clinical and psychological characteristics between users and nonusers of a newly developed platform. Methods. A prospective study started in the Drenthe region of Netherlands. Participants in the study concerning quality of care and quality of life were additionally invited to use the platform. Results. 633 patients were registered after they opted for platform use. Of these patients, 361 (57.0%) never logged on, 184 (29.1%) were labeled “curious” users, and 88 (13.9%) were identified as “active” users. Users had lower HbA1c levels and more often hypertension compared to nonusers, and reported higher quality of life, better well-being, lower diabetes-related distress, and better medication adherence. Discussion. Platform use was associated with more favorable clinical and psychological characteristics relative to nonuse. Those with greater severity of disease, lower mood, and progression of disease used the platform the least. Other approaches need to be developed to reach these patients. Furthermore, improving the platform could also help to reach them. This trial is registered with Clinicaltrials.gov NCT01570140.

1. Background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in itself is associated with poorer health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [1]. People with T2DM are susceptible to develop long-term complications, such as retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, and chronic heart disease, which negatively influence HRQoL [2]. To prevent or delay development of these long-term complications, adequate treatment modalities are necessary which mainly involve lifestyle changes and pharmacological treatment. Adherence to medication prescription and implementing lifestyle changes are often better maintained and facilitated, when patients consider themselves more responsible for their treatment and have more knowledge regarding the causes and consequences of their disease. Improvements in knowledge about their disease can be described as promotion of health literacy. e-Health applications, such as web-portals, teleconsultation, and online care platforms, have the potential to support patients in changing lifestyle and taking more responsibility for their own health [3]. However, varying
3191 patients were asked for participation in the cohort study

1678 patients (52.6%) declined participation (nonparticipants)

1513 patients (47.4%) participated in cohort study (participants)

880 patients (58.2%) were not registered for platform use by GP or PN

633 patients (41.8%) were registered for platform use by GP or PN

361 patients (57.0%) did not log on to the platform (nonusers)

272 patients (43.0%) logged on to the platform (users)

184 patients (29.1%) logged on to the platform once or twice (curious users)

88 patients (13.9%) logged on to the platform for at least two sessions with a minimum duration of 5 minutes per session (active users)

Figure 1: Flowchart of patients and definitions.

Effects on clinical outcomes, quality of life, degree of self-care, perceived stress levels, patient satisfaction, and costs have been reported [4–10].

Previous studies showed that patients who were interested in using an online care platform were more often male, younger, and higher educated [11, 12]. However, within the subgroup of interested patients these differences were not found between actual users and nonusers [11]. In addition, other factors associated with higher portal enrollment and utilization are higher income, nonblack race, higher self-efficacy, and having better regulated diabetes [13]. Identifying the differences between platform users and nonusers could provide information to help target and support nonusers in becoming more active in their diabetes self-management.

The aim of the present, explorative study was to investigate possible differences in demographic, clinical, and psychological characteristics between users and nonusers of the platform e-Vita.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design. We performed a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data of users and nonusers of the online patient platform e-Vita. Data was obtained from a prospective observational cohort study. Detailed information about the methods and design of the study as a whole can be found elsewhere [14].

2.2. Study Population and Setting. Forty-three out of 110 general practices in the Drenthe region of the Netherlands invited their T2DM patients for participation in a prospective observational cohort study concerning quality of care and HRQoL. Patients were also invited to use the online care platform e-Vita, in addition to their usual treatment. Patients interested in using the platform were registered by their practice nurse (PN) and received a user ID. In this ongoing study, participants were recruited from May 2012 onward.
Table 1: Differences and notable characteristics of nonusers, curious users, and active users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonusers</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Curious users</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Active users</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic and clinical parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td>214 (59.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>113 (61.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>50 (56.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years</strong></td>
<td>62.1 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>61.8 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>62.0 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>292 (99.0)</td>
<td>66 (18.3)</td>
<td>143 (100)</td>
<td>41 (22.3)</td>
<td>65 (100)</td>
<td>23 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulltime/part-time working</td>
<td>99 (34.3)</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>61 (39.6)</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>20 (23.8)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>134 (46.4)</td>
<td>70 (45.5)</td>
<td>70 (45.5)</td>
<td>46 (54.8)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed/housekeeper</td>
<td>38 (13.1)</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitated</td>
<td>18 (6.2)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>18 (6.2)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>31 (16.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>24 (8.3)</td>
<td>9 (5.9)</td>
<td>52 (34.0)</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>30 (35.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>127 (44.1)</td>
<td>51 (33.3)</td>
<td>40 (26.1)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>86 (29.9)</td>
<td>40 (26.1)</td>
<td>29.6 (26.4)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>51 (17.7)</td>
<td>29.1 (17.4)</td>
<td>29.1 (17.4)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2DM duration in years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 ± 4.6</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.0–8.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 (2.0–9.0)</td>
<td>48.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.8 (0.0)</td>
<td>35 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.6 ± 9.5</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.0–8.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0 (45.0–54.0)</td>
<td>48.0 (43.0–54.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>46.0 (43.0–50.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>29.8 (26.3–32.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>28.0 (26.0–32.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.0 (26.5–32.5)</td>
<td>29.0 (26.5–32.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>29.8 (26.8–32.2)</td>
<td>28.0 (26.0–32.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbidities/complications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>191 (84.1)</td>
<td>134 (37.1)</td>
<td>113 (93.4)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>51 (92.7)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Items of Europep: patients who scored 4 (good) to 5 (excellent)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making it easy for you to tell him or her about your problem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D index-score</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>72 (39.9)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32 (17.4)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-VAS</td>
<td>74.7 ± 17.4</td>
<td>74 (20.5)</td>
<td>79.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>35 (19.0)</td>
<td>76.9 ± 16.5</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 score indicates suboptimal well-being; screening depression advised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 answers advise screening depression</td>
<td>36 (12.6)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>8 (5.3)</td>
<td>33 (17.9)</td>
<td>9 (10.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 answers advise screening depression</td>
<td>43 (15.5)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>33 (17.9)</td>
<td>11 (13.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAID-5 total score</td>
<td>2.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–3.0)</td>
<td>12 (17.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–3.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication in number of days</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Cronbach’s alpha for multi-item scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-item scale</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale general practice</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale general practitioner</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAID-5</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale general diet</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale specific diet</td>
<td>0.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale total diet</td>
<td>0.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale exercise</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale blood-glucose testing</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale foot-care</td>
<td>0.593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Because of the negative intercorrelation between the two items Cronbach’s alpha is reported to be 0.
2. The alpha for the subscale total diet is lower than that for the subscale general diet due to the low reliability of the subscale specific diet.

The current analysis includes patients recruited from May 2012 till March 2014.

2.3. Measurements. Demographic and clinical data were obtained from the personal health record systems of the general practitioners (GP), based on a core dataset of T2DM related information as advised by the Dutch Diabetes Federation and the Dutch College of General Practitioners [14]. All T2DM patients participating in the study filled in a range of validated questionnaires concerning perceived quality of life measured by the EuroQoL Five Dimension (EQ-5D) Scale [15–17], emotional well-being measured by the World Health Organization Wellbeing Index 5-Item (WHO-5) questionnaire [18, 19], diabetes-related distress measured by the Problem Areas in Diabetes 5-Item (PAID-5) questionnaire [20], diabetes self-care behavior measured by 7 Dimensions of the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA) questionnaire [21], and quality of received care measured by the Europep [22]. Suboptimal emotional well-being was defined by a raw score lower than 13 on the WHO-5 [23]. Additional questions about smoking habits, employment, and educational background were also included. To identify users and nonusers, registration data from the application software and log-files were used.

2.4. Description of e-Vita Platform. The e-Vita platform for T2DM patients (accessible through the login button on https://www.e-vita.nl/) [11, 14, 24] contains the following components: (1) an overview of health data concerning annual check-ups from 2009 onward, (2) educational modules meant to support care through self-management by setting person-specific goals and actions [25], (3) prompting patient self-monitoring of clinical values, (4) educational modules aimed at increasing diabetes knowledge, and (5) providing reliable information on T2DM in general.

2.5. Users and Nonusers. Information about login status and log-data were used to group patients into nonusers and users. All patients who logged in at least once were considered as users. Patients who had been online for at least two sessions with a minimum of five minutes per session were defined as “active” users; other patients were defined as “curious” users. A session included all logins to the platform within thirty minutes [24].

2.6. Statistical Analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Quantitative variables are described in means and standard deviations when normally distributed; otherwise medians and interquartile ranges are also described. Categorical variables are described in numbers and percentages. To identify differences in the domains of interest between the different groups of users, the Linear Mixed Models procedure was used, with groups of users being fixed factors (nonusers being the reference group), while adjusting for age and sex. Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical data. Differences were considered to be significant at a p value of <0.05. In addition, results are adjusted for age and gender. Because of the explorative design of this study, no corrections for multiple testing were made [26]. Instead, the calculated p values are only used as an indication of to what extent a difference could be interesting for further research.

2.7. Ethics. This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Review Committee of Isala, Zwolle, the Netherlands, and registered in Clinicaltrials.gov under number NCT01570140.

3. Results

In the period from May 2012 to March 2014, 3191 patients were invited to participate in the cohort study and to use the e-Vita
Table 3: Results of multivariate analysis, adjusted for age and gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$b$-coefficient</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2DM duration in years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-2.325 - 2.360</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>-0.845</td>
<td>-1.876 - 0.186</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>-0.511</td>
<td>-1.300 - 0.277</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>-0.832 - 0.589</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.064 - 0.138</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HbA1c in mmol/mol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>53.431</td>
<td>48.931 - 57.931</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>-3.624</td>
<td>-5.627 - -1.621</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>-1.989</td>
<td>-3.516 - -0.462</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>-0.270 - 2.477</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>-0.127 - 0.016</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>37.430</td>
<td>34.658 - 40.202</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>-1.159 - 1.317</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>-0.747 - 1.124</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-1.087</td>
<td>-1.931 - -0.244</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.113</td>
<td>-0.156 - -0.069</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQ-5D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.773 - 0.958</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.031 - 0.047</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.013 - 0.076</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.027 - 0.085</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.002 - 0.001</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQ-VAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>71.007</td>
<td>61.663 - 80.350</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>2.291</td>
<td>-1.691 - 6.275</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>4.611</td>
<td>1.384 - 7.838</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.977</td>
<td>0.095 - 5.859</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-0.118 - 0.178</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHO-5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>58.138</td>
<td>48.911 - 67.365</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-4.008 - 3.829</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>3.609</td>
<td>0.446 - 6.773</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5.766</td>
<td>2.932 - 8.600</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>-0.004 - 0.289</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
platform. 633 patients were registered for care platform use. See Figure 1 for the patient flow.

Table 1 shows all differences and other notable characteristics for the comparison between nonusers, curious users, and active users of the platform. No differences were found in demographical characteristics between nonusers, curious users, and active users. HbA1c level of nonusers was higher compared to curious users \((p = 0.038)\) and to active users \((p = 0.001)\). Curious and active users were more often known with hypertension compared to nonusers \((p = 0.025)\). Curious users assessed the GP better on one question of the Europep compared to nonusers and active users \((p = 0.047)\). Curious users scored higher on EQ-5D \((p = 0.030)\) and EQ-VAS \((0.032)\) compared to nonusers, with no significant differences between curious users and active users or nonusers and active users. In addition, curious users’ WHO-5 score as well as their answers to the individual WHO-5 questions reported less depressive symptoms compared to nonusers and active users. Curious users scored lower on PAID-5 compared to nonusers \((p = 0.016)\), with no significant differences between curious users versus active users and nonusers versus active users. Curious users performed better on one dimension of self-reported self-management activities (medication intake) compared to nonusers \((p = 0.020)\), with no significant difference between curious users versus active users and nonusers versus active users. Table 2 shows the Cronbach’s alpha for all the multi-item scales.

See Appendix A for tables with all characteristics for the comparison between nonusers and users. See Appendix B for tables with all characteristics for the comparison between nonusers, curious users, and active users.

The differences in characteristics between nonusers, curious users, and active users have also been adjusted for age and gender in a multivariate analysis. The results are shown in Table 3. \(p\) values below 0.05 were found for differences regarding HbA1c between active users and nonusers \((-3.624 \text{ mmol/mol})\) as well as between curious users and nonusers \((-1.989 \text{ mmol/mol})\) and for differences between curious users and nonusers regarding EQ-5D \((0.044)\), EQ-VAS \((4.611)\), WHO-5 \((3.609)\), PAID-5 \((-0.929)\), and medication intake \((0.236)\).

### Table 3: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Continued.</th>
<th>(b)-coefficient</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>(p) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAID-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>5.129</td>
<td>3.520</td>
<td>6.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>-0.511</td>
<td>-1.195</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>-0.929</td>
<td>-1.480</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>-0.639</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSCA-medications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>6.087</td>
<td>5.575</td>
<td>6.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active users</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curious users</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonusers Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Ref. Cat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

In this exploratory study we found that only a small amount of clinical and psychological characteristics were associated with platform use. Curious users as well as active users had lower HbA1c compared to nonusers, which is in agreement with other studies [27, 28]. The more frequent presence of hypertension in curious and active users, however, contradicts with these studies. Curious users scored higher on EQ-5D \((p = 0.044)\), EQ-VAS \((4.611)\), WHO-5 \((3.609)\), PAID-5 \((-0.929)\), and medication intake \((0.236)\).

We observed that most of the patients, who were registered for platform use, never logged on. This could be
# Table 4: Demographic and clinical characteristics of users and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and clinical parameters</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Users (n = 272)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>62.1 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>61.8 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>292 (99.0)</td>
<td>66 (18.3)</td>
<td>208 (100)</td>
<td>64 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM duration in years</td>
<td>6.0 (2.0–9.0)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.0–8.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c in mmol/mol</td>
<td>50.6 ± 9.5</td>
<td>163 (59.9)</td>
<td>48.2 ± 7.3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>29.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>30.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure in mmHG</td>
<td>135.6 ± 15.5</td>
<td>136.5 ± 16.0</td>
<td>13.4 ± 13.1</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol in mmol/L</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL in mmol/L</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol/HDL ratio</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>160 (44.3)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>92 (33.8)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL in mmol/L</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>12 (3.3)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides in mmol/L</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine in µmol/L</td>
<td>78.6 ± 172</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>79.9 ± 175</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alb./creat. ratio in mg/mmol</td>
<td>2.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>23 (10.7)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>25 (15.3)</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>18 (16.5)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>31 (21.1)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4–1.2)</td>
<td>18 (16.5)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRD in mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>79.1 ± 49.0</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>76.0 ± 16.6</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>54 (15.1)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>41 (15.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption in units/day</td>
<td>166 (58.9)</td>
<td>79 (21.9)</td>
<td>139 (60.7)</td>
<td>43 (15.8)</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulltime/part-time working</td>
<td>99 (34.3)</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>81 (34.0)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>134 (46.4)</td>
<td>116 (48.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed/ housekeeper</td>
<td>38 (13.1)</td>
<td>29 (12.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitated</td>
<td>18 (6.2)</td>
<td>12 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>35 (12.9)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 (8.3)</td>
<td>13 (5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>127 (44.1)</td>
<td>79 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>86 (29.9)</td>
<td>81 (29.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>51 (17.7)</td>
<td>63 (23.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication prescription</td>
<td>Nonusers (n = 361)</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Users (n = 272)</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Univariate p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes-related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral treatment only</td>
<td>251 (71.3)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>192 (71.9)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin treatment only</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of oral and insulin treatment</td>
<td>40 (11.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>23 (8.6)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medication</td>
<td>57 (16.2)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>51 (19.1)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbidity or complication related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>50 (14.2)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>47 (17.6)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blockers</td>
<td>128 (36.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>110 (41.2)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>121 (34.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>94 (35.2)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace and RAAS inhibitors</td>
<td>196 (55.7)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>141 (52.8)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other blood pressure lowering medications</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid lowering medication</td>
<td>280 (79.5)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>213 (79.8)</td>
<td>5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications and risk factors</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Users (n = 272)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular, total</strong></td>
<td>225 (96.2)</td>
<td>127 (35.2)</td>
<td>187 (98.4)</td>
<td>82 (30.1)</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular, specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris</td>
<td>41 (21.7)</td>
<td>172 (47.6)</td>
<td>28 (19.7)</td>
<td>130 (47.8)</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarct</td>
<td>29 (15.3)</td>
<td>172 (47.6)</td>
<td>23 (16.0)</td>
<td>128 (47.1)</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/chronic ischemic heart diseases</td>
<td>34 (16.1)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>24 (13.6)</td>
<td>96 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>191 (84.1)</td>
<td>134 (37.1)</td>
<td>164 (93.2)</td>
<td>96 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>12 (6.4)</td>
<td>174 (48.2)</td>
<td>7 (5.0)</td>
<td>133 (48.9)</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>13 (7.0)</td>
<td>176 (48.8)</td>
<td>10 (7.1)</td>
<td>132 (48.5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent claudication</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>7 (4.0)</td>
<td>96 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic aneurysms</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>96 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>15 (5.1)</td>
<td>68 (18.8)</td>
<td>11 (5.4)</td>
<td>68 (25.0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA</td>
<td>28 (9.6)</td>
<td>68 (18.8)</td>
<td>14 (6.8)</td>
<td>67 (24.6)</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>14 (8.1)</td>
<td>189 (52.4)</td>
<td>10 (7.4)</td>
<td>136 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>19 (9.3)</td>
<td>156 (43.2)</td>
<td>18 (10.2)</td>
<td>95 (34.9)</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal impairment</td>
<td>35 (18.6)</td>
<td>173 (47.9)</td>
<td>26 (18.6)</td>
<td>132 (48.5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>30 (14.5)</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td>20 (12.6)</td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>8 (5.8)</td>
<td>8 (5.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>5 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>49 (22.2)</td>
<td>140 (38.8)</td>
<td>39 (22.4)</td>
<td>98 (36.0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot complication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 0</td>
<td>228 (77.6)</td>
<td>67 (18.6)</td>
<td>161 (76.7)</td>
<td>62 (22.8)</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 1</td>
<td>57 (19.4)</td>
<td>40 (19.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 2 or 3</td>
<td>9 (3.1)</td>
<td>9 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>19 (9.0)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>9 (5.1)</td>
<td>96 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIMMS refers to risk factors in the diabetic foot, the number is the stage which ranges from 0–3.
0: no loss of protective sensibility (PS) & Peripheral arterial disease (PAV).
1: loss of PS or PAV, with no signs of increased local pressure.
2: loss of PS in combination with and/or PAV and/or signs of local elevated pressure.
3: ulcer or amputation in history.
Table 7: Scores on quality of care (Europep) of users and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items of Europep: patients who scored 4 (good) or 5 (excellent)</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Users (n = 272)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Making you feel you have time during consultation</td>
<td>337 (97.4)</td>
<td>15 (4.2)</td>
<td>256 (98.1)</td>
<td>11 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showing interest in your personal situation</td>
<td>324 (94.5)</td>
<td>18 (5.0)</td>
<td>246 (94.6)</td>
<td>12 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making it easy for you to tell him or her about your problem</td>
<td>323 (93.4)</td>
<td>15 (4.2)</td>
<td>245 (96.5)</td>
<td>18 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving you in decisions about your medical care</td>
<td>311 (92.3)</td>
<td>24 (6.6)</td>
<td>239 (94.1)</td>
<td>18 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to you</td>
<td>322 (92.3)</td>
<td>12 (3.3)</td>
<td>243 (94.6)</td>
<td>15 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping your records and data confidential</td>
<td>310 (95.7)</td>
<td>37 (10.2)</td>
<td>236 (95.9)</td>
<td>26 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing quick relief of your symptoms</td>
<td>272 (87.5)</td>
<td>50 (13.9)</td>
<td>201 (85.9)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping you to feel well so that you can perform your normal daily activities</td>
<td>265 (89.8)</td>
<td>66 (18.3)</td>
<td>196 (91.2)</td>
<td>57 (21.0)</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoroughness of the approach to your problems</td>
<td>308 (91.4)</td>
<td>24 (6.6)</td>
<td>227 (89.7)</td>
<td>19 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your physical examination</td>
<td>292 (90.1)</td>
<td>37 (10.2)</td>
<td>222 (92.1)</td>
<td>31 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering services for preventing diseases (screening, health checks, and immunizations)</td>
<td>286 (91.4)</td>
<td>48 (13.3)</td>
<td>225 (92.6)</td>
<td>29 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explaining the purpose of examinations, tests, and treatments</td>
<td>307 (93.0)</td>
<td>31 (8.6)</td>
<td>240 (93.8)</td>
<td>16 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telling you enough about your symptoms and/or illness</td>
<td>306 (92.2)</td>
<td>29 (8.0)</td>
<td>238 (93.3)</td>
<td>17 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping you deal with emotions related to your health status</td>
<td>198 (86.8)</td>
<td>133 (36.8)</td>
<td>133 (84.7)</td>
<td>115 (42.3)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping understand why it is important to follow the GP's advice</td>
<td>295 (89.7)</td>
<td>32 (8.9)</td>
<td>219 (89.4)</td>
<td>27 (9.9)</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing what has been done or told during previous contacts in the practice</td>
<td>270 (84.9)</td>
<td>43 (11.9)</td>
<td>219 (89.4)</td>
<td>27 (9.9)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing you for what to expect from specialists, hospital care, and other care providers</td>
<td>199 (85.4)</td>
<td>128 (35.5)</td>
<td>156 (83.5)</td>
<td>85 (31.3)</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is your assessment of the general practice over the last 12 months with respect to the following?

| The helpfulness of the practice staff (other than the doctor) to you | 313 (93.4) | 26 (7.2) | 235 (92.9) | 19 (7.0) | 0.878 |
| Getting an appointment to suit you                                | 301 (88.5) | 21 (5.8) | 224 (86.5) | 13 (4.8) | 0.639 |
| Getting through to the practice on telephone                     | 249 (73.0) | 20 (5.5) | 180 (69.5) | 13 (4.8) | 0.662 |
| Being able to talk to the general practitioner on the telephone  | 167 (70.5) | 124 (34.3)| 106 (63.1) | 104 (38.2)| 0.150 |
| Waiting time in the waiting room                                 | 246 (71.3) | 16 (4.4) | 170 (65.4) | 12 (4.4) | 0.175 |
| Providing quick services for urgent health problems               | 241 (90.3) | 94 (26.0) | 171 (86.8) | 75 (27.6) | 0.398 |

Influenced by (an insufficient) intrinsic motivation and (no) intention to change behaviours. Another explanation could be that patients do not see the platform as useful or as an added value to regular treatment. As an alternative explanation, login procedures might be too difficult and after trying for some time they might give up. Previous research showed that web-portals and online care platforms are susceptible to implementation problems, low participation rates, and nonadherence, which, amongst others, can be caused by a mismatch in expectations between software developers, health care providers, and users [30–37]. Other reasons for limited use of care platforms or
Table 8: Scores on quality of life (EQ-5D), well-being (WHO-5), diabetes-related distress (PAID-5), and self-care behavior (SDSCA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Users (n = 272)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D index-score</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>36 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-VAS</td>
<td>74.7 ± 17.4 (80.0–90.0)</td>
<td>74 (20.5)</td>
<td>78.4 ± 14.9 (80.0–90.0)</td>
<td>40 (14.7)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 index-score</td>
<td>70.4 ± 17.9 (76.0–80.0)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>72.7 ± 14.2 (76.0–80.0)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 score indicates suboptimal well-being, screening depression advised</td>
<td>36 (12.6)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>17 (7.3)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5 answers advise screening depression</td>
<td>43 (15.5)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>17 (7.3)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAID-5 total score</td>
<td>2.8 ± 3.1 (2.0–4.5)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.5 (1.0–3.0)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAID-5 score indicates distress</td>
<td>15 (5.3)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>6 (2.6)</td>
<td>38 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General diet in number of days</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.8 (6.0–7.0)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.8 (6.0–7.0)</td>
<td>37 (13.6)</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific diet in number of days</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.1 (5.7–6.3)</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.0 (6.0–6.7)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise in number of days</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.0 (4.0–5.5)</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.8 (4.0–5.5)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-glucose in number of days</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.2 (1.0–4.0)</td>
<td>74 (20.5)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.2 (1.0–3.5)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot-care in number of days</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0 (1.5–3.5)</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0 (1.0–3.5)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication in number of days</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.0 (7.0–7.0)</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.5 (7.0–7.0)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>54 (25.1)</td>
<td>146 (40.4)</td>
<td>38 (22.8)</td>
<td>105 (38.6)</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nonadherence rates are as follows: abundance of functionalities on a platform, no connection with the needs of patients, implementation by management only without active involvement of care providers, no embedding in the regular care process, no space for habituation, underestimation of the complexity of lifestyle changes in general [38], and barriers to easy access to a portal (e.g., complicated login procedures). Despite the use of focus groups for designing and testing, these reasons might also be applicable to the e-Vita platform and improvements could be made.

The current study has some limitations. A preselection of participants could in part have influenced results. Only patients who expressed their interest received a user-ID [14]; see also Figure 1. Relevant and significant differences might be more difficult to find.

Data were not complete for all patients, especially with regard to complications and risk factors (complete for 50–60%; see Tables 6 and 11). This may have led to an underestimation of presence of complications and risk factors. In addition, not all patients were seen by their GP or PN for the regular yearly check-up in the year 2012, which contributed to missing values in clinical parameters. Some questions about the assessment of the general practice and the general practitioner were poorly answered in general. A reason for this could be social desirability; patients may not like to be negative about their GP and prefer not answering these questions.

Although the online care platform e-Vita was designed for being suitable for all T2DM patients, a general assumption is that those with greater severity of disease, lower mood, progression of the disease, and complications would probably benefit most from an online care platform. However, when assessing the presented results, these patients use the platform the least.

Possibly, the current users were already more in control of their life and health and could therefore be more open to other forms of support, including e-Health facilities. Challenges to reach other patients remain manifold. A patients’ passive attitude may not be overcome by only providing e-facilities, since one’s interest and the sense of disease burden are low or even absent in the majority of the T2DM population. Factors as knowledge, motivation, and intention could be considered in future research.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and clinical parameters</th>
<th>Nonusers ($n = 361$)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Curious users ($n = 184$)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Active users ($n = 88$)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate $p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$ (%)/mean ± SD/median (25–75 quartiles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>214 (59.3) 62.1 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>113 (61.4) 61.8 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>50 (56.8) 62.0 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>63.0 (56.5–68.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>62.0 (56.3–68.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>63.0 (57.0–67.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>292 (99.0)</td>
<td>66 (18.3)</td>
<td>143 (100)</td>
<td>41 (22.3)</td>
<td>65 (100)</td>
<td>23 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM duration in years</td>
<td>6.2 ± 4.6</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c in mmol/mol</td>
<td>50.0 (45.0–54.0)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>48.0 (43.0–54.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>46.0 (43.0–50.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>29.0 (26.5–32.5)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>29.3 (26.9–32.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>28.0 (26.0–32.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure in mmHg</td>
<td>135.6 ± 15.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>137.2 ± 16.3</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>135.1 ± 15.3</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol in mmol/L</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL in mmol/L</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol/HDL ratio</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>160 (44.3)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>57 (31.0)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>35 (39.8)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL in mmol/L</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>12 (3.3)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides in mmol/L</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine in $\mu$mol/L</td>
<td>77.0 (67.0–88.0)</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>79.0 (68.0–92.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>75.5 (66.0–85.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alb./creat. ratio in mg/mmol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.7 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>23 (10.7)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>18 (15.9)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>31 (21.1)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.7 (0.4–1.5)</td>
<td>8 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6 (0.3–0.9)</td>
<td>10 (26.4)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDRD in mL/min/1.73 m$^2$</td>
<td>791 ± 49.0</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>75.7 ± 16.2</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>75.5 (61.0–89.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54 (15.1)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>30 (16.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>11 (12.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>158 (44.1)</td>
<td>73 (39.7)</td>
<td>98 (54.9)</td>
<td>31 (35.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>146 (40.8)</td>
<td>80 (43.7)</td>
<td>48 (25.7)</td>
<td>46 (52.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption in units/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>166 (58.9)</td>
<td>79 (21.9)</td>
<td>98 (60.9)</td>
<td>23 (12.5)</td>
<td>41 (60.3)</td>
<td>20 (22.7)</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>61 (21.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (23.6)</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>39 (13.8)</td>
<td>17 (10.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11 (3.9)</td>
<td>7 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulltime/part-time working</td>
<td>99 (34.3)</td>
<td>72 (21.9)</td>
<td>61 (39.6)</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>20 (23.8)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>134 (46.4)</td>
<td>70 (45.5)</td>
<td>46 (54.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed/housekeeper</td>
<td>38 (13.1)</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitated</td>
<td>18 (6.2)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>9 (10.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>31 (16.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>24 (8.3)</td>
<td>9 (5.9)</td>
<td>4 (8.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>127 (44.1)</td>
<td>52 (34.0)</td>
<td>27 (32.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>86 (29.9)</td>
<td>51 (33.3)</td>
<td>30 (35.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>51 (17.7)</td>
<td>40 (26.1)</td>
<td>23 (27.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 10: Medication prescription of curious users, active users, and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication prescription</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Curious users (n = 184)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Active users (n = 88)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes-related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral treatment only</td>
<td>251 (71.3)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>128 (71.5)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>64 (72.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin treatment only</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of oral and insulin treatment</td>
<td>40 (11.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>18 (10.1)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medication</td>
<td>57 (16.2)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>32 (17.9)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>19 (21.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbidity or complication related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>50 (14.2)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>31 (17.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>16 (18.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blockers</td>
<td>128 (36.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>74 (41.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>36 (40.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>121 (34.4)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>63 (35.2)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>31 (35.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace and RAAS inhibitors</td>
<td>196 (55.7)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>94 (52.5)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>47 (53.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other blood pressure lowering medications</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid lowering medication</td>
<td>280 (79.5)</td>
<td>9 (2.5)</td>
<td>141 (78.8)</td>
<td>5 (2.7)</td>
<td>72 (81.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table 11: Complications and risk factors of curious users, active users, and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications and risk factors</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Curious users (n = 184)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Active users (n = 88)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular, total</strong></td>
<td>225 (96.2)</td>
<td>127 (35.2)</td>
<td>128 (98.5)</td>
<td>54 (29.3)</td>
<td>59 (98.3)</td>
<td>28 (31.8)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular, specific</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris</td>
<td>41 (21.7)</td>
<td>172 (47.6)</td>
<td>21 (21.4)</td>
<td>86 (46.7)</td>
<td>7 (15.9)</td>
<td>44 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarct</td>
<td>29 (15.3)</td>
<td>172 (47.6)</td>
<td>15 (15.3)</td>
<td>86 (46.7)</td>
<td>8 (17.4)</td>
<td>42 (47.7)</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/chronic ischemic heart diseases</td>
<td>34 (16.1)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>18 (14.9)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>6 (10.9)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>191 (84.1)</td>
<td>134 (37.1)</td>
<td>113 (93.4)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>51 (92.7)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>12 (6.4)</td>
<td>174 (48.2)</td>
<td>4 (4.2)</td>
<td>88 (47.8)</td>
<td>3 (7.0)</td>
<td>43 (51.1)</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>13 (7.0)</td>
<td>176 (48.8)</td>
<td>6 (6.1)</td>
<td>86 (46.7)</td>
<td>4 (9.5)</td>
<td>46 (52.3)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent claudication</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>3 (5.5)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic aneurysms</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>15 (5.1)</td>
<td>68 (18.8)</td>
<td>7 (5.0)</td>
<td>43 (23.4)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
<td>25 (28.4)</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA</td>
<td>28 (9.6)</td>
<td>68 (18.8)</td>
<td>9 (6.4)</td>
<td>43 (23.4)</td>
<td>5 (7.8)</td>
<td>24 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>14 (8.1)</td>
<td>189 (52.4)</td>
<td>9 (9.4)</td>
<td>88 (47.8)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>48 (54.5)</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>19 (9.3)</td>
<td>156 (43.2)</td>
<td>14 (11.7)</td>
<td>64 (34.8)</td>
<td>4 (7.0)</td>
<td>31 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal impairment</td>
<td>35 (18.6)</td>
<td>173 (47.9)</td>
<td>15 (15.5)</td>
<td>87 (47.3)</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
<td>45 (51.1)</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albuminuria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>30 (14.5)</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td>14 (12.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>6 (12.5)</td>
<td>2 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>8 (5.8)</td>
<td>8 (5.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (10.5)</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>49 (22.2)</td>
<td>140 (38.8)</td>
<td>30 (24.6)</td>
<td>62 (33.7)</td>
<td>9 (17.3)</td>
<td>36 (40.9)</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foot complication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 0</td>
<td>228 (77.6)</td>
<td>67 (18.6)</td>
<td>105 (73.4)</td>
<td>41 (22.3)</td>
<td>56 (83.6)</td>
<td>21 (23.9)</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 1</td>
<td>57 (19.4)</td>
<td>31 (21.7)</td>
<td>9 (13.4)</td>
<td>9 (13.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMMs 2 or 3</td>
<td>9 (3.1)</td>
<td>7 (4.9)</td>
<td>2 (3.6)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>19 (9.0)</td>
<td>150 (41.6)</td>
<td>7 (5.8)</td>
<td>63 (34.2)</td>
<td>2 (3.6)</td>
<td>33 (37.5)</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item of Europep:</td>
<td>Nonusers (n = 361)</td>
<td>Missing Curious users (n = 184)</td>
<td>Missing Active users (n = 88)</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Univariate p value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What is your assessment of the general practitioner over the last 12 months with respect to the following?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making you feel that you have time during consultation</td>
<td>737 (95.1)</td>
<td>105 (11.9)</td>
<td>174 (99.4)</td>
<td>9 (4.9)</td>
<td>82 (95.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showing interest in your personal situation</td>
<td>707 (91.6)</td>
<td>108 (12.3)</td>
<td>165 (94.8)</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
<td>81 (94.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making it easy for you to tell him or her about your problem</td>
<td>694 (92.2)</td>
<td>127 (14.4)</td>
<td>165 (98.2)</td>
<td>16 (8.7)</td>
<td>80 (93.0)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td><strong>0.047</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving you in decisions about your medical care</td>
<td>680 (90.7)</td>
<td>130 (14.8)</td>
<td>159 (93.5)</td>
<td>14 (7.6)</td>
<td>80 (95.2)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to you</td>
<td>709 (92.0)</td>
<td>109 (12.4)</td>
<td>163 (94.8)</td>
<td>12 (6.5)</td>
<td>80 (94.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping your records and data confidential</td>
<td>661 (93.8)</td>
<td>175 (19.9)</td>
<td>161 (97.0)</td>
<td>18 (9.8)</td>
<td>75 (93.8)</td>
<td>8 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing quick relief of your symptoms</td>
<td>588 (83.9)</td>
<td>179 (20.3)</td>
<td>137 (86.2)</td>
<td>25 (13.6)</td>
<td>64 (83.3)</td>
<td>13 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping you to feel well so that you can perform your normal daily activities</td>
<td>599 (89.7)</td>
<td>212 (24.1)</td>
<td>135 (91.8)</td>
<td>37 (20.1)</td>
<td>61 (89.7)</td>
<td>20 (22.7)</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoroughness of the approach to your problems</td>
<td>675 (90.7)</td>
<td>136 (15.5)</td>
<td>153 (90.5)</td>
<td>15 (8.2)</td>
<td>74 (88.1)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your physical examination</td>
<td>639 (90.8)</td>
<td>176 (20.0)</td>
<td>153 (92.7)</td>
<td>19 (10.3)</td>
<td>69 (90.8)</td>
<td>12 (13.6)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering services for preventing diseases (screening, health checks, and immunizations)</td>
<td>653 (92.5)</td>
<td>174 (19.8)</td>
<td>151 (91.5)</td>
<td>19 (10.3)</td>
<td>74 (94.9)</td>
<td>10 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explaining the purpose of examinations, tests, and treatments</td>
<td>677 (92.4)</td>
<td>147 (16.7)</td>
<td>163 (94.8)</td>
<td>12 (6.5)</td>
<td>77 (91.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telling you enough about your symptoms and/or illness</td>
<td>662 (90.2)</td>
<td>146 (16.6)</td>
<td>158 (92.4)</td>
<td>13 (7.1)</td>
<td>80 (95.2)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping you deal with emotions related to your health status</td>
<td>424 (84.8)</td>
<td>380 (43.2)</td>
<td>89 (86.4)</td>
<td>81 (44.0)</td>
<td>44 (81.5)</td>
<td>34 (38.6)</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping understand why it is important to follow the GP’s advice</td>
<td>631 (89.1)</td>
<td>172 (19.5)</td>
<td>146 (89.6)</td>
<td>21 (11.4)</td>
<td>73 (89.0)</td>
<td>6 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing what has been done or told during previous contacts in the practice</td>
<td>601 (86.8)</td>
<td>188 (21.4)</td>
<td>146 (92.4)</td>
<td>21 (10.9)</td>
<td>71 (87.7)</td>
<td>7 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing you for what to expect from specialists, hospital care, and other care providers</td>
<td>424 (82.8)</td>
<td>368 (41.8)</td>
<td>107 (8.3)</td>
<td>54 (29.3)</td>
<td>49 (86.0)</td>
<td>31 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is your assessment of the general practice over the last 12 months with respect to the following?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item of assessment</th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing Curious users (n = 184)</th>
<th>Missing Active users (n = 88)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The helpfulness of the practice staff (other than the doctor) to you</td>
<td>313 (93.4)</td>
<td>26 (7.2)</td>
<td>158 (92.9)</td>
<td>14 (7.6)</td>
<td>77 (92.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting an appointment to suit you</td>
<td>301 (88.5)</td>
<td>21 (5.8)</td>
<td>152 (86.9)</td>
<td>9 (4.9)</td>
<td>72 (85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting through to the practice on telephone</td>
<td>249 (73.0)</td>
<td>20 (5.5)</td>
<td>120 (68.6)</td>
<td>9 (4.9)</td>
<td>60 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to talk to the general practitioner on the telephone</td>
<td>167 (70.5)</td>
<td>124 (34.3)</td>
<td>71 (62.8)</td>
<td>71 (38.6)</td>
<td>35 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting time in the waiting room</td>
<td>246 (71.3)</td>
<td>16 (4.4)</td>
<td>119 (68.4)</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
<td>51 (59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing quick services for urgent health problems</td>
<td>241 (90.3)</td>
<td>94 (26.0)</td>
<td>118 (86.8)</td>
<td>48 (26.1)</td>
<td>53 (86.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Scores on quality of care (Europep) of curious users, active users, and nonusers.
Table 13: Scores on quality of life (EQ-5D), well-being (WHO-5), diabetes-related distress (PAID-5), and self-care behavior (SDSCA) of curious users, active users, and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonusers (n = 361)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Curious users (n = 184)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Active users (n = 88)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Univariate p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQ-5D index-score</strong></td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32 (17.4)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.7 ± 17.4</td>
<td>79.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>80.0 (73.0–90.0)</td>
<td>35 (19.0)</td>
<td>76.9 ± 16.5</td>
<td>80.0 (0.0–90.0)</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQ-VAS</strong></td>
<td>70.4 ± 17.9</td>
<td>74.1 ± 12.7</td>
<td>76.0 (68.0–80.0)</td>
<td>33 (17.9)</td>
<td>70.2 ± 16.5</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHO-5 index-score</strong></td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>76 (60.0–80.0)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>76 (60.0–80.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHO-5 score indicates suboptimal well-being, screening depression advised</strong></td>
<td>36 (12.6)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>8 (5.3)</td>
<td>33 (17.9)</td>
<td>9 (10.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHO-5 answers advise screening depression</strong></td>
<td>43 (15.5)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>33 (17.9)</td>
<td>11 (13.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAID-5 total score</strong></td>
<td>2.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>32 (17.4)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAID-5 score indicates distress</strong></td>
<td>15 (5.3)</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>4 (2.6)</td>
<td>32 (17.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDSCA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General diet in number of days</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>76 (21.1)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>32 (17.4)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (5.5–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific diet in number of days</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7 (4.7–6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–6.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (5.3–6.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise in number of days</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 (2.5–5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 (2.9–5.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8 (2.5–5.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-glucose in number of days</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>74 (20.5)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (0.5–2.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot-care in number of days</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>72 (19.9)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 (0.0–3.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–3.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–3.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication in number of days</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>73 (20.2)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 (7.0–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 (7.0–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 (7.0–7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>54 (25.1)</td>
<td>146 (40.4)</td>
<td>24 (21.8)</td>
<td>74 (40.2)</td>
<td>14 (24.6)</td>
<td>31 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appendices**

A. Results of Users and Nonusers of the Online Care Platform e-Vita

See Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8.

B. Results of Curious Users, Active Users, and Nonusers of the Online Care Platform e-Vita

See Tables 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.
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