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Chapter 6.

High charge density and mobility in an organic transistor


6.1 Introduction

Organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) have reached a technological level where they might be applied in a number of products, ranging from RFID tags to active-matrix (AM) driven displays. Active-matrix electronic-paper displays driven by OFETs have recently been demonstrated [1], and application of OFETs for AM liquid crystal displays is also considered. An inherent disadvantage of organic FETs is that the current driving capability is limited by the relatively low mobility, as compared to their inorganic counterparts. A high current response/conductance is advantageous for driving organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and it reduces the charging time of gate capacitors in integrated circuits. The conductance is determined by the product of charge carrier density in the channel, governed by the capacitance of the gate dielectric, and the charge carrier mobility. In a recent demonstration of an AM driven OLED display pentacene-based drive transistors were used, exhibiting a conductance of typically 0.2 µS [2]. These pentacene FETs make use of the high carrier mobility of 0.6 cm²/Vs that can be achieved in vacuum-deposited small molecules. An important question is whether such a large conductance can also be achieved in solution-processed polymer transistors, of which the mobility is at least an order of magnitude lower as compared to their small-molecule based counterparts. For this a large carrier density and carrier mobility needs to be combined in one single polymer transistor.

One way to increase the maximum charge carrier density is by increasing the capacitance of the gate dielectric. It has been shown that the high capacitance of a polymer electrolyte can induce a charge density of 3 C/m² [3], but only in combination with a strongly limited carrier mobility of $4 \times 10^{-4}$ cm²/Vs in pentacene, which results in a low conductance value of 0.07 µS. Another approach is to use a thin polymer film with a high dielectric constant $k$ [4]. One promising candidate material is polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and its co- and terpolymers because it has a high dielectric constant and dielectric strength [5]. A pentacene based transistor using a PVDF terpolymer gate dielectric with a mobility of 1 cm²/Vs has been demonstrated [6]. However, the transistor was made with a low-$k$ buffer layer on top of the dielectric which lowered the effective $k$-value by 50%. The buffer layer was necessary because of the high surface roughness of the dielectric layer, which would have lowered the mobility due to charge trapping effects inside roughness valleys at the dielectric-semiconductor interface [7]. Field-effect transistors based on pentacene and regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) (rr-P3HT) with a PVDF gate dielectric
without a buffer layer have also been demonstrated [8,9]. The highest mobility was 8×10^{-3} \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs} in rr-P3HT, which was obtained using a PVDF gate dielectric with a surface roughness of 5 nm (see Chapter 4 or [9]). In recent literature the reported mobility of rr-P3HT is most often higher than 1×10^{-2} \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs} [10]. The fact that the mobility of rr-P3HT is generally higher confirms that the mobility on PVDF without a buffer layer is limited by the surface roughness of PVDF. In this manuscript we demonstrate that a top-gate transistor layout enables a smooth interface between rr-P3HT and PVDF copolymer poly(vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene) (P(VDF-TrFE)). The change from a bottom-gate to a top-gate layout is a more expedient solution to the roughness problem than using a buffer layer, because it does not lower the effective \(k\)-value of the gate dielectric. With the top-gate transistor layout a semiconductor mobility of 0.1 cm^2/Vs is obtained in rr-P3HT, which is an increase of about one order of magnitude. Furthermore, we demonstrate that a charge density of 28 mC/m^2 is induced by the ferroelectric and dielectric polarization of P(VDF-TrFE). The combination of high mobility and charge density induces a large conductance of 0.3 \(\mu\text{S}\). It is this large conductance value that makes the transistors applicable as drive transistors in active-matrix organic light-emitting diode displays [2,11].

6.2 Experimental

The transistors were prepared upon clean glass substrates onto which silver source-drain electrodes were deposited with shadow mask evaporation, using a wire to create the channel. The substrates were subsequently annealed at 140 °C in air to increase the adhesion. The workfunction of the annealed silver measured with a Kelvin probe was 4.4 eV. Regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) (electronic grade; 98.5 % regioregular; Rieke Metals, Inc.) was purified as described in a previous report (see Chapter 4 or [9]). Chloroform solutions were spin coated in a N\(_2\) filled glovebox. A rotation speed of 4000 rpm was used to minimize the surface roughness. The layer thickness was typically 60 nm. The samples were subsequently annealed in a vacuum oven at 140 °C to enhance the crystallinity of the rr-P3HT. On top of this layer, the gate dielectric was applied by spin coating filtered 2-butanol solutions of either poly(trifluoroethylene) (PTrFE) or poly(vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene) 65-35 mol-% random copolymer (Solvay, Belgium). It is crucial in this step that the semiconductor layer does not dissolve in the solvent 2-butanol. A solubility test showed no coloration of this colorless solvent after prolonged stirring, indicating that 2-butanol is a non-solvent to rr-P3HT. The samples were annealed again in a vacuum oven at 138 °C to enhance the crystallinity of the gate dielectric. The transistors were finalized by evaporation of silver gate electrodes. Current transport measurements were performed in dark and vacuum using a Keithley 4200 semiconductor analyzer. Polymer layer thicknesses were determined using a Dektak profilometer.

6.3 Ferroelectric-semiconductor interface

The top-gate field-effect transistor layout is shown in Figure 1a, with silver source, drain and gate electrodes and a P(VDF-TrFE) gate dielectric film on top of the rr-P3HT semiconductor film. In order to reduce the roughness at the semiconductor-dielectric
interface, the rr-P3HT was spin coated at a rotation speed of 4000 rpm. The topography of a rr-P3HT layer as measured with an AFM is presented in Figure 1b. The root-mean-square roughness derived is about 0.7 nm.

Figure 2 shows a typical transfer curve measurement of a top-gate ferroelectric FET (FeFET) as depicted in Figure 1a. The drain current has a bistability at zero gate bias due to the ferroelectric polarization of the gate dielectric, similar to the results obtained for FeFETs with a bottom-gate layout and a poly(p-phenylene vinylene) derivative as the semiconductor (see Chapter 2 or [12]). The charge transport mobility value derived from the drain current slope in the on-state at zero gate bias is 0.12 cm²/Vs. We can compare this mobility value to the results obtained by us with rr-P3HT bottom-gate FeFETs because all the active materials used were identical (see Chapter 4 or [9]). The mobility for the bottom-gate FeFETs was 8×10⁻³ cm²/Vs which shows that changing the transistor layout from a bottom-gate to a top-gate geometry increases the mobility by more than one order of magnitude. The mobility increase can be explained by the semiconductor interface roughness of the transistors. For the bottom-gate transistors, this roughness is determined by the surface roughness of 5 nm of P(VDF-TrFE), but for the top-gate transistors it is determined by the roughness of 0.7 nm of rr-P3HT. The surface roughness of the bottom layers determine the semiconductor interface roughness, because the bottom layers are insoluble in the solvents used for depositing the second layer (see Experimental).
Figure 2 Hysteretic transfer curve measurement on a top-gate FeFET with P(VDF-TrFE) as the gate dielectric and rr-P3HT as the semiconductor. The gate insulator layer thickness and dielectric capacitance are 1.8 µm and 5.5 nF/cm², respectively. The drain voltage $V_D$, channel length $L$ and width $W$ are -5 V, 30 µm and 6 mm, respectively.

Figure 3 Estimation of the remanent charge density $\rho$ in a FeFET, using data from Figure 2 (squares) and transfer curve measurements on a similar transistor but with a non-ferroelectric PTrFE gate dielectric (circles). By extrapolating the current response of the non-ferroelectric FET, we obtain a model curve. A surface charge of 20 mC/m² is added to the FeFET data to match the model curve. Semiconductor channel conductance $G$ is defined by $(LW)/V_D$. The charge density $\rho$ is equal to the product of gate voltage $V_G$ and dielectric capacitance per unit area $C_i$. 

$G = \frac{LW}{V_D}$

$\rho = V_G \times C_i$
6.4 Remanent surface charge density

In Figure 3, the induced charge density in the FeFET is estimated by comparing the current response of non-ferroelectric with ferroelectric FETs. The non-ferroelectric FETs were prepared in an identical way to the FeFETs, but with polytrifluoroethylene (PTrFE) as the gate dielectric. PTrFE is a highly polar fluoropolymer with the same dielectric constant as P(VDF-TrFE), but it has a negligible ferroelectric polarization (see Chapter 2 or [12]). In this way, an estimate of 20 mC/m² is obtained for the induced charge in the FeFET at zero gate bias. This value is consistent with a previous value of 18 mC/m² found for FeFETs with a poly(phenylene vinylene) derivative as the semiconductor [12]. At a gate voltage of -150 V the induced charge increases to 28 mC/m². This induced surface charge density is a high value compared to what can be obtained with conventional Si⁺⁺/SiO₂ transistors, because these transistors are limited by the dielectric breakdown of SiO₂ at high applied fields [12]. The maximum conductance in Figure 3 is 0.3 µS which to our knowledge is the largest value ever reported for a semiconducting polymer. The large conductance is a consequence of the high semiconductor mobility and induced charge density because it is the product of these two.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4** Current-voltage characteristic of a FeFET (circles) identical to the FeFET in Figure 2 at a gate voltage of -100 V and the fit obtained with Equation 2, a charge density value of 25 mC/m² and a mobility value of 0.15 cm²/Vs.
6.5 Charge transport mobility

Having determined the charge density in the FeFET, we can confirm the linear mobility value using the current-voltage characteristics of the FeFET and

\[ I_D = \frac{W}{L} \mu C_i (V_G - V_T) V_D, \]

which is the standard equation for a FET operating in the linear regime [13]. We can neglect the threshold voltage in Equation 1 because the effective gate voltage, that consists of an applied gate voltage and the ferroelectric polarization, is high. If we also replace the product of \( V_G \) and \( C_i \) by the induced charge density \( \rho \) we obtain

\[ I_D = \frac{W}{L} \mu \rho V_D. \]

Figure 4 presents the current-voltage characteristic of the FeFETs at a gate voltage of -100 V, operating in the linear regime. Using a \( \rho \) value of 25 mC/m², extracted from Figure 3 for a gate voltage of -100 V, we obtain a good fit with Equation 2 for a mobility value of 0.15 cm²/Vs. This value confirms the mobility value derived from Figure 2. Figure 4 also demonstrates the high current response of the transistor in the milliamp regime that enables it to drive organic light-emitting diodes. For practical applications the gate voltage of -100 V would need to be reduced by using a thinner gate dielectric. FeFETs with a gate dielectric thickness of 200 nm have been demonstrated (see Chapter 4 or [9]), which would reduce the gate voltage from -100 V to -11 V.

An increase of the \( k \)-value of the gate dielectric generally leads to a sharp decrease of the mobility of organic semiconductors [14]. This decrease is due to the dipolar disorder inside polar dielectrics which broadens the density of states and ultimately increases the energy barrier for hopping transport. The highest mobility reported for rr-P3HT is 0.3 cm²/Vs [15]. Considering the \( k \)-value of P(VDF-TrFE) of 11 and the dependence of the mobility on the \( k \)-value of the gate dielectric, a maximum semiconductor mobility of 0.03 cm²/Vs is expected for a FeFET. This is about one order of magnitude lower than the observed mobility of 0.1 cm²/Vs in the FeFET. However, the charge density in the FeFET has a high value and the mobility of most organic semiconductors increases with the charge density [16]. The high charge density can therefore explain the high mobility in the FeFET.

6.6 Conclusion

We have shown that the mobility of a transistor with rr-P3HT as the semiconductor and P(VDF-TrFE) as the gate dielectric was increased by an order of magnitude to 0.1 cm²/Vs by using a top-gate transistor layout, because this reduces the semiconductor-dielectric interface roughness from 5 to 0.7 nm. A high charge density of 28 mC/m² was induced by the polarizable gate dielectric which led to a large conductance value of 0.3 \( \mu \)S. This large conductance value makes the transistors applicable as drive transistors in active-matrix organic light-emitting diode displays.
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