University of Groningen

Supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds

Gran, U.; Papadopoulos, G.; Roest, D.

Published in:
Physics Letters B

DOI:
[10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.024)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2007

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Gran, U., Papadopoulos, G., & Roest, D. (2007). Supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds. *Physics Letters B*, 656(1), 119-126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.024>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds

U. Gran^a, G. Papadopoulos^b, D. Roest^{c,*}

^a *Fundamental Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden*

^b *Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK*

^c *Departament Estructura i Constituents de la Materia, Facultat de Física, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

Received 3 September 2007; accepted 18 September 2007

Available online 25 September 2007

Editor: M. Cvetič

Abstract

We present the main features of the solution of the gravitino and dilatino Killing spinor equations derived in hep-th/0510176 and hep-th/0703143 which have led to the classification of geometric types of all type I backgrounds. We then apply these results to the supersymmetric backgrounds of the heterotic string. In particular, we solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation together with the other two Killing spinor equations of the theory. We also use our results to classify all supersymmetry conditions of ten-dimensional gauge theory.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The effective theory of the heterotic string can be described by a type I supergravity which includes higher curvature corrections that are organized in an α' and g_s expansion. The α' corrections can be computed by a sigma model loop calculation and modify the field equations of the theory. In addition, the anomaly cancellation mechanism modifies the Bianchi identity of the three-form field strength. Up to and including two-loops in the sigma model computation, the Killing spinor equations of the effective theory [1–3] can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}\epsilon = \hat{\nabla}\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}\epsilon = \left(d\Phi - \frac{1}{2}H\right)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}\epsilon = F\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where we have suppressed the spacetime indices and the gamma matrix dependence.¹ The first equation is the gravitino Killing spinor equation for a metric connection $\hat{\nabla}$ with torsion the three-form field strength H . The second equation is the dilatino Killing spinor equation, where Φ is the dilaton, and the last is the gaugino Killing spinor equation, where F is the gauge field strength. It is clear that these Killing spinor equations are as those expected from type I supergravity to the order indicated. The only difference is that H is not closed. In particular it is modified at one-loop due to the Green–Schwarz anomaly cancellation mechanism as

$$dH = -\frac{1}{4}\alpha'(\text{tr}\check{R}^2 - \text{tr}F^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2), \quad (2)$$

where $\check{R}$ is the curvature of the connection $\check{\nabla} = \nabla - \frac{1}{2}H$ with torsion $-H$. The field equations of the effective theory up to and including two-loops in the sigma model computation can be found in [4]. We have presented the Killing spinor equations of the effective theory as an α' -expansion containing high order curvature terms. These are not known to all orders. However, the Killing spinor equations and the modified Bianchi identity have also been viewed as exact, see e.g. [8]. In such a case, all $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ terms are neglected and the remaining α' dependence suppressed. The two-loop contribution to the Einstein equation and in particular the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: droest@ecm.ub.es (D. Roest).

¹ We use the notation of [5] where a more detailed description can be found.

Table 1

In the columns are a basis in the space of parallel spinors, their isotropy groups in $Spin(9, 1)$ and the $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ groups, respectively. The basis of parallel spinors is always real. So if a complex spinor is given as a basis spinor it is understood that one should always take the real and imaginary parts

Parallel spinors	$\text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L)$	$\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$
$1 + e_{1234}$	$Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1)$
1	$SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times U(1)$
$1, i(e_{12} + e_{34})$	$Sp(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times SU(2)$
$1, e_{12}$	$(SU(2) \times SU(2)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$
$1, e_{12}, e_{13} + e_{24}$	$SU(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(2)$
$1, e_{12}, e_{13}$	$U(1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times SU(4)$
$1, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{14}$	$\mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(1, 1) \times Spin(8)$
$1 + e_{1234}, e_{15} + e_{2345}$	G_2	$Spin(2, 1)$
$1, e_{15}$	$SU(3)$	$Spin(3, 1) \times U(1)$
$1, e_{15}, e_{12}, e_{25}$	$SU(2)$	$Spin(5, 1) \times SU(2)$
$1, e_{ij}, e_{i5}, i, j = 1, \dots, 4$	$\{1\}$	$Spin(9, 1)$

$\check{R}^2$ term is needed for consistency [9], see also [10]. There is also a growing literature on geometries with skew-symmetric torsion in differential geometry, see e.g. [11–18].

In [5,6], applying the spinorial method of [7], the gravitino and dilatino Killing spinor equations (1) were solved in all cases and the underlying geometry of the spacetime was presented. The gaugino Killing spinor equation was not solved explicitly. This is because in most cases it does not affect the geometry of spacetime. It is easy to see that the gaugino Killing spinor equation is “decoupled” from the gravitino and dilatino ones. However, the gauge field strength F contributes in the modified Bianchi identity (2) for H . Since in the solution of the gravitino and dilatino Killing spinor equations in [5,6] it was *not* assumed that $dH = 0$, it was not deemed necessary to solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation. One exception to this are the backgrounds with $\hat{R} = 0$ for which one needs $dH = 0$ to argue that the spacetime is a group manifold. But if $F \neq 0$, then the modified Bianchi identity may give $dH \neq 0$ and so the geometry may be deformed away from that of a group manifold. Nevertheless the ten-dimensional spacetime is parallelizable with respect to a metric connection with skew-symmetric torsion. It can be shown that such manifolds are either Lorentzian Lie groups or a product of the Lorentzian Lie group with S^7 [19].

To incorporate the gaugino Killing spinor equation with the other two Killing spinor equations and to consider the effect that the anomaly cancellation mechanism has on the geometry, we shall solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation in all cases. As a consequence, we solve the supersymmetry condition $F\epsilon = 0$ for all gauge theories up to ten dimensions. We find more cases than those that have appeared in the literature so far, see e.g. [20].

Before we proceed to do this let us recall the essential ingredients of the classification of geometric types of supersymmetric backgrounds in [5,6]. The first step is the observation that the integrability condition of the gravitino Killing spinor equation gives

$$\hat{R}\epsilon = 0 \quad (4)$$

which implies that either the isotropy group, $\text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L)$, of the parallel spinors, $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L$, is a proper subgroup of the holonomy group² $Spin(9, 1)$, or the isotropy group is $\{1\}$ and $\hat{R} = 0$. In the latter case the backgrounds are parallelizable, and if $dH = 0$ they are group manifolds. The complete list of isotropy groups of spinors in $Spin(9, 1)$ has been given in [6], for previous work see [21]. This list characterizes all the solutions of the gravitino Killing spinor equation.

Suppose we have a solution of the gravitino Killing spinor equation with L parallel spinors. To solve the dilatino Killing spinor equation, consider the group

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{P}) / \text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the L -plane spanned by all parallel spinors. One can then show that the first Killing spinor ζ_1 , which is a linear combination of $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L$, can be chosen as a representative of the orbits of $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{P}$. Having found the first Killing spinor, one can see that the second ζ_2 can be chosen as the representatives of the orbits of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}_1$, where $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathbb{R}\langle\zeta_1\rangle$. This can be repeated to find representatives for all the spinors. For $N \geq L/2$, it is more convenient to find the representatives of the normals rather than the Killing spinor themselves. Using the machinery described and applying the spinorial geometry technique the dilatino Killing spinor equation can be solved in *all* cases. The list of all $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ groups has been given in [6], see also Table 1.

To solve all the Killing spinor equations (1), it is convenient to consider these equations in the following order

$$\text{gravitino} \rightarrow \text{gaugino} \rightarrow \text{dilatino}.$$

Starting from a solution of the gravitino Killing spinor equation, we determine those parallel spinors which also solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation. Finally, given a solution of the gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations, we shall describe how all

² Note that the α' corrections do not change the holonomy of the supercovariant connection up to and including two-loops in the sigma model expansion.

solutions of the dilatino Killing spinor equation can be found. There may be backgrounds for which the dilatino Killing spinor equation has more solutions than the gravitino one. However, since we are interested in the solution of all Killing spinor equation, the order that we have chosen to solve them is not essential. In addition the gravitino Killing spinor equation has a direct topological and geometric significance and so it makes sense to consider it first.

Starting from a solution of the gravitino Killing spinor equation, one can argue that the spinors that solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation can be selected up to $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ transformations, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the space of parallel spinors as before. The argument for this is similar to that presented in [6] for selecting the spinors that solve the dilatino Killing spinor equation. An additional simplifying factor here is that $F\epsilon = 0$ has a non-trivial solution iff the spinors that solve the gaugino Killing spinor equation have a non-trivial isotropy group in $Spin(9, 1)$. This is because F is a Lie algebra valued two-form, and the space of two forms at every spacetime point can be identified with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(9, 1)$. Thus $F\epsilon = 0$ can be viewed as an invariance condition for ϵ under $\mathfrak{spin}(9, 1)$ rotations generated by F . It turns out that this imposes strong restrictions on the solutions. In particular, it follows that the solutions of both gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations can be expressed in terms of bases as those given in Table 1 for the parallel spinors. Let $\mathcal{P}_F$ denote the plane that spans the solution of the gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations in each case. It turns out that in all cases $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$, which we use to select the solutions of the dilatino Killing spinor equation, can always be identified with a $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ group of Table 1. Thus the results of [6] can then be used to solve the dilatino Killing spinor equation in all cases.

To describe the results, let N_F be the number solutions of the gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations. The number N of Killing spinors, i.e., the number of solutions to all Killing spinor equations, is $N \leq N_F \leq L$. In what follows, we shall describe the solution of the gravitino Killing spinor equation by the isotropy of the parallel spinors in $Spin(9, 1)$. Then, we shall give all gaugino Killing spinor equations and their solutions.³ In addition, given a solution of both gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations, we shall indicate which dilatino Killing spinor equations remain to be solved. In all cases, the solution of the latter is given in [6].

$$Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

There is only one possibility because $N_F = L = 1$.

- $N_F = L = 1, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8,$

$$N = 1: \quad \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0.$$

$$SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

There are two possibilities which arise with $N_F = 1$ and $N_F = L = 2$. $N_F = 1$ is the same as in the previous case. So the only new case is

- $N_F = L = 2, \mathcal{F}1 = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times U(1),$

$$N = 1: \quad \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \quad \mathcal{A}1 = 0.$$

The $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times U(1)$ group can be read from Table 1.

$$Sp(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

Three possibilities arise with $N_F = 1, 2, 3$. The $N_F = 1, 2$ are the same as those described in the previous case. So the only new case is

- $N_F = L = 3, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times SU(2),$

$$N = 1: \quad \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \quad \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \quad \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0.$$

$$(SU(2) \times SU(2)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

Four possibilities arise with $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The first three $N_F = 1, 2, 3$ are as in the previous case. So the only new case is

³ The solutions of the gaugino Killing spinor equations can always be described by saying $F \in \mathfrak{h}$ for some Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(9, 1)$. This is a short-hand notation to indicate that F takes values in the subbundle of the bundle of two-forms $\Lambda^2(M)$ of the spacetime defined by the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{h}$. For example $F \in \mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$ means that there are gauge Lie algebra valued one- and two-forms α and β , respectively, such that $F = e^- \wedge \alpha + \beta$ and $\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_{ij}{}^{kl} \beta_{kl}$, where ϕ is the fundamental $Spin(7)$ four-form.

- $N_F = L = 4, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = 0.$$

$$SU(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

Five possibilities arise with $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. The first four $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are as in the previous case. So, the only new case is

- $N_F = L = 5, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = \mathcal{F}(e_{13} + e_{24}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(2),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = 0,$$

$$N = 5: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}(e_{13} + e_{24}) = 0.$$

$$U(1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$$

Six possibilities arise with $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. The first five $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are as in the previous case. So, the only new case is

- $N_F = L = 6, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = \mathcal{F}e_{13} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times SU(4),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = 0,$$

$$N = 5: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}(e_{13} + e_{24}) = 0,$$

$$N = 6: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}e_{13} = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{R}^8$$

Seven possibilities arise with $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8$. The first six $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ are as in the previous case. The $N_F = 7$ does not occur. In fact if $N_F = 7$, then the gaugino Killing spinor equation admits an additional solution which gives $N_F = 8$. So, the only new case is

- $N_F = L = 8, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = \mathcal{F}e_{13} = \mathcal{F}e_{14} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times Spin(8),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = 0,$$

$$N = 5: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}(e_{13} + e_{24}) = 0,$$

$$N = 6: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}e_{13} = 0,$$

$$N = 7: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}e_{13} = \mathcal{A}(e_{14} - e_{23}) = 0,$$

$$N = 8: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = \mathcal{A}e_{13} = \mathcal{A}e_{14} = 0.$$

This completes the description of cases that arise from parallel spinors that have non-compact isotropy groups. For compact isotropy groups one has:

$$G_2$$

There are two possibilities, $N_F = 1$ and $N_F = L = 2$.

- $N_F = 1, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
- $N_F = L = 2, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{F}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{g}_2, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(2, 1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0$.

$SU(3)$

In this case $N_F = 1, 2$ and $N_F = L = 4$. The $N_F = 3$ case does not occur because the gaugino Killing spinor equations has an additional solution giving $N_F = L = 4$. There are two possibilities that occur for $N_F = 2$.

- $N_F = 1, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
- $N_F = 2, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{F}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{g}_2, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(2, 1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0$,
- $N_F = 2, \mathcal{F}1 = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times U(1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0$,
- $N_F = L = 4, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{15} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(3), \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(3, 1) \times U(1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0$,
 $N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0$,
 $N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{15} = 0$.

$SU(2)$

In this case $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $N_F = L = 8$. The $N_F = 5, 6, 7$ cases do not occur because the gaugino Killing spinor equation has additional solutions giving $N_F = L = 8$. The range of N_F is expected but it is not a trivial result. To show this one has to substitute the spinors that occur in the dilatonic Killing spinor equation for $SU(2)$ parallel spinors in [6] to the gaugino Killing spinor equation and eliminate several cases. For example for $N_F = 4$, there are six possible choices for solutions of the gaugino Killing spinor equation but in fact only two of these give exactly four solutions. The rest restrict F to take values in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and so the dilatino Killing spinor equation admits eight solutions. There are two possibilities that occur for $N_F = 2, 4$.

- $N_F = 1, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
- $N_F = 2, \mathcal{F}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{F}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{g}_2, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(2, 1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0$,
- $N_F = 2, \mathcal{F}1 = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times U(1)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0$,
- $N_F = 3, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times SU(2)$,
 $N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0$,
 $N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0$,
 $N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0$,

Table 2

In the columns are the $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$ groups that arise from the solution of the gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations and the number N of supersymmetries, respectively. The number in superscript indicates the different cases that arise in the dilatino Killing spinor equation for a given N

$\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$	N
$Spin(1, 1)$	1^1
$Spin(1, 1) \times U(1)$	$1^1, 2^1$
$Spin(1, 1) \times SU(2)$	$1^1, 2^1, 3^1$
$Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$	$1^1, 2^1, 3^1, 4^1$
$Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(2)$	$1^1, 2^1, 3^1, 4^1, 5^1$
$Spin(1, 1) \times SU(4)$	$1^1, 2^1, 3^1, 4^1, 5^1, 6^1$
$Spin(1, 1) \times Spin(8)$	$1^1, 2^1, 3^1, 4^1, 5^1, 6^1, 7^1, 8^1$
$Spin(2, 1)$	$1^1, 2^1$
$Spin(3, 1) \times U(1)$	$1^1, 2^2, 3^2, 4^1$
$Spin(5, 1) \times SU(2)$	$1^1, 2^2, 3^3, 4^6, 5^3, 6^2, 7^1, 8^1$
$Spin(9, 1)$	$8^2, 10^1, 12^1, 14^1, 16^1$

- $N_F = 4, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{15} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(3), \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(3, 1) \times U(1),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{15} + e_{2345}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{15} = 0,$$

- $N_F = 4, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8, \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(1, 1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(1),$

$$N = 1: \mathcal{A}(1 + e_{1234}) = 0,$$

$$N = 2: \mathcal{A}1 = 0,$$

$$N = 3: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}(e_{12} + e_{34}) = 0,$$

$$N = 4: \mathcal{A}1 = \mathcal{A}e_{12} = 0,$$

- $N_F = L = 8, \mathcal{F}1 = \mathcal{F}e_{12} = \mathcal{F}e_{15} = \mathcal{F}e_{25} = 0 \Rightarrow F \in \mathfrak{su}(2), \Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(5, 1) \times SU(2).$

The possibilities that arise for the gaugino Killing spinor equation have been given in [6]. There are solutions for $1 \leq N \leq 8$. The $N = 7$ does not occur provided that $dH = 0$.

{1}

In this case all spinors are parallel. Since $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}) = Spin(9, 1)$, the spinors that are solutions of the gaugino Killing spinor equation are precisely those that appear as parallel in Table 1. As a consequence the $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$ groups coincide with the $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ groups in each case. In particular we have that $N_F = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 16$. The cases $N_F = 7$ and $8 < N_F < 16$ do not occur. There are two possibilities with $N_F = 2, 4, 8$. Therefore, the condition $F\epsilon = 0$ requires that F as a spacetime two-form takes values in the subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{spin}(7) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (1), \quad \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (2), \quad \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (3), \quad \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (4), \quad \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (5), \\ &\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 (6), \quad \mathbb{R}^8 (8), \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 (2), \quad \mathfrak{su}(3) (4), \quad \mathfrak{su}(2) (8), \quad \{0\} (16) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

of $\mathfrak{spin}(9, 1)$, where the number in parenthesis denotes N_F . These are all the supersymmetry conditions of ten-dimensional gauge theory on parallelizable space and in particular on $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$. There are more conditions than the BPS conditions given in [20]. Moreover the dilatino Killing spinor equations are those described in [6] for each group $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = \Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ listed in Table 1. In the description of cases with compact holonomy groups above, there is a degree of repetition. This is done for clarity.

It is clear that after solving the gravitino and gaugino Killing spinor equations, the possibilities that arise for the solutions of the dilatino Killing spinor equations depend on the group $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$. Since as we have mentioned all the $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$ groups coincide with some $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$, the solutions of the dilatino Killing spinor equation can be read off from those of [6]. In Table 2, we summarize all the cases that arise emphasizing the multiplicity of possibilities for a given number N of Killing spinors. This multiplicity is defined as the number of different dilatino KSE that one solves for a given N . Each case typically leads to a different spacetime geometry.

It is easily observed that if $\Sigma(\mathcal{P})$ is associated with a non-compact stability subgroup, then there is a unique dilatino Killing spinor equation that arises for a given N . However, if the stability group is compact, then several cases can arise. The most involved

is that of $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}_F) = Spin(5, 1) \times SU(2)$ for $N = 4$ for which there are six different types of dilatino Killing spinor equations that arise up to gauge transformations of the Killing spinor equations.

We have presented the list of geometric conditions on the spacetime that arise from the solution of the Killing spinor equations in all cases. It is not apparent that there will always be examples of spacetimes that satisfy all these conditions. The existence of solutions is another problem which has to be examined separately.⁴ We have already seen in [6] that imposing $dH = 0$ and the field equations together with mild assumptions on the holonomy of $\hat{V}$ imply that large classes of possibilities do not occur. To extend this to the case that the Bianchi identity is modified, we expand the dilaton as

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha')^n \Phi_n, \quad (6)$$

and similarly for the other fields. It is clear that (g_0, Φ_0, H_0, A_0) must satisfy the Killing spinor equations and field equations with $dH_0 = 0$. So these are subject to the conditions mentioned above and large classes of descendants do not exist. It remains to see how the α' corrections modify the result. A calculation of this type has been done for holonomy $SU(2)$ [22,23], holonomy $SU(3)$ [9] and holonomy $\{1\}$ [19] backgrounds. The result of the first computation is tuned to a particular example. For the $SU(3)$ case, the computation is more general but still the spacetime is restricted to be a metric product $M = \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times B$. In the holonomy $\{1\}$ case, the gravitational contribution to the anomaly vanishes and it can be shown that the gauge field contribution does not deform the spacetime away from a group manifold.

We have presented a complete description of the solutions of gravitino, gaugino and dilatino Killing spinor equations and we have found the geometry of all supersymmetric backgrounds of type I supergravity. It is clear that the next step is to find examples of solutions in all cases. Many are known already. For the fundamental string [24] and pp-wave propagating in $\mathbb{R}^8$ solutions, $\text{hol}(\hat{V}) = \mathbb{R}^8$ and all parallel spinors are Killing. For the NS5-brane solution, $\text{hol}(\hat{V}) = SU(2)$ and again all parallel Killing spinors are Killing, and similarly for the heterotic 5-brane [22]. The holonomy of 5-brane intersections can be found in [28], see also [29,30]. For the background in [25] which has applications in gauge theory [26], $\text{hol}(\hat{V}) = SU(3)$ [27] and all parallel spinors are Killing, and similarly for the Calabi–Yau compactifications. The understanding of the geometric properties of all solutions allows us to investigate them beyond a case by case basis. The Bianchi identities, field equations and additional assumptions on the holonomy put strong restrictions on the existence of solutions. For $\text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L)$ non-compact, if one assumes $\text{hol}(\hat{V}) = \text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L)$, $dH = 0$ and the field equations, then the gravitino Killing spinor equation implies the dilatino one and all parallel spinors are Killing, so there are no descendants [6]. We can also require that the transverse spaces to the light-cone directions in the non-compact isotropy group case or the base space of the principal fibration in the compact isotropy group case to be compact. For example, this is desirable in the context of compactifications with fluxes. Such an assumption imposes restrictions on the geometry of spacetime. In particular, it has been shown that under certain conditions that smooth backgrounds of the type $\mathbb{R}^{9-2n,1} \times B^{2n}$ and $\text{hol}(\hat{V}) \subset SU(n)$ exist, iff B^{2n} is a Calabi–Yau, i.e., $H = 0$ and the dilaton is constant [31]. This extends in a straightforward manner to all backgrounds with $\text{Stab}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L)$ non-compact.

Another direction to extend these investigations is to the type II common sector backgrounds. Since the Killing spinor equations in this case are two copies of the type I, it is clear that we have solved all Killing spinor equations of one of the copies. It would be interesting to find out what additional conditions one has to impose such that there are additional Killing spinors in the other copy. This would extend the work of [32].

Acknowledgements

The work of U.G. is funded by the Swedish Research Council. D.R. is supported by the European EC-RTN project MRTN-CT-2004-005104, MCYT FPA 2004-04582-C02-01 and CIRIT GC 2005SGR-00564.

References

- [1] A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 253.
- [2] C.M. Hull, Phys. Lett. B 178 (1986) 357.
- [3] E.A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 439.
- [4] C.M. Hull, P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B 191 (1987) 115.
- [5] U. Gran, P. Lohrmann, G. Papadopoulos, JHEP 0602 (2006) 063, hep-th/0510176.
- [6] U. Gran, G. Papadopoulos, D. Roest, P. Sloane, hep-th/0703143.
- [7] J. Gillard, U. Gran, G. Papadopoulos, Class. Quantum Grav. 22 (2005) 1033, hep-th/0410155.
- [8] J. Li, S.T. Yau, hep-th/0411136;
K. Becker, M. Becker, J.X. Fu, L.S. Tseng, S.T. Yau, Nucl. Phys. B 751 (2006) 108, hep-th/0604137.

⁴ This is similar to the situation that arises in the Berger classification between the list of holonomies of irreducible simply connected Riemannian manifolds and the proof that manifolds with such holonomy actually exist.

- [9] J. Gillard, G. Papadopoulos, D. Tsimpis, JHEP 0306 (2003) 035, hep-th/0304126.
- [10] G.L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, D. Lust, JHEP 0310 (2003) 004, hep-th/0306088.
- [11] P.S. Howe, G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 379 (1996) 80, hep-th/9602108;
P.S. Howe, A. Opfermann, G. Papadopoulos, Commun. Math. Phys. 197 (1998) 713, hep-th/9710072.
- [12] G. Grantcharov, Y.S. Poon, Commun. Math. Phys. 213 (2000) 19, math.DG/9908015.
- [13] T. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002) 303, math.DG/0102142.
- [14] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, math.DG/0209259.
- [15] E. Goldstein, S. Prokushkin, Commun. Math. Phys. 251 (2004) 65, hep-th/0212307.
- [16] D. Grantcharov, G. Grantcharov, Y.S. Poon, math.DG/0306207.
- [17] S. Chiossi, S. Salamon, math.DG/0202282.
- [18] S. Ivanov, Math. Res. Lett. 11 (2–3) (2004) 171, math.DG/0111216;
S. Ivanov, J. Geom. Phys. 48 (2003) 1, math.DG/0112201.
- [19] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, T. Kawano, S. Yamaguchi, JHEP 0310 (2003) 012, hep-th/0308141;
T. Kawano, S. Yamaguchi, Phys. Lett. B 568 (2003) 78, hep-th/0306038.
- [20] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, Nucl. Phys. B 214 (1983) 452.
- [21] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, Class. Quantum Grav. 17 (2000) 2925, hep-th/9904124;
B.S. Acharya, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, B.J. Spence, S. Stanciu, JHEP 9807 (1998) 005, hep-th/9805176.
- [22] C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey, A. Strominger, hep-th/9112030.
- [23] P.S. Howe, G. Papadopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 381 (1992) 360, hep-th/9203070.
- [24] A. Dabholkar, G.W. Gibbons, J.A. Harvey, F. Ruiz Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. B 340 (1990) 33.
- [25] A.H. Chamseddine, M.S. Volkov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 3343, hep-th/9707176.
- [26] J.M. Maldacena, C. Nunez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 588, hep-th/0008001.
- [27] G. Papadopoulos, A.A. Tseytlin, Class. Quantum Grav. 18 (2001) 1333, hep-th/0012034.
- [28] G. Papadopoulos, A. Teschendorff, Class. Quantum Grav. 17 (2000) 2641, hep-th/9811034;
G. Papadopoulos, A. Teschendorff, Phys. Lett. B 443 (1998) 159, hep-th/9806191.
- [29] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, S. Pakis, D. Waldram, Commun. Math. Phys. 247 (2004) 421, hep-th/0205050.
- [30] G.L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, D. Lust, P. Manousselis, G. Zoupanos, Nucl. Phys. B 652 (2003) 5, hep-th/0211118.
- [31] S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 497 (2001) 309, hep-th/0008232;
S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, Class. Quantum Grav. 18 (2001) 1089, math.DG/0010038.
- [32] U. Gran, P. Lohrmann, G. Papadopoulos, JHEP 0606 (2006) 049, hep-th/0602250.