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Pressure–composition isotherms for hydrogen absorption and desorption have been measured for several alloys of the LaNi_{5-x}Al_{x} family at 298, 308, 323, 333, and 348 K, and the ΔH° and ΔS° of the hydride formation reaction were obtained. The equilibrium pressure is strongly related to the aluminum content in the alloy. Other specific properties which have been determined are the density of the metal alloys and the particle size distribution and surface area of the material after about 50 absorption/desorption cycles with pure hydrogen. Additionally, the solubility and the diffusion coefficient of hydrogen in cyclohexane have been measured, and the solubility of hydrogen in ethanol has been correlated with results available in the literature.

1. Introduction

For a complete understanding of the mechanism of hydrogen absorption and desorption in or from metal hydride slurries, the physicochemical properties of the hydrides and the solvents need to be known. Although the equilibrium pressures of most metal hydrides can be found in the literature, discrepancies between the various data sources occur. This can most likely be attributed to small differences in alloy composition. Also, inhomogeneities can be introduced during the production when the alloys are not thoroughly mixed (1, 2). These factors mainly affect the thermodynamic properties of the material (equilibrium pressures and the sloping of the plateau). Consequently, they have to be determined for every individual batch metal alloy. In the present study several properties of the LaNi_{5-x}Al_{x} alloys as applied by Snijder et al. (3, 4) have been measured. The physical properties of the solvents they used, cyclohexane and ethanol, were partly available in the literature (vapor pressure and density of both solvents, hydrogen solubility in ethanol). The remaining properties, the solubility and diffusion coefficient of hydrogen in cyclohexane, have been measured in the present work.

The metal alloys LaNi_{5.4}Al_{0.3}, LaNi_{5.2}Al_{0.5}, LaNi_{5.1}Al_{0.7}, LaNi_{4.8}Al_{0.2}, and LaNi_{5} were supplied by Japan Metals & Chemicals (JMC), and LaNi_{5.0}Al_{0.1} was obtained from Highways International. Cyclohexane has been provided by Merck (≥99.9%) and hydrogen by Hoeckloos (≥99.999%).

2. Properties of LaNi_{5-x}Al_{x} Hydride-Forming Metal Alloys

2.1. Pressure–Composition Isotherms. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup which has been applied for the determination of pressure–composition isotherms (PCT curves). The reactor (volume 568 cm³) is kept at a constant temperature by means of external heating/cooling with an oil bath. Via a pressure reduce valve the reactor is connected to the PCT curves. The reactor was evacuated (0.1 mbar) for several hours, whereafter about 15 absorption (at 25 bar) or desorption (at 0.1 mbar) cycles were carried out, all at 333 K. The hydrogen absorption capacity then reached a final and maximum value (F_{max}), which is a function of the aluminum content and temperature.

![Diagram of experimental setup for the determination of the pressure–composition isotherms.](image)

Table 1. Thermodynamic Data, Change in Enthalpy at Standard Pressure, ΔH°, and Change in Entropy at Standard Pressure, ΔS°, of the Hydride-Forming Metal Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>ΔH°/ (J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔS°/ (kJ·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH°/ (J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔS°/ (kJ·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{5.4}Al_{0.3}</td>
<td>-39.45</td>
<td>-115.1</td>
<td>-39.45</td>
<td>-115.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{5.2}Al_{0.5}</td>
<td>-37.85</td>
<td>-114.5</td>
<td>-37.85</td>
<td>-114.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{5.1}Al_{0.7}</td>
<td>-35.23</td>
<td>-110.7</td>
<td>-35.23</td>
<td>-110.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{4.8}Al_{0.2}</td>
<td>-33.38</td>
<td>-110.7</td>
<td>-33.38</td>
<td>-110.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{5}</td>
<td>-31.73</td>
<td>-111.6</td>
<td>-31.73</td>
<td>-111.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi_{5.0}Al_{0.1}</td>
<td>-31.28</td>
<td>-111.3</td>
<td>-31.28</td>
<td>-111.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before an absorption experiment, the reactor was completely evacuated (0.1 mbar). After the supply of a small amount of hydrogen to the reactor (shortly opening the magnetic valve), the reactor was evacuated (0.1 mbar) for several hours, whereafter about 15 absorption (at 25 bar) or desorption (at 0.1 mbar) cycles were carried out, all at 333 K. The hydrogen absorption capacity then reached a final and maximum value (F_{max}), which is a function of the aluminum content and temperature.
Figure 2. (a, top) Pressure–composition isotherm for LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ absorption: (O) 298 K, (●) 308 K, (●) 333 K, ( ◇) 348 K. (b, bottom) Pressure–composition isotherm for LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ desorption: (O) 308 K, (●) 324 K, (●) 333 K, ( ◇) 348 K.

Parts and b of Figure 3 illustrate the temperature influence on, respectively, the absorption and desorption equilibrium pressures at $F/F_{\text{max}} \approx 0.5$. For LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.5}$, LaNi$_{4.6}$Al$_{0.4}$, and LaNi$_{4.7}$Al$_{0.3}$ only absorption curves have been measured, since the desorption equilibrium pressures became too low in the temperature range applied. The absorption equilibrium pressures for the last three alloys are also included in Figure 3a. According to Reilly (5), the slope of the curves is equal to the $\Delta H^o/R$ of the hydride formation reaction, whereas the intercept is equal to $\Delta S^o/R$. Consequently, the equilibrium pressure can be correlated with a van’t Hoff relation for absorption:

$$\ln P_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\Delta H^o}{RT} - \frac{\Delta S^o}{R}$$

(1)

In Table 1 the obtained results are summarized. The stability of the hydrides appears to increase (larger $\Delta H^o$) at increasing aluminum content. The influence of Al is also shown in Figure 4, in which the absorption equilibrium pressure at $F/F_{\text{max}} = 0.5$ is presented as a function of the aluminum content in the alloys (as $x$ in LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_x$). The equilibrium pressures have been calculated with the van’t Hoff relation and the data in Table 1. The measured equilibrium pressures for LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.1}$ are somewhat higher than expected according to the curves in Figures 3a and 4. This can probably be attributed to a different preparation technique employed by the supplier of LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.1}$ as compared to the method used by the supplier of the other alloys.

2.2. Other Properties of the Metal Alloys. After about 50 absorption/desorption cycles, the particle diameter of the obtained powder reached a stable size. For such powders, the particle size distribution was measured with a laser diffraction particle size distribution analyzer (Horiba LA-500) parts a–c of Figure 5 show results for LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.2}$, LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.1}$, and LaNi$_5$, respectively. The average particle diameters
were derived from the size distribution and are listed in Table 2, together with the surface area of the same powders and the density \( \rho_{\text{hydr}} \) and molecular mass \( M_{\text{hydr}} \) of the materials.

Surface area \( A_{\text{hydr}} \) measurements were performed with nitrogen adsorption at 77 K. Finally, the density of the metal alloys was determined with a pycnometer, using methanol as a solvent. The results show a linear relationship between the density (\( \rho_{\text{hydr}} \)) and the aluminum mole fraction \( x \) in the alloys according to

\[
\rho_{\text{hydr}} = 8290 - 904x \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 0.5
\]  

The data in Table 2 are calculated according to eq 2.

3. Properties of the Solvents

The solubility of hydrogen in cyclohexane has been determined using the experimental arrangement shown in Figure 6. The gas + liquid equilibrium cell is a stirred vessel \( V = 99 \text{ cm}^3 \), which is connected to storage vessels for hydrogen \( V_x = 220 \text{ cm}^3 \) and cyclohexane. The temperatures (kept constant within \( \pm 0.05 \text{ K} \)) of all three vessels are identical. Prior to the absorption of hydrogen, the liquid was completely degassed and the vapor pressure was measured. After filling the hydrogen storage vessel with pure hydrogen, the pressure was recorded. The valve between the hydrogen storage vessel and the equilibrium cell was then opened till both pressures were equal and closed again, after which the stirrer was started. The stirring was stopped after equilibrium between the gas and liquid phase had been established, and the pressure in the equilibrium cell and hydrogen storage vessel were recorded. With the measured pressures, temperature, amount...
of cyclohexane in the equilibrium cell, and gas volumes of the two vessels, the hydrogen solubility in cyclohexane were determined, expressed by \( m_{H_2} \), which is a solubility coefficient defined as

\[
m_{H_2} = \frac{C_{H_2}}{C_{H_2}}
\]

where \( C_{H_2} \) and \( C_{H_2} \) are the hydrogen concentrations in the liquid phase and gas phase at equilibrium, respectively.

Figure 7 shows the experimental results for the absorption of hydrogen in cyclohexane; the solubility has been presented as a Henry coefficient, \( K \), which is directly related to the solubility coefficient according to

\[
K = \frac{m}{RT}
\]

with \( R = 8.314 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \). The results are correlated with eq 4. The Henry coefficient of hydrogen in ethanol has been calculated with data provided by Clever (6) for temperatures between 293 and 333 K. The following relations have been obtained by means of the least-squares method:

\[
K_{H_2} = 101 \times 10^{-6} \exp(-317.5/(T/K)) \text{ in ethanol} \tag{5}
\]

The diffusion coefficient of hydrogen in cyclohexane, \( D \), is measured in a diaphragm cell as described by Littel et al. (7). The results are presented in Figure 8 and have been correlated with

\[
D_{H_2} = 4.780 \times 10^{-4} \exp(-3239/(T/K)) \tag{6}
\]
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