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Selective bowel decontamination in elective liver transplantation: no improvement in endotoxemia, initial graft function and post-operative morbidity
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Abstract

Perioperative endotoxemia during liver transplantation has been linked to compromised graft function and infection. Selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD) could prevent endotoxemia by eradicating Gramnegative bacteria from the intestine. In a randomized placebo controlled study we investigated the effects of endotoxemia and the efficacy of SDD to prevent its occurrence. Thirty-one patients undergoing elective orthotopic liver transplantation received either SDD(n=15) or placebo(n=16), which was started at least 7 days before transplantation. Endotoxin levels were measured in blood peroperatively. Patients were scored daily for signs of liver dysfunction and infection. Endotoxemia was neither associated with initial poor function nor any routine liver function test. Infections were more prominent in patients without endotoxemia. SDD did not prevent endotoxemia. Endotoxemia does not affect postoperative graft function or the incidence of postoperative infections. SDD cannot prevent peri-operative endotoxemia. Translocation of endotoxin may not be relevant in liver transplantation.

Introduction

Although the treatment of choice for endstage liver failure for many years, orthotopic liver transplantation still carries a considerable risk of complications. Initial graft function will be poor in 10-20% of all transplants and postoperative bacterial and fungal infection will develop in 40-80% of the recipients. Endotoxin is an integral part of the cell wall of aerobic Gramnegative bacilli. It is known for its capacity to induce the production of pro-inflammatory mediators like TNF alpha and IL-1, which may lead to a systemic inflammatory response. In the gut it is present in large quantities and, since it can be demonstrated in portal blood in healthy volunteers, is probably absorbed to some extent. The liver plays an important role in clearing endotoxin from portal blood, mainly through its macrophages. Cirrhosis favours the translocation of endotoxin from enteric Gramnegative bacilli to the blood, which could explain why the hemodynamic profile in severe cirrhosis resembles that in Gramnegative sepsis. During liver transplantation, intestinal ischemia combined with temporary absence of
hepatic clearance, might be expected to enhance such translocation of endotoxin. Indeed, endotoxemia, the presence of endotoxin in peripheral blood during liver transplantation, has been described by various authors. It is associated with initial poor function of the graft and seems to increase the rate of postoperative infection.

Selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD) is a procedure intended to prevent infection by prophylactic eradication of Gram-negative aerobic bacilli and yeasts from oropharynx, stomach and bowel, while preserving the normal anaerobic flora. Decontamination can be achieved with the enteral administration of various non-absorbable antibiotics. SDD can prevent endotoxemia in certain animal models but its effect on endotoxemia in human liver transplant patients has not been established definitively.

This study was designed to establish the presence or absence of endotoxemia in human subjects undergoing liver transplantation, to assess the effect of endotoxemia on initial graft function and postoperative infection, and to assess the power of SDD to prevent endotoxemia.

Patients and methods

Study Design

Data on endotoxemia, graft function and postoperative infection were prospectively collected in 31 patients who had been randomized to treatment with either SDD or placebo. Randomization was performed by the hospital pharmacist. All other participants were kept blinded for the results of randomization. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Samples from portal vein, hepatic vein and arterial blood were taken at the start of the operation, 5 minutes before veno-venous bypass was started, 5 minutes before recirculation and 5, 30, 60 and 120 minutes after recirculation. Additional arterial samples were taken 12 hours after recirculation. The arterial samples were taken from a canula in the radial artery.

All patients were scored daily for the presence of infection according to predefined criteria for the first 30 days following transplantation. Graft function was assessed by determination of ASAT, ALAT, total bilirubin, activated partial thromboplastin time, prothrombin time, antithrombin III
levels (all at days 1 through 7) and lidocaine metabolism as indicated by the MEGX test on the first two days after transplantation. Initial poor function and primary non function were diagnosed according to criteria as described by Ploeg and others.

Patients and Controls
The trial included adult patients undergoing elective orthotopic transplantation of the liver in a university hospital in the Netherlands. Pediatric patients were excluded as were patients undergoing retransplantation. Prophylactic treatment for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis with norfloxacin was a reason for exclusion, treatment with other antibiotic drugs was not. Patients were asked to participate in the study as soon as they were accepted for transplantation. If permission was obtained, patients were randomly assigned to groups receiving placebo or the SDD-regimen by means of computer-generated numbers, and drug administration was commenced without delay. This regimen was continued until the day of transplantation. Post-operatively a similar regimen of SDD or placebo was continued until the 30th post-operative day. Patients who had not received 7 full days of SDD or placebo before their transplantation were excluded from the study.

SDD-regimen
Patients on SDD were put on a pre-operative regimen consisting of oral norfloxacin 400 mg once daily, and lozenges, containing 2 mg colistin, 1.8 mg tobramycin and 10 mg amphotericin B, four times daily. Post-operatively they received a suspension containing 200 mg colistin, 80 mg tobramycin and 500 mg amphotericin B, four times daily through the nasogastric tube, combined with an oral paste containing a 2% solution of the same drugs. If the presence of a nasogastric tube was no longer required the suspension was replaced by tablets. Patients on placebo were on a similar regimen with placebo drugs.

Comedication
Peri-operative antibiotic prophylaxis was started at the induction of anesthesia and continued for 48 hours. The standard regimen consisted of cefotaxime 1000 mg every 8 hours, combined with tobramycin 4 mg/kg once daily. In the presence of renal failure antibiotic prophylaxis consisted of imipenem 500 mg every 12 hours. Anti viral prophylaxis with aciclovir (200 mg every 6 hours) was continued during the whole study period.
All patients received stress-ulcer prophylaxis with ranitidine. Immunosuppression consisted of a combination of prednisolone in tapering dose, cyclophosphamide (100 mg once daily for 7 days), azathioprine (125 mg once daily) and cyclosporine. Cyclosporine was started if creatinine clearance was ≥ 50 ml/min and adjusted to a whole blood through level of 250-300 ng/ml. Infections were treated with antibiotics at the discretion of the treating physician. There were no written restrictions in antibiotic policy connected to this study.

**Microbiological Studies**

Stool cultures were obtained at the day of transplantation and (if available) on day 0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 20, 23, 25, 27 and 30. Decontamination was considered successful if less than 10³ Gramnegative bacteria were cultured per cm³ stool.

**Endotoxin measurements**

Samples were taken according to the method mentioned before. Blood was collected in Endotubes®, kept on ice in order to avoid degradation and centrifuged at 200 g for 15 min. at 4°C. Platelet rich plasma was frozen at -80°C until measurements were performed. Endotoxin levels were determined using the quantitative photometric limulus amoebocyte lysate assay (Kabi Diagnostics, Stockholm, Sweden), according to the manufacturers’ instruction, in platelet-rich plasma. Plasma was stored at -80°C. In order to avoid possible underestimation of endotoxin levels, we also measured recovery of a known amount of endotoxin spiked to the platelet rich plasma of each patient.

**Definition of Infection**

Sepsis, septic syndrome and septic shock were diagnosed according to the definitions proposed by Bone and others. Bacteremia was defined as the presence of one positive blood culture; for coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS) two positive blood cultures were required for the diagnosis of bacteremia. In non-ventilated patients pneumonia was defined as a score of 7 or more on the Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score, proposed by Pugin and coworkers. In ventilated patients with a Clinical Pulmonary Infection score ≥ 7 a bronchoalveolar lavage was performed. A quantitative bacterial culture of ≥ 10⁴ cfu/ml was considered to confirm the diagnosis of pneumonia. Abdominal infection was diagnosed in
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the presence of a body temperature > 38°C either in combination with a positive
culture of ascites and leukocytes in ascites fluid > 0.5 x 10⁶/L, or disappearing
after drainage of an abdominal abscess confirmed by CT, ultrasound or surgery
with a positive culture of drained material. Finally, a history of surgically and
bacteriologically proven peritonitis, in the absence of an obvious other source of
infection, also led to the diagnosis of abdominal infection. Cholangitis was
diagnosed in the presence of a body temperature > 38°C, chills, infected bile and
an obstruction of the biliary tract. Urinary infection was diagnosed if, in the
presence of a body temperature > 38°C and ≥ 10⁵ bacteria /ml urine, no other
obvious source of infection could be established. A wound infection was
considered to be present if local signs of inflammation in a surgical wound were
observed in combination with a positive culture of purulent discharge, which
drained spontaneously or appeared after opening of the wound or during surgical
exploration of the site of incision. Finally, a vascular catheter-related infection
was diagnosed in the presence of a body temperature > 38°C and one of the
following conditions: (1). the same microorganism was cultured from peripheral
blood and from the catheter after its removal, with 15 colonies or more on the
catheter (rolling plate method); (2). following removal of the line the patient’s
temperature dropped < 38°C within 24 hours, without additional antibiotics and
with a positive culture of the line (≥ 15 colonies).

Statistical Analysis
The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 6.0
(SPSS, Chicago, IL). Pearson Chi square test was used to compare the frequency
of endotoxemia between both groups. Student’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U
test were used to compare the number of infections, the occurrence of initial
poor function and parameters of postoperative morbidity.
A p-value < 0.05 was considered to imply statistical significance.

Results

Patients on SDD (n=15) and patients on placebo (n=16) were well matched with
respect to baseline clinical, demographic and health status measurements (Table 1).
All patients with SDD were successfully decontaminated throughout the test
period. Median duration of decontamination was 117 days (range 7-324 days) before transplantation. Endotoxemia was detected in three patients receiving SDD (20%) and in six patients receiving placebo (38%)(Fig. 1). This difference was not statistically significant (Pearson chi square test). In six patients endotoxemia became apparent during the anhepatic phase (2 SDD patients and 4 placebo) and resolved, in all but one, within one hour after reperfusion. In three patients endotoxemia occurred approximately one hour after reperfusion. This resolved within 1 hour since at 2 hours after reperfusion no endotoxemia was present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SDD</th>
<th>placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gender (male/female)</td>
<td>9/6</td>
<td>9/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (years)</td>
<td>43±10</td>
<td>44±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh score (A/B/C)</td>
<td>3/7/5</td>
<td>3/2/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNOS-score (1/2/3/4)</td>
<td>10/1/2/1</td>
<td>11/0/3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total ischemia time (min.)</td>
<td>735±205</td>
<td>775±220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cirrhosis e.c.i.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary sclerosing cholangitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary biliary cirrhosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hepatitis C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alcoholic cirrhosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>familial amyloid polyneuropathy</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chronic active hepatitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-1-antitrypsin deficiency</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Demographic data.

Differences between portal, hepatic vein and systemic arterial blood endotoxin were not observed at any moment during transplantation (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). The data on postoperative infections are presented in Table 2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of infection</th>
<th>Endotoxemia (n=9)</th>
<th>No endotoxemia (n=22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia</td>
<td>4 (44%)</td>
<td>11 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholangitis</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>4 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abdominal infection</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
<td>12 (55%)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( p<0.03 \)

Table 2 Post-operative infections.

Figure 1 Patterns of endotoxin levels in individual patients, who experienced endotoxemia at some point during transplantation. Dotted lines represent patients receiving SDD, straight lines depict patients receiving placebo. The thick horizontal line represents the cut-off point of 0.05 IU endotoxin/ml. Point zero was time of reperfusion.

Surprisingly, the overall number of post-operative infectious episodes was higher in the group without endotoxemia, as compared to patients who did have detectable quantities of endotoxin in their blood during surgery \( (p<0.03) \). The same holds true for abdominal infections.

Graft function and ICU stay are shown in Table 3. No significant differences were observed in the incidence of initial poor
function, any of the assessed liver function tests and median ICU stay, between endotoxemic and non-endotoxemic patients.

**Discussion**

Endotoxemia during the anhepatic phase of liver transplantation was demonstrated by the group of Starzl as early as 1989. In animal models as well as in human transplant patients they could establish a correlation between systemic endotoxin levels at the end of the anhepatic phase and the occurrence of post-operative complications and death. The need for platelet transfusion and post-operative ventilatory support was lower in patients with low systemic endotoxin levels.
They also proposed that endotoxemia could be a cause of graft loss, since
patients with primary non-function had high levels of endotoxin in their blood.
The same group could show that detectable endotoxin levels were associated
with post-operative renal function. Fugger and co-workers could not confirm
these results: peripheral endotoxemia during liver transplantation was
unpredictable and not related to graft function. Circulating endotoxin levels
during liver transplantation were also evaluated prospectively by Blanot et al.
Fluctuations of the plasma endotoxin levels during the procedure were low.
A relationship between the level of endotoxemia and the occurrence of the
post-reperfusion syndrome could not be established. In 20 patients undergoing
liver transplantation Steininger et al. found endotoxemia before and after
transplantation in 4, preoperative endotoxemia disappearing during transplantation
in 7 and no endotoxemia in 9 patients. The only patient with severe endotoxemia
showed a significant transhepatic concentration difference in endotoxin
concentration with high endotoxin levels measured in the hepatic vein (151 ng/L).
It was concluded that in this patient the liver was an endotoxin-producing
organ. The patient went on to develop graft dysfunction and severe abdominal
infection. In a study by Bion and coworkers to assess the effect of SDD in
patients undergoing a liver transplant, peripheral endotoxemia was observed in
approximately 60% of the patients. No correlation was found between the
presence of peripheral endotoxemia and the need for ventilatory support,
retransplantation or the development of multiple organ dysfunction.
The results of our study also fail to confirm the original reports from the Starzl
group. Endotoxemia was present in only 29% of the patients, and no correlation
was found with initial graft function. The low incidence of endotoxemia in
either of our groups might be explained by the assay used to determine
endotoxin concentrations. Another explanation might be the patient population:
patients with ascites are known to have an increased incidence of raised
endotoxin levels. Secondly, surgical technique might play a role, though in our
group it made no difference whether splanchic decompression was performed
by a bypass or not.
Surprisingly, in our study, infections were statistically more likely to occur in the
non-endotoxemic group, a finding for which no obvious explanation can be given.
SDD has been shown to reduce portal endotoxemia in an animal model. It can
also attenuate liver injury following transplantation. In a non-blinded study in
humans Bion and coworkers found no difference in endotoxemia between SDD patients and controls. SDD was started between 12 and 24 hours before surgery, which might have been insufficient to achieve a meaningful decrease of endotoxin load during the transplantation. However, in our own study, which was placebo-controlled and had a minimum of 7 days of decontamination before surgery, endotoxemia was not prevented by SDD either. Possible explanations might be that despite our cut-off point of successful decontamination (fewer than $10^3$ Gram-negative bacteria per cm$^3$ stool) being reached, the remaining Gram-negative bacteria caused endotoxemia. Also, the digestive tract might not be the sole source of circulating endotoxins, especially if one keeps in mind that in our study no difference was found between portal and hepatic vein concentrations. Other possible explanations for this finding might be that endotoxin clearance by the liver is not a first pass effect but is achieved more slowly and continuously. On the other hand, it was technically impossible to perform punctures in the portal and hepatic vein at exactly the same time, although both samples were taken within seconds of each other. This might have caused a problem in identifying very small differences in concentrations between portal and hepatic vein concentrations.

It thus appears that the data on the relevance of endotoxemia during liver transplantation are conflicting. Peripheral endotoxemia does occur in a number of patients but most studies have failed to link endotoxemia consistently with post-operative complications. Endotoxemia cannot be prevented by SDD, even if SDD is started early enough to achieve elimination of Gram-negative aerobic bacilli at the time of surgery. In our view there is insufficient evidence to accept the concept of enhanced translocation of endotoxin during liver transplantation as a relevant mechanism of disease in these patients. However, endotoxin might be more important in recipients receiving a liver from a donor with endotoxin either circulating in the blood or pooled in the graft. Bismuth and coworkers have shown in a rat model that endotoxin administered to a liver graft donor can be transferred to the recipient. A transfer of endotoxin might thus more be relevant to the recipient than presumed translocation from the gut.
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