ruik van apparatuur en de omarming van integrale kwaliteitsborgingssystemen – worden, ondanks de omvangrijke problemen in de verdere ontwikkeling geïmplementeerd. Mondiale gegevens schilderen een minder rooskleurig beeld van structurele vooruitgang, alhoewel er weldegelijk vooruitgang te zien is in de statistieken over veiligheid bij het bloedtransfusiebeleid. De lessen die in Namibië zijn geleerd kunnen van nut zijn voor andere landen en ook voor externe donoren die willen investeren in bloedtransfusie veiligheid of activiteiten die tot versteviging van duurzame bloedtransfusiesystemen leiden, met name wanneer daarin het kritische belang van een sterk personeelsbestand, routinematig verzamelde en gemakkelijk toegankelijke gegevens nodig voor besluitvorming, en een stevige greep op de financiële gegevens, worden onderkend.

Meer aandacht voor gelijkwaardigheid en een toenemend begrip van donor- en ontvangerlijke landen voor de betekenis en implicaties van de begrippen ‘eigendom’ en ‘duurzaamheid’ zijn essentieel om verdere vooruitgang te waarborgen.
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