Background: Genome-wide association studies have identified determinants of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, and lung function level; however, none have addressed decline in lung function.

Objective: We conducted the first genome-wide association study on the age-related decrease in FEV₁ and its ratio to forced vital capacity (FVC) stratified a priori by asthma status.

Methods: Discovery cohorts included adults of European ancestry (1,441 asthmatic and 2,677 nonasthmatic participants: the Epidemiological Study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma, the Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Disease in Adults, and the European Community Respiratory Health Survey). The associations of FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC ratio decrease with 2.5 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were estimated. Thirty loci were followed up in in silico replication (1,160 asthmatic and 10,858 nonasthmatic participants: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities, the Framingham Heart Study, the British 1958 Birth Cohort, and the Dutch Asthma Study).

Results: Main signals identified differed between asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants. None of the SNPs reached genome-wide significance. The association between the height-related gene DLEU7 and FEV₁ decrease suggested for nonasthmatic participants in the discovery phase was replicated (discovery, $P = 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$; replication, $P = .03$), and additional sensitivity analyses point to a relation to growth. The top ranking signal, TUSC3, which is associated with FEV₁/FVC ratio decrease in asthmatic participants ($P = 5.3 \times 10^{-8}$), did not replicate. SNPs previously associated with cross-sectional lung function were not prominently associated with decline.

Conclusions: Genetic heterogeneity of lung function might be extensive. Our results suggest that genetic determinants of lung function might be extensive. Our results suggest that genetic determinants of
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Longitudinal and cross-sectional lung function differ and vary by asthma status. (J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;129:1218-28.)
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Low lung function is a feature of both asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), with twin studies demonstrating strong heritability (0.51-0.77) for FEV1. The 2 respiratory diseases and lung function itself share predisposing and phenotypic features, including increased airway responsiveness and atopy, as well as exogenous risk factors. Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified novel genetic loci for asthma, COPD, and lung function and provide the opportunity to study agnostically their overlap in genetic background. Some of the implicated genes, such as PDE4D, support a link between asthma and COPD, which might be rooted in shared pathways during lung development. However, the majority of the genes implicated in asthma or COPD GWAS analyses have not been identified as top association signals in GWASs for lung function in the general population, with the exception of HHIP and FAM13A being associated with both lung function and COPD. Several lines of evidence suggest that different genes influence lung function in asthmatic and nonasthmatic subjects. Genome scans in family-based linkage studies identified some, but overall limited, overlap between chromosomal regions linked to lung function in asthmatic patients, patients with COPD, and the general population, and it has been suggested that genetic variation might be more important for lung function in asthmatic patients after adjusting for smoking and body size differences.

Here we present results from the first lung function GWAS conducted separately for asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants. This study also focuses on the rate of lung function decrease in adults instead of cross-sectional lung function parameters tested in previous GWASs. The discovery cohorts included 2 population-based studies (the Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Disease in Adults [SAPALDIA] and the European Community Respiratory Health Survey [ECRHS]) and 1 asthma family-based study (Genetics and Environment of Asthma [EGA]), with cohort participants of European ancestry and with highly comparable and standardized assessment of respiratory health parameters, including spirometry from 2 time points 10 years apart. These 3 studies had been included in the GWAS for asthma conducted by the GABRIEL consortium. Replication cohorts included 3 population-based cohorts (the Framingham Heart Study [FHS], the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities [ARIC], the British 1958 Birth Cohort [B58C]) and 1 family-based asthma study (the Dutch Asthma Study).

**METHODS**

**Discovery cohorts and study population**

Three large multicentric cohorts, EGEA, SAPALDIA, and ECRHS, constitute the ESE consortium. Personal factors of relevance to lung function were derived from the nested asthma case-control samples (SAPALDIA and ECRHS) or from the entire study population (EGA) subjected to genome-wide genotyping in the context of the GABRIEL asthma GWAS. Baseline and follow-up examinations were roughly 10 years apart. The analysis was restricted to adult participants (age ≥18 years at the time of the baseline spirometry) with complete information on age, height, and sex, as well as valid lung function measures from both surveys. Cohort study protocols were in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and obtained ethical approval from the respective regional review boards, national review boards, or both.

**Lung function assessments, asthma status, and genotypes**

At each visit, measurements of a minimum of 2 acceptable forced expiratory flows, forced vital capacity (FVC) and FEV1, complying with American Thoracic Society criteria were obtained. No bronchodilator was administered. On the basis of questionnaire data, asthmatic participants were defined by providing an asthma self-report at any of the completed surveys, and family-based studies considered additional clinical asthma criteria (see the Methods section in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Genotyping for discovery cohorts was centrally performed on the Illumina Human 610quad BeadChip at the Centre National de Génome en silico (CNPGenome, Evry, France). Imputation of genotypes based on the Hapmap2 reference panel, investigation of population stratification, and quality control criteria are described in Fig E1 and Table E1 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org.

**Replication cohorts**

Four cohorts of European ancestry with available genome-wide data, ARIC, FHS, B58C, and the Dutch asthma study, were used for replication. Subjects included in the current analysis were older than 24 years and had complete information on covariates (age, height, and sex) and valid lung function measures from at least 2 time points. The lung function measurements were conducted at least 10 years apart, except for ARIC, in which measurements were conducted 3 years apart (Table I). Distinct genotype data platforms and imputation software were used (see Table E2 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org).

**Statistical analysis**

The annual decrease in FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio was calculated as the difference between follow-up and baseline spirometric measurements (milliliters for FEV1 and percentages for FEV1/FVC ratio) divided by the duration of follow-up in years. Standardized residuals were derived from sex-specific linear regression models adjusted for age, height, and study center in asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants separately. Comparability between studies of standardized residuals was tested by using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (P > .94). The standardized residuals were used as dependent variables and regressed on genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) adjusted for study-specific principal components capturing population ancestry (see the Methods section in this article’s Online Repository). Study-specific SNP effect estimates were combined through meta-analysis by using fixed and random effects models. We used a threshold P value of less than 5 × 10−8 (the Benferroni adjustment for 1 million independent tests) to declare a pooled effect as genome-wide significant. Selection criteria for replication loci are described in the Methods section in this article’s Online Repository. SNPs with suggestive evidence of association with a decrease in FEV1 or FEV1/FVC ratio were chosen for in silico replication (see Table E3 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Study-specific regression models and meta-analyses across replication cohorts were as described for the discovery phase. Replication cohorts
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with spirometric data from more than 2 different time points modeled the lung function decrease phenotype by fitting a least-squares slope using available data (FHS and the Dutch Asthma Study). A P value of .05 or less was considered statistically significant at the replication level.

The results of the main meta-analyses for the top 1000 SNPs are available in the online repository (see Table E4, A-D, in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). We also conducted a meta-analysis by combining non-asthmatic and asthmatic participants and tested for heterogeneity between these samples (see Table E5 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Additional sensitivity analyses were done by (1) restricting the GWAS sample to subjects aged 30 years and older for FEV1 decrease (see Table E4, E and F); (2) conducting GWAS analyses on percentage change instead of absolute annual decrease in lung function (see Table E4, G-J); (3) investigating smoking-stratified joint effects for replications SNPs (see Table E6 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org); and (4) excluding ARIC, a cohort with a substantially shorter follow-up time that the other cohorts (3 years instead of 10 years), from replication analyses (see Table E7 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Methods and results of these additional analyses are described in this article’s Online Repository.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of the study populations**

The cohorts included in this study differed by age and type of recruitment and accordingly in lung function and the proportion of participants with FEV1/FVC ratios of less than 70% (Table I and see Table E8 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Baseline lung function parameters, but not their annual changes, were less in asthmatic participants when compared with those in nonasthmatic participants in each study. The proportion of never smokers was comparable among asthmatic participants but varied among nonasthmatic participants (range, 28.5% in B58C to 46.5% in EGEA). No substantial differences in the smoking prevalence between participants with and without asthma were observed within each study. Comparing the discovery cohorts in more detail (see Table E8), atopy (total IgE, ≥100 kU/mL) and hay fever were more prevalent in both asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants from EGEA when compared with those from ECRHS and SAPALDIA. Current asthma was more prevalent (84.4%) in EGEA than in SAPALDIA and 1,441 asthmatic participants. Genomic inflation factors were low for both lung function parameters (discovery <6, replication <6).

### TABLE I. Baseline characteristics of discovery and replication cohorts by asthma status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (%)</th>
<th>Age, mean ± SD</th>
<th>Height, mean ± SD</th>
<th>FEV1, mean ± SD (L)</th>
<th>FEV1/FVC ratio, mean ± SD</th>
<th>Follow-up length,† mean ± SD (y)</th>
<th>Annual decrease in FEV1, mean ± SD (mL/y)</th>
<th>Annual decrease in FEV1/FVC, mean ± SD (%/y)</th>
<th>Never smokers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonasthmatic participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery (ESE cohorts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGEA</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>45.2 ± 11.7</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>−28.6 ± 25.7</td>
<td>−0.47 ± 0.53</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPALDIA</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>49.2 ± 11.1</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.07</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>−34.0 ± 28.3</td>
<td>−0.40 ± 0.46</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECRHS</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>49.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>−26.3 ± 30.7</td>
<td>−0.30 ± 0.50</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication with in silico data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>7156</td>
<td>46.3 ± 5.6</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>−52.0 ± 57.4</td>
<td>−0.19 ± 0.98</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>3232</td>
<td>44.9 ± 10.2</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.08</td>
<td>10.5 ± 3.6</td>
<td>−24.9 ± 23.9</td>
<td>−0.33 ± 0.57</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B58C</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>48.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.73</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−34.9 ± 31.4</td>
<td>−0.21 ± 0.67</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asthmatic participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery (ESE cohorts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGEA</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>50.6 ± 12.5</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.11</td>
<td>11.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>−27.6 ± 39.4</td>
<td>−0.44 ± 0.68</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPALDIA</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>46.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.89</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.95</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−35.5 ± 33.9</td>
<td>−0.45 ± 0.54</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECRHS</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>42.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.43 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.09</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>−26.7 ± 42.6</td>
<td>−0.20 ± 0.60</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication with in silico data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>50.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>−43.9 ± 77.2</td>
<td>−0.037 ± 1.25</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>41.3 ± 10.3</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.09</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>−29.8 ± 23.7</td>
<td>−0.38 ± 0.51</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B58C</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>44.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−34.4 ± 37.6</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.89</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Asthma Study</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>60.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.95</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.13</td>
<td>14.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>−22.8 ± 47.0</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.89</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This column comprises the maximal number of subjects who contributed to at least 1 GWAS analysis (decrease in either FEV1 or FEV1/FVC ratio).

†Time spacing between the first and second spirometric assessment.

**Main findings from meta-analyses of discovery and replication phases**

In the discovery phase GWAS meta-analysis of decrease in FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio was conducted in 2,677 nonasthmatic and 1,441 asthmatic participants. Genomic inflation factors were low for both lung function parameters ($\lambda < 1.047$, see Table E9 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org), suggesting minimal unaccounted population stratification. The replication panel included a total of 10,858 nonasthmatic and 1,138 asthmatic participants. Thirty lead SNPs belonging to 30 loci ($5 \times 10^{-8} < P_{\text{discovery}} < 6 \times 10^{-8}$) were chosen for replication.

The 4 lung function parameter- and asthma-specific meta-analyses identified 1 association signal that almost reached the genome-wide significance level ($P = 5.3 \times 10^{-8}$) at locus 8p22 containing the TUSC3 gene for FEV1/FVC ratio decrease in asthmatic participants whereas all other signals had a $P$ value of less
than $5 \times 10^{-7}$ (Fig 1), but this signal was not associated with FEV$_1$/FVC ratio decrease in asthmatic participants in the replication sample. The only locus of the selected replication candidate loci that formally replicated was 13q14.3, containing the DLEU7 gene, which was associated with decrease in FEV$_1$ in the nonasthmatic participants ($P_{\text{discovery}} = 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P_{\text{replication}} = .03$).

In the global post hoc analysis combining both asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants ($n = 4118$), a striking finding was the absence of any pronounced association signals ($P > 1 \times 10^{-5}$) despite increased statistical power. This was in agreement with the minimal overlap of association signals observed in asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants separately. Most signals at a $P$ value of less than $10^{-5}$ from the asthma-stratified analysis in the discovery phase exhibited statistically significant heterogeneity of effects between the 2 groups (Table II). At the replication stage, none of the replication SNPs were associated with lung function decrease in asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants combined.

**Association signals for annual decrease in FEV$_1$ in nonasthmatic participants**

Of 15 SNPs associated at a $P$ value of less than $10^{-5}$ with a decrease in FEV$_1$ in nonasthmatic participants, 10 were clustered at position 112.3 Mb on chromosome 9 containing the genes TXN, MUSK, and SVEP1. Two of the 15 SNPs were located at 13q14.3 in a locus containing the DLEU7 gene; 3 SNPs belonged to 3 distinct loci. The association of lead and proxy SNPs in DLEU7 (Fig 2) but not TXN/MUSK/SVEP1 (see Fig E2 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org) or the other SNPs (Table II) was replicated. The G allele of SNP rs9316500 near the DLEU7 gene was positively associated with annual FEV$_1$ decrease in both the discovery ($P = 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$) and replication ($P = .026$) cohorts. Although heterogeneity between studies was not significant ($P = .61$), the combined $P$ value did not reach the genome-wide level ($P = 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$).

**Association signals for annual decrease in FEV$_1$ in asthmatic participants**

Eighteen SNPs in 9 distinct chromosomal locations were associated with a decrease in FEV$_1$ in asthmatic participants at a $P$ value of less than $10^{-5}$. None of the loci selected for in silico replication were confirmed (Table II).

**Association signals for annual decrease in FEV$_1$/FVC ratio in nonasthmatic participants**

Seven loci showed association with FEV$_1$/FVC ratio decrease in nonasthmatic participants ($10^{-6} < P < 10^{-5}$), but no locus selected for replication was confirmed (Table II).
Association signals for annual decrease in FEV₁/FVC ratio in asthmatic participants

Twelve SNPs at locus 8p22 containing the gene TUSC3 at 15.68 Mb were associated with FEV₁/FVC ratio decrease at a P value of less than 10⁻⁵ in asthmatic participants (Fig 1). Regional locus and forest plots are presented in Fig E3 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org. The top association signals in this locus were conferred by distinct SNPs in each cohort, although apparently they were located in the same putative haplotype segment in SAPALDIA and in EGEA (see Fig E4 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). There was no statistically significant association between studies with cross-sectional lung function 11,15-18 and a replication analysis in J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL MAY 2012

Association signals for annual decrease in FEV₁/FVC ratio in asthmatic participants

Twelve SNPs at locus 8p22 containing the gene TUSC3 at 15.68 Mb were associated with FEV₁/FVC ratio decrease at a P value of less than 10⁻⁵ in asthmatic participants (Fig 1). Regional locus and forest plots are presented in Fig E3 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org. The top association signals in this locus were conferred by distinct SNPs in each cohort, although apparently they were located in the same putative haplotype segment in SAPALDIA and in EGEA (see Fig E4 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). There was no statistically significant association between studies with cross-sectional lung function 11,15-18 and a replication analysis in J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL MAY 2012

SNPs previously associated in GWAS meta-analyses on cross-sectional lung function

The associations of top-hit SNPs from previous GWAS meta-analyses on cross-sectional lung function 11,15-18 and a replication study in asthmatic patients 33 were assessed separately for asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants in the discovery cohorts. Associations were assessed for both lung function parameters of decrease (annual decrease and percentage change) and cross-sectional lung function levels. Overall, a subset of variants and loci showed replication of association with cross-sectional lung function.
function in either nonasthmatic or asthmatic participants. Few of the loci showed strong association with decrease in lung function. We present associations at a $P$ value of less than .05 in Table III 15-18,33,34 and those at a $P$ value of .05 or greater in Table E10 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org.

For baseline FEV$_1$, we observed associations for SNPs belonging to 4q24 (GSTCD, rs11731417, $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$) and 15q23 (THSD4, rs1913768, $P = .003$). Associations with baseline FEV$_1$ were mainly restricted to nonasthmatic participants. For baseline FEV$_1$/FVC ratios, associations of SNPs of THSD4 were prominent (eg, rs12899618, $P = 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$) and again restricted to nonasthmatic participants.

For decrease in phenotypes of FEV$_1$, we observed associations for SNPs in regions 6p21 (DAAM2, .003 < $P$ < .02) and 4q28 (HHIP, .02 < $P$ < .05) among asthmatic participants and in THSD4 (.003 < $P$ < .04) among nonasthmatic participants. The strongest associations observed for decrease in phenotypes of FEV$_1$/FVC ratio were 2 SNPs in MMP15 (16q13, .003 < $P$ < .002) in nonasthmatic participants only. Association in the combined sample of asthmatic and nonasthmatic participants did not substantially alter the results.

Summary of findings from sensitivity analyses

We observed in nonasthmatic participants aged 30 years and older that MUSK and DLEU7 were no longer prominently associated with FEV$_1$ decrease, but SNPs in other genes remained strongly associated (ZIC1, rs6785065, $P = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$; UBL3, rs278037, $P = 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$).

Results of the GWASs on percentage change in lung function showed that the FEV$_1$ association signal for DLEU7 in the nonasthmatic participants was no longer significant; however, the signals for MUSK (rs1889321, $P = 2.92 \times 10^{-7}$) and other loci remained unaltered (ZIC1, rs6785065, $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$; KIRREL3, rs11604082, $P = 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$; KIAA2117, rs10082549, $P = 2.7 \times 10^{-6}$). Top signals associated with decrease in FEV$_1$/FVC ratio in asthmatic participants remained unaltered for TUSC3 (rs4831760, $P = 5.2 \times 10^{-8}$) and SYNE2 (rs7144584, $P = 6.4 \times 10^{-7}$) after taking baseline lung function into account.

Smoking-stratified analyses of the replication SNPs revealed no substantial difference in association between ever and never smokers except for a few SNPs belonging to loci containing the genes SYNE2, RORA, BCAS1, or PLXNA4.

Replication meta-analysis excluding the ARIC data substantially reduced sample size in nonasthmatic participants, and the association of DLEU7 with decrease in FEV$_1$ was no longer significant. Instead, 2 loci for association with decrease in FEV$_1$ in asthmatic participants (PLXNA4, rs10808265, $P_{\text{discovery}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$, $P_{\text{replication}} = .02$ and SLC45A3, rs16856186, $P_{\text{discovery}} = 8.9 \times 10^{-6}$, $P_{\text{replication}} = .04$) and 1 locus, FLJ25393, for a decrease in FEV$_1$/FVC ratio in nonasthmatic participants (rs2658782, $P_{\text{discovery}} = 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$, $P_{\text{replication}} = .03$) gained statistical significance.

DISCUSSION

A main result of this study is the observed genetic heterogeneity of lung function decrease between asthmatic and nonasthmatic subjects. When we combined the 2 groups in the discovery phase, we observed no genome-wide significant association signal despite larger sample size. All top-hit association signals detected by the asthma-stratified analysis showed...
TABLE III. Association* of SNPs previously identified in GWAS on cross-sectional lung function with percent predicted† lung function at baseline, as well as percentage change and annual decrease in lung function for FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio in ESE discovery cohorts by asthma status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dbSNP ID</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position (build 36.3)</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Gene nearby</th>
<th>FEV1 % predicted</th>
<th>FEV1 % change</th>
<th>FEV1, % decrease (%)</th>
<th>Asthmatic participants</th>
<th>FEV1 % predicted</th>
<th>FEV1 % change</th>
<th>FEV1, % decrease (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
significant heterogeneity according to disease status. In the replication phase this heterogeneity was also confirmed for the DLEU7 locus that was associated with FEV1 decrease in nonasthmatic participants only. Finally, many of the SNPs identified by previous GWASs on lung function exhibited associations specific to asthma status.

The finding of genetic heterogeneity in lung function reported here is consistent with available evidence. Differences in familial segregation of FEV1 in asthmatic and nonasthmatic families previously suggested genetic heterogeneity between these 2 groups.24 Agnostic studies investigating genetic determinants of lung function in both family-based21,22,35-38 and population-based15-18 samples produced little overlap in chromosomal regions. Genome-wide scans on lung function in families with asthma21,39 or COPD22 also suggested a heterogeneous genetic architecture of lung function.

Nevertheless, some previously reported overlapping linkage regions for the ratio of FEV1 over vital capacity (FEV1/VC) and FEV1 over FVC (FEV1/FVC) in families with asthma and COPD21,22 suggest that at least some gene or genes could be important in the development of airway obstruction in both diseases. Furthermore, genetic polymorphisms in glutathione S-transferases,40-43 as well as ADAM33,44-47 were associated with lower lung function at all ages and in different subgroups of the population (general population, patients with COPD, and asthma patients). Gene-lung function associations that are of relevance to several population and patient strata might be determined specifically by complex gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, as suggested for lung function decrease and its complex association with estrogen receptor 1 polymorphisms, smoking, steroid use, and sex.32,48 Although ignored in both ours and previous GWASs, such effect modifications should be considered in the future.29

Results from the Busselton Health Study on familial aggregation and heritability of adult lung function previously suggested the existence of genetic determinants of adult lung function independent of asthma, atopy, cigarette smoking, height, age, or sex.25 Consistent with these results, neither asthma, atopy, and COPD genes previously identified in large GWASs5-11 nor genes related to smoking behavior60 were associated with lung function decrease in our study. The association of FEV1 decrease with a gene related to height, DLEU7, ranked high but only in subjects without asthma (rs9316500, \( P_{\text{discovery}} = 4.8 \times 10^{-6} \); \( P_{\text{replication}} = .03 \)). DLEU7 gene product and expression remain poorly characterized, but its mRNA has been detected in the lung. The DLEU7 locus was identified as a determinant of adult height in previous GWAS meta-analyses.51-53 Three other height genes, HHIP, GPR126, and PTC, were associated with cross-sectional lung function.15-17 All of these lung function models, including ours, were adjusted for adult height. The observed association, related to both HHIP and DLEU7 being associated with peak height velocity in infancy,52 suggests that aspects beyond adult height influence lung function and possibly its response to nongenetic determinants. Several genes implicated in respiratory diseases indicate that early lung development affects respiratory health later in life.50 Sensitivity analyses are supportive for a growth-specific role of DLEU7. The association of genetic variants in DLEU7 with decrease in FEV1 disappeared in analyses considering baseline lung function or restricted to subjects older than 30 years with no remaining physiologic lung growth. There might be a link between physiologic growth and unregulated cell differentiation because the DLEU7 gene is also a proposed tumor suppressor gene in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia.54-56 Evidence emerges for a role of DLEU7 in counterbalancing the proliferative effect of nuclear factor κB on various cell types.57 The potential role of the gene product of TUSC3, a proposed tumor suppressor gene,38 in lung physiology is discussed in this article’s Online Repository.

None of the SNPs identified in GWASs of cross-sectional lung function15-18 ranked high in this current GWAS on lung function decline. A strong risk factor for accelerated lung function decrease in adulthood is cigarette smoking, but our study was too small to assess gene-smoking interaction at the GWAS level. We had decided a priori against smoking adjustment because it is not a confounder, and any link between genotype and smoking is likely to be, at least in part, in the same causal pathway (eg, gene products metabolizing tobacco constituents or influencing smoking behavior). Their identification as determinants of lung function decrease is of public health importance. Consistent with previous GWASs on cross-sectional lung function,15-18 neither the TUSC3 (heterogeneity between ever/never smokers, \( P = .98 \)) nor other top-hit signals were modified by smoking except for SNPs in SYNE2, RORA, BCAS1, and PLXN4.

Arguments for biological plausibility are mentioned in this article’s Online Repository.

The strength of the present study is the longitudinal design of all cohorts included. Repeated spirometric assessments within the same subject are thought to capture more precisely exogenous factors and genes leading to accelerated loss of lung function in adulthood.59 The discovery cohorts shared comparable questionnaire and spirometric protocols, and they were specifically designed to investigate environmental and genetic causes of lung function decrease and asthma in a standardized way. Each study has 2 measures of prebronchodilator lung function about 10 years apart, but clearly, our findings would be more robust if further lung function measures were available over an even longer period of follow-up. All discovery cohorts have used the same genotyping platform, and stringent quality control criteria have been applied.

Sample size is a limitation of this study and remains a general challenge in lung function studies with a need for high phenotypic comparability because spirometric results are sensitive to technicians and devices used.60 The prebronchodilator lung function measurements in our and previous lung function GWASs do not allow one to differentiate reversible from nonreversible obstruction to airflow. Populations included in this study differed by age, which is also reflected by the diverging proportion of subjects with FEV1/FVC ratios of less than 0.7 at follow-up between the discovery cohorts. Discovery and replication populations also differ by time spacing between the spirometric assessments. We can only speculate on the overall effect of such differences. We do note that replication results were sensitive to the exclusion of ARIC data (the study with highest mean age, largest annual decrease, and shortest follow-up time).

Other limitations are shared with any GWAS meta-analyses investigating complex phenotypes, such as lack in power for investigating gene-environment interactions or studying subgroups of diseases. Because the sample size of our study was comparatively small, especially for the asthmatic sample in the replication phase, we had limited ability to address differences in asthma subphenotypes or the effect of asthma medication intake. It is also likely that a substantial part of complex disease might be explained by rare mutations not considered by current GWASs. Finally, assessing the joint effect of SNPs having small effects
individually and potentially interacting with each other remains another challenge.

In conclusion, this first GWAS meta-analysis on lung function decline provides suggestive evidence for genetic heterogeneity between persons with and without asthma and between cross-sectionally and longitudinally measured lung function. Consistent with cross-sectional GWASs, our results are also suggestive of height-related genes playing a role. Further studies in this area would be enhanced by greater comparability of age range, spacing of lung function assessments, and asthma subphenotypes (including treatment) to decrease phenotypic heterogeneity and therefore increase statistical power to detect true association candidate loci. 
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**Key messages**

- Knowledge regarding genes with pleiotropic effects on asthma, COPD, and lung function level and longitudinal course is limited.
- This first GWAS meta-analysis on lung function decline conducted separately in nonasthmatic and asthmatic cohort participants suggests that genetic determinants of lung function decline are different in the 2 groups.
- The results further suggest that previously identified genetic determinants of cross-sectional lung function are not major determinants of the decline.
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