Early loading of endosseous implants in the augmented maxilla: a 1-year prospective study

Implant-supported fixed and removable prostheses provide a proper treatment modality with reliable success, especially for the rehabilitation of an edentulous mandible [Adell et al. 1981; Engquist et al. 1988; Jemt et al. 1996; Batenburg et al. 1998]. Prosthetic rehabilitation of the edentulous maxilla using endosseous implants is often limited by insufficient quality and quantity of bone. Several grafting procedures have been described to create sufficient volume of bone for the placement of implants in atrophic maxillae, including total or segmental bone onlays, Le Fort I osteotomy with interpositional bone grafts, and grafting of the maxillary sinus with autogenous bone or bone substitutes. In particular, bone grafting of the floor of the maxillary sinus with autogenous bone for the insertion of implants has been shown to be a reliable treatment modality with good long-term results [Raghoebbar et al. 2001].

The multistep process of endosseous implant therapy is time-consuming and may limit patient acceptance. In the past, one-stage surgery has already solved part of this problem and has developed to a proven alternative [Batenburg et al. 1998]. The next steps in increasing patient acceptance are thought to be early loading (maxillary prosthetic construction provided within 8 weeks after implant placement) and immediate loading (prosthetic construction provided on the day of implant placement). Whether immediate or early loading is possible depends, among others, on bone and soft tissue quality. Several immediate and early loading studies have been performed over the last decades. These studies indicate that immediate (Ledermann 1979,
1984; Schnitman et al. 1990, 1997; Henry & Rosenberg 1994; Salama et al. 1995; Spiekerman et al. 1995; Balshi & Wolfinger 1997; Chiapasco et al. 1997; Tarnow et al. 1997; Horiuchi et al. 2000; Andersen et al. 2002] and early [Lazzara et al. 1998; Randow et al. 1999; Ericsson et al. 2000; Roccuzzo et al. 2001; Roynestad et al. 2001; Cochran et al. 2002; Testori et al. 2002] loading can be applied with good success. Immediate loading means functional loading within 72 h after insertion of the implants, while early loading refers to functional loading within half of the conventional healing time. Additional research is still needed before these techniques can be applied in daily practice.

The long-term success rates achieved in the dental implantology suggest that flexibility might well exist within the system to a degree that an altered protocol can be considered under controlled conditions if carefully carried out by experienced clinicians. The use of reduced healing time of implants in an augmented maxilla has never been investigated yet. It has even been posited in the literature to avoid immediate loading of implants in such cases [Horiuchi et al. 2000]. When considering the rather long treatment time in this group of patients when applying a conventional protocol, particularly in these patients reduction of treatment time is a goal to achieve. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and radiographic outcomes as well as patient satisfaction after early loading of dental implants inserted in the maxilla after augmentation of the maxillary sinus floor with autogenous bone grafts.

Patients and methods

Patients

From the patients referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Maxillofacial Prosthetics of the University Hospital Groningen in 2000, 10 consecutive patients were selected on the basis of the following inclusion criteria: severely resorbed maxilla (class V–VI, Cawood & Howell 1988) with reduced stability and retention of the upper denture, an edentulous period of at least 1 year, no history of radiotherapy in the head and neck region, and no history of reconstructive, pre-prosthetic surgery or previous oral implantology. Eligible patients were informed about the early loading protocol and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Surgery and prosthodontics were performed within the same clinic. All patients (five women, five men; mean age 55.5 years, range 49–67 years at the time of surgery) agreed to participate in the study (Table 1). The patients had been edentulous in the maxilla for 1–16 years. In the mandible the edentulous patients wore implant-supported overdentures [n = 3] or conventional dentures [n = 3]. Four patients were partially dentulous in the mandible.

Planning of treatment

Orthopantomograms, lateral cephalograms, and postero-anterior oblique radiographs were made to assess the height of the maxillary alveolar bone, the dimensions of the maxillary sinus, and the anteroposterior relationship of the maxilla to the mandible. The radiographs were also screened for sinus pathology. For every sinus to be included in this study, the alveolar height was measured on the orthopantomogram. The mean vertical height of the alveolar bone between the most caudal part of the maxillary sinus and the oral cavity was 3.1 ± 4 mm.

In all cases, a diagnostic setup of the planned overdenture was made to get more insight in the position and number of desired implants and converted to a surgical template. Factors considered in the diagnostic setup included aesthetics [support for lips and cheeks], position of the posterior teeth, intermaxillary relationship, parafunctions [for example, bruxism] and the space available for the superstructure. A minimum of six implants with a length of at least 10 mm was aimed for. The implants were preferably equally distributed across both sides of the maxilla and connected with a superstructure. Prosthodontic considerations for the additional insertion of implants in the anterior region included the need to position the implants as near as possible to the planned maxillary dental arch, sufficient intermaxillary space for the mesostructure and the overstructure, especially considering the distance to the occlusal plane, and the width of the prosthesis in the anterior region. In case the interarch distance was insufficient for prosthetic construction with an optimal aesthetic and phonetic result, two superstructures were planned supported by three implants, inserted in the region between the canine and first molar [n = 6] (Fig. 1).

Surgery

In all cases, the maxilla of the patients was reconstructed with superior anterior medial iliac crest bone grafts under general anaesthesia. In all cases, a two-stage procedure [first stage, bone grafting; second stage, placement of implants] had to be performed because the height of the maxillary bone and/or the width of the alveolar crest were less than 5 mm. After augmentation of the maxillary sinus floor, the height of the maxillary bone was at least 13 mm. Subsequently, the width was increased by placing monocortico-cancellous bone blocks buccally of the cortex of the alveolar defect, with the cancellous side of the bone graft in contact with the jawbone. The graft was fixed with titanium screws [Martin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Mandibulary dentition</th>
<th>Number of implants</th>
<th>Loss of implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISO = implant-supported overdenture; CD = complete denture; RPD = removable partial denture.
Medizin Technik, Tuttlingen, Germany) to the alveolar bone. Condensed cancellous bone particles were used to fill the small gaps between the bone graft and the alveolar crest. In all cases the width of the maxillary bone was at least 7 mm. After a healing period of 3 months, Osseotite implants (3i Implant Innovations Inc., Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA) were inserted using a surgical template for the position and direction of the implants (Fig. 1A). In all cases the bone volume was sufficient at the time of implantation, except in one patient (one implant) where the bone width was not enough. In the latter case, the implant could be inserted with sufficient primary stability, but some threads of the implant were exposed. These threads were covered with bone and a Bio-Gide membrane (Geistlich Pharma AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland). The oral mucosa was thinned where applicable and the healing abutments placed.

Prosthodontics

Two months after insertion of the implants, the prosthetic construction was fabricated. This phase begins with abutment connection with a torque to 32 N cm. The patients were rehabilitated with a milled bar superstructure and an overdenture with a Ceka revax precision attachment system (Ceka, Antwerp, Belgium).

Data collection

All patients and prosthetic constructions were evaluated according to a standardised protocol immediately and 1 year after fabrication of the overdenture. The protocol included assessment of both clinical (bleeding score, pocket depth, implant mobility) and radiographic (panoramic and standardised long-cone radiographs) parameters. Complications during surgery and postoperative healing (inflammation, wound dehiscence, sequestration and loss of bone particles) and loss of implants were obtained from the patients’ records. During the 1-year visit, the bars were removed and all implants were individually checked if they were mobile. The clinical examination included assessment of peri-implant soft tissue, bleeding index (Mombelli et al. 1987), gingival index (Löe & Silness 1963) and the probing depth (Merrit-B, Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL, USA). Also plaque index (Mombelli et al. 1987) and presence of calculus were registered.

Possible marginal peri-implant bone loss was recorded by taking long-cone intraoral radiographs immediately after placement of the overdenture and at the 1-year follow-up visit. The distance from the implant/connector interface to the first bone-to-implant contact was measured with a digital caliper. The measurements were made at the two approximal implant sites. The site showing the most bone loss was used for data analysis. Analysis of the radiographs was performed by two observers.

Implant failure was defined according to the following criteria: implant mobility; persistent pain, infection, presence of peri-implant radiolucency; significant, ongoing cervical or apical implant-related bone loss; or the implant could not be used for prosthetic rehabilitation. Patient satisfaction was assessed by using a validated questionnaire [Vervoorn et al. 1988]. The questionnaire focused on satisfaction with function of the prosthetic construction and with aesthetics. Eight items were presented with a five-point rating scale on which the patient indicated to what extent he or she was [dis]satisfied (1 = very satisfied, 2 = satisfied, 3 = neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 4 = dissatisfied, 5 = very dissatisfied).
A total of 68 Osseotite Implants were observed at the donor sites. Healed was uneventful. No major complications were observed in one patient, which resolved with decongestants and antibiotics used for a period of 2 weeks. The wound was not observed during surgery in two cases (10%), although the sinus membrane was perforated. One implant could be rotated and had to be removed. One of these two implants was surrounded by grafted bone. After 2 months, two implants were mobile and had to be removed. There was no need to replace the lost implants as proper prosthetic rehabilitation could be undertaken without replacement.

The patient’s overall treatment satisfaction was expressed on a 10-point rating scale (1 = very bad to 10 = excellent).

Results

Surgical complications

Although the sinus membrane was perforated during surgery in two cases (10%), healing was uneventful and loss of bone particles through the nose was not observed. Symptoms of transient sinusitis were observed in one patient, which resolved with decongestants and antibiotics used for a period of 2 weeks. The wound healing was uneventful. No major complications were observed at the donor sites.

Implants

A total of 68 Osseotite implants were placed in the augmented maxillae (Table 1). The lengths of the implants were: 11.5 mm, n = 3; 13 mm, n = 31; 15 mm, n = 34. Thus, at least two-thirds of each implant was surrounded by grafted bone. After 2 months, two implants were mobile and had to be removed. One of these two implants was the implant covered with a membrane. One implant could be rotated after 2 months on abutment tightening. The patient did not experience any discomfort or pain. As the implant did not show lateral mobility, the implant was included in the prosthetic construction. At the 1-year follow-up, the implant was mobile and had to be removed. There was no need to replace the lost implants as proper prosthetic rehabilitation could be undertaken without replacement.

The parameters for evaluating peri-implant tissue health showed favourable results (Table 2). Radiological evaluation after 1 year indicated a mean marginal bone loss around 65 implants of 0.3±0.7 mm. The mobile implant showed peri-implant radiolucency. No other implant-related adverse events were reported.

The mean score on the questionnaire concerning denture satisfaction was 1.8±0.9. The mean satisfaction score with regard to the total treatment was 7.9±0.9.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate the placement and restoration of endosseous implants that were inserted in an augmented maxilla and loaded after 2 months of healing. The short-term results demonstrate a success rate of 95.6% during the first year of loading. This is comparable to the success rates reported when applying a conventional protocol [Esposito et al. 1998a; Raghoebar et al. 2001]. The conventional protocol data can be considered to be a historical control.

Lazarra et al. [1998], Cochran et al. [2002] and Testori et al. [2002] reported a 98–100% short-term success rate for implants in the maxilla with the early loading protocol. However, the patients were partially dentulous and no augmentation techniques were necessary. Although the results of implant survival rates between the partial dentulous and edentulous maxilla have not been analysed separately, there are some indications from the literature that the survival rate is higher in the case of partially dentulous patients [Mazor et al. 1999]. Retrospectively, we observed long-term implant survival rates between 90.8% for the edentulous and 97.0% for the partially dentulous maxilla [Raghoebar et al. 2001]. A possible explanation is that partially edentulous patients have less resorbed jaws [speaking in favour of sufficient bone volume and bone quality]. In addition, in contrast to partially dentulous patients, edentulous patients were allowed to wear their upper dentures [relieved in the operated areas] during the healing phase. Moreover, in partially dentulous patients, occlusal forces on the prosthetic construction are merely transferred to the natural dentition. Since the overload is directly proportional to the bone–implant contact, early loss of the implants can occur when wearing prostheses during the healing phase. In the edentulous patients, there is thus an increased risk of implant failure due to biomechanical imbalance and poor bone quality. Most likely, late failures have a multifactorial background, such as overload and bacterial infection [Esposito et al. 1998b].

Overall, the patients were satisfied with their prosthetic construction. The patient’s satisfaction in this study was comparable to that after a conventional treatment protocol for the augmented maxilla [Raghoebar et al. 2001].

It is difficult to determine the time at which an implant has sufficient stability in

Table 2. Mean values and standard deviations (SD) of plaque index, gingival index, bleeding index, calculus index and probing depth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index (score 0–3)</td>
<td>0.6±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival index (score 0–3)</td>
<td>0.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding index (score 0–3)</td>
<td>0.7±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculus index (score 0–1)</td>
<td>0.2±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing depth (mm)</td>
<td>3.4±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal bone loss (mm)</td>
<td>0.3±0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Panoramic radiograph 1 year after placement of prosthesis.

The mean score on the questionnaire concerning denture satisfaction was 1.8±0.9. The mean satisfaction score with regard to the total treatment was 7.9±0.9.
bone to start with loading of the implants. Primary implant stability is a function of local bone quality and quantity, implant design, and the surgical technique used. Secondary implant stability is also determined by the bone tissue response to surgical trauma and the implant surface. It is highly desirable to have a quantitative method for establishing the primary stability of an implant at the time of implant placement especially if early or immediate loading of the implants is performed, even if it is still unknown what the minimal stability of an implant has to be before loading. Such information may be used to predict the optimum healing period and point at when an implant may be suitable for loading. During implant placement, primary stability is often defined by the surgeon as the lack of clinically detectable motion when using two opposing instruments in a lateral direction. In more recent studies this method has increasingly been replaced by instruments such as the Periotest® (Siemens, Bensheim, Germany) and resonance frequency analysis (OstellTM, Integration Diagnostics Ltd, Sweden) to quantify the lateral movements of the implant under controlled force (Meredith 1998). After the healing period, however, these instruments do not evaluate resistance to rotation of the implant, which is an indication of the strength of the implant-to-bone contact. Cochran et al. [2002] used a controlled rotational force of 35 N cm and two subjective evaluation criteria, which were clinically detectable rotation of the implant and patient discomfort. Their data suggest that either implant rotation or patient discomfort on rotation may be an indication of premature osseous integration of the implant, rather than an indicator of implant failure as in the case of lateral mobility of an implant, rather than an indicator of implant satisfaction of patients. These data are comparable to those obtained by conventional protocols. Further investigation and long-term evaluation are certainly needed to confirm the encouraging results of this clinical study and to fully evaluate the clinical impact of early implant loading as predictable osseointegration is the goal to achieve in dental implantology. Before early or immediate loading protocols can be performed in daily practice, controlled clinical studies that validate performance over a 5-year period in different bone quality and restorative situations have to be performed. Evidence-based treatment demands scientific documentation before utilisation.

Résumé
Chez dix patients, 68 implants ont été insérés dans des maxillaires édentés et épaisseis en utilisant la technique du placement implantaire en une étape. Trois mois avant l’insertion implantaire la largeur et la hauteur du bord alvéolaire ont été épaisseis par des greffes osseuses autogènes provenant de la crête iliaque. Dans tous les cas, le volume osseux résultant de cette chirurgie était suffisant pour le placement des implants. Suivant un protocole de mise en charge précoce, la prothèse ancrée sur les implants a été fabriquée deux mois après l’insertion de ces derniers. L’évaluation a été effectuée suivant un protocole standardisé immédiatement et une année après la construction des prothèses. Cette évaluation incluait des critères cliniques (indice de saignement, profondeur des poches, mobilité implantaire) et radiographiques (niveau osseux marginal sur radiographies standardisées). Trois implants maxillaires chez deux patients ont été perdus [taux de survie : 95,6%]. Les tissus paroimplantaire avaient une apparence saine et la perte osseuse était minime. Les patients étaient satisfaits de la construction prothétique. De cette étude préliminaire, il ressort que dans des cas sélectionnés le placement précoce d’implants peut être un traitement préféérable après l’épaississement du maxillaire.

Zusammenfassung

Resumen
Se insertaron 68 implantes endósicos en 10 pacientes en el maxilar edéntulo aumentado usando una técnica de implante de una sola fase. 3 meses antes de la inserción de los implantes se aumentaron la anchura y la altura de la crestita alveolar con injertos de hueso autoñgo de la cadera ilíaca. En todos los casos el volumen de hueso resultante fue suficiente para la inserción de los implantes. De acuerdo con el protocolo de carga temprana, se fabricó la sobredentada implantoportada 2 meses tras la inserción de los implantes. Se llevó a cabo una evaluación de acuerdo con un protocolo estándar inmediatamente y tras un año tras la fabricación de la reconstrucción prostética. El protocolo incluyó valoración de tanto parámetros clínicos (valores de sangrado, profundidad de bolsas, movilidad de implantes) como radiográficos (nivel de hueso marginal en radiografías estándar). Se perdieron 3 implantes en 2 pacientes en el maxilar superior (índice de supervivencia: 95.6%).

Tejidos perimplantarios tuvieron una apariencia sana y la pérdida ósea fue mínima. En conjunto, los pacientes estuvieron muy satisfechos con la reconstrucción prostética. Se concluye de este estudio preliminar que en casos seleccionados, la carga temprana de los implantes puede desempeñar en una modalidad predecible de tratamiento tras el aumento del maxilar.

要旨
患者10名の骨増生後無菌層上側にて、1回目のテクニックを用いて、68本の骨内インプラントを埋入した。インプラント埋入3ヶ月前に歯槽頂の幅増と高度を、歯槽骨板から採取した自家移植株によって増生させた。全ての症例においてインプラントの埋入に十分な骨量が得られた。早期荷重プロトコールに従って、インプラント支持によるオーバーパーティションを、インプラント埋入後2ヶ月後に製作した。標準プロトコールに則って、補綴物製作の直後及び1年後に評価を行った。全ての症例において、臨床のインプラント3本が失われた（生存率：95.6％）、インプラント周辺の組織は健全で、骨喪失は最低限であった。全体として患者は補綴物に大変満足していた。この予後評価の結果から、選択した症例においてインプラントの早期荷重は、上顎骨増生後の予後性が高いため治療様式とされることを結論する。


