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Abstract

Background: In Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (ADPKD) renoprotective treatment with a vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist (V2RA) is given in a fixed dose. Disease progression and drug habituation could diminish treatment efficacy. We investigated whether the renoprotective effect of the V2RA can be improved by dose titration of the V2RA aiming to maintain aquaretics at a high level.

Methods: The V2RA OPC-31260 was administered to Pkd1-deletion mice in a fixed dose (FD, 0.1%) or in a titrated dose (TD, up to 0.8% when drinking volume dropped). Total kidney weight (TKW) and cyst ratio were investigated and compared to non-treated Pkd1-deletion mice. Treatment was started early or late (21 or 42 days postnatal).

Results: Water intake was significantly higher throughout the experiment in the TD compared to the FD group. Early initiated FD treatment reduced TKW and cyst ratio, but lost its renoprotective effect later during the experiment. In contrast, TD treatment was able to maintain the renoprotective effect. TD treatment, however, was also associated with a higher early termination rate in comparison with FD treatment. Late start of treatment (FD or TD) did not show a renoprotective effect.

Conclusions: Titration of a V2RA aimed to maintain aquaretics at a high level showed a better renoprotective effect compared to fixed dose administration. However, this treatment regimen was poorly tolerated and did not overcome treatment unresponsiveness when started later in the disease.
Introduction

Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (ADPKD) is a hereditary kidney disease with an estimated point prevalence of clinically diagnosed cases of 3 per 10,000 subjects in the general population. ADPKD is characterized by cyst formation in both kidneys and renal function loss, leading to end-stage kidney failure in most affected patients.

The pathogenesis of ADPKD is complex and not fully understood. However, it is generally acknowledged that elevated intracellular levels of adenosine 3′:5′-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP), formed by adenylyl cyclase, are part of the pathway leading to renal tubular cell proliferation and increased chloride driven fluid secretion by these cells. Both processes contribute to cyst formation and growth. Studies using in-vitro ADPKD cells demonstrated that administration of cAMP, or an adenyl cyclase agonist like vasopressin, leads to cell proliferation and fluid accumulation. Moreover, blocking the vasopressin V2 receptor in animal models attenuated disease progression. In line with these reports, a recent multicenter randomized controlled trial showed that a vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist (V2RA) slowed the increase in total kidney volume and the decline in kidney function over a 3 year period in patients with relatively early stage ADPKD. The most frequent reported adverse events were polyuria and polydipsia. These adverse events can be expected as water reabsorption in the collecting duct, enabled by aquaporine-2 (AQP2) water channels, relies on stimulation of the V2 receptor. The beneficial renoprotective effect of V2RA treatment is therefore accompanied by a strong aquaretic response. In addition, potential hepatotoxicity was observed in individual cases.

Optimum dosage of the drug is yet debatable. As yet all studies investigated a fixed dose treatment regimen. However, it has been suggested that disease severity and treatment duration may interfere with treatment efficacy, as indicated by a decline in aquaretic response to V2RAs in later stage disease and during prolonged treatment. We hypothesized therefore that the renoprotective effect of the V2RA can be optimized when the dose of the V2RA is increased during treatment, aiming to maintain aquaresis at a high level, to overcome drug habituation. We studied this hypothesis in a Pkd1-deletion mouse model with a high face validity to the human situation, in early and later stage disease.

Material and Methods

Experimental animals

For this experiment, the tamoxifen-inducible kidney epithelium-specific Pkd1-deletion mouse was used. Upon administration of tamoxifen a genomic fragment containing exons 2-11 of the Pkd1 gene is specifically deleted in renal epithelial cells and cysts are...
formed. This inducible Pkd1-deletion mouse model (tam-KspCad-CreERT2;Pkd1lox2-11/lox2-11) has been described earlier.8,15 We administered tamoxifen per gavage (0.22 mg dissolved in ethanol in 0.30 µL sunflower oil; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) for three consecutive days starting at postnatal day 12. Gene deletion was induced early in life to induce cyst formation in collecting ducts and distal tubules and to develop a more rapid progressive form of ADPKD. All mice were genotyped using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method and had a confirmed homozygote Pkd1-deletion. Male and female animals were stratified over the study groups and analyzed together as no difference in rate of disease progression has been noted in this model across sex.

**Experimental design**

Figure 1 displays an overview of the experimental design. At 3 weeks of age, tamoxifen treated mice were divided into a no treatment group (NT) and 4 treatment groups: fixed dose and titrated dose with early start of treatment (FDE and TDE) and fixed dose and titrated dose with late start of treatment (FDL and TDL) (Table 1). Mice not treated with tamoxifen served as a healthy control group (HC). This time point serves as baseline, i.e. as week 0 of the experiment.

![Figure 1. Experimental design. Abbreviations are: ADPKD, Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease; HC, healthy controls; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment; FDL, fixed dose late start of treatment; TDL, titrated dose late start of treatment; V2RA, vasopressin 2 receptor antagonist.](image-url)
The vasopressin 2 receptor antagonist (V2RA) OPC-31260 (Mozavaptan) was added to ground rodent chow (AB Diets BV, RMH-B starch, 2103) at a starting dose of 0.1% and was given to all mice on treatment. This 0.1% dose is equivalent to the dose used in literature 6,9 and has been used in this particular Pkd1 mouse model by our research group before 8.

According well fare regulations, it was not possible to house mice individually at start of the study because of their small size at 3 weeks of age. Therefore, mice were housed with an average of 3 mice per cage. Animals, water and food where weighed 2 times a week. Water intake of the cage was divided by the number of mice per cage, the number of days between two consecutive measurements and weight in order to obtain an average water intake per animal per day. Water intake was adjusted for body weight because mice grew to maturity during the experiment which is accompanied by an increase in water intake.

When water intake of mice in the titrated dose treatment groups decreased with more than 10% compared to the average water intake during the first two consecutive measurements in the first week, the dose of the V2RA was increased to 0.2%. When again drinking volume dropped with 10% compared to the first two consecutive measurements in the first week, the dose was again increased, etc. The dose scheme of the V2Ra was: 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, respectively (Figure 1). Mice in the fixed dose treatment groups continued treatment with 0.1% V2RA. Treatment was started either at 21 days postnatal (day 0 of the experiment, “early”) or at 42 days postnatal (week 3 of the experiment, “late”). At day 0, week 3 and week 6 of the experiment mice were

---

**Table 1.** Number of Pkd1-deletion and healthy control mice randomized across the various experimental groups and at the time points of sacrifice. Body weight and gender were not different between the experimental groups at randomization. However, the early termination rate was higher in the titrated dose early start of treatment group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Week 0</th>
<th>Week 3</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>ET</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDE</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDE</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDL</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations are: HC, healthy controls; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment; FDL, fixed dose late start of treatment; TDL, titrated dose late start of treatment; ET, early termination.
sacrificed. Early termination criteria were weight loss of >20% or change in skin, hair or locomotion. When mice were sacrificed > 1 week before the planned sacrifice they were counted as early termination and excluded from all analyses (Table 1).

All experiments were approved by the local animal experiment committee of the University Medical Center Groningen and by the Committee Biotechnology in Animals of the Dutch Ministry of Agriculture.

Data collection
Twenty-four-hour urine output was obtained for individual mice by placing them in metabolic cages before mice were sacrificed at week 3 or 6 of the experiment. Subsequently, the animals were weighed and anesthetized with isoflurane gas (0.5% isoflurane with a flow of 0.6 L/min). Blood was obtained by cardiac puncture for determination of plasma electrolytes, creatinine, urea, aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT) and bilirubin. Both kidneys were removed and kidney weight was measured on a precision scale. Half of the right kidney was placed into formaldehyde and tissues were embedded in paraffin for histomorphometry and immunohistochemistry.

Plasma and urine analysis
Sodium, potassium, urea and creatinine were measured in plasma and urine, using Kodak Ektachem dry chemistry (Modular P, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Urine osmolality was calculated as 2 x (urine sodium concentration + urine potassium concentration) + urine urea concentration. Plasma osmolality was calculated using the formula: 1.9 * (plasma sodium concentration + plasma potassium concentration) + plasma glucose concentration + plasma urea concentration * 0.5 + 5.17

Immunohistochemistry and histomorphometric analysis
Transverse tissue sections (4 µm), including cortex, medulla and papilla, were stained with periodic acid Schiff (PAS) and hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) to measure cyst ratio and with Sirius red (SR) collagen stain to measure the extent of fibrosis. The total cyst and fibrosis areas were quantified using Aperio Image Scope software (version 9.1.772.1570; Aperio Technologies Inc., Vista, CA). Kidneys were outlined manually, pyelum not included. Cyst ratio was calculated by dividing total cysts area by total tissue area and multiplied by 100% to obtain a cyst ratio, expressed as percentage. Total intensity of fibrosis staining was divided by total intensity on the slide (both positive and negative) and multiplied by 100% to obtain a percentage of fibrosis. The investigator performing these measurements was blinded to disease and treatment status. To calculate the medulla/cortex cyst ratio, five squares in both medulla and cortex were drawn and average cyst ratio was determined for both areas separately to calculate the medulla/cortex ratio.
Statistical analyses
Differences between two groups were tested using a non-parametric independent sample test (Mann-Whitney) and differences between all groups were tested using a Kruskal Wallis test or Pearson’s chi-squared test. Analyses were performed using the statistical package IBM SPSS Statistics version 20.0 (International Business Machines Corp., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results
At the start of the experiment, Pkd1-deletion mice were randomized over the following experimental groups: no treatment (NT), fixed dose with early or late start of treatment (FDE and FDL) and titrated dose with early or late start of treatment (TDE and TDL). Table 1 shows the number of mice randomized to the various treatment groups and mice that needed to be sacrificed because they met the early termination criterion of weight loss >20%. Figure 1 gives an overview of the experimental design. Body weight and gender were not different between the experimental groups at randomization (p=0.39 and p=0.79, respectively). Moreover, at start of the study body weight and total kidney weight (TKW), of mice that were sacrificed at week 0, were similar between healthy control male and female mice (p=0.17 and p=0.63, respectively) and Pkd1-deletion male and female mice (p=0.51 and p=0.53, respectively).

Non-treated ADPKD (NT)
Pkd1-deletion mice developed cysts and kidney function deterioration during the experiment. Study parameters indicative for renal damage (TKW, cyst ratio, fibrosis ratio, plasma creatinine and plasma urea) were all significantly increased in Pkd1-deletion animals compared to healthy controls at week 3 and 6 of the experiment (Figure 4, Supplementary tables 1 and 2).

Water intake was significantly higher in non-treated Pkd1-deletion mice compared to healthy controls throughout the whole experiment, starting already at day 0 (p<0.001, Figure 2). Together with a significant lower 24h urine osmolality and an increased plasma osmolality at week 3 and 6 of the experiment in these non-treated Pkd1-deletion mice group (Figure 3), this suggests an early urine concentrating defect. Although bodyweight was similar at randomization, Pkd1-deletion mice showed lower growth rates compared to healthy control mice with a significantly lower bodyweight at week 3 (p=0.001) and 6 (p=0.01) while food intake (adjusted for body weight) was similar both at 3 weeks (p=1.00) and 6 weeks (p=0.84) of the experiment.

Fixed dose early treatment (FDE)
Water intake increased after initiation of V2RA treatment. However, the aquaretic
response in the fixed dose treatment group waned during the course of treatment. At
week 3, water intake was still higher in treated compared to non-treated mice (p<0.001),
but at week 6 this difference did not reach significance anymore (p=0.09, Figure 2).
In line, the increase in 24h urine volume during treatment lost statistical significance
(Figure 3). The osmolality of 24h urine, however, remained significantly lower at week 3
(p=0.005) and 6 (p=0.004) of the experiment (Figure 3). Body weight was higher in the
fixed dose treatment group during the last weeks of the experiment compared to non-
treated mice (Figure 2).

TKW was significantly lower in the fixed dose treatment group compared to non-
treated \(Pkd1\)-deletion mice at week 3 of the experiment (p=0.02). However, at week
6 this difference also lost statistical significance (p=0.26, Figure 4). Body weight was
significantly higher in the fixed dose treatment group compared to non-treated \(Pkd1\)‐
deletion mice at week 3 and 6 of the experiment (p=0.009 and p=0.001, respectively)
whereas differences in food intake between these groups did not reach statistical
significance at 3 nor 6 weeks of study (p=0.06 and p=0.13, respectively). Cyst ratio,
fibrosis ratio and plasma creatinine did not differ between the two groups at week 3
and 6 (Supplementary tables 1 and 2).

![Figure 2. Mean water intake (left panel) and body weight (right panel) of mice in the various treatment groups during the experiment. The arrow indicates the time-point at which dose titration of the V2RA was started. Abbreviations are: HC, healthy controls; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment; FDL, fixed dose late start of treatment; TDL, titrated dose late start of treatment.](image-url)
Figure 3. 24 hour urine osmolality (left panel) and 24h urine volume (right panel) at week 3 and week 6 of the experiment. X-axis displays, besides the various treatment groups, the mean dose of the vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist administration during 24 hour urine collection. Data are displayed as mean and SD. Abbreviations are: *, p<0.05 compared to the healthy control mice; #, p<0.05 compared to the non-treated Pkd1-deletion mice; HC, healthy controls; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment; FDL, fixed dose late start of treatment; TDL, titrated dose late start of treatment.

Figure 4. Total kidney weight (left panel) and cyst ratio (right panel) at week 3 and week 6 of the experiment. Data are displayed as mean and SD. Abbreviations are: *, p<0.05 compared to the healthy control mice; #, p<0.05 compared to the non-treated Pkd1-deletion mice; HC, healthy controls; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment; FDL, fixed dose late start of treatment; TDL, titrated dose late start of treatment.
**Titrated dose early treatment (TDE)**

At start of the experiment (day 0), the dose of the V2RA in the titrated and fixed dose treatment groups was the same and both groups showed a similar increase in water intake. After one week, water intake decreased by more than >10% in both treatment groups. Per protocol, dose titration of the V2RA was started in the titrated dose early treatment group, resulting in a higher V2RA dose (average mean dose of 0.75% V2RA at week 6 of the experiment, average overall dose of 0.38%, p<0.001) and significantly higher water intake (p=0.04) and lower 24h urine osmolality (week 3: p=0.004, week 6: p=0.04) compared to the fixed dose treatment group that still received 0.1% V2RA (Figures 2 and 3). Body weight was lower in the titrated dose treatment group compared to the fixed dose treatment group (p=0.002) and non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion animals (p=0.04) at week 6 of the experiment (Figure 2). Food intake at this time point was similar in comparison to the fixed dose treatment group (p=0.81) but lower in comparison to non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion mice (p=0.01).

In contrast to mice in the fixed dose treatment group, the renoprotective effect of the V2RA was seen throughout the experiment in the titrated dose treatment group with a lower TKW at week 3 (p=0.004) and week 6 (p<0.001) and a lower cyst ratio at week 6 in comparison to non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion mice (p=0.04, Figure 4). When comparing the fixed and titrated dose treatment groups, TKW was similar at week 3. However, at week 6 of the experiment TKW was significantly lower in the titrated dose treatment group compared to the fixed dose treatment group (p=0.004, Figure 4). A similar trend was observed for cyst ratio, although the difference did not reach formal statistical significance (p=0.17). Fibrosis ratio, plasma creatinine and plasma osmolality were similar (Supplementary tables 1 and 2).

**Figure 5.** Tissue morphology at week 6 of the experiment (left panel) and the medulla/cortex cyst ratio at week 0, week 3 and week 6 of the experiment (right panel). Data are displayed as mean and SD. Abbreviations are: wk, week; NT, no treatment; FDE, fixed dose early start of treatment; TDE, titrated dose early start of treatment.
When investigating the medulla/cortex cyst ratio in the non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion mice, in our model, cysts were first mainly located in the medulla. When disease progressed, the medulla/cortex ratio decreased significantly, indicating growth of cortical cysts. At week 6 of the experiment, the medulla/cortex cyst ratio was higher in the titrated dose treated group compared to the non-treatment group (p=0.06). This was not the case for the fixed dose treatment group. Furthermore, in the fixed dose treatment group the medulla/cortex cyst ratio declined significantly when comparing week 3 and week 6 (p<0.001) while in the titrated dose treatment group the medulla/cortex cyst ratio remained more stable (Figure 5).

The titrated dose treatment regimen was poorly tolerated during the second half of the experiment. When the V2RA dose was increased, weight of mice decreased before stabilizing after a few days, resulting in a high number of early terminations in the second half of the experiment (6 out of 16 mice in the titrated dose treatment group versus 0 out of 10 mice in the fixed dose treatment group, Table 1). Mean TKW of early terminated mice in the titrated dose treatment group was 0.56 g. In comparison, mean TKW of mice in the titrated treatment group and non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion mice at week 6 of the experiment was 0.70 and 1.24 g, respectively.

As potential hepatotoxicity was observed in human studies involving V2RA treatment, several parameters reflecting liver function were investigated. The titrated dose treatment regimen did not cause an elevation in aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase or bilirubin compared with non-treated mice (178 versus 238 U/L, p=0.92; 83 versus 83 U/L, p=0.44; 6 versus 5 µmol/L, p=0.92, respectively) or fixed dose treated mice (178 versus 217 U/L, p=0.89; 83 versus 57 U/L, p=0.27, 6 versus 5 µmol/L, p=0.40, respectively). Furthermore, liver histology of mice treated with the titrated dose of the V2RA was similar in comparison with non-treated and fixed dose treated mice.

### Early versus late start of treatment

When treatment with 0.1% V2RA treatment started late (FDL), i.e. at week 3 of the experiment, water intake increased compared to non-treated *Pkd1*-deletion animals, but was significantly lower compared to water intake at start of treatment in the early treatment groups (p=0.004). The titrated dose, late start of treatment group (TDL) underwent a similar up-titration schedule compared with the titrated dose, early start of treatment group during their first 3 weeks of treatment. Nevertheless, the average water intake in this group was significantly lower (p=0.01) than that of mice in the early start of titration treatment group in their first weeks of the experiment.

Starting treatment later in the disease course, using a fixed or titrated dose treatment regimen, did not have an effect on the various parameters for renal damage (TKW, cyst ratio, fibrosis ratio, and plasma creatinine and osmolality) in comparison with non-treated mice (Supplementary table 2 and Figure 4).
Discussion

In this experiment, we studied the effects of titration of a V2RA in an ADPKD mouse model. By aiming to maintain water intake at a high level, we tried to overcome potential habituation to the V2RA and improve renoprotective efficacy of this drug in early and later stage of the disease. During the experiment the aquaretic response to dose titration was indisputable. Water intake was significantly higher throughout the experiment in mice that received the titrated dose treatment regimen compared to the fixed dose treatment regimen. This was associated with a renoprotective effect, also later in the disease. In our model, cyst formation starts predominantly in the distal tubular segments, whereas later on also cysts arise in the cortex. In comparison to fixed dose treatment, titrated dose treatment had an inhibitory effect on cyst formation in the cortex as well, indicating the ongoing benefit of titration of the V2RA. Unfortunately, the renoprotective effect of V2RA titration was obtained at the cost of a higher early termination rate in the titrated treatment group. Moreover, the titrated treatment regimen could not overcome the unresponsiveness of the V2RA when started in a later stage of the disease.

Previously, we hypothesized that when ADPKD progresses more vasopressin is released from the pituitary gland, as a response to the impaired urine concentrating capacity that is observed in more severe disease. Indeed we have found that copeptin, a surrogate marker for vasopressin, is positively associated with disease severity, which fits this hypothesis. Furthermore, during V2RA treatment, vasopressin levels increase and it has been suggested that expression of the vasopressin V2 receptor is down-regulated, via stimulation of the vasopressin V1 receptor. Taken together, this suggests that in more severe ADPKD, especially when treated with a V2RA, more AVP per receptor will be present and thus that a higher dose of the V2RA may be needed to result in effective receptor blockade. Indeed, our experiment shows that dose titration of the V2RA during disease progression results in a stronger aquaretic response and improved renoprotection when compared to a fixed dose treatment regimen. However, no effect of V2RA treatment was seen when initiated later in the disease. Dose titration (from 0.1 to 0.4%) was not able to overcome this decreased efficacy for the cystic phenotype. A possible explanation is that during disease progression other cystogenic mechanisms not involving cAMP become more important, for instance pathways involving growth factors or macrophages. Loss of functioning nephrons may also explain the lack of efficacy when treatment is initiated later in the disease and explains the less profound aquaretic effect of treatment. Involvement of the vasopressin V2 receptor itself in treatment unresponsiveness could also explain the lower aquaretic response. Mechanisms that can be taken into consideration are for instance loss of vasopressin V2 receptors when cysts disconnect from the tubular system or vasopressin V2 receptor dedifferentiation. In line with this theory are results from our previous
animal experiment that show a decrease in V2-receptor mRNA expression over time in non-treated ADPKD animals.8

The reason for the high drop-out rate seen in mice on the high V2RA dose is not entirely clear from the present experiment. In contrast to what is found in humans,11 we did not find elevated liver enzymes indicative for hepatotoxicity of the V2RA in our mice. Histology of the liver was not different between the non-treated and treated Pkd1-deletion mice either. This is in line with experiments in mice reporting no toxicity after administration of a single 1000 mg/kg dose, which is equivalent to our 0.8%.25 In the present experiment we did, however, observe a decrease in body weight, especially in the mice that received the titrated treatment regimen. Kidney weight of these early terminated animals was significantly lower compared to the non-treated controls, indicating that disease progression per se is not a likely cause of this weight loss. We hypothesize that this weight loss is caused by their effort to drink up to 1.5 times their bodyweight per day, which may have caused inadequate food intake. Almost a quarter of the animals had to be sacrificed because of pre-specified early termination criterion regarding weight loss. It is not likely that this is related to the fact that mice had polycystic kidney disease, because in healthy rats and dogs similar mortality rates have been described on a daily 1000 mg/kg dose, likewise without a clear cause of death.25

Translating experimental data into clinical practice is difficult and should be done with caution. Our experiment shows, however, that a better therapeutic response can be achieved when higher doses of the V2RA are used. This suggests that dose titration of a V2RA in clinical practice, when disease progresses and the aquaretic response to the V2RA decreases, may be beneficial. However, this renoprotective effect was counteracted by significant side effects. Moreover, this rigorous treatment regimen did not overcome therapeutic unresponsiveness when started in a later stage of the disease. Animal experiments performed by other research groups did not describe a decrease in responsiveness during long-term treatment as we observed in our model.5,7,9,10 In addition, results of a post-hoc analysis of the TEMPO 3:4 trial showed that a V2RA was similarly effective in reducing the rate of total kidney volume increase and eGFR decline in ADPKD patients with CKD stages 1 to 3a. Many factors, including the experimental model, dosage of the V2RA and disease severity, may explain the differences between these studies. Regardless of the variance of the present results and previous data, clinical studies are needed to verify the efficacy and safety of long-term V2RA treatment, especially in ADPKD patients with more advanced disease (CKD stages 3b and 4).26

To enhance therapeutic efficacy combination therapy could be of more use. Theoretically, somatostatin analogues induce a similar effect on intracellular cAMP production as V2RAs, because both drug classes inhibit adenyl cyclase, the enzyme that converts adenosine triphosphate (ATP) into cAMP.27 Interestingly, Hopp et al recently showed in a Pkd1 experimental model that combination treatment with a somatostatin analogue and a V2RA indeed led to lower cAMP levels and less cyst progression when
compared to monotherapy with either of both drugs.28 A combination of these drugs may allow to use lower doses of each single drug, thereby limiting adverse events, while optimizing renoprotective efficacy. These results are promising and seem a more logical direction for future research than applying higher doses monotherapy.

We acknowledge that this experiment has limitations. First, the rapidly progressive model used in this experiment does not exactly resemble the clinical situation where ADPKD is often a more slowly progressive disease. However, this model allowed us to investigate the effect of titration as well as start of treatment in later stage disease. Second, it was not possible to house mice individually at start of the study because of their small size at 3 weeks of age. We preferred early start of treatment over individually housed animals. Therefore, mice were housed with an average of 3 mice per cage and titration of the V2RA treatment was based on the average water intake of 3 mice. Third, bodyweight of the mice in the titrated dose treatment group was lower compared to the other study groups. This was possibly due to decreased food intake. Because water intake remained higher in the titrated group compared to the other groups and responded to titration steps, a lower food intake and consequently possibly a lower dose of the V2RA, is not a concern. Nevertheless, recent studies in mice revealed that a lower food intake can also be protective in ADPKD.29,30 Although food intake (adjusted for body weight) was similar after six weeks of study, body weight was markedly different between the study groups at the end of our experiment. We therefore cannot exclude a possible influence of food intake. The strengths of this experiment include the unique experimental design that addresses a study question that has not been investigated previously, the use of a Pkd1-deletion model with high face validity to the human situation where ADPKD is caused by mutations in the PKD1 gene in 85% of cases, and the potential clinical relevance of our findings.

In conclusion, titration of a V2RA aimed to maintain aquarexis at a high level, showed a better renoprotective effect compared to fixed dose administration in this ADPKD mouse model. However, this titrated dose treatment regimen was poorly tolerated and did not overcome treatment unresponsiveness when started later in the disease stage.
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## Supplementary material

### Supplementary table 1. Characteristics of mice sacrificed at week 3 of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy controls n=4</th>
<th>No treatment n=11</th>
<th>Fixed dose early n=11</th>
<th>Titrated dose early n=9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>19.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13.0 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake/body weight (g/g)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (g/day)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake/body weight (g/g)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/day)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total kidney weight (g)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst ratio (%)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>49.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>44.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>38.9 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis ratio (%)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulla/cortex cyst ratio (%)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>150 ± 2</td>
<td>156 ± 5</td>
<td>156 ± 8</td>
<td>156 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>53.0 ± 21.2</td>
<td>34.9 ± 7.4</td>
<td>32.4 ± 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma creat (µmol/L)</td>
<td>20 ± 12</td>
<td>40 ± 14</td>
<td>43 ± 17</td>
<td>45 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma osmol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>316 ± 5</td>
<td>348 ± 20</td>
<td>337 ± 18</td>
<td>332 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine volume (g)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>10.0 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine urea (mmol/24h)</td>
<td>1305 ± 430</td>
<td>1401 ± 982</td>
<td>1911 ± 786</td>
<td>1746 ± 781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine osmol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1635 ± 261</td>
<td>649 ± 124</td>
<td>486 ± 127</td>
<td>322 ± 88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are displayed as mean ± SD. Abbreviations are: creat, creatinine; osmol, osmolality; 24h, 24 hour.
### Supplementary table 2. Characteristics of mice sacrificed at week 6 of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy controls n=6</th>
<th>No treatment n=14</th>
<th>Fixed dose early n=10</th>
<th>Titrated dose early n=10</th>
<th>Fixed dose late n=11</th>
<th>Titrated dose late n=10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>18.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>14.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>16.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>11.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>14.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake/body weight (g/g)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (g/day)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>17.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12.1 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake/body weight (g/g)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/day)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total kidney weight (g)</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst ratio (%)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>58.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>55.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>43.5 ± 18.8</td>
<td>55.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>57.6 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis ratio (%)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>18.0 ± 9.2</td>
<td>13.8 ± 8.9</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>10.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulla/cortex cyst ratio (%)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>148 ± 2</td>
<td>165 ± 9</td>
<td>160 ± 9</td>
<td>162 ± 6</td>
<td>165 ± 11</td>
<td>167 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>94.8 ± 30.0</td>
<td>78.0 ± 30.4</td>
<td>44.5 ± 29.7</td>
<td>81.4 ± 27.3</td>
<td>71.7 ± 31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma creat (µmol/L)</td>
<td>17 ± 11</td>
<td>67 ± 16</td>
<td>74 ± 39</td>
<td>58 ± 37</td>
<td>81 ± 17</td>
<td>93 ± 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma osmol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>313 ± 5</td>
<td>385 ± 29</td>
<td>366 ± 34</td>
<td>352 ± 21</td>
<td>376 ± 31</td>
<td>376 ± 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine volume (g)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 4.6</td>
<td>6.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>6.1 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine urea (mmol/24h)</td>
<td>1874 ± 228</td>
<td>980 ± 945</td>
<td>1394 ± 1076</td>
<td>1291 ± 497</td>
<td>1447 ± 1366</td>
<td>1423 ± 1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urine osmol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3036 ± 403</td>
<td>639 ± 138</td>
<td>480 ± 63</td>
<td>353 ± 95</td>
<td>479 ± 53</td>
<td>421 ± 91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are displayed as mean ± SD. Abbreviations are: creat, creatinine; osmol, osmolality; 24h, 24 hour.
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