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Abstract. We report results from our atmospheric flask sampling network for three European sites: Lutjewad in the Netherlands, Mace Head in Ireland and the North Sea F3 platform. The air samples from these stations are analyzed for their CO₂ and O₂ concentrations. In this paper we present the CO₂ and O₂ data series from these sites between 1998 and 2009, as well as the atmospheric potential oxygen (APO). The seasonal pattern and long term trends agree to a large extent between our three measurement locations. We however find a changing gradient between Mace Head and Lutjewad, both for CO₂ and O₂. To explain the potential contribution of fossil fuel emissions to this changing gradient we use an atmospheric transport model in combination with CO₂ emission data and information on the fossil fuel mix per region. Using the APO trend from Mace Head we obtain an estimate for the global oceanic CO₂ uptake of 1.8 ± 0.8 PgC/year.

1 Introduction

Climate change and its causes and effects have been a subject of intensive research during the past decades. Climate change is primarily induced by changes in the atmosphere’s composition, specifically the rapid increase in the concentrations of the greenhouse gases CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and halocarbons (e.g. IPCC, 2007). Anthropogenic carbon dioxide is the most significant contributor to climate change, therefore a thorough comprehension of the global carbon cycle and the main processes involving CO₂ is essential.

Combined atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ measurements yield valuable information about carbon cycle processes, that cannot be acquired from measurements of CO₂ concentrations alone (e.g. Bender et al., 1996; Keeling and Garcia, 2002; Keeling et al., 1993; Keeling and Shertz, 1992; Machta, 1980; Manning and Keeling, 2006). Most processes show an inverse relationship between O₂ and CO₂ (e.g. fossil fuel combustion, photosynthesis and respiration), but in the exchange between the ocean and the atmosphere O₂ and CO₂ are uncoupled. Marine CO₂ uptake leads to a chemical reaction with the ocean water, leading to a CO₂ buffer effect. The uptake of O₂ does not have this effect, as O₂ merely dissolves in water and this is independent of the CO₂ uptake process. Combined measurements of atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ can therefore be used to partition land and ocean CO₂ uptake (e.g. Battle et al., 2000; Bender et al., 2005; Keeling and Shertz, 1992; Langenfelds et al., 1999; Manning and Keeling, 2006).

Since changes in the atmospheric O₂ concentration are in most processes directly related to changes in the CO₂ concentrations, they occur in the same order of magnitude. However, the changes in O₂ are harder to detect as they are to be measured against a much larger background. High precision measurements of atmospheric O₂ have begun in 1988 when R. F. Keeling developed an instrument based on interferometry (Keeling, 1988a, b). Since then other methods have been developed to enable atmospheric O₂ measurements at the required precision of 1:10⁶ (WMO, 2009). Current techniques include mass-spectrometry (Bender et al., 1994), paramagnetic analyzers (Manning et al., 1999), vacuum ultraviolet absorption (Stephens, 1999; Stephens et al., 2003), gas chromatography (Tohjima, 2000) and fuel cells (Patecki and Manning, 2007; Stephens et al., 2007; Thompson et al., 2007). Each of these techniques has its specific
advantages and disadvantages, not only related to the O₂ sensor obtaining the required precision, but also to the possibility to run the instrument automatically, remotely or in harsh conditions, e.g. on moving platforms, like ships or aircrafts.

The first systematic measurements of atmospheric O₂ were performed by Keeling and Shertz (1992) at three measurement sites from north to south: Alert (82.5° N, 62.3° W), La Jolla (32.9° N, 117.3° W) and Cape Grim (40.7° S, 114.7° E), showing seasonal patterns and interannual O₂ variations in different environments on both hemispheres. Since then the amount of sampling sites has increased during the past two decades from these three to over 20, including both stations where flasks are sampled as well as those with continuous monitoring of atmospheric O₂ (e.g. Battle et al., 2006; Kozlova et al., 2008; Manning and Keeling, 2006; Popa et al., 2009; Thompson et al., 2009; Tohjima et al., 2008). In this paper we will contribute new observations from the flask sampling stations Lutjewad in the Netherlands (2005–2009), Mace Head in Ireland (2005–2009 and winter 1998/1999) and the F3 North Sea platform (2006–2009), extending earlier work presented by Sirignano et al. (2010). For the F3 North Sea platform we also combine the flask samples with the first continuous onsite measurements (van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2010).

In this paper we first describe the measurement stations (Sect. 2), and continue with the flask sampling strategies and measurement methods (Sect. 3.1). Sections 3.2 and 3.3 give background information on the O₂ calculations and Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO). The regional model REMO, which we use to investigate the influence of regional differences in the fossil fuel oxidative ratio is described in Sect. 3.4. In Sect. 4 we present our observations of CO₂, O₂ and APO at the three stations and discuss their variability, trends and gradients. Finally, we give an estimate for global marine CO₂ uptake based on the observations from Mace Head.

2 Descriptions of the measurement stations

Figure 1 shows the locations of our three monitoring stations. The Lutjewad atmospheric monitoring station is situated on the northern coast of the Netherlands, at 53°20′ N, 6°21′ E, 1 m a.s.l., alongside the Wadden Sea. The station comprises a 60 m high tower as well as a laboratory and a set-up for continuous monitoring of atmospheric CO₂ and O₂ concentrations (van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2010). The continuous measurements of O₂ are performed with an Oszilla II instrument (fuel cells) and CO₂ is measured using infrared absorption (CarboCaps, Vaisala). The air inlets of both the flask autosampler and the continuous measurement setup are situated on the top deck at 46 m a.s.l. The depth of the North Sea at this location is 44 m and the prevalent wind direction at F3 is southwest. Flasks are filled when the wind direction is between south and west. We thereby sample mainly the coastal marine section of the north-western part of the European continent.

---

**Fig. 1.** Location of the three stations from which the flasks have been sampled: Lutjewad, Mace Head and F3. Also shown are the locations of other European measurements which are used for comparison. These are: Ochsenkopf (OXK), Bialystok (BIK), Shetland Islands (SIS), Zotino (ZOT), Puy de Dôme (PUY) and Jungfraujoch (JFJ).
3 Methods

3.1 Flask sampling and measurement techniques

Since the end of 2000 weekly air samples are taken at Lutjewad from the air inlet at the top of the tower (60 m) using a remotely controlled flask sampler (Neubert et al., 2004). This sampler fills 2.5l flasks with dry air at a specified time interval and accommodates the possibility to fill up to 20 flasks. The sampler consists of a manifold with valves to select the individual flasks for filling and a cryocooler for air drying. The automated air drying system is described by Neubert et al. (2004) with additional information given by van der Laan-Luijkx et al. (2010). Each flask is flushed with dry air for 60 min before the automated system closes the flask and continues to the next flask. At F3 the same system is used, but due to space limitations a maximum of 10 flasks is connected to the system at a time. The glass flasks used have glass valves from Louwers (Hapert, the Netherlands) with viton o-rings and ball and cup joint connections (Rotulex). The valves are operated using electric valve actuators designed at the Centre for Isotope Research (CIO, Groningen, the Netherlands). At Mace Head, identical flasks are manually filled in pairs every week. The cryogenically dried air is sucked in the flasks which are filled to atmospheric pressure.

All flasks are analyzed in the CIO laboratory for their concentrations\(^1\) of CO\(_2\), CH\(_4\) and CO, as well as for \(\delta O_2/N_2\), \(\delta^{13}CO_2\), \(\delta C^{18}O\) and \(\Delta^{14}CO_2\). \(\delta O_2/N_2\) is measured using a Micromass Optima dual inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometer (DI-IRMS), in a similar manner as Bender et al. (1994). The concentrations of CO\(_2\), CH\(_4\) and CO are measured using a Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph (GC), model 6890, comparable to the setup described by Worthy et al. (2003). More details on the measurement instruments are presented by Sirignano et al. (2010).

3.2 \(O_2/N_2\) calculations and calibration

Changes in the atmospheric \(O_2\) concentration are usually reported as the changes in the ratio of \(O_2\) to \(N_2\). As the atmospheric \(N_2\) concentration is much less variable, the changes in the \(O_2/N_2\) ratio mainly represent changes in the \(O_2\) concentration. Unlike the \(O_2\) concentration, the \(O_2/N_2\) ratio is insensitive to the changes in other atmospheric gases, such as CO\(_2\). Changes in the \(O_2/N_2\) ratio of a sample are expressed as relative deviations from a known reference gas, as shown in Eq. (1) (Keeling and Shertz, 1992).

\[
\delta(O_2/N_2) = \frac{(O_2/N_2)_{\text{sample}}}{(O_2/N_2)_{\text{reference}}} - 1
\] (1)

For natural air, the \(\delta O_2/N_2\) values are relatively small and are therefore multiplied with 10\(^6\) and expressed in per meg.

While mass spectrometers measure \(\delta O_2/N_2\) directly, other methods measure the \(O_2\) concentration. When measuring the \(O_2\) concentration directly, dilution by changes in the CO\(_2\) concentration requires a correction and therefore simultaneous measurements of the CO\(_2\) concentration. Equation (2) (Kozlova et al., 2008; Stephens et al., 2003) shows the relationship between changes in the \(O_2\) concentration and changes in \(\delta O_2/N_2\).

\[
\delta(O_2/N_2) = \frac{\delta XO_2 + (\Delta CO_2 - S_{O_2})}{(1 - S_{O_2}) \cdot S_{O_2}} \quad \text{(in per meg)}
\] (2)

Here, \(S_{O_2} = 0.20946\) (Machta and Hughes, 1970) represents the standard mole fraction of \(O_2\) in air and \(\delta XO_2\), \(\Delta CO_2\) and \(\delta O_2/N_2\) are the changes in the \(O_2\) mole fraction, the CO\(_2\) concentration and the \(O_2/N_2\) ratio, respectively. A change of 1 ppm in the \(O_2\) mole fraction without any concurrent change in CO\(_2\) therefore causes a change of 6.04 per meg in \(\delta O_2/N_2\). However, in case 1 ppm of \(O_2\) molecules is removed from an air package while the same amount of CO\(_2\) molecules is added this causes a change of 4.77 per meg in \(\delta O_2/N_2\).

Earlier work by Sirignano et al. (2010) showed the atmospheric \(O_2\) concentrations from Lutjewad and Mace Head presented on the internally used CIO scale, stating the need for an adaptation to an internationally used scale for inter-comparison of the measurement accuracy as well as data comparison. The complete data series was recalibrated using three cylinders spanning from −805 to −258 per meg purchased from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO). The mass spectrometer measures each sample twice against a machine reference gas – i.e., the reference in Eq. (1). Besides the samples, working gas cylinders were measured following an identical procedure as for the samples. Each measurement gives the difference between the sample or working gas and the machine reference gas. The calibration procedure included a drift correction of this difference based on the measurements of a suite of working cylinders against the machine reference gas. The amount of working gas cylinders used has increased over time from one cylinder to four, which makes the latest data more accurate than the earlier data. During the start-up phase of the measurements, the machine reference gas has been changed several times, requiring a scale conversion for each change. The SIO primary cylinders were measured only against the current machine reference gas, which makes the data of samples measured (i.e., not necessarily sampled) after 2006 the most accurate. The combined uncertainty in the measurements of the flask samples varies between 6 per meg for the latest measurements to 15 per meg in the initial measurement periods. The used procedures and further details on each measurement period are extensively described in van der Laan-Luijkx (2010).

For the CO\(_2\) concentration, each flask is measured at least two times on our GC in order to enhance the measurement precision. A working standard is measured after every second sample measurement, and the measurement sequence includes a target cylinder for quality control. The GC
measurements are calibrated with a suite of standards purchased from the Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The final CO$_2$ concentrations of the flask samples is expressed in ppm on the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) X2007 scale.

3.3 Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO) and APO*

Stephens et al. (1998) have defined the tracer Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO), as shown in Eq. (3).

$$\delta \text{APO} = \delta O_2 / N_2 + 1.1 \cdot (\text{CO}_2 - 350) / \text{SO}_2 \text{ (per meg)}$$  (3)

APO is the sum of $\delta O_2 / N_2$ plus 1.1 times the CO$_2$ concentration, with 1.1 being the global average stoichiometric ratio ($\alpha_F$) between O$_2$ and CO$_2$ in photo-synthesis and respiration processes (Severinghaus, 1995). $\text{SO}_2$ is the standard mole fraction of O$_2$ in air. An arbitrary reference of 350 ppm is subtracted from the CO$_2$ concentration, as used on the SIO per meg scale for APO (Manning and Keeling, 2006). The definition implies that APO is unaffected by activity of land biota and is therefore sensitive principally to ocean-atmosphere exchange of O$_2$ and CO$_2$, but also still partly to fossil fuel combustion and its specific oxidation ratio (OR = $-\Delta O_2 / \Delta \text{CO}_2$). The global average OR for fossil fuel is $\alpha_F = 1.4$ (Keeling, 1988b). Therefore the APO on average still includes 0.3 times the fossil fuel combustion contribution, which can be seen from the global budgets for CO$_2$, O$_2$ and APO (in moles) in Eq. (4) through (6) (Manning and Keeling, 2006).

$$\Delta \text{CO}_2 = F - B - O$$  (4)

$$\Delta O_2 = -\alpha_F F + \alpha_B B + Z$$  (5)

$$\Delta \text{APO} = \Delta O_2 + \alpha_B \Delta \text{CO}_2 = (\alpha_B - \alpha_F) F - \alpha_B O + Z$$  (6)

Here, $\Delta \text{CO}_2$ and $\Delta O_2$ are the changes in the atmospheric concentration of CO$_2$ and O$_2$ respectively, expressed in moles. F is the CO$_2$ emission to the atmosphere originating from fossil fuel combustion and cement manufacture. B is the net uptake of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere by the terrestrial biosphere. O is marine CO$_2$ uptake and Z is the net marine O$_2$ exchange (where a positive sign indicates addition of O$_2$ to the atmosphere). As both the CO$_2$ fossil fuel source and the terrestrial biogenic CO$_2$ sink are directly coupled to the changes in the O$_2$ concentration, they are included in the relationship for O$_2$ with their respective molar exchange ratios ($\alpha_B$ and $\alpha_F$ respectively). The marine processes involving CO$_2$ and O$_2$ are not coupled, and they are therefore represented by different symbols (O and Z). The relationship for APO as represented in (6) shows that APO is unaffected by terrestrial biosphere activity.

As APO is defined to estimate marine CO$_2$ uptake, the remaining influence of fossil fuel combustion should be accounted for. Sirignano et al. (2010) therefore suggest the use of a modified version of APO, named APO*, which is defined in Eq. (7) and is truly only sensitive to ocean-atmosphere exchange.

$$\Delta \text{APO}^* = \Delta \text{APO} - (\alpha_B - \alpha_F) F$$  (7)

The oxidative ratio for fossil fuel combustion ($\alpha_F$) varies over the globe, depending on the types of fossil fuels that are used in each country. The oxidative ratios for the individual fossil fuel types are: 1.17 for coal, 1.44 for oil and 1.95 for natural gas (Keeling, 1988a). Biofuels have the lowest OR, around 1.1, which is identical to the ratio for terrestrial biospheric release. Therefore combustion of biofuels is also removed from the APO signal like the terrestrial biosphere. In the Netherlands the fossil fuel OR is higher than average (around 1.7 Sirignano et al., 2010), because of the high share of natural gas. The use of natural gas varies significantly within the different seasons and is especially high in winter as it is mainly used for heating purposes. We have used a modelling study to estimate the influence of regional deviations from the global average OR for fossil fuel for our three measurement locations, which is described in the following section.

3.4 REMO

The REgional MOdel (REMO) (Chevillard et al., 2002; Langmann, 2000) is an atmospheric transport model covering in this study the area north of 30° N, which includes the European continent. The model’s grid resolution is 0.5° x 0.5° in a rotated spherical coordinate system, corresponding to a grid cell resolution of approximately 55 x 55 km. The atmosphere is divided in 20 vertical levels, of which we use the lowest level between 0 and 65 m, corresponding to the height of our sampling sites. The initial and lateral boundary conditions for the meteorology were based on the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) analysis and for CO$_2$ and APO the TM3 global transport model was used. The surface fluxes for the oceanic APO were calculated from TM3 inversion of atmospheric CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations (Rödenbeck et al., 2008). For the fossil fuel part of APO, hourly fluxes of CO$_2$ emissions and O$_2$ uptake from the CO$_2$ release and Oxygen uptake from Fossil Fuel Emissions Estimate (COFTEE) dataset (Steinbach et al., 2010) were used as input for the model. This dataset combines CO$_2$ emissions from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) inventory version 3.2 (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001) extrapolated to 2006 using BP fossil fuel consumption data at national level (available at: http://www.bp.com/statisticalreview) with fossil fuel type specific oxidative ratios derived from fuel consumption data from the UN energy statistics (http://data.un.org). Seasonal and diurnal variations of the emissions were included based on time profiles available in the EDGAR database. Figure 2a shows the global distribution of the oxidative ratios from fossil fuel combustion for 2006 and Fig. 2b shows the region of our sampling...
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Fig. 2. The global distribution of the oxidative ratios from fossil fuel combustion (a) and the regional distribution at our sampling locations in more detail (b) (Steinbach et al., 2010). These are the emissions based fossil fuel ORs per grid cell and are used as an input in the used regional model study. White grid cells indicate that no data is available in the EDGAR database. For Lutjewad the average of the two grid cells just below the actual position has therefore been used in this paper. The ox-
idative ratios obtained from these datasets for the fossil fuel emissions at the locations of our sites, averaged over 2006, are: 1.64 for Lutjewad, 1.49 for Mace Head and 1.44 for F3. As Lutjewad is located in a grid cell with no data available in the EDGAR database, we have used the average of the data from the two grid cells south of the actual location (the cells to the north represent shipping routes in EDGAR and are therefore less representative). These oxidative ratios are based on the emission information in the specific grid cell of the described datasets, and are not necessarily the same as the observed atmospheric O$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios, which are subject to atmospheric transport and mixing. The influence of transport and mixing is taking into account in this study by using the O$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio resulting from the REMO simulations, hereinafter referred to as perceived OR.

4 Results

4.1 CO$_2$ and O$_2$

Flasks have been filled with air at Lutjewad since October 2000, at Mace Head since December 1998 and at the F3 North Sea platform since June 2006. The data series for the atmospheric concentrations of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ from flask samples between 2000 and 2005 from Lutjewad and Mace Head have been presented by Sirignano et al. (2010). In this section we present the follow-up of this work with extended data series until 2009. In addition, for F3 half-hourly averaged continuous measurements are available from September 2008 to June 2009 as described by van der Laan-Luijkx et al. (2010). The continuous O$_2$ record presented in that paper has also been converted to the internationally used Scripps scale – as the flask data – to be able to make a direct comparison.

Flasks which were suspected to have been contaminated (e.g. by leaks in the sampling or measurement system or due to long storage of the flasks; Sturm et al., 2004) have been removed from the data set, as well as those flasks which were marked as locally influenced samples or samples with a continental trajectory. At Lutjewad these samples were identified using the concentration of $^{222}$Rn, which has been measured simultaneously at Lutjewad since 2005. $^{222}$Rn is a radioactive noble gas emanating from soils. The emissions of $^{222}$Rn from oceans is very small, therefore these characteristics can be used to determine whether the air masses have been influenced by continental emissions. Therefore, all flasks with a $^{222}$Rn concentration higher than 3 Bq/m$^3$ are disregarded as they represent air with continental influences and are not background air. As we did not measure $^{222}$Rn before 2005 at Lutjewad, the $^{222}$Rn concentrations have been correlated with the CO concentrations at Lutjewad, leading to an exclusion of the flask samples containing CO concentration higher than 200 ppb. We subsequently used this criterion for the exclusion of flask samples at Mace Head and F3. For Mace Head only a small amount of flasks were excluded as they were sampled during restricted baseline conditions.

For both O$_2$ and CO$_2$ the data have been filtered, based on a fit through the data points. The used fit is a linear combination of a three harmonic seasonal component and a linear trend. Data points with residuals larger than 2.5 times the standard deviation from the original fit have been excluded. This process has been iterated until no further outliers were identified. For F3 we have used the data from both the flasks and the continuous measurements to improve the quality of the fit (compared to fitting flask data only). On average around 10% of the data was excluded by applying the filtering procedure to each of the data series. Our fitting strategy is slightly different from that used in Sirignano et al. (2010) in that we have chosen a linear trend fit instead of a Loess trend fit (Cleveland and Devlin, 1988). The Loess trend fit is very sensitive to unevenly time-distributed data. As our data series have several
gaps and more (not-excluded) flask samples in certain periods, the Loess fit does not provide valid information on the trend variability.

Figure 3 shows the observations from each station for CO$_2$ and O$_2$ respectively. The expected seasonal patterns are clear in the data series of all three sites as well as the long term trends, slowly increasing for CO$_2$ and a concurrent decreasing trend for O$_2$. The observed signals for O$_2$ and CO$_2$ from Lutjewad and also F3 show a higher degree of variability compared to Mace Head. These stations are more influenced by local and regional sources and sinks of CO$_2$ and O$_2$ (e.g. terrestrial biosphere and fossil fuel emissions) and the sampling and filtering procedures do not adequately exclude these disturbances. The regional influence at Lutjewad has been presented by van der Laan et al. (2010) and is used to estimate national fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions. The observations from our three stations have been fitted and an overview of the obtained fit parameters for the respective complete sampling periods is presented in Table 1. A comparison of the obtained fit results of our three measurement locations is shown in Fig. 4. The three harmonic fits of the detrended seasonal cycles for both CO$_2$ and δO$_2$/N$_2$ are shown in Fig. 5.
Table 1. CO2 and O2 trend and seasonality based on the fit of the data sets from each measurement site: Lutjewad, Mace Head and F3. The used fit is a linear combination of a linear trend and a 3-harmonic seasonal component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lutjewad</th>
<th>Mace Head</th>
<th>F3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trend CO2 (ppm/year)</td>
<td>1.97±0.07</td>
<td>1.90±0.04</td>
<td>2.11±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend δO2/N2 (per meg/year)</td>
<td>−21.0±0.9</td>
<td>−18.5±0.7</td>
<td>−27.1±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend APO (per meg/year)</td>
<td>−10.6±0.7</td>
<td>−8.4±0.7</td>
<td>−13.2±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude CO2 (ppm)</td>
<td>12.0±0.6</td>
<td>14.0±0.3</td>
<td>15.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude δO2/N2 (per meg)</td>
<td>114±8</td>
<td>142±6</td>
<td>144±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude APO (per meg)</td>
<td>64±6</td>
<td>74±6</td>
<td>111±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of maximum CO2</td>
<td>72 (13 Mar)</td>
<td>107 (17 Apr)</td>
<td>84 (25 Mar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of minimum CO2</td>
<td>229 (17 Aug)</td>
<td>242 (30 Aug)</td>
<td>240 (28 Aug)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of minimum δO2/N2</td>
<td>90 (31 Mar)</td>
<td>42 (12 Feb)</td>
<td>49 (19 Feb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of maximum δO2/N2</td>
<td>252 (9 Sep)</td>
<td>243 (31 Aug)</td>
<td>263 (19 Sep)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of minimum APO</td>
<td>84 (25 Mar)</td>
<td>40 (10 Feb)</td>
<td>15 (16 Jan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of maximum APO</td>
<td>255 (12 Sep)</td>
<td>243 (31 Aug)</td>
<td>171 (20 Jun)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. The de-trended seasonal patterns of CO2 and O2 at station Lutjewad (black solid line), Mace Head (black dashed line) and F3 (blue solid line) during 1998–2009, based on flask measurements (and continuous measurements for F3).

The long-term trend in the Lutjewad CO2 concentration (Fig. 3a) is estimated at 1.97±0.07 ppm/year for CO2 and −21.0±0.9 per meg/year for δO2/N2. At Mace Head the long-term trend is found to be 1.90±0.04 ppm/year for CO2 and −18.5±0.7 per meg/year for δO2/N2. Since the data series at the F3 platform is only 3 years the long-term trends cannot provide as accurate information on the trends, which are estimated at 2.11±0.04 ppm/year for CO2 and −27.1±0.6 per meg/year for δO2/N2 (the errors given are the fit errors and because of the short length of the record they do not necessarily reflect the total error including systematic and measurement errors). Thanks to the longer sampling period, our trend estimates are now much more accurate than those presented by Sirignano et al. (2010), but the results correspond well to each other within the uncertainty range. The long-term trends for CO2 and δO2/N2 for other European sites are included in Table 2. Their locations are included in Fig. 1 using abbreviated station names. The CO2 trends at all sites are close to each other at about 2 ppm/year, except for Puy de Dôme. The trends for δO2/N2 are all close to −20 per meg/year, except for Jungfraujoch.

For Lutjewad we find a seasonal (peak-trough) amplitude of 12.0±0.6 ppm for CO2 and 114±8 per meg for δO2/N2. For Mace Head we find a seasonal amplitude of 14.0±0.3 for CO2 and 142±6 per meg for δO2/N2. For F3 we find a seasonal amplitude of 15.2±1.0 ppm for CO2 and 144±2 per meg for δO2/N2. Even though the record at F3 is still short, the quality of the seasonal component in the fit is considerably higher here, thanks to the continuous data. Figure 4a shows a comparison of the fits of CO2 from our three measurement locations to the marine background layer reference from the GLOBALVIEW-CO2 (2008) database for the same latitude (53° N). From this figure we can conclude that the fits of the Lutjewad, Mace Head and F3 data correspond well to the GLOBALVIEW-CO2 signal when comparing the timing of the growing season. The sharp decrease marking the uptake of CO2 by the land biota and the slower increase indicating the end of the growing season are clearly reflected in all fits and compare well to that of GLOBALVIEW-CO2.

The CO2 signal from Lutjewad follows the GLOBALVIEW-CO2 signal well, except for the depth of the troughs in the growing season. Also in comparison to previous studies, the amplitude of the signal from Lutjewad is likely estimated too low based on our record. Sirignano et al. (2010) found a seasonal amplitude of 16.1±0.4 ppm and van der Laan et al. (2009a) obtained 14 ppm from continuous observations. Our obtained seasonal amplitude for Mace Head does correspond well to that in Sirignano et al. (2010). The fact that our obtained amplitude for Lutjewad is lower than in both other studies and the GLOBALVIEW-CO2 background reference is likely caused by inadequate representation of the seasonal
cycle in the fit. Figure 3a shows that several data points indicate a lower summer CO$_2$ value for Lutjewad which are not well reflected in the fit due to a too low sampling frequency in the narrow trough periods. The seasonal amplitude at Lutjewad as estimated from the fit is therefore likely to be higher than that presented in Table 1. Since the period with the lowest yearly CO$_2$ values is relatively short, a higher sampling frequency is recommendable for this period during summer. Figure 5 shows more clearly than Fig. 3 that the start of the growing season is observed earlier at Lutjewad (and also at F3) than at Mace Head, showing that the influence of the land biota is more visible in the Lutjewad signal. As Lutjewad is influenced by continental air masses with southern and eastern winds, the signal is frequently influenced by local (or continental) anthropogenic sources, concealing the terrestrial biosphere signal. Figure 4b shows the same comparison between the fit results of the data from the three locations for δO$_2$/N$_2$ (a δO$_2$/N$_2$ GLOBALVIEW background reference is currently not available).

The seasonal amplitudes for CO$_2$ and δO$_2$/N$_2$ from other stations within Europe are presented in Table 2. It can be seen that the highest seasonal amplitudes for CO$_2$ are found at the eastern continental sites Bialystok and ZOTTO. The seasonal cycles from Mace Head, F3 and Lutjewad (when taking into account that the estimate of 12.0 is likely to be too low) compare best to observations from Ochsenkopf and the Shetland Islands. The Ochsenkopf amplitudes are given from the highest level in the tower (163 m) which is generally above the boundary layer, which decreases local influences. Jungfraujoch has a significantly lower CO$_2$ seasonal amplitude due to its high altitude of 3580 m a.s.l. which causes it to be far above the planetary boundary layer and thereby sampling European background air masses. The amplitudes of the seasonal cycles of δO$_2$/N$_2$ from our three sites vary more than for CO$_2$. Again, the seasonal amplitude of Lutjewad is probably underestimated; therefore Lutjewad compares best to Ochsenkopf and ZOTTO regarding their seasonal amplitudes. The seasonal amplitudes at Mace Head and F3 are slightly higher, 142 and 144 per meg, with both stations sampling only the marine sectors. The seasonal amplitude at Jungfraujoch is again much lower due to the sampled background air masses.

4.2 APO

For each measurement site, the atmospheric potential oxygen (APO) has been calculated using the observed CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations and Eq. (3). The results for APO are shown in Fig. 6 for Lutjewad (a), Mace Head (b) and F3 (c) and are fitted with a linear combination of a three harmonic function and a linear trend, like for CO$_2$ and δO$_2$/N$_2$ (the fit parameters are shown in Table 1). The seasonal amplitudes of APO are roughly half of that of δO$_2$/N$_2$, as expected. The amplitudes for our three measurement sites are:

---

Table 2. CO$_2$, δO$_2$/N$_2$ and APO trend and seasonality from Lutjewad and Mace Head flask samples and for F3 from a combination of flask samples and continuous observations in comparison to observations from other European measurement locations. The error bars for the trends and amplitudes presented in this work are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Trend (per year)</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Measurement Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$ (ppm)</td>
<td>O$_2$/N$_2$ (per meg)</td>
<td>APO (per meg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutjewad</td>
<td>1.97 −21.0</td>
<td>−10.6</td>
<td>12.0 114 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53°24′N, 6°21′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mace Head</td>
<td>2.11 −27</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>15.2 144 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53°20′N, 9°54′W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>2.0 −23</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>25 161 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54°51′N, 4°44′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochsenkopf</td>
<td>2.2 −19</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
<td>15.4 163 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50°02′N, 11°48′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bialystok</td>
<td>2.0 −17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>16.1 118 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53°13′N, 23°01′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shetland Islands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°17′N, 1°17′W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZOTTO</td>
<td>2.0 x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>26.6 134 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°48′N, 89°21′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puy de Dôme</td>
<td>1.2 −17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>16.1 118 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°46′N, 2°58′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jungfraujoch</td>
<td>1.8 −13</td>
<td>−5 to −22</td>
<td>16.1 118 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°33′N, 7°59′E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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64±6 per meg for Lutjewad, 74±6 per meg for Mace Head and 111±2 per meg for F3. The annual long term trend for each site is: −10.6±0.7 per meg/year for Lutjewad and −8.4±0.7 per meg/year for Mace Head. The data series for F3 is not long enough yet to provide precise information on the trend, which is roughly estimated at −13.2±0.5 per
meg/year (again, the errors given are the fit errors and are higher when considering the total error). The APO fit can also be calculated using the respective CO₂ and δO₂/N₂ fits, this does not yield significantly different results.

For comparison, the seasonal amplitudes and annual trends for APO from other European stations are shown in Table 2. The seasonal amplitudes at our sampling sites are higher than those from most other continental European stations. As APO primarily reflects the oceanic signal, the difference between the continental sites and the coastal marine sites is expected. The APO signal at the Shetland Islands also shows a higher amplitude. The long-term trends are not available for all sites, mainly because of the short time series.

4.3 Mace Head – Lutjewad gradient

From the observed CO₂ and O₂ signals and their trend fits as presented in the previous sections we find an offset between Mace Head and Lutjewad. The offset for CO₂ and O₂ are changing over time during the course of our observational period. A calibration problem to explain the difference in these trends is excluded based on the fact that flask samples from both locations are measured on the same instrument in the same laboratory. The Mace Head signal is also showing a significant offset from the marine background signal GLOBALVIEW-CO₂, especially during winter. The CO₂ excess at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head increases with 0.5 ppm during 2001–2008. Ramonet et al. (2010) present the difference between the CO₂ concentration at Mace Head and nine other measurement stations in continental Europe. During the period 2000–2005 they observe an upward trend in ΔCO₂ (i.e. [CO₂]measurement site − [CO₂]Mace Head). Compared to 1995–2000 they obtained an increase in ΔCO₂ by up to 2 ppm. Our calculated increase in the CO₂ excess at Lutjewad of 0.5 ppm fits well into the general picture presented by Ramonet et al. (2010).

Additionally, we obtained a change in the difference between Mace Head and Lutjewad for O₂. The O₂ deficit at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head increases by 20 per meg over the period of our observations (2001–2008). Consistently with the obtained increasing CO₂ excess and O₂ deficit at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head, the APO deficit also increases. As the terrestrial biosphere is removed from the APO signal and the fact that the gradient in O₂ is still present in APO, this implies a relation with either the ocean, fossil fuel combustion or general atmospheric conditions.

Ramonet et al. (2010) attributed their obtained increase in ΔCO₂ to a combination of a shallower boundary layer height over the continent and regional changes in fossil fuel emissions. Based on our obtained increase in CO₂ excess of 0.5 ppm at Lutjewad and the global average fossil fuel oxidative ratio of 1.4, an increase of the O₂ deficit of 3.4 per meg would be expected. The large difference with our observed increasing O₂ deficit implies other major contributions to these changes.

Fig. 6. Observations of the Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO) at station Lutjewad (a), Mace Head (b) and F3 (c) during 1998–2009, based on flask measurements (and continuous measurements for F3). The fits through the data points are a combination of a three harmonic function and a linear trend.
Another possible contribution to the increasing CO$_2$ excess and O$_2$ deficit at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head could also originate from changes in the CO$_2$ uptake by the North Atlantic. Oceanographic research has shown that the North Atlantic CO$_2$ sink has varied substantially over the past years, and has also decreased during certain periods (e.g. Corbière et al., 2007; Schuster and Watson, 2007; Watson et al., 2009). Furthermore Hamme and Keeling (2008) and Rödenbeck et al. (2008) have shown that air-sea fluxes of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ show significant interannual variations. These changes in ocean ventilation are present for both O$_2$ and CO$_2$, however in the atmosphere they are much more quickly observed for O$_2$ than for CO$_2$, due to the ocean’s CO$_2$ buffer effect.

The final contribution to changing gradients can be found in fossil fuel emission changes. Due to the average fossil fuel oxidative ratio (OR$_{ff}$) of 1.4, increasing fossil fuel consumption over the continent causes a larger increase in the O$_2$ deficit than in the CO$_2$ excess. Also regional changes in the OR could cause changing gradients. An increasing share of natural gas in the fossil fuel mix over the continent would give a higher increase in the O$_2$ deficit compared to the CO$_2$ excess. That possible influence of the gradient in OR$_{ff}$ between Mace Head and Lutjewad has been studied using the regional transport model REMO.

REMO simulations were performed for the year 2006, using the CO$_2$ emission data and the fuel mix specific ORs for the fossil fuel related O$_2$ sink, as described in Sect. 3.4. The data in Fig. 2 are used as an input for REMO. The results from REMO simulations yield the local CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations at our three sampling locations. The modelled CO$_2$ and O$_2$ signals consist of separate signals for the biosphere, ocean and fossil fuel component. Since REMO is a regional model, the perceived fossil fuel OR (i.e. the resulting ΔO$_2$/ΔCO$_2$ ratio after atmospheric transport and mixing) can be calculated directly as the ratio of the resulting atmospheric O$_2$ and CO$_2$ concentrations within a certain grid cell. For 2006 this yielded a seasonal signal for the perceived OR$_{ff}$ (as simulated by REMO) for each of our three measurement locations as shown in Fig. 7. The perceived OR$_{ff}$ at our three locations is structurally higher than the global average OR$_{ff}$ of 1.4. The deviation is more pronounced in the simulations for Lutjewad and F3 than for Mace Head. The obtained average perceived OR$_{ff}$ is 1.49 for Lutjewad, 1.46 for Mace Head and 1.48 for F3. The OR of the fossil fuel emissions in the Netherlands is highly influenced by the high natural gas share in the fossil fuel mix (as shown in Fig. 2) and this elevation continues to exist after atmospheric transport and mixing in the perceived OR$_{ff}$. As the CO$_2$ emissions and APO are transported by the model, the mixing with emissions from surrounding countries decreases the perceived fossil fuel OR at Lutjewad in comparison to the actual local emissions. The same mixing occurs at the other two sites and is obvious for F3, since the local emissions in the F3 area (according to the EDGAR database) are only influenced by international shipping, the mixing with the emissions from e.g. the Netherlands increases the OR$_{ff}$ signal. Furthermore, the OR$_{ff}$ of all three sites clearly shows a seasonal pattern, which has its maximum in winter, when the share of natural gas in the fossil fuel mix is higher as it is the main source for (domestic) heating purposes.

The continuous difference in the oxidative ratio of the local fossil fuel emissions between the Netherlands and other countries leads to an O$_2$ deficit in this area which is counteracted by atmospheric transport and mixing. Therefore the magnitude of the deficit is dependent on the (interannual) variability of both the fossil fuel OR and atmospheric transport and mixing. From our study we observe that during the period of our observations this deficit has not been eliminated by transport of O$_2$ from adjacent regions and that the deficit at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head has increased. On the short term the fossil fuel variability influences the gradient between both locations, however it is expected that this gradient will cancel out in the long term.

4.4 Estimating global marine CO$_2$ uptake

We estimate the global marine CO$_2$ uptake using the definitions presented in Manning and Keeling (2006). The net global oceanic CO$_2$ uptake is calculated as shown in Eq. (8).

$$ O = \left[ -\Delta(\delta\text{APO}) \times 10^{-6} \cdot S_{O_2} \cdot M_{\text{air}} \cdot \text{MC} \right] + (\alpha_B - \alpha_F) F + \left( \frac{Z_{atm}}{M_{\text{air}}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_B} $$ (8)

Where $\Delta(\delta\text{APO})$ is the observed annual change in $\delta\text{APO}$ (in per meq), $S_{O_2} = 0.20946$ is the standard mole fraction of O$_2$ (Machta and Hughes, 1970), $M_{\text{air}} = 1.769 \times 10^{20}$ mol.
is the number of moles of dry air in the total atmosphere and $M_C = 12.01 \text{ g/mol}$ is the molar mass of carbon. The net oceanic outgassing of $O_2$ is represented as $Z_{eff}$. We use $Z_{eff} = 0.48 \text{PgC/year}$ from Manning and Keeling (2006). The global average molar stoichiometric ratios $\alpha_F$ and $\alpha_T$ are 1.1 and 1.4, respectively. For the fossil fuel emissions $F$ we use the average annual emission, which is $7.7 \text{PgC/year}$ during the period 2000–2009 and 7.5 $\text{PgC/year}$ during 1998–2009 (Boden et al., 2009).

To estimate the global marine CO$_2$ uptake we use the APO trend obtained for Mace Head, which is the station with the longest atmospheric O$_2$ record in Europe. During the measurement period the APO trend was $-8.4\pm0.7$ per meg/year. As the flasks at Mace Head are sampled during restricted baseline conditions, they represent well the background situation. The trend therefore represents the global average trend, and compares well with that obtained for other regions around the globe presented in other studies (e.g. Hamme and Keeling, 2008; Manning and Keeling, 2006). Using the global average OR of 1.4, the APO trend at Mace Head gives a global oceanic CO$_2$ uptake of $1.8\pm0.8 \text{PgC/year}$.

Our estimation of the global oceanic CO$_2$ uptake of $1.8\pm0.8 \text{PgC/year}$ over the period 1998–2009 agrees within the error bars with e.g. Manning and Keeling (2006). Based on the observations from La Jolla (California, USA), Alert (Canada) and Cape Grim (Australia), they found a global oceanic CO$_2$ uptake of $1.9\pm0.6 \text{PgC/year}$ over the period $1990$–$2000$ and $2.2\pm0.6 \text{PgC/year}$ over the period $1993$–$2003$. Longer time series of observations at Mace Head should be able to identify whether our lower estimate is valid and whether it is an indication of a decreasing oceanic CO$_2$ sink. The combination of the trend observed at Mace Head and longer term trends from other European background stations as well as the combination with long term continuous observations will give more information on the spatial and temporal variability of the global marine CO$_2$ uptake.

The APO trend obtained from the flasks sampled at Lutjewad is $-10.6\pm0.7$ per meg/year during the period 2000–2008, which is significantly higher (i.e. more negative) than the trend obtained for Mace Head. For this period it is not possible to use the obtained trend for Lutjewad in global budget studies as it is to a high degree affected by regional influences. We expect that the signal at Lutjewad is heavily influenced by changes in the continent during the sampling period and that the APO trend therefore does not completely follow the global average trend. On the long term these local influences are expected to be balanced by atmospheric transport and mixing.

As discussed in Sect. 4.3 one of the possible influencing factors on the gradient between Mace Head and Lutjewad is the (difference in) the fossil fuel OR. The influence of the used OR$_{ff}$ in the global budget calculations is high. Using the global average OR$_{ff}$ of 1.4 and the APO trend observed at Mace Head we found a global marine CO$_2$ uptake of $1.8\pm0.8 \text{PgC/year}$. However, using the obtained perceived OR$_{ff}$ of 1.46 as obtained from the REMO simulations for 2006, would yield an uptake of $1.4\pm0.8 \text{PgC/year}$. It is therefore essential that future studies focus on precisely determining the global average OR as well as its annual variability. Additionally, regional gradients such as illustrated by our observations are key to assessing regional variability in sources and sinks and therefore are a valuable input to (inverse) modelling efforts.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented the CO$_2$, $\delta$O$_2$/N$_2$ and APO data series of the flask sample measurements from Lutjewad atmospheric monitoring station in the Netherlands, Mace Head atmospheric research station in Ireland and the F3 platform in the Dutch part of the North Sea together with continuous measurements from F3. With this work and that of colleagues combined, the density of the (European) $\delta$O$_2$/N$_2$ observational network is increasing gradually. These observations can be used in model efforts (both forward and inverse) to provide additional insights into the carbon cycle, also in a quantitative sense.

The records from Lutjewad and Mace Head have been used to construct the gradient in CO$_2$ and O$_2$ between Lutjewad and Mace Head. The obtained gradient fits well with the gradients observed between Mace Head and other European stations. The observed change in the CO$_2$ excess at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head is 0.5 ppm over the presented sampling period. We have also presented the $\delta$O$_2$/N$_2$ gradient between Lutjewad and Mace Head. The O$_2$ deficit at Lutjewad compared to Mace Head is gradually increasing the sampling period with 20 per meg over the total period 2001–2008. The effect on O$_2$ is much stronger than on CO$_2$, which is reflected by the fact that APO shows an increased deficit at Lutjewad as well.

We have estimated the global oceanic CO$_2$ uptake based on the Mace Head APO trend to be $1.8\pm0.8 \text{PgC/year}$. Longer data series will further improve the quality of the annual trends and the oceanic uptake. Using long-term observations of multiple locations spread around the globe will further improve the APO trend estimation and thereby improve the accuracy of the global marine CO$_2$ uptake estimate and its variability. It is also of importance that model efforts focus on independently transporting CO$_2$ and O$_2$ (instead of APO) yielding improved model based OR estimates for longer time periods.

The collection of flask samples at Lutjewad, Mace Head and F3 will be continued in the future as well as the continuous measurements at F3. For the Lutjewad atmospheric monitoring station continuous CO$_2$ data is available since 2006 (van der Laan et al., 2009a). In the near future, combined continuous measurements of $\delta$O$_2$/N$_2$ and CO$_2$ will also be available at Lutjewad.
Acknowledgements. This research has been supported by the European Integrated Projects CarboEurope and CarboOcean (contract numbers 505572 and 511176 (GOCE) respectively). The authors would like to thank F3, NAM, Shell and GDfSuez staff members for their support to this project. We are especially grateful for the generous ongoing logistical and technical support by GDfSuez. We thank Gerry Spain of the National University of Ireland, Galway, for the careful flask sampling at Mace Head. Furthermore the authors thank Centre for Isotope Research staff members B. A. M. Kers, J. K. Schut, H. G. Jansen, H. A. Been, A. T. Aerts-Bijma, J. J. Spriensma and J. C. Roeloffzen for their contributions.

Edited by: T. Röckmann

References


Machta, L. and Hughes, E.: Atmospheric Oxygen in 1967 to 1970,
I. T. van der Laan-Luijkx et al.: CO\textsubscript{2}, δO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} and APO observations from Lutjewad, Mace Head and F3


van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T.: Atmospheric oxygen and the global carbon cycle. Observations from the new F3 North Sea platform monitoring station and 6 additional locations in Europe and...

