

University of Groningen

Weil pairing and the Drinfeld modular curve

van der Heiden, Gerrit

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2003

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van der Heiden, G. (2003). Weil pairing and the Drinfeld modular curve Groningen: s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Chapter 3

Local-Global Problem for Drinfeld Modules

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter we study a local-global principle for Drinfeld modules and elliptic curves. This principle is an analogue of the Hasse principle. This principle states the following. Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $8 \nmid n$. Let \mathbb{Q}_l denote the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the valuation at l . Suppose that x is an n th power in \mathbb{Q}_l for almost every l , then it is an n th power in \mathbb{Q} ; cf. Theorem 9.1.3.ii in [43].

A similar problem for elliptic curves is studied in Section 3.4. Here we start with an elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} , a prime number p and a \mathbb{Q} -valued point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. We show that if P is p -fold in $E(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ for every prime l , then P is a p -fold in $E(\mathbb{Q})$; cf. Theorem 3.4.1.

The corresponding question for Drinfeld modules has a more complicated answer. Let K be a function field and let φ be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 over K . Let $(a) \subset A$ be a principal prime ideal and let $x \in K$ be an element which is locally an a -fold for every place ν of K . The *local-global principle* as we understand it in this chapter states that any such element x is an a -fold globally. Whether or not this principle holds depends on the Galois group of the field extension L of K obtained by adjoining the a -torsion points of φ to K . By using Galois cohomology, we show in which cases the local-global principle holds; cf. Theorem 3.2.8. Moreover, we construct examples for which the local-global principle does not hold; cf. Section 3.3 and Theorem 3.3.3. A paper based on this chapter is accepted for publication in *Journal of Number Theory*.

3.2 The Drinfeld module case

Let X be a projective, smooth, absolutely irreducible curve over \mathbb{F}_q with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) = p$. Let $\infty \in X$ be some fixed closed point on X . Let $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ be the function field of X , and let A be the ring of functions in $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ which are regular outside ∞ .

Let K be some separable, finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$, and let γ denote the natural embedding $\gamma : A \rightarrow K$ and let K^s be the separable closure of K inside some algebraic closure of K . Let $K\{\tau\}$ be the skew polynomial ring consisting of elements $\sum_i k_i \tau^i$, $k_i \in K$.

Multiplication in $K\{\tau\}$ is given by the rule $\tau k = k^q \tau$ for all $k \in K$.

Let φ be a *Drinfeld module over K of rank r* , i.e., φ is a ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{G}_{a,K}) \cong K\{\tau\}$$

such that for all $a \in A$

$$\varphi_a = \sum_{i=0}^{r \deg(a)} k_i \tau^i \quad \text{with } k_{r \deg(a)} \in K^* \text{ and } k_0 = \gamma(a).$$

Note that we write φ_a instead of $\varphi(a)$.

The Drinfeld module φ induces an A -module structure on L for any field extension L of K . This module structure is given by

$$\varphi_a(l) = \sum_i k_i \tau^i(l) = \sum_i k_i l^{q^i} \quad \text{for all } l \in L.$$

We write $E(L)$ for the field L together the A -module structure induced by φ . We write $E[a](L)$ for $\ker(\varphi_a)(L)$, and we write aQ for $\varphi_a(Q)$ for all $Q \in E(L)$.

Let $(a) \subset A$ be a principal prime ideal of A . The local-global problem that we described in the introduction comes down to studying the following kernel

$$S(a, K) := \ker \left(E(K)/aE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) \right)$$

where the product is taken over all places ν of K . Namely, any non-trivial element in this kernel corresponds to a class of elements in $E(K)$ which is an a -fold locally for every ν , but is not an a -fold globally. *So the local-global principle holds if and only if $S(a, K)$ is trivial.* In the rest of this chapter study the group $S(a, K)$.

3.2.1 The group $S(a, K)$

For any $P \in E(K)$, let

$$K_P := \text{the splitting field of } \varphi_a(Z) - P \in K[Z] \text{ over } K.$$

Lemma 3.2.1. *The field extensions $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$ are finite and Galois.*

Proof. Because $\frac{d}{dZ}(\varphi_a(Z) - P) = \gamma(a) \neq 0$, the polynomial $\varphi_a(Z) - P$ is separable and therefore K_P is a finite Galois extension of K . In particular, K_0 is a Galois extension of K . Note that if $\varphi_a(z_1) - P = 0$, then then the other roots of $\varphi_a(Z) - P$ are given by $z_1 + \ker(\varphi_a)(K^s)$. Therefore, $K_0 \subset K_P$. \square

Note that $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ is a function field, i.e., a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ for some element $t \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ which is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_q . For function fields as well as for number fields we have *Chebotarev's density theorem*, cf. [32]. The following lemma is a consequence of this theorem.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let K be a function field (resp. a number field) and let L be a finite separable extension of K . If for all places ν of K there exists a place ω lying over ν of L of degree 1, then $K = L$.*

Proof. Let M be the normal closure of L/K , then both M/L and M/K are finite Galois extensions. Let $H = \text{Gal}(M/L)$ and $G = \text{Gal}(M/K)$. By Chebotarev every $\sigma \in G$ is the Frobenius of some place μ of M lying above some place ν of K . This implies that $\sigma \bmod \nu$ generates the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_\mu/k_\nu)$, where k_μ and k_ν denote the residue fields at μ and ν respectively. Because both M/K and M/L are Galois, there is a $\tau \in G$ such that the conjugate $\mu' = \tau(\mu)$ of μ lies above a place ω of L , which has degree 1 over ν . In particular, we see that $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$ generates $\text{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_\omega) = \text{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_\nu)$. The latter equality follows from the fact that $\deg(\omega/\nu) = 1$. So see that $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \in H$, and thus $\sigma \in \tau^{-1}H\tau$. We conclude that

$$G = \bigcup_{\tau \in G} \tau H \tau^{-1} = \bigcup_{\tau H \in G/H} \tau H \tau^{-1}.$$

Note that $1 \in \tau H \tau^{-1}$ for all $\tau \in G$. On the other hand, G equals the union of all distinct cosets τH , which is a disjoint union. By comparing the number of elements one sees that this is only possible if $H = G$, hence $K = M^G = M^H = L$. \square

Proposition 3.2.3. *For every class $[P] = P + aE(K) \in S(a, K)$ we have $K_P = K_0$. In particular $S(a, K_0) = 0$.*

Proof. First note that for every $Q \in aE(K)$, we have $K_Q = K_0$, hence the extension K_P only depends on the class $[P] = P + aE(K)$.

Let now $P \in S(a, K)$ and let ν be a place of K . Then $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$ and correspondingly we have places ν, ν_0 and ν_P with ν_0 a place of K_0 lying above ν and ν_P a place of K_P lying above ν_0 .

Because $P \in S(a, K)$, there exists a solution of $\varphi_a(Z) - P = 0$ in K_ν , hence all solutions of this equation lie in $(K_0)_{\nu_0}$. This means that $K_0 \subset K_P \subset (K_0)_{\nu_0}$. It follows that $(K_0)_{\nu_0} = (K_P)_{\nu_P}$ and in particular $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$. By Lemma 3.2.1 and Lemma 3.2.2 we may deduce that $K_P = K_0$.

If $[P] \in S(a, K_0)$, then $(K_0)_P = (K_0)_0 = K_0$, hence $P \in aE(K_0)$, thus $[P] = 0$. \square

We write throughout this chapter

$$G = \text{Gal}(K_0/K),$$

and if L is a function field, then we write as usual $G_L = \text{Gal}(L^s/L)$.

Proposition 3.2.4. *We have*

$$S(a, K) \cong \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_\omega).$$

The intersection is taken over all places ω of K_0 , and Res_ω is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_\omega : H^1(G, E[a](K_0)) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, E[a]((K_0)_\omega))$$

where D_ω denotes the decomposition group at ω .

Proof. Consider the following diagram in which C, B and Φ are the kernels of the three right horizontal maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & S(a, K) & \longrightarrow & S(a, K_0) = 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi & \longrightarrow & E(K)/aE(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\omega} E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega}).
\end{array}$$

Clearly, the second and third row are exact as well as all columns. From this it follows that also the first row is exact. Hence we see that $S(a, K) \cong C$.

To determine the kernels C and B , we use some Galois cohomology. Starting from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E[a](K^s) \longrightarrow E(K^s) \xrightarrow{\varphi_a} E(K^s) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we deduce that

$$E(K)/aE(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(K^s/K), E[a](K^s)).$$

By additive Hilbert 90 the cokernel of this map is $H^1(G_K, E(K^s)) = 0$, because $E(K^s)$ is here just $(K^s)^+$, hence

$$E(K)/aE(K) \cong H^1(G_K, E[a](K^s)).$$

Similarly, we deduce that

$$E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \cong H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K^s)).$$

This implies that

$$\Phi \cong H^1(G, E[a](K_0)).$$

Let ν be a place of K and let ω be a place of K_0 lying above ν , then we may apply the same arguments to K_{ν} and $K_{0,\omega}$ and obtain

$$\ker(E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) \longrightarrow E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega})) \cong H^1(D_{\omega}, E[a](K_{0,\omega}))$$

where D_{ω} denotes the decomposition group at ω . This isomorphism implies that

$$B \cong \prod_{\nu} \bigcap_{\omega|\nu} H^1(D_{\omega}, E[a](K_{0,\omega}))$$

where the product runs over all places ν of K . Note that the map Res_{ω} depends only on the place ν underlying ω , so it follows that C is the kernel of the map $\prod_{\nu} \text{Res}_{\omega}$, with Res_{ω} as in the proposition. \square

3.2.2 The group $H^1(G, E[a](K_0))$

In the following we will write $\mathbb{F} = A/(a)$ and $V = E[a](K_0)$. In Proposition 3.2.4 we showed that $S(a, K)$ is a subgroup of $H^1(G, V)$. In the sequel of this section we study this latter group. For all field extensions $L \supset K_0$, we have $V = E[a](L)$. Note that \mathbb{F} is a field extension of \mathbb{F}_q , because (a) is prime. It is well-known that $V \cong \mathbb{F}^r$ where r is the rank of φ . The action of $\sigma \in G$ on elements in K_0 commutes with the action of φ_f for all $f \in A$. This gives us a representation

$$G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}),$$

which is an embedding because K_0 is given by adjoining to K the elements of V , which are the zeroes of $\varphi_a(Z)$.

Proposition 3.2.5. *For every Drinfeld module of rank 1 the group $S(a, K)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Note that $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ and thus $p \nmid \#G$, but V is a p -group, hence $H^1(G, V) = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.2.6. *Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field of characteristic p and let W be an \mathbb{F} -vector space of dimension r . If $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$, then*

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

If $\mathrm{gcd}(r, \#\mathbb{F}^) > 1$, then*

$$H^1(\mathrm{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

Proof. For the first part, note that if $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$, then we may choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$, such that $\alpha \neq 1$. Hence $H = \langle \alpha I \rangle$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Note that $W^H = 0$. Moreover $H^1(H, W) = 0$, because this group is annihilated by both p and $\#H$, which is prime to p . By the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})/H, W^H) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) \longrightarrow H^1(H, W) = 0,$$

the first statement follows.

The condition $\mathrm{gcd}(r, \#\mathbb{F}^*) > 1$ implies that there is an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ with $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha^r = 1$. The group $H = \langle \alpha I \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Using the same argument as above, we deduce the second part of the proposition. \square

Remark 3.2.7. For rank $r = 2$ the Galois group G is generically $\mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F})$; cf. [17]. It is conjectured that for arbitrary rank this is also true, i.e., the Galois group is generically $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Proposition 3.2.6 states that given this conjecture, $S(a, K)$ is generically 0.

3.2.3 The rank 2 case.

From now on we will assume that the rank of the Drinfeld module φ is 2. Throughout the rest of this chapter H will denote

$$H := G \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}).$$

Note that H is a normal subgroup of G and that $p \nmid [G : H]$, hence

$$H^1(G/H, V^H) = 0$$

and we see by group cohomology that

$$H^1(G, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

The classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, given in [53], shows that H is one of the following.

- (1) $p \nmid \#H$.
- (2) D_{2n} ; in this case $p = 2$ and n is odd.
- (3) $p = 3$ and $H = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \subset \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_9)$ with $i^2 = -1$. In this case $H \cong \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ and $H/\langle \pm 1 \rangle \cong A_5$.
- (4) $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$, where $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$.
- (5) $\langle \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}), \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, where λ^2 generates \mathbb{F}_{p^k} , but $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.
- (6) H is a Borel group, i.e., H has a normal abelian p -Sylow subgroup Q such that H/Q is cyclic of order dividing $\#\mathbb{F}^*$.

In the following proposition we deal with most of the subgroups in the classification.

Theorem 3.2.8. *If H is of type (1) or (2) or if $p > 2$ and $2 \mid \#H$, then*

$$H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Consequently, in all these cases $S(a, K) = 0$.

Proof. We consider the different types of H :

Type (1). $H^1(H, V)$ is annihilated by both p and $\#H$, hence

$$H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Type (2). In this case $p = 2$. We consider the following exact sequence in which x is one of the generators of order 2 of D_{2n}

$$H^1(D_{2n}, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^1(\langle x \rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}} H^1(D_{2n}, V).$$

Now by [51] $\mathrm{Cor} \circ \mathrm{Res} = n$. Because x is of order 2 and $p = 2$, we know by the corollary to Proposition VIII.4.6 in [51], that

$$H^1(\langle x \rangle, V) \cong H^{-1}(\langle x \rangle, V).$$

The latter group is isomorphic to the kernel $\ker(1 + x)$ modulo the augmentation ideal. An easy computation shows that $H^1(\langle x \rangle, V) = 0$.

Type $p > 2$ and $2 \mid \#H$. This implies that H contains the non-trivial normal subgroup $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$. Now the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(H/\langle \pm 1 \rangle, V^{\langle \pm 1 \rangle}) \longrightarrow H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \pm 1 \rangle, V) = 0,$$

gives that $H^1(H, V) = 0$. □

Remark 3.2.9. The only cases of the classification which are not covered by this theorem are the following: $p = 2$ and H is of type (4) or (5), or H is of type (6) (such that $2 \nmid \#H$). If $p = 2$ and H is of type (4), then by [6], Table 4.5, we obtain that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G, V) = 1.$$

So if $p = 2$ and H is of type (4) or (5), this might give rise to examples for which $S(a, K)$ is non-trivial. In Section 3.3 we only discuss examples for $p > 2$ for which $S(a, K)$ is non-trivial. For $p = 2$ one can construct such examples for H of type (6). For $p = 2$ the types (4) and (5) might also give rise to non-trivial $S(a, K)$. We do not consider these two types in the sequel.

H of type (6). In the rest of this section we will assume that H is of type (6) and we compute $H^1(H, V)$. Let Q be the p -Sylow subgroup of H . Clearly

$$H^1(H/Q, V^Q) = 0,$$

because this group is annihilated by both p and $\#(H/Q)$, which is prime to p . It follows that

$$H^1(G, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(Q, V).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^k = \#Q$, then $Q = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \rangle$ and $H = \langle Q, \rho \rangle$, where

$$\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that the λ_i are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ generates H/Q . Let $\tau \in Q$ and write $\text{Res}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$ for the residue map

$$\text{Res}_{\langle \tau \rangle} : H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \tau \rangle, V).$$

Proposition 3.2.10. *The \mathbb{F} -vector space $H^1(Q, V)$ has dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p > 2 \\ -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

If $H = Q$ and $\sigma \in Q$ is not the identity, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q.$$

Proof. We write $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$. Note that V is an $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ -module. The group ring $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ is isomorphic to the commutative ring $\mathbb{F}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k]$ with only the relations $\sigma_i^p = 1$. Write $x_i = \sigma_i - 1$, then $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ is the commutative ring $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ subject to the relations $x_i^p = 0$.

Note that \mathbb{F} is isomorphic to $R/(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. To compute $H^1(Q, V)$, we consider the truncated following free resolution of the R -module \mathbb{F} :

$$R^{k + \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xrightarrow{d_1} R^k \xrightarrow{d_0} R \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this sequence the R -linear maps are given as follows:

$$d_{-1} : 1 \mapsto 1 \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_k)},$$

write b_1, \dots, b_k for generators of R^k over R , then

$$d_0 : b_i \mapsto x_i,$$

write $c_1, \dots, c_k, c_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ for the generators of $R^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}$, then

$$d_1 : c_i \mapsto x_i^{p-1}b_i, \quad d_1 : c_{i,j} \mapsto x_i b_j - x_j b_i.$$

To see that the given sequence is exact, note that $\ker(d_0)$ is generated by the elements $d_1(c_i), d_1(c_{i,j})$ for all i, j , because these exactly describe all relations in the ring R .

From this sequence we arrive at the cocomplex

$$V^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xleftarrow{d_1} V^k \xleftarrow{d_0} V \longleftarrow 0,$$

with

$$d_0(v) = (x_1 v, \dots, x_k v),$$

and

$$d_1(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (x_1^{p-1}v_1, \dots, x_k^{p-1}v_k, (x_i v_j - x_j v_i)_{i < j}).$$

To compute $\ker(d_1)$ and $\text{im}(d_0)$, note that the action of R on V is given by $x_i e_1 = 0$ and $x_i e_2 = \lambda_i e_1$ for all i . From this it follows immediately that $\text{im}(d_0)$ is generated over \mathbb{F} by the vector $(\lambda_1 e_1, \dots, \lambda_k e_1)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{im}(d_0) = 1$.

To compute $\ker(d_1)$, note that $x_i^{p-1}v = 0$ for all v if $p > 2$. So if $p > 2$, then an element of V^k lies in $\ker(d_1)$ iff $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$. Write $v_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2$, with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$, then

$$x_i(a_j e_1 + b_j e_2) = \lambda_j b_j e_1 = x_j(a_i e_1 + b_i e_2) = \lambda_j b_i e_1.$$

From this it follows that $\ker(d_1)$ is generated by

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1), (\lambda_1 e_2, \dots, \lambda_k e_2),$$

hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k + 1$. So we see that for $p > 2$ the dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k$. If $p = 2$, then elements in $\ker(d_1)$ must satisfy $x_i^{p-1}v_i = x_i v_i = 0$, hence $v_i = a_i e_1$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence $\ker(d_1)$ is contained in the span of

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1).$$

For vectors in this span clearly also the other equations $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$ hold, hence this span equals $\ker(d_1)$ and thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k$. So for $p = 2$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k - 1$. Clearly, by this computation

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

This implies the dimension formula for $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle})$. □

Proposition 3.2.11. *Suppose that $H \neq Q$, say $H/Q \cong \langle \alpha \rangle$, with $2 \nmid \text{ord}(\alpha)$. Let $\delta = 1$ if $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$ and $p > 2$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. Let $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha^2 \text{ for all } j; \\ l + \delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that we may extend the restriction-inflation sequence as follows (cf. [51]):

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(H/Q, V^Q) \longrightarrow H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q} \longrightarrow H^2(H/Q, V^Q),$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$H^1(H, V) \cong H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q},$$

because $H^1(H/Q, V^Q) = H^2(H/Q, V^Q) = 0$.

We will now compute the H/Q -invariant cocycle classes in $H^1(Q, V)$. We will use the following notation: for a cocycle $\xi : Q \longrightarrow V$ we write

$$\xi(\sigma_\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_\lambda \\ y_\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write $x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ for the first coordinate map $x : \lambda \mapsto x_\lambda$ and $y : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ for the second coordinate map, then the cocycle relations and the relations between the elements in Q imply that x and y are determined by the following relations:

$$(1a) \quad x(\mu + \lambda) = x(\mu) + x(\lambda) + \lambda\mu y(1)$$

$$(1b) \quad y(\lambda) = \lambda y(1) \quad \text{and} \quad y(1) = 0 \text{ if } p = 2.$$

So we see that in particular that y is \mathbb{F} -linear. Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where α is as in the proposition. The action of H/Q on cocycles is given as follows: the cocycle $\alpha\xi$ maps $\sigma \mapsto \rho^{-1}\xi(\rho\sigma\rho^{-1})$. An easy computation now shows that a cocycle class $[\xi]$ represented by a cocycle ξ is invariant under H/Q when there is a coboundary η given by $(m_1, m_2) \in V$ such that for all $\sigma_\lambda \in Q$

$$\rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda) + \eta(\sigma_\lambda).$$

Let now $\tilde{\eta}$ be the coboundary given by $(0, \frac{m_2}{\alpha-1}) \in V$. An easy computation shows that if we replace ξ by $\xi - \tilde{\eta}$, then for this ξ the following equation holds:

$$(2) \quad \rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda).$$

This ξ represents the class $[\xi]$ uniquely and the relations read in coordinates:

$$(2a) \quad \alpha^{-1}x(\alpha^2\lambda) = x(\lambda)$$

$$(2b) \quad \alpha y(\alpha^2\lambda) = y(\lambda).$$

Let W be the \mathbb{F} -vectorspace consisting of tuples (x, y) with

$$x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

is subject to the relations (1a) and (2a) and

$$y : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

is subject to the relations (1b) and (2b), then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$. We will compute the latter dimension.

(1b) and (2b) imply that $\alpha^3 y(1) = y(1)$. So either $y = 0$ or α has order 3 and then y is determined by $y(1)$.

If we let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ be generators of \mathbb{F} over $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$, where $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$, then by (1a) and (2a), x is determined by $x(\lambda_i)$, with $i = 1, \dots, l$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W \leq l + \delta$.

Suppose that $y(1) = 0$, then x is \mathbb{F}_p -linear. Let h be the minimal polynomial of α^2 , then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$0 = x(h(\alpha^2)\lambda) = h(\alpha)x(\lambda).$$

So if $h(\alpha) \neq 0$, i.e., if h is not the minimal polynomial of α , then $x(\lambda) = 0$. Note that h is the minimal polynomial of α iff $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover if $\delta = 1$, then the order of α is 3, i.e., $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. One easily sees that α^2 is the second root of $1 + X + X^2$ besides α , hence $\alpha^p = \alpha^2$. We conclude that if $\alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha^2$, then $x(\lambda) = y(\lambda) = 0$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$ and let $y(1) = 0$, then x is not only \mathbb{F}_p -linear, but even $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ -semi linear. This means that the \mathbb{F} -subspace of W consisting of the tuples (x, y) with $y = 0$ has dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F} = l$.

If $\delta = 0$, then this subspace equals W and we see $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$. If $\delta = 1$, then the dimension of W is either l or $l + 1$. So let $\delta = 1$, then $p > 2$ and $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$. Suppose that $y(1) \neq 0$ and let $x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be given by $x(\lambda) = c\lambda^2$, where $c = \frac{1}{2}y(1)$. Then one checks easily that x has property (1a). And because $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$, it has property (2a) as well. This shows that W contains an element (x, y) with $y \neq 0$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$. \square

In the following lemma, we show that $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$ does not depend on the choice of $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$.

Lemma 3.2.12. *For all $\sigma, \tau \in Q$ such that $\sigma \neq 1 \neq \tau$ we have $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$.*

Proof. For $p = 2$, by the proof of Proposition 3.2.10 $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) = 0$, hence $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V)$ for all $\sigma \in Q$.

Let now $p > 2$. Note that

$$\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V) \cap \ker(H^1(Q, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because $H^1(H, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$, so we may assume that $H = Q$.

Clearly, if σ and τ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p , then $\langle\sigma\rangle = \langle\tau\rangle$, so $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$. If σ and τ are independent over \mathbb{F}_p , then we may extend them to a basis $\langle\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\rangle$ with $\sigma = \sigma_1, \tau = \sigma_2$ and $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$.

We write $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$ such that the σ_i 's are upper triangular on the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Note that the kernel of $\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}$ is the image of

$$H^1(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, V^{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}) \simeq \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1)$$

under the injective inflation map. The inflation map

$$\text{Inf} : \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$$

is given by $\hat{\xi} \mapsto [\xi]$ such that if $\hat{\xi}([\sigma_j]) = a_j \in \mathbb{F}$ with $j \neq i$, then ξ is the cocycle given by

$$\xi(\sigma_j) = (a_j, 0) \in V, \quad j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(\sigma_i) = (0, 0).$$

Now we will show that $\ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_k}) \subset \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_l})$. If $[\xi] \in \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_k})$, it comes from a $\hat{\xi}$ as mentioned above. Now let η be a coboundary given by $(m_1, m_2) \in V$, hence

$$\eta : \sigma_i \mapsto (\lambda_i m_2, 0) \quad \text{for all } i \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We choose m_2 such that $\lambda_i m_2 + a_i = 0$, then by construction there is a

$$\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_l\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1)$$

such that $\text{Res}_{\sigma_l}(\tilde{\xi}) = [\xi + \eta]$. This shows that $[\xi] = [\xi + \eta] \in \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_l})$. □

Recall that for any place ω of K_0 the map Res_ω is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_\omega : H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, V).$$

Proposition 3.2.13. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 and let $H = G \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be of type (6) with p -Sylow group Q . Let $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$, then*

$$S(a, K) = \ker(H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)) \bigcap_{\omega: p^2 \nmid \#D_\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_\omega)$$

where the intersection is taken over places ω of K_0 . This intersection is finite.

Proof. Suppose that ω is any place of K_0 . If $p \nmid \#D_\omega$, then $\ker(\text{Res}_\omega) = H^1(G, V)$, because $H^1(D_\omega, V) = 0$.

If $p \mid \#D_\omega$ and $p^2 \nmid \#D_\omega$, then

$$\ker(\text{Res}_\omega) \subset \ker(H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because $H^1(D_\omega, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)$. By Chebotarev's density theorem it follows that there exists a place ω of K_0 with $D_\omega \cong \langle\sigma\rangle$. From this the description of $S(a, K)$ follows.

To see that the intersection is finite, note that if $p^2 \mid \#D_\omega$, then ω is ramified and there are only finitely many ramified places. □

Remark 3.2.14. Clearly, $\ker(H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)) \subset \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$ with

$$\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle} : H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)$$

as before. Hence Proposition 3.2.13 combined with Proposition 3.2.11 and Proposition 3.2.10 gives a bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K)$.

Corollary 3.2.15. *If φ is a Drinfeld module of rank 2 over \mathbb{F}_p , $p > 2$ prime and $(a) \subset A$ is a prime ideal of degree 1, then $S(a, K) = 0$.*

Proof. If $H = G \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is not of type (6), then the corollary follows from Theorem 3.2.8. If H is of type (6), then Proposition 3.2.13 shows that $S(a, K) \cong \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$. Because $H^1(G, V)$ embeds into $H^1(Q, V)$, Lemma 3.2.12 shows that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) \leq -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$. As $G \subset \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = 1$. □

The proof of Theorem 3.4.1 is similar to the proof of this corollary.

3.3 Examples of non-trivial $S(a, K)$

In this section we show that there exist examples of Drinfeld modules over certain function fields K with non-trivial $S(a, K)$. In Example 3.3.1 we show that there exist a Drinfeld module φ over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ such that the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ contains $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Here $K_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(t)(\ker(\varphi_t))$. In Example 3.3.2 we show that over some specific field extension M of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ the field extension $M_0 = M(\ker(\varphi_t))$ is an Artin-Schreier extension given by $X^q - X = f$ for some $f \in M$. These two examples are used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.3.

Example 3.3.1. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 2$, and let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$. Let

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$$

be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 given by

$$\varphi_t = t + t\tau + t^2\tau^2.$$

Let $K_0 = K(\ker(\varphi_t))$, then $G \subset \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

We consider the decomposition group D_t . Clearly, the Newton polygon of $\varphi_t(Z)$ has two slopes, namely 0 and $\frac{1}{q(q-1)}$. To factor $\varphi_t(Z)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q((t))[Z]$, we need at least a completely ramified extension of degree $q(q-1)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$. Hence $D_t \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ contains a subgroup of q elements. If we compare this with the classification of subgroups of $\text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in Subsection 3.2.3, we see that D_t contains \mathbb{F}_q as a subgroup. We conclude that G contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Recall that a system of Artin-Schreier equations over some \mathbb{F}_p -field M

$$\begin{cases} z_1^p - z_1 = f_1 & f_1 \in M \\ \vdots \\ z_n^p - z_n = f_n & f_n \in M, \end{cases}$$

is called *independent* over M , if for all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with not all λ_i are 0, the equation $z^p - z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$ has no solutions in M . Such a system gives rise to a tower of field extensions $M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n$ where the extension M_i/M_{i-1} is given by $z_i^p - z_i = f_i$ and is of degree p .

Example 3.3.2. Let $q = p^k$, $p > 2$ and let

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \longrightarrow K\{\tau\},$$

be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 such that $\text{Gal}(K(\ker(\varphi_t))/K)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

See Example 3.3.1. We write

$$\varphi_t = t + tc_1\tau + tc_2\tau^2, \quad \text{with } c_1, c_2 \in K.$$

The field K_0 is the splitting field of the equation

$$(1) \quad 1 + c_1Z^{q-1} + c_2Z^{q^2-1} = 0.$$

Furthermore, there exist elements $P, Q \in K_0$ such that $\ker(\varphi_t) = \mathbb{F}_q \cdot P + \mathbb{F}_q \cdot Q$. We let $L = K_0^H$. Because $\text{Gal}(K_0/L) = H$, we may assume that $P \in L$.

If we substitute $U = Z^{q-1}$ in (1), we get

$$(2) \quad 1 + c_1U + c_2U^{q+1} = 0.$$

Let L_1 be the splitting field of (2), then we have the field inclusion $L \subset L_1 \subset K_0$. The latter field extension is given by the equation $U = Z^{q-1}$. This implies that $[K_0 : L_1] \mid q-1$, but $[K_0 : L] = \#H = q$, hence $L_1 = K_0$. This shows that K_0 is the splitting field of (2) over L .

Because $P \in L$, we already know a solution of (2), namely $u = P^{q-1}$. Substituting $V = U - u$ in (1) gives

$$1 + c_1(V + u) + c_2(V + u)(V^q + u^q) = c_1V + c_2Vu^q + c_2uV^q + c_2V^{q+1}.$$

Subsequently, we divide out V and substitute $W = V^{-1}$. This shows that K_0/L is the splitting field of the equation

$$(3) \quad W^q + \frac{c_2u}{c_1 + c_2u^q}W + \frac{c_2}{c_1 + c_2u^q} = 0.$$

To simplify this equation a little more, we consider it over the extension $L(b)$ of L with

$$b^{q-1} = -\frac{c_2u}{c_1 + c_2u^q}.$$

Because $[L(b) : L] \mid q-1$, the degree of $M := L(b)$ over L is relatively prime to q , hence the splitting field $M_0 := K_0(b)$ of (3) over M also has Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(M_0/M) \cong H.$$

Substituting $bX = W$ in (3) gives

$$X^q - X = f \quad \text{with } f = \frac{1}{bu}.$$

The following theorem shows that $S(a, K)$ can be arbitrarily large.

Theorem 3.3.3. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ there exists a function field K , a Drinfeld module $\varphi : A \rightarrow K\{\tau\}$ and a prime ideal $(a) \subset A$ such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) = k.$$

Proof. Let $q = p^k$ for some integer $k > 1$ and $p > 2$ a prime. The computations of Example 3.3.1 and Example 3.3.2 show that there is a Drinfeld module φ over some function field M , such that $M_0 = M(\ker(\varphi_t))$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and moreover this extension M_0/M is an Artin-Schreier extension given by

$$(4) \quad X^q - X = f, \quad f \in M.$$

This extension is also given by the system of Artin-Schreier equations

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} x_1^p - x_1 = \beta_1 f \\ \vdots \\ x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f \end{cases}$$

where the $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p . To see this, write $z = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. An easy computation shows that z is a solution of $X^q - X = f$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_k \\ \beta_1^p & \cdots & \beta_k^p \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_1^{p^{k-1}} & \cdots & \beta_k^{p^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because this matrix is invertible (cf. [22]), it follows that (5) is indeed equivalent to $X^q - X = f$.

Consider the extension $M(z_1)/M$ given by the equation

$$z_1^p - z_1 = \beta_1 f - g_1.$$

The element g_1 is chosen as follows: for the finitely many places ν of M for which $v_\nu(f) < 0$, we let $v_\nu(g_1) > 0$ and for the one place ν_0 for which $v_{\nu_0}(f) > 0$, we let $v_{\nu_0}(g_1) = -1$. Such a g_1 exists; cf. Corollary VI.2.1 in [4]. The condition $v_{\nu_0}(g_1) = -1$ makes sure that the system of Artin-Schreier equations given by (5) is independent over $M(z_1)$. Namely, by Hensel's lemma all equations of (5) have their solutions in M_{ν_0} which is unramified at ν_0 over M , whereas the equation for z_1 gives rise to a totally ramified extension of degree p at ν_0 .

Similarly, we construct a field extension $M(z_1, z_2)/M(z_1)$ given by

$$z_2^p - z_2 = \beta_2 f + g_2.$$

We choose g_2 in the same way as we chose g_1 with M replaced by $M(z_1)$. This implies that (5) is independent over $M(z_1, z_2)$. By repeating this process, we see that (5) is independent over the field $M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$.

Let $L/M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ be the field extension given by (5), then its Galois group is H . Let ν be a place of $M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ and let v_ν be its corresponding valuation. Let ω be a place of L lying above ν . We distinguish the following cases.

- (a) $v_\nu(f) > 0$, in this case we see that the equations of (5) are over the residue field given by $x_i^p - x_i = 0$, hence they split completely over the residue field. Hensel's lemma implies that D_ω is trivial.

- (b) $v_\nu(f) = 0$, then also $v_\nu(\beta_i f) = 0$, hence all equations of (5) are over the residue field given by $x_i^p - x_i = \alpha_i$, with α_i in the residue field. Hence all equations only give rise to a residue field extension. This shows that ν is in L and thus D_ω is cyclic and can have at most p elements, because the elements of H have at most order p .
- (c) $v_\nu(f) < 0$. Note that the equations $x_i^p - x_i = \beta_i f$ are equivalent to $y_i^p - y_i = g_i$ by substituting $y_i = z_i - x_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Because by construction $v_\nu(g_i) > 0$, it follows that these equations give a trivial extension at ν . So only the equation $x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f$ can give rise to a non-trivial extension, but this extension has at most degree p , hence D_ω can have at most p elements.

We see that at any place ω , the decomposition group D_ω has at most p elements. This means that for the non-trivial D_ω , the kernel $\ker(\text{Res}_\omega)$ has dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \ker(\text{Res}_\omega) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^1(H, V) = k - 1,$$

by Proposition 3.2.10. Hence, it follows by Proposition 3.2.12 that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} S(t, M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})) = k - 1.$$

□

3.4 The elliptic curve case

In this section we will treat the analogous problem for elliptic curves. Although there are references treating this problem, cf. Theorem 1.b in [59] and Theorem 3.1 in [14], it is included here, because our proof requires nothing more than we have already done in the Drinfeld case.

Let E be an elliptic curve over some number field K and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number. For any $P \in E(K)$ we denote $K_P = K(p^{-1}P)$. In this section we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K , let p be a prime number, then the kernel*

$$S(p, K) = \ker \left(E(K)/pE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_\nu)/pE(K_\nu) \right),$$

where ν runs through the places of K , is trivial.

As before, we will write $G = \text{Gal}(K_0/K)$. Because $E[p](\overline{K}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p \cdot x + \mathbb{F}_p \cdot y$ with $x, y \in K_0$, we see that

$$G \hookrightarrow \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Clearly, $K_0 \subset K_P$. We will denote $V = E[p](K_0) = E[p](K_{0,\omega})$.

Proposition 3.4.2. *For every $P \bmod pE(K) \in S(p, K)$, we have $K_P = K_0$. In particular, $S(p, K_0) = 0$.*

Proof. For every $Q \in pE(K)$, clearly $K_Q = K_0$, hence K_P only depends on the class $[P] = P + pE(K)$. Furthermore, if $P \in S(p, K)$, then $P \in pE(K_\nu)$ for every place ν of K . If we let ν_0 be a place of K_0 lying above ν and ν_P be a place of K_P lying above ν_0 , then this implies that $(K_\nu)_P \subset K_{0, \nu_0}$. This gives rise to an embedding $K_0 \subset K_P \subset K_{0, \nu_0}$, hence $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$. By Lemma 3.2.2 it follows that $K_P = K_0$. Now $S(p, K_0) = 0$ as in Proposition 3.2.3. \square

Proposition 3.4.3. *We have that*

$$S(p, K) \subset \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_{\omega}),$$

where the intersection is taken over all places ω of K_0 and the map Res_{ω} is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_{\omega} : H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_{\omega}, V),$$

with D_{ω} the decomposition group at ω .

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2.4, we have the following diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & S(p, K) & \longrightarrow & S(p, K_0) = 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi & \longrightarrow & E(K)/pE(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K_0)/pE(K_0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/pE(K_{\nu}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\omega} E(K_{0, \omega})/pE(K_{0, \omega}). \end{array}$$

Applying the same arguments of Galois cohomology as in the proof of Proposition 3.2.4 we obtain injections

$$E(L)/pE(L) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_L, E[p](\overline{K}))$$

for $L = K$ and $L = K_0$. This gives rise to an embedding $\Phi \hookrightarrow H^1(G, V)$. Arguing in the same way we get an embedding

$$B \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu} H^1(D_{\omega}, E[p](K_{0, \omega})),$$

where the product runs over all places ν of K . This implies that

$$C \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_{\omega}).$$

\square

Let $H = G \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As before we have

$$H^1(G, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

Because $H \subset \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have that H is one of the following subgroups, cf. the classification in Section 3.2.3:

- (1) $p \nmid \#H$.
- (2) D_2 ; in this case $p = 2$.
- (3) $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- (4) H is a Borel group, i.e. H has a cyclic normal subgroup $Q = \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order p and H/Q is cyclic of order dividing $p - 1$.

Proposition 3.4.4. *If H is of type (1), (2) or (3), then $H^1(G, V) = 0$.*

Proof. Except for the case $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, this follows from Theorem 3.2.8. So let $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and let $\sigma \in H$ be an element of order 2. The group $H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V) = 0$ - this is Proposition 3.2.10, with $p = 2$ and $Q = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Consider the restriction-corestriction sequence

$$H^1(H, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}} H^1(H, V).$$

Then $\mathrm{Cor} \circ \mathrm{Res} = [H : \langle \sigma \rangle]$, hence

$$[H : \langle \sigma \rangle] \cdot H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Because $[H : \langle \sigma \rangle]$ is relatively prime to 2, it follows that $H^1(H, V) = 0$. □

We can now prove Theorem 3.4.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.4.1. Because $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V)$, we know by Proposition 3.4.4 that if H is not of type (4), then $S(a, K) = 0$. Suppose now that H is of type (4) and let $\sigma \in H$ be an element of order p . Then by Chebotarev and Proposition 3.4.3 we have $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle})$. By Proposition 3.2.10 this kernel has dimension

$$-1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = -1 + 1 = 0.$$

□

