The Identification by Dutch Preventive Child Health Care of Children with Psychosocial Problems: Do Short Questionnaires Help?

A.G.C. Vogels
This thesis is published within the research program Public Health and Public Health Services Research of the Graduate School for Health Research SHARE.

The studies on which this thesis is based were made possible by ZonMw and by TNO Quality of Life

Kaftontwerp: J. van der Plas
ISBN 978-90-5986-266-1
RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

The Identification by Dutch Preventive Child Health Care
of Children with Psychosocial Problems:
Do Short Questionnaires Help?

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Medische Wetenschappen
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
woensdag 23 januari 2008
om 16.15 uur

door

Antonius Gerardus Cornelis Vogels
geboren op 25 november 1950
te Gemert
Promotores:  Prof. dr. S.A. Reijneveld  
Prof. dr. S.P. Verloove-Vanhorick

Beoordelingscommissie:  Prof. dr. R. Sanderman  
Prof. dr. P.J.J. Sauer  
Prof. dr. F.C. Verhulst
Preface

Writing this thesis was only possible with the help and support of many people and I would like to express my gratitude to all of them.

First of all, of course, Menno Reijneveld and Pauline Verloove. Pauline, thanks for pushing me, for a long time, but finally with success. Thanks, also, for your continuing support after my decision not to write a thesis on your favorite subject. Menno, my appreciation for your role in writing this thesis is as immeasurable as the number of critical and supportive comments you made on drafts I sent to you. I hope very much that we will be able to continue our collaboration.

Also, I would like to thank Prof. dr. R. Sanderman, Prof. dr. P.J.J. Sauer and Prof. dr. F.C. Verhulst for their willingness to read this manuscript and to allow it to be defended as a thesis. Prof. Dr. F.C. Verhulst was involved in some of the studies reported in this thesis. Thanks for that, too.

The manuscripts which are part of this thesis were not written by me alone. To all authors and co-authors of these manuscripts: thanks! I enjoyed working with you. The same goes for all those many, many colleagues at TNO Quality of Life, who are not mentioned as (co-)author, but without whose efforts the studies reported in this thesis would not have been possible.

Also, many people outside TNO Quality of Life must be mentioned. Thanks to all those youth doctors, youth nurses and secretarial staff in Dutch Preventive Child Health Care who participated in our studies. Your willingness to co-operate in each of the studies was essential.

Most of the studies reported were done in close co-operation with the Landelijke Werkgroep Signalerings van Psychosociale Problematiek bij Jongeren. I want to thank all members of this working group for the cordial collaboration. I am convinced that your role in the development of an evidence based detection of children with psychosocial problems cannot be overestimated. Without you, this thesis would not have been written.

Ton, thanks for what you are to me and for what you did for this manuscript, with – in your own words – ‘unlimited patience’.

Ton Vogels
Content

1 Introduction and outline of this thesis .................................................................5
  1.1 Introduction ........................................................................................................6
  1.2 PCH in the Netherlands: a short description ..................................................7
  1.3 The Term ‘Psychosocial Problems’ ..................................................................7
  1.4 Psychosocial problems and Dutch PCH .........................................................8
  1.5 Questionnaires on psychosocial problems in Dutch Preventive Child Healthcare ...10
  1.6 Early detection of psychosocial problems by Preventive Child Healthcare ........11
  1.7 Research questions and outline of this thesis ...............................................14
  References ..............................................................................................................15

2 Differences between professionals when identifying children with problems were not explained by actual problems present.........................................................19
  2.1 Abstract .............................................................................................................20
  2.2 Introduction .....................................................................................................21
  2.2.1 Sample ..........................................................................................................21
  2.2.2 Procedure and measures .............................................................................22
  2.2.3 Analyses .......................................................................................................23
  2.3 Results .............................................................................................................25
  2.4 Discussion .......................................................................................................29
  References ..............................................................................................................32

3 Early detection of psychosocial problems in adolescents. How useful is the Dutch short indicative questionnaire (KIVPA)? .................................................35
  3.1 Introduction .....................................................................................................36
  3.2 Methods ...........................................................................................................37
  3.2.1 Population ....................................................................................................38
  3.2.2 Data collection ............................................................................................38
  3.2.3 Analysis .......................................................................................................39
  3.3 Results .............................................................................................................40
  3.3.1 Structure of the questionnaire and reliability .............................................40
  3.3.2 Validity ........................................................................................................40
  3.4 Discussion and conclusions ...........................................................................46
  References ..............................................................................................................48
A comparison of four classification methods based on the parent-rated Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire as used in the Dutch Preventive Child Healthcare system ......................................................... 97

7

Introduction ........................................................................................................ 98

7.1

Methods ........................................................................................................... 100

7.2

Population ....................................................................................................... 100

7.2.1

Measures and procedures .............................................................................. 100

7.2.2

Analysis .......................................................................................................... 102

7.3

Results ............................................................................................................ 102

7.3.1

Validity .......................................................................................................... 102

7.3.2

Added value .................................................................................................... 104

7.4

Discussion and conclusion ............................................................................ 104

References ........................................................................................................ 107

Item Response Theory based Computerized Adaptive Testing can provide an accurate and efficient identification of children with psychosocial problems ...... 111

8

Abstract ........................................................................................................... 112

8.1

Introduction .................................................................................................... 113

8.2

Methods ......................................................................................................... 114

8.2.1

General introduction ...................................................................................... 114

8.2.2

Data collection, population and measures .................................................... 114

8.2.3

Analyses ...................................................................................................... 115

8.3

Results .......................................................................................................... 117

8.3.1

Are the items on emotional and behavioral problems suitable for an IRT-based CAT?................................................................. 117

8.3.2

Determining the cut-off point ....................................................................... 118

8.3.3

Validity and efficiency .................................................................................. 119

8.4

Conclusion .................................................................................................... 120

References ....................................................................................................... 122
The identification by Dutch Preventive Healthcare of children with psychosocial problems: do short questionnaires help?
Discussion and implications ........................................................................................................125
9.1 Main findings ..........................................................................................................................126
9.2 Discussion .............................................................................................................................127
9.3 Implications ..........................................................................................................................133
References ..................................................................................................................................136

9

10 Summary ................................................................................................................................139

11 Samenvatting ..........................................................................................................................149

Appendix 1
List of abbreviations ....................................................................................................................159

Appendix 2
Graduate School for Health Research SHARE .............................................................................161

4