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Abstract

This paper presents two contributions to the stability analysis of periodic systems modeled by a Hill equation: The first is a new method for the computation of the Arnold Tongues associated to a given Hill equation which is based on the discretization of the latter. Using the proposed method, a vibrational stabilization is performed by a change in the periodic function which guarantees stability, given that the original equation has unbounded solutions. The results are illustrated by some examples.
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1. Introduction

The Hill equation is a second order differential equation of the form

\[ \ddot{x}(t) + (\alpha + \beta p(t)) x(t) = 0, \quad p(t + T) = p(t), \]

where \( \alpha, \beta \) are real numbers and \( T \) is the minimal period of \( p(t) \). Without loss of generality, it is assumed through this document that the periodic function \( p(t) \) is of zero average, i.e.

\[ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T p(t) \, dt = 0. \]

Equation (1) can always be rewritten as a two dimensional first order Linear Periodic (LP) system

\[ \dot{y}(t) = A(t) y(t), \quad A(t + T) = A(t) \]

\[ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\alpha - \beta p(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} y(t). \]
As Lyapunov proved in [1], the asymptotic stability of an LP system is equivalent to the asymptotic stability of a Linear Time Invariant (LTI) system under a periodic change of coordinates. Unfortunately, in order to obtain the LTI system, it is required to explicitly have the solution of the original LP system, and with some few exceptions, this is in general impossible.

1.1. Background

There exist plenty of references regarding the stability analysis of Hill equations (or more generally of periodic systems) as well as for the study of Arnold Tongues [2]-[6]. Some classical references are: [1] [7]-[9], while more recently there are [10]-[13], and some others. Less attention has been dedicated to the Arnold Tongues computation [10], maybe because the most common method of study would be numerical integration. There exist some other ways to obtain the stability/instability diagrams based on: infinite Hill determinants [14], the computation of the discriminant [15] [16], or perturbation methods. In the method of infinite determinants, an infinite dimensional matrix has to be truncated at some dimension, and the number of Tongues to be computed is proportional to the dimension of such truncated matrix [16]. On the other hand, perturbation method, which expresses the solution and some parameters as (truncated) power series of \( \beta \), necessarily requires \( \beta \) to be small; so the applicability of that method is limited. Then, it seems that there is a necessity of new and efficient techniques to compute Arnold Tongues. That is one of the motivations of this document.

1.2. Motivation

Stability analysis of periodic systems is a topic of high interest in e.g. engineering. In particular, due to the existence of parametric resonance [3] [11] [17], it becomes crucial to be able to design controllers that can overcome such effects. Some examples of physical systems modeled by a Hill equation and the effects of parametric resonance can be found in [17]-[20]. Moreover, the understanding and control of parametric resonance for electric applications is currently receiving much attention [21]-[23]. Then, techniques to eliminate (or promote) parametric resonance have immediate impact in such applications. As shown below, Arnold Tongues are a graphical way to visualize the parameter set of a Hill equation prone to such effect. Thus, developing new and fast techniques to obtain Arnold Tongues and to modify them is very relevant for the above context, and in fact those are the contributions of this paper.

1.3. Contribution

In this paper, the first result shows a new method for computing Arnold Tongues which is valid for a wide range of values of parameters \( (\alpha, \beta) \). It also offers information on whether the given parameters correspond to a stable or unstable solution of (1). One advantage of the presented numerical method is that it is faster compared to numerical integration. Our second contribution is the design of a vibrational controller
that stabilizes an operating point of a Hill equation. The basic idea of our second contribution is presented on “On Vibrational Control and Vibrational Stabilization” [24]. The main difference with [24] is that Meerkov considers LTI systems with negative trace and introduces a periodic variation of some parameters. In this paper, in contrast, we consider an unstable linear periodic systems with no restriction on the trace of the system matrix. So, in a way, we extend the results of [24].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, some preliminaries as Floquet theory, the stability of linear periodic systems and some results of linear algebra are reviewed. Next, in section 3, (1) is transformed to a Linear Shift Invariant approximation of it; then, the proposed numerical method to compute the Arnold Tongues is presented and a computational time comparison is provided. In section 4, the main result of this document is presented, namely, a novel scheme to stabilize a linear periodic system is provided and afterwards, the result is illustrated with an example. Finally, in section 5 some concluding remarks as well as some discussion are provided.

The following notation is used through the paper: \( \mathbb{N} \) represents the natural numbers, given a square matrix \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), its determinant is denoted as \( |A| \), the set of its eigenvalues counting multiplicities is denoted as \( \sigma(A) = \{ \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \} \). The polynomials \( p_A(\lambda) \) and \( m_A(\lambda) \) represent the characteristic and minimal polynomial of \( A \) respectively.

2. Preliminaries

This section reviews the Floquet Theorem, which provides a factorization of the state transition matrix of a Linear Periodic System, this factorization allows to determine the stability of the LP system (3) from the algebraic localization of the eigenvalues of the Monodromy Matrix. Also, a result concerning the determinant of some special sum of matrices is given.

Consider (3), its solution is given by

\[
y(t) = \Phi(t, t_0) y(t_0), \quad \forall t, t_0 \in \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( \Phi(t, t_0) \) is the state transition matrix and is given by the solution of [7] [14] [25]

\[
\Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0) \Phi(t_0, t_0) = I.
\]

The periodicity of the system leads to the periodicity of the state transition matrix

\[
\Phi(t + T, t_0 + T) = \Phi(t, t_0).
\]

The transition matrix over one period is defined as the Monodromy matrix \( M \)

\[
M := \Phi(t_0 + T, t_0).
\]

Remark 2.1. \( \sigma(M) \) is independent on \( t_0 \) [26] [27].

One of the basic tools used for the stability analysis of periodic systems is based on Floquet theory.
Theorem 2.1 (Floquet [27]). Consider the homogeneous system given by (3), then there exists a periodic invertible matrix \( P(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) and a constant matrix \( R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) such that the state transition matrix of the system can be written as
\[
\Phi(t,t_0) = P^{-1}(t) \exp \left( R(t-t_0) \right) P(t_0),
\]
where \( P(t+T) = P(t) \).
Evaluating (8) over one period \( T \) leads to
\[
\Phi(t_0 + T, t_0) = P^{-1}(t_0 + T) \exp (RT) P(t_0).
\]
That is, the Monodromy matrix \( M \) is similar to \( \exp (RT) \). Assume \( t_0 = 0 \), then \( \forall t \geq 0 \), \( t = kT + \tau \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \tau \in [0,T) \), then
\[
y(t) = \Phi(t,0) y(0)
\]
\[
= \Phi(kT + \tau,0) y(0)
\]
\[
= \Phi(kT + \tau,\tau) \Phi(\tau,0) y(0)
\]
\[
= \Phi_1(t) \Phi(\tau,0) y(0)
\]
\[
= M^k \Phi(\tau,0) y(0).
\]
In (10), the factor \( \Phi(\tau,0) \) and \( y(0) \) are bounded, therefore \( y(t) \) will be bounded if and only if \( \left[ M^k \right] \) is bounded; i.e., boundedness of the solution \( y(t) \) of (3) depends only on the eigenvalues of the Monodromy Matrix which from (9) are the same as the eigenvalues of \( \exp (RT) \), there the importance of the calculation of \( M \).

Theorem 2.2 (Lyapunov [17]). The periodic system (3) is:
1) Exponentially stable if \( \sigma(M) \subset \mathbb{B}_1 = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1 \} \).
2) Stable if \( \sigma(M) \subset \mathbb{B}_1 = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1 \} \) and if \( \lambda_i \in \sigma(M) \) such that \( |\lambda_i| = 1 \) then \( \lambda_i \) is a simple root of \( m_M(\lambda) \).
3) Unstable if \( \exists \lambda \in \sigma(M) \) such that \( |\lambda| > 1 \), or if \( \sigma(M) \subset \mathbb{B}_1 \) and \( \exists \lambda \in \sigma(M) \) such that \( |\lambda| = 1 \) and it is a multiple root of \( m_M(\lambda) \)

The following results are useful in the analysis of the rest of the paper. Let \( I_n \) represent the identity matrix of dimension \( (n \times n) \).

Theorem 2.3. Let \( R \) denote an \( (n \times n) \) matrix, \( S \) an \( (n \times m) \) matrix, \( T \) an \( (m \times m) \) matrix, and \( U \) an \( (m \times n) \) matrix. If \( R \) and \( T \) are non-singular then
\[
|R + STU| = |R||T||T^{-1} + UR^{-1}S|.
\]
Proof.\(^3\)
\[
|R + STU| = |R(I_n + R^{-1}STU)| \tag{*}
\]
\[
= |R||I_n + R^{-1}STU| \tag{**}
\]
\[
= |R||I_n + TUR^{-1}S| \tag{12}
\]
\[
= |R||T||T^{-1} + UR^{-1}S|
\]
\[\square\]
\(^3\)In (*) we have used the fact: \( |MN| = |M||N| \), and in (**) we have used: if \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), then \( |I_n + AB| = |I_n + BA| \).
Lemma 2.1 ([28]) For all \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), and for all \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \):
\[
\|AB - BA\| = \|BA - AB\|.
\]  
(13)

The previous Lemma states that \( p_{AB}(\lambda) = p_{BA}(\lambda) \), and therefore, \( \sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA) \).

Corollary 2.1. Consider a set of matrices: \( \{A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{m-1}, A_m, A_{m+1}, \ldots, A_{r-1}, A_r\} \), where \( 0 < m < r \in \mathbb{N} \), all matrices of dimensions \( (n \times n) \), then
\[
\|I_n - A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r\| = \|I_n - A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r A_0 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m\| = \|I_n - A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r\|.
\]  
(14)

The same conclusion of Lemma 2.1 applies, i.e.
\[
\sigma(A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r) = \sigma(A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m) = \sigma(A_0 \cdots A_{m-1} A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{r-1} A_r A_0).
\]  
(15)

3. From a Linear Periodic System to a Discrete Shift Invariant System

Consider a system given by (1). There are, in principle, two ways to determine its stability. One using numerical integration of the solution \( x(t) \) and the other is to approximate (1) by a discrete time system and solve it. Then, the solution of the latter is an approximation of \( x(t) \). In this paper the last method is used. The advantage of such approach is that it is always possible to find an analytical solution of the approximated discrete problem.

Lemma 3.1 ([29]). Any p-norm of the approximation error, under finite difference methods, of functions defined on a finite interval is bounded.

Remark 3.1. Observe that knowing the solution of (3) in the interval \([0,T]\) allows to know the solution everywhere.

Performing a discretization based on the centered finite-difference method [30] to (1), the following approximation is obtained
\[
x_{k+1} + (\Delta t)^2 \left( \alpha + \beta p(k \cdot \Delta t) + 2 \right) x_k + x_{k-1} = 0,
\]  
(16)

where \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), and \( \Delta t = K \) with \( K \in \mathbb{N} \), is the sampling period.

Remark 3.2.
- Centered finite-difference has an error of approximation of \( \bar{x} \) of order \( O((\Delta t)^2) \).
- In the sampling process, the continuous-time period \( T \) has to be divided in an integer number of samples per period, \( K \); i.e., \( T = K\Delta t \), with \( K \) a positive integer. This restriction guarantees that the obtained discrete-time system is \( K \)-periodic. If this constrain is not satisfied, the resulting discrete-time system is quasi-periodic and the Floquet Theory is not longer valid [31].

As \( \Delta t \) decreases, (16) approximates better to the behavior of the original Equation (1). In state space (16) is rewritten as
In (17) \( A_k \) is \( K \)-periodic. During one period \( K \), the index \( k \) takes the values \( k = 0,1,2,\ldots,K-1 \), so it follows that

\[
y_1 = A_0 y_0 \\
y_2 = A_1 y_1 = A_1 A_0 y_0 \\
\vdots \\
y_K = A_{K-1} y_{K-1} = A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0 y_0
\]

but in the next period

\[
y_{K+1} = (A_0 A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0) y_0 \\
y_{K+2} = (A_0 A_1 A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0) y_1, \\
y_{K+3} = (A_0 A_1 A_2 A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0) y_2, \\
\vdots \\
y_{K+K} = (A_0 A_1 A_2 \cdots A_1 A_0)^2 y_0 \\
= (A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0) y_2,
\]

and so on. Then, using the Lifting Technique [26] the next Shift Invariant system is obtained.

\[
z_k = \begin{bmatrix} y_{kk+1} \\ y_{kk+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{kk-K} \end{bmatrix} \\
z_{k+1} = A_k z_k, \quad k = 0,1,2,\ldots,
\]

where

\[
A_k = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 A_2 A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{K-1} A_{K-2} \cdots A_1 A_0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

\textbf{Remark 3.3.} \textit{Roughly speaking, the Lifting Technique augments the dimension of the state \( K \) times. Then the augmented system evaluated every \( K \) samples turns to be shift-invariant.}

In (20) \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{2K \times 2K} \), so the dimension of the Shift Invariant System increases as the sampling period decreases. Equation (20) is equivalent to (17), so as \( \Delta t \) decreases, the solution of (20) gives a better approximation of the solution of (1), but it is important to notice that the stability problem of (20) can be solved analytically. The stability
The analysis of (17) is now simpler: $\mathbb{A}$ is a block diagonal matrix and because of Corollary 2.1 each block of $\mathbb{A}$ has the same eigenvalues. Moreover, the last block of $\mathbb{A}$ results to be the Discrete Monodromy Matrix associated to (17), then the stability of the Shift Invariant System (20) is reduced to the analysis of any of the diagonal blocks of $\mathbb{A}$.

The first contribution of this paper is then stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider an homogeneous periodic differential equation
\[ \dot{x} + \left(\alpha + \beta p(t)\right)x = 0, \]
where $p(t+T) = p(t)$ and $T$ is the minimum period, and its sampled approximation
\[ x_{k+1} + (\Delta t)^2 \left(\alpha + \beta p(k \cdot \Delta t + 2)\right)x_k + x_{k-1} = 0, \]
where $T = K \Delta t$ with $K$ an integer. Then
1) (23) can always be solved analytically via Lifting Technique, which leads to a Shift Invariant System
\[ z_{k+1} = \mathbb{A}z_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]
2) The stability of (23) is given by the eigenvalues of any of the blocks of the constant matrix $\mathbb{A}$.

**Remark 3.4.** The solution of the Shift Invariant System (23) and its stability analysis under small sampling periods $\Delta t$, are approximately equivalent to their counterparts in the continuous time system (22).

**Example 3.1.** As an example let the periodic function $p(t)$ in (22) by given by
\[ p(t) = 2 \cos(t) + \sin(2t) + \sin(4t) + \cos(8t). \]
In Figure 1 the Arnold Tongues computed with the method proposed in this document are shown. The gray zones represent unstable solutions of (22) while white zones represent stable solutions. Only this graph is presented since its difference to the one obtained via numerical integration is indistinguishable.

**Notation:** The first instability region is labeled as 0, and the following accordingly with natural numbers, the Arnold Tongues starts at $\alpha = \frac{k^2}{4}$ and we refer to the $k$-th Arnold Tongue [7].

The same stability diagrams were also computed using numerical integration, both algorithms on a computer with an Intel Core 2 Duo processor at 2.6 GHz and 1 Gb of RAM, having in both cases 360 samples per period, and the same increment for the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the computational time comparison is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that the computational time of the proposed method is much lower (approximately 20 times faster) than the time needed for computing the same chart using numerical integration.

---

2Recall that the shift invariant system $z_{i+1} = \mathbb{A}z_i$ is

(a) Exponentially stable if $\sigma(\mathbb{A}) \subset \bar{D}_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$.

(b) Stable if $\sigma(\mathbb{A}) \subset \bar{D}_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ and if $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbb{A})$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$ then $\lambda$ is a simple root of $m_\lambda(\lambda)$.

(c) Unstable if $\exists \lambda \in \sigma(\mathbb{A})$ such that $|\lambda| > 1$, or if $|\lambda| = 1$ and it is a multiple root of $m_\lambda(\lambda)$.
Figure 1. Arnold Tongues computed using the method proposed in this document. Observe in Table 1 that the computation based on the lifting technique is considerably faster than the classical numerical integration method.

Table 1. Time comparison of computation methods of the stability diagram of Figure 1. The parameters are $\alpha \in [0, 15]$ and $\beta \in [0, 6]$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Computational Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical integration</td>
<td>75,615 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretization of the ODE and Lifting</td>
<td>4300 sec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Vibrational Stabilization

In this section the second contribution of this paper is presented. Suppose that the pair $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is fixed, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{ r \in \mathbb{R} : r > 0 \}$, such that

$$\ddot{x}(t) + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) x(t) = 0, \quad p(t + T) = p(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

has unbounded solutions. Let us introduce a vibrational control scheme which consists on modifying $p(t)$ by $p(t) + \gamma q(mt)$, where $q(mt)$ is $\frac{1}{m}T$-periodic, i.e.,

$$q\left(t + \frac{1}{m}T\right) = q(t).$$

This results in the forced system

$$\ddot{x}(t) + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) x(t) = u(t), \quad u(t) = -\gamma q(mt) x(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The goal is to design a controller $u(t)$ such that the system

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \left(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 \left[p(t) + \gamma q(mt)\right]\right)x(t) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

is stable for $\gamma = \gamma_0$. The following result shows how to design $q(mt)$ and $\gamma_0$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider two linear periodic systems, of the same dimensions $A(t), B(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, and of the same structure
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\[ \dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad A(t + T) = A(t), \] (28)

where
\[ A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha + \beta p(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \] (29)

and
\[ \dot{y} = B(t)y, \quad B\left(t + \frac{1}{m}T\right) = B(t), \] (30)

where
\[ B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha + \beta q(mt) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \] (31)

Denote by \( M_a \) and \( M_b \) the corresponding monodromy matrices and suppose that both systems are unstable, i.e., \( |\text{tr}(M_a)| > 2 \) and \( |\text{tr}(M_b)| > 2 \), under the additional condition that \( \text{sign}\left(\text{tr}(M_a)\right) = -\text{sign}\left(\text{tr}(M_b)\right) \). Then there exists a constant \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) such that for the system:
\[ \dot{z} = C(t)z, \quad C(t) = (1-\gamma)A(t) + \gamma B(t), \] (32)

where
\[ C(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha + \beta\left[(1-\gamma)p(t) + \gamma q(mt)\right] & 0 \end{bmatrix} \] (33)

Note that \( \text{tr}(M_c) = 0 \), and therefore (32) it is stable.

**Remark 4.1.** If \((\alpha_0, \beta_0)\) belongs to the \(n\)-th Arnold Tongue for (28) and \((\alpha_0, \beta_0)\) belongs to the \(m = (n+1)\)-th Arnold Tongue for (30), then the condition \( \text{sign}(\text{tr}(M_a)) = -\text{sign}(\text{tr}(M_b)) \) holds.

**Proof.** The proof is performed in discrete time. The Monodromy Matrix associated to (32) is:
\[ M_c = \prod_{k=0}^{K-1}\left\{(1-\gamma)A_k + \gamma B_k\right\} \]
\[ = (1-\gamma)^KM_a + (\cdots \text{crossed terms} \cdots) + \gamma^K M_b. \]

Then, define
\[ f(\gamma) := \text{tr}(M_c) = (1-\gamma)^K \text{tr}(M_a) + (\cdots \text{crossed terms} \cdots) + \gamma^K \text{tr}(M_b), \]
which is a polynomial and therefore a continuous function of \( \gamma \). It follows that
\[ f(0) = \text{tr}(M_a) \]
\[ f(1) = \text{tr}(M_b). \] (34)

Therefore, from the hypothesis \( \text{sign}(\text{tr}(M_a)) = -\text{sign}(\text{tr}(M_b)) \) and from the continuity of \( f \), we have that there exists a constant \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) for which \( \text{tr}(M_c) = 0 \).

**Remark 4.2.**
- Theorem 4.1 does not only guarantees the existence of a stabilizing constant \( \gamma \), but provided with Theorem 3.1, it is possible to explicitly compute such constant.
• In the proof it is possible to choose \( \text{tr}(M_c) \in (-2,2) \) and the same stability result holds. By choosing \( \text{tr}(M_c) = 0 \) a stable point more or less at the middle of the corresponding stable interval is obtained.

**Figure 2** shows schematically how the vibrational stabilization method performs.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that the pair \( (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \), where \( \mathbb{R}_+ = \{ r \in \mathbb{R} : r > 0 \} \), is given such that the solution of the system

\[
\dot{x}(t) + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) x(t) = 0, \quad p(t+T) = p(t),
\]

is unstable. Then there exists a constant \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) and a \( 1/T \)-periodic function \( q(mt) \) such that for the same pair \( (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \), the system

\[
\dot{x}(t) + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) x(t) = \gamma q(mt) x(t), \quad q\left(t + \frac{1}{m}T\right) = q(t)^4.
\]

has a stable solution.

**Proof.** Recall that \( n = 0,1,2,3,\ldots \) denotes the number of the Arnold Tongue to which the pair \( (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \) belongs for the stability chart of (35). From what has been mentioned in this paper, if for \( q(mt) \) it happens that \( m = n+1 \), the effect is that the right contiguous Tongue is going to be modified [5].

**Remark 4.3.** Let the Fourier series of \( p(t) \) be written as \( p(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_k e^{\frac{-\beta k}{T}} \). Then the boundaries of the k-th Arnold Tongue are tangent at \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \alpha = \frac{k^2}{4} \) if and only if \( r_x = 0 \), and are transversal if and only if \( r_x \neq 0 \) [5]. Such results means that we can design a controller that modifies a specific Tongue.

It only rests to find \( \gamma \). Let

\[
\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix},
\]

**Figure 2.** A 3 dimensional view of the vibrational stabilization method. The control scheme introduced changes the shape of the stability chart in such a way that for some \( \gamma \in (0,1) \), the pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) belongs to a stable zone.

\[\text{Here, although the function } q(mt) \text{ is not of period } T(\text{since } m \in \mathbb{N}) \text{, } p(t) + q(mt) \text{ is of period } T.\]
therefore (36) is rewritten as
\[
\dot{y} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) & 0
\end{pmatrix} y + \gamma \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
q(mt) & 0
\end{pmatrix} y
\]
(38)
\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
(1-\gamma) & 0 \\
-(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t)) & 0
\end{pmatrix} y + \gamma \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
-(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 p(t) - q(mt)) & 0
\end{pmatrix} y.
\]

It is clear that (38) is in the same format given in Theorem 4.1. Then, it is guaranteed the existence of \( \gamma \) such that the solution of (36) is stable.

\[ \square \]

**Example**

Consider the system (Kapitza Pendulum \cite{32} \cite{33}) shown in **Figure 3** (this is the prototype example used to witness the effects of parametric resonance, see e.g. \cite{13} or \cite{34}), where: \( l = 2.5 \text{ m} \) and \( h(t) = -\left(\cos(t) + \frac{1}{16}\cos(4t)\right) \), then, the Hill equation which models the system is
\[
\dot{\theta} + (3.924 + 0.4(\cos(t) + \cos(4t)))\sin\theta = 0.
\]
(39)

Or after linearization around the lower equilibrium point
\[
\dot{\theta} + (3.924 + 0.4(\cos(t) + \cos(4t)))\theta = 0.
\]
(40)

Note: Even though this paper developed the stabilization method for linear systems, we will show that it also works for the nonlinear system.

The operation point, i.e., the point \( (\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (3.924, 0.4) \) produces an unstable response as can be seen in **Figure 4**.

The solution of (39) is shown in **Figure 5** and the solution of its linearisation, given by (40), is shown in **Figure 6**. Note the beating phenomenon on the non-linear solution.

The results of this paper show the existence of a constant gain \( \gamma \) and a periodic function \( q(mt) \) such that the new system given by

![Figure 3. Kapitza pendulum.](image-url)
The operating point is located at $(\alpha, \beta) = (3.924, 0.4)$.

The corresponding stability diagram of (42) is shown in Figure 7.

The response of (42) is depicted in Figure 8. The same stabilization scheme might be applied to the non-linear equation, this means

$$\dot{\theta} + \left(3.924 + 0.4 \cos(t) \cos(4t)\right) \theta = \gamma q(m) \theta$$

has a stable solution. In this example, the resulting equation turns to be

$$\dot{\theta} + \left(3.924 + 0.4 \cos(t) \cos(4t)\right) \theta = 3.88 \cos(5t) \theta. \quad (42)$$

The corresponding response is shown in the Figure 9. Note that for small am-
Figure 6. Solution of the linear model.

Figure 7. Modified Arnold Tongues by the vibrational controller.

Figure 8. Stable solution of the linear model under the action of the vibrational control.

At high amplitudes, the linear model resembles very good to the non-linear one. Also, note that in general, the stable solutions of a Linear Periodic System are not periodic, but almost-periodic [31].
5. Conclusions

This paper presents an alternate and new method to compute the Arnold Tongues of a Hill equation, which is much faster than the traditional numerical integration method. Since the proposed algorithm calculates an approximation of the Monodromy matrix, it is possible to know, with some small errors due to the approximation, whether a given pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) is a stable or an unstable operation point.

Moreover, a vibrational stabilization scheme for a given (unstable) Linear Periodic System is given. The approach presented is based on the capability of modifying the shape of the Arnold Tongues. Although the method proposed here was based on reshaping the contiguous Tongue from which the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) belongs to, computationally speaking we may modify any Tongue as long as it is different from the Tongue to which the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) belongs originally. The proof follows the same lines developed in this paper.
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