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Summary
Lantibiotics form a family of highly modified peptides which are secreted by several Gram-positive bacteria. They exhibit antimicrobial activity, mainly against other Gram-positive bacteria, by forming pores in the cellular membrane. These antimicrobial peptides are ribosomally synthesized and contain leader peptides which do not show the characteristics of signal sequences. Several amino acid residues of the precursor lantibiotic are enzymatically modified, whereafter secretion and processing of the leader peptide takes place, yielding the active antimicrobial substance. For several lantibiotics the gene clusters encoding biosynthetic enzymes, translocator proteins, self-protection proteins, processing enzymes and regulatory proteins have been identified. This MicroReview describes the current knowledge about the biosynthetic, immunity and regulatory processes leading to lantibiotic production. Most of the attention is focused on the lantibiotic nisin, which is produced by the food-grade bacterium Lactococcus lactis and is widely used as a preservative in the food industry.

Introduction
Many bacteria secrete ribosomally synthesized polypeptides that show antagonistic activity against their competitors. Some of these are large proteins that kill closely related bacteria and conform to the original definition of bacteriocins (Tagg et al., 1976). However, in recent years an increasing number of small antimicrobial peptides has been identified that have a wider host range (Kolter and Moreno, 1992). These antagonistic peptides, which are usually cysteine-rich and hydrophobic, include microcins, lantibiotics, and other heat-stable peptides. Whilst microcins, such as microcin B17 and colicin V, are made by members of the Enterobacteriaceae (Baquero and Moreno, 1984), the other antimicrobial peptides are exclusively produced by Gram-positive bacteria (Klaenhammer, 1993; Jung, 1991). In particular, heat-stable peptides secreted by lactic acid bacteria have received considerable attention because of their potential as natural food preservatives. The lantibiotics include a growing list of modified peptides with unique structures produced by bacilli, lactococci, lactobacilli, staphylococci, streptococci, and streptomycetes (Fig. 1; Jung, 1991). Well-studied examples of non-lantibiotics are pediocin PA-1, lacticin F, and the narrow-host-range peptide lactococcin A produced by Pediococcus acidilactii, Lactobacillus acidophilus, and Lactococcus lactis, respectively (Marugg et al., 1992; Fremaux et al., 1993; Holo et al., 1991). In spite of the phylogenetic heterogeneity of the producers, which include both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, all of these antimicrobial peptides are ribosomally synthesized with N-terminal extensions that do not conform to a consensus sec-dependent signal sequence and instead are transported in a process that probably involves ABC exporters (Fath and Kolter, 1993).

An important property that differentiates the antimicrobial peptides is the degree of post translational intramolecular modification that extends beyond the formation of common disulphide bridges. Microcins and heat-stable peptides are not subject to such post-translational modifications, with the exception of microcin B17, a glycine-rich peptide that contains modified cysteine, serine and glycine residues forming directly connected heteroaromatic rings (Bayer et al., 1993; Yorgey et al., 1993). In contrast, it has been established that lantibiotics are subject to a series of sequential post-translational modifications (Schnell et al., 1988). Lantibiotics constitute an unusual class of biologically active peptides that contain both dehydrated amino acids and lanthionine residues, forming intramolecular thioether rings (Jung, 1991; see Fig. 1). These monosulphide bridges determine the...
Characteristic polycyclic structures of lantibiotics and their intrachain position has been used to group these antimicrobial peptides into linear (Group A) and circular (Group B) lantibiotics (Jung, 1991). The unique properties of lantibiotics have generated considerable interest in the structure-function relationships of these antimicrobial peptides that are known to form transient, potential-dependent pores in the bacterial cytoplasmic membranes (Sahl, 1991). In addition, the specificity and biochemistry of the reactions that underlie the post-translational modifications of lantibiotics have received significant attention, especially as they have the potential to extend the genetic code and generate novel residues in polypeptides. Finally, lantibiotics can be produced efficiently and hence are excellent model compounds for the study of the export of small peptides in bacteria.
The first lantibiotic structure to be unravelled was that of nisin, a 34-residue peptide (Gross and Morell, 1971). Nisin was discovered 50 years ago as an inhibitory substance produced by a strain of *L. lactis* (Mattick and Hirsch, 1944). Since then, many primary discoveries in the field of antimicrobial peptides have involved nisin, a situation that has been greatly stimulated by the increasing application of nisin in the food industry (de Vos, 1993). These events include the identification of the sequence and transcription of the nisin structural gene (Buchman et al., 1988), the determination of the spatial structure of nisin in solution (van de Ven et al., 1991), and the construction and characterization of the first nisin mutants generated by protein engineering (Kuijpers et al., 1992). In this review we address the recent progress made in the genetic and biomolecular characterization of the post-translational modification, dedicated export, immunity, and proteolytic processing of nisin and other linear (Group A) lantibiotics with known structures such as epidermin, subtilin, Pep5, and lacticin 481 (Fig. 1). For a more complete review, see Sahl et al. (1995).

**Structural genes for nisin and other linear lantibiotics**

At present, two natural variants of nisin are known, designated nisA and nisin Z (Gross and Morell, 1971; Mulders et al., 1991; de Vos et al., 1993). Single lactococcal strains make only one nisin variant and both nisin A and nisin Z producers are widely distributed (de Vos et al., 1993). The variants differ at residue 27 (histidine in nisin A and nisin Z; Fig. 1) and their structural genes *nisA* and *nisZ*, respectively, have been characterized (Buchman et al., 1988; Kaletta and Entian, 1989; Mulders et al., 1991). The predicted 57-residue peptide, designated pre-nisin, contains a 23-residue N-terminal leader part which is absent in mature nisin, and a 34-residue C-terminal part designated pro-nisin (Fig. 2; for lantibiotic nomenclature see Schnell et al., 1988; Jung, 1991; de Vos et al., 1991).

The structural genes of several other linear (Group A) lantibiotics have also been characterized in recent years and all contain leader and pro-lantibiotic sequences (Fig. 2). While the pro-peptides are subject to various, and probably sequential, post-translational modification reactions, recent studies in which intermediates were characterized have shown that the Pep5 and nisin leader sequences are not modified, apart from the occasional removal of the N-terminal methionine (Weil et al., 1990; van der Meer et al., 1993; 1994; Kuijpers et al., 1993b). Whilst sequences in both the leader and the pro-lantibiotic part could account for this difference in modification, it is likely that the N-terminal leader sequence, which is the first to be synthesized and at some stage is removed, may have a specific role in the post-translational modification and targeting processes.

**Linear lantibiotics contain two classes of leader peptides**

Comparison of the lantibiotic leader sequences (Fig. 2) shows that they are hydrophilic, highly charged, and often contain a charged residue following the initiator methionine. In contrast to the cysteine-rich pro-regions, the leader sequences are devoid of cysteine residues. Based on similarities in the size, net charge and sequence of the leaders, the presently known linear (Group A) lantibiotics can be classified into two main groups, i.e. Class Al and Class All (Fig. 2).

Class Al includes leaders with a slightly positive or negative net charge that contain several conserved residues. Mutation analysis of the nisin leader has shown that some of those conserved amino acids, including a serine at position −6 and several residues in the so-called FNLDV box, are essential for nisin modification, secretion or both (van der Meer et al., 1994; see below). Class All includes leader peptides with, in general, a highly negative net charge that do not contain a sequence resembling the characteristic FNLDV box. In contrast, those leaders contain consensus sequences that are not found in the Class Al leader sequences.

Apart from the distinct signature sequences, the Group Al and All leaders also differ in the residues that precede the pro-lantibiotic part. In the Class Al leaders these residues are charged and contain a conserved proline residue. Cleavage of the nisin leader was shown to be catalysed by a dedicated extracellular serine protease as the last step in nisin biosynthesis (van der Meer et al., 1993). Site-directed mutagenesis and molecular modelling studies have shown that whilst the conserved proline is not essential for leader cleavage, a positively charged residue at position −1 and a small hydrophobic residue at position −4 are essential (van der Meer et al., 1994; Siezen et al., 1995). In contrast, the listed Class All leaders contain a GA/GS/GG sequence immediately preceding the cleavage site and conserved ELS/EVS and EL/EM sequences. Unexpectedly, this Class Al leader sequences show considerable similarities with leaders of non-lantibiotic peptides produced by Gram-positive bacteria, such as pediocin, lacticin F and lactococcin A, and that of colicin V produced by *Escherichia coli* (Havarstein et al., 1994). Site-directed mutagenesis studies of leader processing sites have shown that export levels of colicin V or lacticin F are decreased by mutations G-2D, G-2R or G-2S (Gilson et al., 1990; Klaenhammer, 1993). The colicin V leader peptide is essential for translocation and is cleaved during export (Gilson et al., 1990; Fath and Kolter, 1993). Based on these results, it is tempting to speculate that the listed leader peptides of the Class Al lantibiotics and that of the non-lantibiotics are processed by a peptidase with a similar specificity and location.
Fig. 2. Alignment of leader and pro-peptides of lantibiotics compared with that of non-lantibiotics. Lantibiotics with Class AI leaders include nisin A and nisin Z from different strains of L. lactis (Buchman et al., 1988; Mulders et al., 1991), subtilin from B. subtilis (Banerjee and Hansen, 1988), epidermin, gallidermin and Pep5 from various Staphylococcus epidermidis strains (Schnell et al., 1988; Kaletta et al., 1989; Schnell et al., 1989). Lantibiotics with a Class AII leader include lacticin 481 from L. lactis (Piard et al., 1993), streptococcin A-FF2 from Streptococcus sp. (Ross et al., 1993), salivaricin from Streptococcus salivaricus (Hynes et al., 1993), and cytolysin L1 and L2 from Enterococcus faecalis (Gilmore et al., 1994). Fully conserved residues in both classes of leaders and cysteine residues in the pro-lantibiotic part that are all involved in lanthionine and 13-methyl-lanthionine formation are indicated in bold. Consensus residues are shown below the two classes of leaders while essential residues in the nisin leader are indicated by stars (van der Meer et al., 1994).

Recently, a new lantibiotic has been characterized, lactocin S, which also has a Class AII leader peptide with the conserved ELS element, but lacks the glycine-rich cleavage site, suggesting an alternative proteolytic cleavage (Skaugen et al., 1994).

Common post-translational modifications in the pro-region of linear lantibiotics

Comparison of the sequences of pro-lantibiotics with the structures of the corresponding mature linear lantibiotics allowed the identification of the residues that were subject to dehydration and subsequent reactions or participating in other post-translational modifications at the N- and C-terminus (Figs 1 and 2).

One or more unsaturated amino acids (dehydroalanine and dehydrobutyrine) are found in all lantibiotics and appear to be derived from serine and threonine residues, respectively (Jung, 1991); these dehydrated residues may subsequently undergo stereospecific nucleophilic addition by the sulphhydryl group of a cysteine residue to form the characteristic lanthionine or 13-methyl-lanthionine, respectively. Alternatively, they may remain as dehydrated residues in the lantibiotic. In the lantibiotic lactocin S a novel modification has recently been discovered in which some of the dehydrated serine residues are converted, probably via a hydrogenation reaction, into D-alanine residues (Skaugen et al., 1994).

The chemical modification reactions leading to the typical lanthionines were first proposed by Ingram (1970) and are assumed to be catalysed by specific enzymes encoded by the lantibiotic genes. However, the nucleophilic addition might be a chemical reaction as suggested by recent results in which a sequence mimicking the second (B) ring of epidermin was shown to cyclize spontaneously via a stereoselective intramolecular addition generating (2S,6R)-lanthionine that is found in all linear lantibiotics (Toogood, 1993). Whatever the nature of this reaction, the nucleophilic addition of the sulphhydryl group leading to the formation of thioether bonds in
linear lantibiotics shows a striking polarity from a cysteine residue to a more N-terminally located dehydro-residue, reflecting a common mechanism.

Apart from the modification reactions that involve the formation of dehydrated residues, two other types of post-translational modifications are known in lantibiotics (see Fig. 1). In some lantibiotics such as Pep5 and epilancin K7, the N-terminal threonine and serine residues are modified into 2-oxy-butyryl and 2-hydroxyl-pyruvyl residues, respectively (Kellner et al., 1989; van de Kamp et al., 1995). In addition, the C-terminal cysteine residues of epidermin and the related gallidermin are modified into S-[(Z)-2-aminovinyl]-o-cysteine (Schnell et al., 1988; Schnell, 1989; Kupke et al., 1992).

**Organization of genes involved in the biosynthesis of nisin and other linear lantibiotics**

Chromosome analysis in conjunction with transfer and curing studies have indicated that the production of nisin, the immunity to nisin, and the capacity to ferment sucrose are located on an unstable genetic element that was identified as a 70 kb conjugative transposon in *L. lactis* NIZO R5 (Rauch and de Vos, 1992). The organization, excision and integration of the nisin A transposon Tn5276 from this strain has been characterized and can be considered as the prototype of a novel family of large nisin–sucrose elements, which includes other nisin A transposons (such as Tn5301) from other strains, and nisin Z transposons (such as Tn5278) (Horn et al., 1991; Rauch et al., 1994).

The sequence and transcription of the Tn5276 13 kb nisin gene cluster has been determined (Fig. 3; Kuipers et al., 1993a; van der Meer et al., 1993; de Vos et al., 1995). The Tn5276 nisABTCIPRK gene cluster is similar in organization and sequence to the clusters recently characterized from *L. lactis* strains 8F3 and N8, which produce nisin A and nisin Z, respectively (Engelke et al., 1994; Immonen et al., 1995).

The gene clusters flanking the structural genes for various other lantibiotics have been characterized (Fig. 3). The best-studied representatives are those of nisin, subtilin, epidermin and Pep5, each containing Class AI leaders, and cytolysin and lacticin 481, which contain Class ALL leader peptides (Fig. 2). Mutation analysis has shown that there is a single structural lantibiotic gene that can be complemented in trans in the case of epidermin (Augustin et al., 1992), subtilin (Liu and Hansen, 1992), and nisin (Dodd et al., 1992; Kuipers et al., 1993a). However, deletion and complementation studies have recently shown that the cytolysin gene cluster contains two tandem structural genes (here designated cylA1 and cylA2; Fig. 3) that are both required for the cytolytic activity (Gilmore et al., 1994). Apart from an internal repeat, these cyl genes do not share common sequences, which contrasts with the complete duplication of the structural gene for streptococcin A that was recently observed in various strains of *Streptococcus pyogenes* (Hyres et al., 1994).

In addition to the conjugative nisin–sucrose transposons, the lantibiotic gene clusters are often found on unstable genetic elements and a plasmid location has been shown for the genes for epidermin, Pep5, cytolysin and lacticin 481 production. This has allowed the simple isolation of isogenic mutants that were deficient in lantibiotic production. Some of these mutants have been

**Maturation pathway of nisin**
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**Fig. 3.** Organization of lantibiotic operons. Following the proposed nomenclature (de Vos et al., 1991), genes encoded in the lantibiotic operons have the following locus symbols: nis, nisin (Kuipers et al., 1993a; Engelke et al., 1994; Siegers and Entian, 1996); spa, subtilin (Klein et al., 1992; 1993; Klein and Entian, 1994); epi, epidermin (Schnell et al., 1992); pep, Pep5 (Reis et al., 1992; H.-G. Sahl, personal communication); cyl, cytolysin (Gilmore et al., 1994); lct, lacticin 481 (Pierard et al., 1993; Rinoc et al., 1994). Individual genes are distinguished by capital letters, A being used for the structural gene. Identical capitals are used for genes encoding similar functions as deduced from functional analysis or sequence conservation. The presumed functions include modifying enzymes (B, C or M), oxidative decarboxylation (D), immunity (I), leader peptidase (P), export (T), regulation (R, K, and Q), and accessory self-protection (FG or EFG). Note that other nomenclature has been used in some of the original literature, i.e. for spa, cyl and lct genes. Similar shading indicates sequence conservation; structural genes are all black.
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teins similar in size and sequence to both NisC and NisB, that code for 993- and 414-residue proteins, respectively, for the NisB, NisC and CylM family of proteins are the property was used to align the clusters in Fig. 3. While the similarity to ABC exporters, the cilA1 cilDTP genes are required for the production of epidermin in a heterologous host (Augustin et al., 1992). Although the involvement of chromosomal genes cannot be excluded, these complementation experiments suggest that the presently identified gene clusters contain all the necessary information for the production of nisin, epidermin and cytolysin.

While the lantibiotic gene clusters show a characteristic and unique organization, they have the capacity to code for proteins with considerable sequence similarity to those found to be produced by the nisin genes (indicated by similar shading in Fig. 3). The genes that show sequence similarity or analogous functions have received the same gene designations, which conform with the agreements made at the 1st and 2nd International Workshops on Lantibiotics, although other designations have been used in early literature (see de Vos et al., 1991). These include the nisB gene and the distal nisC gene, which are probably involved in modification, the nisT gene encoding a protein with high similarity to ABC exporters, the nisl gene specifying a lipoprotein involved in nisin immunity, the nisP gene coding for a serine protease involved in leader processing, and, finally, the nisRK genes coding for a two-component regulatory system (see below).

Two classes of dedicated, lantibiotic-specific proteins are likely to be involved in common post-translational modification reactions

The nisin gene cluster contains two genes, nisB and nisC, that code for 993- and 414-residue proteins, respectively, with no significant overall homology to other proteins in the present databases. Remarkably, all characterized lantibiotic gene clusters contain a gene that could encode a protein with sequence homology to NisC, and this property was used to align the clusters in Fig. 3. While the spa, epi and pep clusters have the capacity to code for proteins similar in size and sequence to both NisC and NisB, the cly and Ict gene clusters may encode 993- and 922-residue proteins, designated CyIM and LctM, respectively, that contain a C-terminal domain with striking homology to NisC (Gilmore et al., 1994; Siezen et al., 1995). The presence of a common set of genes encoding proteins with a conserved sequence is likely to reflect the common reactions in the maturation pathways of lantibiotics. Mutation studies have suggested that the genes for the NisB, NisC and CyIM family of proteins are essential for the production of functional lantibiotics and may be involved in the dehydration and thioether bond formation. When either nisB, epiB or spaB was disrupted, production of the corresponding lantibiotic was completely abolished (Klein et al., 1992; Augustin et al., 1992; Chung and Hansen, 1992; O. P. Kuipers et al., manuscript in preparation). Similarly, mutations in the nisC and epiC genes have led to impaired production of nisin and epidermin, respectively (O. P. Kuipers et al., manuscript in preparation; Augustin et al., 1992). Finally, it was recently found that disruption of IctM destroyed the production of lactacin 481 and inactivation of cylM abolished production of cytolysin (Rince et al., 1994; Gilmore et al., 1994). However, as no precursors have been identified and characterized in these mutants, any conclusions about the reaction that is catalysed by these conserved proteins remain speculative.

Careful homology searches showed that the N-terminal domains of the CyIM and LctM proteins do not show any significant similarity to NisB and its homologues, excluding the possibility of a fusion between their respective genes. In addition, no other proteins were found in protein databases that had a significant resemblance to those belonging to the NisB, NisC or CyIM family of proteins. Computational secondary-structure predictions indicated that all of these contain membrane-spanning hydrophobic regions, and recent experimental evidence confirmed that NisB and SpaB are membrane-bound (Engelke et al., 1992; Gutowski-Eckel et al., 1994). Limited similarity was reported between NisB and E. coli IlvA, a threonine dehydratase, and hence a possible dehydratase function for the NisB-like proteins has been suggested (Gutowski-Eckel et al., 1994). If this is correct, this leads to the speculation that NisC-like proteins are involved in the enzyme-catalysed formation of thioether bonds from the dehydrated residues and cysteine. Similarly, it could be envisaged that the CyIM protein and its homologues combine the role of dehydratase and the enzymatic activity leading to lanthionine ring formation.

Based on the sequence and size of the lantibiotic-specific genes, the presently identified gene clusters may be grouped into one containing homologues of the nisB and nisC genes, and another containing no nisC homologue but an extended nisB-like gene, such as cylM or IctM (Fig. 3). There is a striking correlation between this grouping and the classification of lantibiotics based on the differences in leader sequence (Fig. 2). This implies that the linear lantibiotics can be grouped into two classes based on the characteristics of their leader sequence and on the presence of conserved genes in their gene cluster. Complete sequence analysis of gene clusters encoding other lantibiotics with Class AI or Class All leaders is needed to further substantiate this classification. Nevertheless, it is tempting to assume that this dichotomy reflects an interaction between the homologues of NisC and/or NisB with the Class AI leader peptides on
one hand, and an interaction between the enlarged proteins of the CylM family and the Class A1 leader peptides on the other. In what way this interaction takes place and what roles the characteristic signature elements of the two classes of leader peptides play in this interaction remain to be elucidated. However, mutation analysis of the conserved FNLDV box has led to the suggestion that the leader sequence of nisin plays a role in either recognition of, or binding to, lantibiotic-modifying enzymes, together with other functions such as maintaining the precursor in an inactive form (van der Meer et al., 1993; 1994). In line with this hypothesis is the recent finding that substitution of the nisin leader by that of subtilin resulted in a completely and correctly maturated nisin species, indicating that functional exchange is possible between the Class A1 leaders (Kuipers et al., 1993b).

Export and activation of nisin and other (pre)lantibiotics: roles of NisT and NisP

Two nisin genes, nisT and nisP, encode proteins with identified functions that are also found to be encoded by other lantibiotic gene clusters. The nisT gene encodes a 600-residue protein with strong homology to ABC exporters (Engelke et al., 1992; Kuipers et al., 1993a). ABC exporters share two main regions of homology, i.e. an ATP-binding motif in the C-terminal half, and the membrane-spanning domains in the N-terminal half, suggesting that for secretion ATP hydrolysis is required as a source of energy (Fath and Kolter, 1993). The domains responsible for substrate recognition have not been identified. Related genes for ABC exporters are found in all other lantibiotic gene clusters investigated (Fig. 3). It is likely that those translocators are involved in the export of (pre)lantibiotics. This has been conclusively demonstrated for the export of cytolsin CylT was the first ABC exporter identified in Gram-positive bacteria (Gilmore et al., 1990). As expected, introduction of an in-frame deletion in the N-terminal part of NisT abolished the production of nisin (O. P. Kuipers et al., unpublished). However, a spaT disruption mutant of Bacillus subtilis was able to produce subtilin but had a clumpy phenotype and became non-viable at a later growth phase (Klein et al., 1992). Although this phenotype was interpreted as indicative of a defective translocation system, it also suggested the presence of an alternative export system for which recent evidence is provided (see below).

There is a single gene for the ABC exporter in the lantibiotic gene clusters, except in the case of the epi gene cluster, which contains an uninterrupted epiT gene. However, this does not preclude the production of a functional ABC exporter, and the corresponding gene in the related galilidermin gene cluster does not appear to be interrupted (Schnell, 1989). ABC exporters are also required for the translocation of colicin V and other non-lantibiotic antimicrobial peptides such as pediocin PA-1 (Marugg et al., 1992), and lactococcin A (Stoddard et al., 1992). However, in these systems another accessory gene with deduced homology to HlyC is present and it has been shown that export of these non-lantibiotics requires both the ABC exporter and the accessory genes (Fath and Kolter, 1993).

The nisP gene has been shown to encode an extracellular serine protease. The deduced protein sequence of NisP contains an N-terminal signal sequence and a C-terminal membrane anchor, indicative of secretion followed by anchoring to the cell membrane (van der Meer et al., 1993). Various lines of evidence have been provided supporting the conclusion that extracellular removal of the nisin leader peptide by NisP is the last step in the biosynthesis of nisin. One piece of evidence is based on the detailed characterization of an extracellular intermediate, designated precursor nisin, that consists of the non-modified nisin leader and a fully modified pro-nisin part. This precursor nisin was first isolated from a L. lactis strain that contained an incomplete nisP gene (van der Meer et al., 1993). Precursor nisin was devoid of biological activity but could be activated by incubation with cell membranes from a nisP-expressing strain, indicating that the activating NisP protease is membrane-located as was expected from the presence of characteristic topogenic sequences. Remarkably, the presence of nisin precursor was also observed during growth of nisin-producing strains (van der Meer et al., 1994). Other support for the role of NisP in cleaving nisin precursors was provided by the observation that mutations in the nisin leader peptide at positions -4 or -1 resulted in the secretion of unprocessed nisin precursors, probably because of the inability of the NisP protease to productively bind the modified precursor in its substrate-binding region (van der Meer et al., 1994). This notion was strengthened by molecular modelling of precursors in the active site of NisP, which indicated that a hydrophobic residue at position -4 and a positively charged residue at position -1 (Siezen et al., 1995; see Fig. 2) were necessary. Finally, a nisin precursor peptide containing the leader of the homologous lantibiotic subtilin was effectively transported into the culture medium, probably not being processed because of the presence of a glutamine residue at position -1 (Kuipers et al., 1993b). Taken together with the predicted location of NisP at the outer side of the bacterial membrane, and the in vitro actual processing of native nisin A precursor by whole cells expressing nisP, it can be concluded that the fully modified precursor peptide is translocated and then processed by NisP.

The cellular location of processing by a dedicated leader
peptidase may differ according to the lantibiotic. The homologous EpIP and CyIP proteins also contain a signal sequence but lack an anchor, suggesting that they operate extracellularly, either attached to the cell wall in another fashion or not attached at all. The putative peptidase PepP of the pep operon lacks both a signal sequence and an anchor, and may function intracellularly, which is compatible with the N-terminal modification of Pep5. Finally, the spa gene cluster does not contain a peptidase-like gene (Fig. 3). However, the subtilin producer *B. subtilis* is known to contain a variety of secreted serine proteases that could be involved in the proteolytic activation. Because of the great similarity between the nisin and subtilin systems and the functional exchange of their leaders, it is likely that this proteolytic cleavage of the subtilin leader sequence also will take place outside the *B. subtilis* cells.

**Immunity: role of immunity proteins such as the lipoprotein Nisl and ABC exporters**

The *nisl* gene was recently identified in the middle of the nisin gene cluster and shown to be involved in the development of immunity. The deduced sequence of the 245-residue Nisl suggests that it is a lipoprotein, probably anchored in the membrane with its C-terminus to the outer side of the cell (Kuipers et al., 1993a). Cloning of *nisl* in a non-nisin producing *L. lactis* strain conferred a low level of immunity, which may be due to a low expression level since independent experiments showed that overexpression of *nisl* conferred a high level of immunity to *L. lactis* cells (Engelke et al., 1994). Furthermore, overexpression of *nisl* under the control of the inducible T7-promoter in *E. coli* resulted in significant protection of EDTA-treated cells against exogenously added nisin (Kuipers et al., 1993a). Finally, disruption of the *nisl* gene in nisin-producing *L. lactis* strains did not affect nisin production but considerably increased the sensitivity to nisin (Siegers and Entian, 1995; O. P. Kuipers et al., unpublished results). Interestingly, the *spa* gene cluster contains a gene, *spal*, for a 165-residue lipoprotein with no structural or sequence similarity to Nisl, but also two other genes, *spaf* and *spag*, that may encode an ABC exporter (Klein and Entian, 1994). Gene-disruption mutants in all these *spa* genes were more sensitive to subtilin than the wild-type strain, indicating that both the lipoprotein and the ABC exporter are involved in producer immunity. For microcin B17 it has been shown that an ABC exporter, consisting of McbF and McbE, is involved in translocation of microcin but also plays a role in the self-protection mechanism (de Carmen Garrido et al., 1988). The SpaG and the N-terminal part of SpaF show significant homology with the McbG and McbF proteins, respectively, suggesting that a similar mechanism would operate in a subtilin-producing *B. subtilis* (Klein and Entian, 1994). Very recently, downstream of the *nisABTCPRK* cluster, three genes, designated *nisFEG*, were discovered (Siegers and Entian, 1995). The deduced sequences of NisF and NisE are highly similar to that of the N- and C-terminal parts of SpaF, respectively, while NisG is predicted to be a hydrophobic protein, like McbG. Mutations in all three *nisFEG* genes appeared to result in increased sensitivity to exogenously added nisin, indicating that next to the Nisl lipoprotein an ABC exporter is also involved in self-protection in *L. lactis* (Siegers and Entian, 1995). These results imply that for the lantibiotics nisin and subtilin, which are extracellularly activated, NisT or SpaT would be involved in export of the precursor form, while the other ABC exporter (NisFEG or SpaFG) would translocate nisin or subtilin that has penetrated the membrane without being affected by the lipoproteins Nisl or SpaL. Whether this second ABC exporter would also translocate precursor forms remains to be investigated but it would explain the subtilin-producing phenotype found for a SpaT disruption mutant (Klein et al., 1992).

In lantibiotics that are completely intracellularly matured, another mechanism of immunity may be operating. One such a lantibiotic is Pep5, and it has been reported that expression of *pepl* that is located upstream from the structural gene *pepa* can confer immunity to Pep5 in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, whereas disruption of this gene abolishes both Pep5 production and the immune phenotype (Reis et al., 1994). Insensitive variants were only observed when both *pepa* and *pepl* were present in the constructed plasmid. This may indicate a role for a *pepa* gene product in immunity although a regulatory function cannot be excluded. The putative Pepl protein consists of only 69 amino acid residues, with a striking 20-amino-acid hydrophobic region between positions 6 and 27, suggesting a membrane location.

**NisR/NisK and other two-component regulatory systems involved in the biosynthesis of lantibiotics**

Preceding the *nisFEG* genes are the *nisR* and *nisK* genes, which encode a histidine kinase and response regulator, respectively (van der Meer et al., 1993; Engelke et al., 1994). A similar two-component system has been found in the *spa* gene cluster and the corresponding *spar* genes code for a proteins with significant homology to those encoded by the *nisRK* genes, (Klein et al., 1993). In addition, the epidermin gene cluster contains the *epiQ* gene that also encodes a response regulator with only a little homology to NisR and SpaR (Augustin et al., 1992). The most likely target for the response regulator is the promoter of the structural lantibiotic gene and for EpiQ such an interaction resulting in transcriptional activation has recently been confirmed (Peschel et al., 1993). In view of
the differences in their transcriotional organization, it may be expected that variations on a similar theme can occur in the regulation of expression of the different lantibiotic gene clusters. It has been suggested that subtilin and nisin production is regulated (Klein et al., 1993; Engelke et al., 1994), and recently the inducing signal for nisin biosynthesis was found to be nisin itself (Kuipers et al., submitted). The large similarity between the nisin and subtilin systems suggests that they may react to a similar stimulus.

Maturation pathway of nisin

Taking together the results described above, we suggest the following maturation pathway for nisin (Fig. 4). In the first step (Step 1), the inducing signal activates, via the two-component signalling pathway, the nisA promoter, which has features also found in other positively regulated promoter sequences (de Vos and Simons, 1994). This results in production of the primary translation product of the nisA gene (pre-nisin) containing free cysteines and no dehydrated residues and which could adopt an \( \alpha \)-helical structure (Step 2). The pre-nisin is directed, presumably by virtue of the leader peptide, to a membrane-located complex containing the modifying enzymes NisB (possibly involved in dehydration) and NisC (conceivably involved in establishing the thioether bonds) (Step 3). At this stage, the leader may help to maintain the peptide in an inactive form (van der Meer et al., 1993). Subsequently, the precursor nisin is translocated via the ABC exporter NisT at the expense of ATP hydrolysis (Step 4). Finally, precursor nisin is activated by proteolytic cleavage by the extracellular protease NisP that is hooked to the cell envelope by its fatty acyl membrane anchor (Step 5). The fate of the leader has not yet been determined and it remains to be established whether it is just degraded or has another function.

The proposed sequence of the various maturation steps in time and space may also apply to other lantibiotics. However, additional intracellular conversions are required in the case of specific modification reactions such as those involving the N- and C-termini. It has recently been reported that the EpiD-catalysed C-terminal modification of epidermin may occur intracellularly as the first step in the post-translational modification of this lantibiotic (Kupke et al., 1994). In addition, the presence of N-terminal modifications found in the lantibiotics Pep5 and epilancin K7 precludes export of a precursor form of those lantibiotics in which the leader is still attached to the N-terminus. This is in line with the observation that the proteases PepP and ElkP, which are encoded by the gene clusters for these related lantibiotics, do not contain a signal sequence, suggesting an intracellular location (Reis et al., 1994; van de Kamp et al., 1995). Similarly, an intracellular location may be predicted for the protease involved in cleaving the recently discovered lactocin S that also contains an N-terminally modified residue (Skaugen et al., 1994). All these N-terminally modified lantibiotics would then require translocators that export the fully matured product which is not maintained in an inactive conformation by the presence of a leader sequence.

The exact nature of the individual reactions involved in the maturation pathway, e.g. the isolation and characterization of individual enzymes and other proteins, the \textit{in vitro} conversion of serine and threonine residues present in propeptides into dehydrated residues, subsequent lanthionine formation, and the elucidation of substrate requirements for these enzymes, awaits further investigation. Protein engineering of leader peptides and proregions of lantibiotics, recently initiated, appears to be an approach that promises to elucidate the substrate requirements (Kuipers et al., 1992; Dodd et al., 1992; Liu and Hansen, 1992; Kuipers et al., 1993b; van der Meer et al., 1994).

In conclusion, the maturation pathway we propose here for nisin shows that the role of the leader is still not fully understood, apart from its function in producing an inactive conformation. In particular, it is not known how it functions in targeting the pre-lantibiotic to the maturation and export proteins, what its fate is after cleavage, and in which way it may contribute to processes such as self protection after it has been cleaved off. This not only applies to the Class AI leaders but also to leaders that belong to Class All. Both classes contain different conserved signature sequences that are probably recognized by the two different classes of modification enzymes. An important finding from the studies with nisin is that leader cleavage is not a prerequisite for export by the ABC exporter NisT and it is possible that this conclusion can be extended to other peptides with antimicrobial activity.

---

**Fig. 4.** Proposed maturation pathway of nisin (for an explanation, see the text).
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