The acquisition of sentence ellipsis in Dutch preschoolers
Lindenbergh, Charlotte; van Hout, Angeliek; Hollebrandse, Bartjan

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Publication date: 2014

Citation for published version (APA):

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.
1. Introduction

Ellipsis is the linguistic phenomenon where meaning is conveyed without form. Linguistic structure is missing, but we do assign meaning to what is not there (i.e. to the ellipsis site). There are different types of ellipsis, varying in the amount of structure that is elided.

Sluicing or sentence ellipsis is a type of ellipsis where the sentential portion of a constituent question (a whole TP/IP) is elided, leaving only a wh-remnant, see (1).1

(1) Anna can see something, but I don’t know what [...].

Why is it interesting to look at the acquisition of ellipsis? Learnability problem: The interpretation of the ellipsis site is very restricted. It is an interesting question to see how and when children have learned this restriction.

Relation to acquisition of anaphora: Ellipsis is a type of anaphora, where the interpretation of the ellipsis site needs to be recovered from the previous discourse. This type of research thus provokes us with another way to look at the acquisition of anaphora and to study how children locate the correct antecedent in linguistic discourse.

3. Research questions

1. How do Dutch children interpret anaphora?
2. At what age do Dutch children comprehend sluicing sentences?
3. At what age do Dutch children produce sluicing sentences?

4a. Design

Participants N=30, average age=5;4, age range=4;8-6;1

Pretest

In the pretest we tested simple embedded sentences (2) to make sure all participants were able to interpret the embedded clause within the sluicing sentences. The pretest was also used to familiarize participants with the procedure, since it had the same set-up as the comprehension experiment.

(a) Ik zie niet wie een bloem tekent. I can’t see who is drawing a flower.

Comprehension experiment

To test the comprehension of sluicing we developed a picture selection task. A hand puppet said the test sentence and participants were instructed to help the puppet choose the picture that best fitted the sentence.

Items

Test items
- 8 sluicing sentences with negation (SluiceNeg)
- 8 sluicing sentences without negation (SluicePos)

Control items
- 2 non-elliptical sluicing counterparts with negation (CounterNeg)
- 2 non-elliptical sluicing counterparts without negation (CounterPos)
- 2 non-elliptical regular coordinated sentences with different object (CoordObj)
- 2 non-elliptical regular coordinated sentences with different action (CoordAct)

Pictures

Next to the target picture there were three distractor pictures. Picture 2 was included to make sure participants interpreted the whole sentence and not just the first conjunct. Pictures 3 and 4 provided non-adult like interpretations of the sluicing sentence with different, less restricted antecedents for the ellipsis site.

Production experiment

We developed an elicitation task in the form of a card game to test the production of sluicing sentences in Dutch. Every item consisted of 3 cards, with a picture on each of them, that were given to the child. The experimenter was not allowed to see the pictures and asked questions about what the child could see on the cards. The answer to the last question elicited a sluicing sentence. The production task had 8 items.

5a. Results comprehension experiment

5b. Results production experiment

4b. Item comprehension experiment

Questions
1. Is er iemand gitaar aan het spelen? Is someone playing guitar?  Target answers Yes, a woman.
3. Is er iemand een boot aan het trekken? Is someone pulling a boat?  Yes, but I can’t see who.

4c. Item production experiment

Questions
1. Is er iemand een boot aan het trekken? Is someone pulling a boat?  Target answers Ja, een man.

6. Conclusion

Our results show that Dutch children at the age of 5 are fully able to comprehend sluicing sentences in an adult-like way. Furthermore, most children produced a great number of sluicing sentences (63%) and they even preferred to produce sluicing sentences over their full non-elliptical counterparts (13%).

However, our results do align with studies on VP and NP ellipsis, supporting the view that children at the age of five are fully able to reconstruct the antecedent of ellipsis, by integrating the elided phrase in the discourse.3,4,5

Our sluicing study thus contributes to theories of the acquisition of ellipsis and anaphoricity in general. Additionally, it provides the field of acquisition research with a method that can easily be adapted to test different sluicing varieties with young children in different languages.
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