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Chiral amides via copper-catalysed enantioselective conjugate addition

Anne K. Schoonen, M. Ángeles Fernández-Ibáñez, Martín Fañanás-Mastral, Johannes F. Teichert and Ben L. Feringa

A highly enantioselective one pot procedure for the synthesis of \(\beta\)-substituted amides was developed starting from the corresponding \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters. This new methodology is based on the copper-catalysed enantioselective conjugate addition of Grignard reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters and subsequent direct formation of amides by quenching the corresponding enolates with different amines. Various primary and secondary amines bearing alkyl or aryl substituents can be used giving rise to a large variety of \(\beta\)-substituted amides with excellent enantioselectivities.

Introduction

The catalytic asymmetric conjugate addition (CA) of organometallic reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated compounds is one of the most versatile methodologies for the formation of C–C bonds.1 In 2004, a highly enantioselective catalytic CA of Grignard reagents was reported from our laboratories.2 This paved the way for the efficient enantioselective CA of Grignard reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters and subsequent direct formation of amides by quenching the corresponding enolates with different amines. Various primary and secondary amines bearing alkyl or aryl substituents can be used giving rise to a large variety of \(\beta\)-substituted amides with excellent enantioselectivities.

structural motifs in modern pharmaceuticals and biologically active compounds.9

Chiral \(\beta\)-substituted amides can be obtained with high stereoselectivities via the CA of Grignard reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated amides bearing \((S,S)\)-pseudoephedrine as a chiral auxiliary.7,10,11 The addition proceeds both with \(^7\) and without copper as a catalyst. However, to obtain the desired chiral \(\beta\)-alkyl substituted amides via this route two additional steps are required: one to remove the auxiliary by hydrolysis and another to obtain the corresponding amide.

An alternative method to obtain \(\beta\)-alkyl substituted amides comprises the asymmetric CA of boron compounds to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated amides (including 5,6-dihydro-2(1H)-pyridinones). This has been achieved via rhodium-catalysed addition of arylboronic12 reagents and via copper-catalysed addition of diboron13 reagents. However, these methods do not allow the introduction of alkyl groups to furnish \(\beta\)-alkyl substituted amides. Therefore the formation of \(\beta\)-alkyl substituted chiral amides remains a challenging target as this structure is present in many biologically active compounds including cyclotheonamide E414 and orbiculamide A.15

In this context, the copper-catalysed enantioselective CA of dialkylzinc reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated N-acryloxazolidinones16 has been reported to provide the corresponding chiral \(\beta\)-alkyl compounds. The desired chiral \(\beta\)-alkyl substituted amide can be obtained from the corresponding oxazolidinones in one additional step. Furthermore, the copper-catalysed enantioselective CA to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated lactams has been described with alkylzinc reagents17 and alkyl- and alkenylalanalanes18 giving rise to \(\beta\)-substituted lactams in good yields and
selectivities. There is also one example of the asymmetric CA of Me₃Al to an N-acylpyrrole derivative in which the corresponding methylated product is obtained in 54% yield and 96% ee.¹⁹

Recently, we discovered that β-alkyl substituted amides could be obtained directly by quenching a cyclic ester enolate with propylamine.²⁰ This enolate was formed during the enantioselective CA of EtMgBr to coumarins; quenching with propylamine gave the corresponding amide in a good yield without compromising the ee of the enantioselective CA product. Inspired by this result, we envisioned a one pot procedure for the synthesis of β-alkyl substituted amides consisting of first the enantioselective CA of Grignard reagents to an acyclic α,β-unsaturated ester and subsequent in situ quenching with an amine to obtain the corresponding amide (Scheme 1). Herein, we present a new one pot method to obtain β-alkyl substituted amides in an asymmetric fashion, in which the amide formation occurs without the need for additional reagents or reaction steps.

Results and discussion

For this one pot procedure, we focused on the optimisation of the amide formation after the enantioselective CA step, which was carried out with ligand L¹ and CuBr·SMe₂ at −75 °C as previously reported.⁴ We optimised the reaction conditions and determined that the use of 3.0 equiv. of amine and stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature overnight (ca. 14 h) were the optimised conditions.

For our investigations, we used α,β-unsaturated esters 1 and 2 as model substrates, because of their high enantioselectivities in the CA of Grignard reagents and as they represent substrates bearing alkyl or aryl substituents.²¹,²²

The enantioselective CA to substrates 1 and 2 was performed, with EtMgBr (1.5 equiv.), CuBr·SMe₂ (5 mol%) and L¹ (7.5 mol%) in methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE).⁴ Subsequently the intermediate enolate was quenched in situ with various amines and allowed to react under the optimised reaction conditions for amide formation (Table 1).

Table 1  Scope for the one pot two step procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ester</th>
<th>Amine</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yielda (%)</th>
<th>ee b (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 (75)c</td>
<td>93 (92)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Isolated yields. b Determined by chiral GC (entry 1) or chiral HPLC analysis. c Carried out on a 7.8 mmol (1.0 g) scale.
We found that the reaction of \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters 1 and 2 with 3.0 equiv. of aniline provided the corresponding amides 4 and 5 with good yields (65% and 73%, respectively) and excellent enantioselectivities, revealing that no racemisation occurs during the process (entries 1 and 2). The reaction using \(p\)-bromoaniline furnished amide 6 with good yield (77%) without compromising the ee (entry 3). We also carried out the reaction employing benzylamine and similar results were obtained (entry 4).

In order to broaden the amine scope, the reaction was carried out with the secondary \(N\)-methylbenzylamine giving rise to the corresponding amides 8 and 9 with synthetically useful yields (entries 5 and 6). Finally, the reaction was performed with aliphatic propylamine, which yields amide 10 in moderate yield and excellent ee (entry 7). In addition, this method has been employed to obtain the biologically active amide 11 with 40% yield and 98% ee in a one pot two step synthesis starting from commercially available materials (entry 8).

To underscore the synthetic utility of this method, we performed the one pot synthesis of amide 6 on a large scale (entry 3) starting from 1.0 g (7.8 mmol) of the \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated ester 1 and we obtained 1.8 g (75% yield) of amide 6 with 92% ee.

Finally, we employed different Grignard reagents including the less reactive \(\text{MeMgBr}\) (Fig. 2). The reaction using \(n\)-HexMgBr and benzylamine furnished the amide 12 with good yield (63%) and excellent ee. In addition, the Me group is introduced employing the conditions of Loh et al.\(^{24}\) followed by the addition of aniline, which afforded the amide 13 in moderate yield (47%) but excellent enantioselectivity.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a highly enantioselective one pot procedure for the synthesis of \(\beta\)-substituted amides starting from the corresponding \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters. This new methodology is based on the previously developed copper-catalyzed asymmetric CA of Grignard reagents to \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters and the subsequent direct formation of the corresponding amides by quenching the enolate with an amine without the need of extra reagents. This methodology is compatible with a range of \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters, Grignard reagents and amines, including primary and secondary amines bearing alkyl or aryl substituents. Importantly, this one pot two-step process occurs without racemisation. Furthermore, the robustness of the process is demonstrated by performing the reaction on a 1.0 g scale. Further synthetic applications are in progress.

Experimental

General methods

Chromatography: Merck silica gel type 9385 230–400 mesh, TLC: Merck silica gel 60, 0.25 mm. Components were visualized by UV and cerium/molybdenum staining. Mass spectra were recorded on a LTQ Orbitrap XL (ESI+). \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR were recorded on a Varian AMX400 (400 and 100 MHz, respectively) or a Varian Mercury Plus (200 and 50 MHz, respectively) using CDCl\(_3\) as a solvent. Chemical shift values are reported in ppm with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CHCl\(_3\): \(\delta\) 7.26 for \(^1\)H, \(\delta\) 77.16 for \(^{13}\)C). Optical rotations were measured using a Schmidt-Haensch polarimeter (Polartronic MH8) with a 10 cm cell (c given in g per 100 mL). Enantio-meric excesses were determined by HPLC analysis using a Shimadzu LC-10AVP HPLC equipped with a Shimadzu SPD-M10AVP diode array detector or by capillary GC analysis (Chiralpak ADH 30 m × 2.0 mm × 0.25 μm) using a flame ionization detector. All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using flame-dried glassware and using standard Schlenk techniques. Dichloromethane and MTBE were dried using the solvent purification system SPS 800 from MBraun. Substrates 2 and 3 were synthesised according to literature procedures.\(^{20,21}\) Methyl trans-cinnamate (4), copper salts (Cu and copper(II) bromide dimethylsulfide complex (CuBr)), propylamine and \(N\)-benzylmethylamine, all Grignard reagents (MeMgBr (3.0 M in Et\(_2\)O), EtMgBr (3.0 M in Et\(_2\)O), \(n\)-HexMgBr (2.0 M in Et\(_2\)O)), ligands L2 and L3 were purchased from Aldrich. The benzylamine was purchased from Acros and aniline from Merck; all amines were distilled before use.

General procedure for the amide synthesis

In a Schlenk tube equipped with a septum and a stirring bar, L2 (6.7 mg, 10.5 μmol) and CuBr·SM\(_2\) (1.85 mg, 9.0 μmol) were dissolved in MTBE (1.0 mL) and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen at r.t. for 20 min. The mixture was then cooled to \(-75 \degree\)C and the Grignard reagent (0.45 mmol in solution) was added. After stirring for 5 min, a solution of the substrate (0.3 mmol) in MTBE (0.20 mL) was added dropwise over 1 h. After stirring at \(-75 \degree\)C for two additional hours, the corresponding amine (0.9 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight while being slowly warmed to r.t. Subsequently a saturated aqueous solution of NH\(_4\)Cl (2 mL) was added. After extraction with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 × 10 mL) the combined organic phases were dried over MgSO\(_4\) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc as an eluent) to yield the pure product.\(^{25}\)

(R)-3-Ethyl-N-phenylhexanamide (4). Amide 4 was obtained from (E)-methyl 2-hexenoate (64 mg, 0.5 mmol) following the general procedure, after purification by column...
chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9:1), as a pale yellow oil (65%, 71 mg) with 92% ee. $\delta^{13}C = +47$ (c 1.0 in CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) $\delta$ 7.52 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.08 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.26 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.00–1.82 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz) $\delta$ 171.3 (C=O), 138.0 (C), 128.9 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 119.8 (CH), 42.5 (CH$_2$), 36.6 (CH), 35.6 (CH$_2$), 26.2 (CH$_3$), 19.8 (CH$_3$), 14.4 (CH$_3$); HRMS (APCI$^+$, m/z): calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{22}$NO [M + H$^+$]: 220.1696, found: 220.1696; enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis, Chiralcel OD-H column, n-heptane–i-ProH 90:10, 40 °C, 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, 212 nm, retention times (min) t$_1$: 25.12 (minor) and t$_2$: 28.53 (major).

(R)-3-Ethyl-N-(phenyl-5-phenylpentanoate (3). Amide 5 was obtained starting from (E)-methyl 5-phenyl-2-pentenoate (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) following the general procedure, after purification by column chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9:1), as a pale yellow oil (54%, 67 mg) with 93% ee (2:3 mixture of conformers A and B). $\delta^{13}C = +39$ (c 1.0 in CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta$ 7.45–7.20 (m, 4H), 7.16 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, NCH$_2$Ph, conformer A), 4.54 (s, NCH$_2$Ph, conformer B) 2.94 (s, NCH$_3$, conformer A), 2.34–2.25 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.87 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz) $\delta$ 171.1 (C=O), 142.5 (C), 138.0 (C), 128.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH$_2$), 128.2 (CH$_3$), 122.4 (CH), 120.0 (CH), 42.3 (CH$_2$), 36.6 (CH), 35.2 (CH$_2$), 33.0 (CH$_3$), 26.4 (CH$_2$), 10.7 (CH$_3$); HRMS (APCI$^+$, m/z): calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{26}$NO [M + H$^+$]: 281.1853; enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis, Chiralcel OD-H column, n-heptane–i-ProH 90:10, 40 °C, 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, 213 nm, retention times (min) t$_1$: 12.18 (minor) and t$_2$: 14.10 (major).

(R)-N-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-ethylhexanamide (6). Amide 6 was obtained starting from (E)-methyl 2-hexenoate (37 mg, 0.3 mmol) following the general procedure, after purification by column chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9:1), as a white solid (77%, 69 mg) with 93% ee. $\delta^{13}C = +9$ (c 1.0 in CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) $\delta$ 7.42 (s, 4H), 7.12 (s, NH), 2.25 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.99–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.12 (m, 6H), 0.94–0.80 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz) $\delta$ 171.4 (C=O), 136.9 (C), 132.1 (CH), 121.6 (CH), 118.7 (CBr), 42.8 (CH$_2$), 36.8 (CH), 35.9 (CH$_2$), 26.5 (CH$_2$), 20.0 (CH$_3$), 14.5 (CH$_3$), 11.0 (CH$_3$); HRMS (APCI$^+$, m/z): calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{26}$BrNO [M + H$^+$]: 298.0801, found: 298.0803; enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis, Chiralcel OJ-H column, n-heptane–i-ProH 90:10, 40 °C, 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, 249 nm, retention times (min) t$_1$: 47.7 (major) and t$_2$: 49.6 (minor).

(R)-N-Benzyl-3-ethyl-5-phenylpentanamide (7). Amide 7 was obtained starting from (E)-methyl 5-phenyl-2-pentenoate (58 mg, 0.3 mmol) following the general procedure, after purification by column chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9:1), as a pale yellow oil (64%, 42 mg) with 87% ee. $\delta^{13}C = +8$ (c 1.0 in CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta$ 7.24 (m, 10H), 5.67 (br s, NH), 4.46 (dd, $J = 12.3$ Hz, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (td, $J = 13.8$, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (td, $J = 13.4$, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (dd, $J = 14.3$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (dd, $J = 14.3$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (sept, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.69–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.33 (m, 2H), 0.91 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz) $\delta$ 172.3 (C=O), 142.6 (C), 138.4 (C), 128.7 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 43.6 (CH$_2$N), 41.3 (CH$_2$), 36.5 (CH), 35.2 (CH$_2$), 33.0 (CH$_3$), 26.1 (CH$_2$), 10.7 (CH$_3$); HRMS (APCI$^+$, m/z): calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{26}$NO [M + H$^+$]: 296.09, found: 296.08; enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis, Chiralcel OD-H column, n-heptane–i-ProH 90:10, 40 °C, 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, 212 nm, retention times (min) t$_1$: 24.97 (minor) and t$_2$: 26.45 (major).

(R)-3-Ethyl-N-propylhexanamide (10). Amide 10 was obtained starting from (E)-methyl 2-hexenoate (38 mg, 0.3 mmol) following the general procedure, after purification by column chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9:1), as a pale yellow oil (52%, 25 mg) with 96% ee. $\delta^{13}C = +3$ (c 1.0 in CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta$ 5.49 (s, 1H), 3.22 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz,
amino (3.0 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight while being slowly warmed to r.t. Subsequently a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl (2 mL) was added. After extraction with DCM (3 × 10 mL) the combined organic phases were dried and concentrated to an oil which was purified using column chromatography on silica (pentane–EtOAc; 9/1), yielding the pure product as a pale yellow oil (47%, 29.2 mg) with 95% ee. [α]D²⁰ = +1 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.51 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.44–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.19–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.13 (m, 4H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) δ 171.0 (C=O), 137.9 (C), 128.9 (CH₂), 121.4 (CH), 119.8 (CH), 45.6 (CH₂), 39.1 (CH₃), 30.6 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₂), 19.6 (CH₃), 14.2 (CH₃); HRMS (APCI⁺, m/z): calculated for C₁₁H₂₃NO [M + H⁺]: 206.1539, found: 206.1540; enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis, Chiralcel OD-H column, n-heptane–i-ProH 95:5, 40 °C, 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, retention times (min) t₁: 35.38 (major) and t₂: 43.70 (minor).
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Notes and references


23 The analysis of the crude mixture by $^1$H NMR shows that the corresponding β-disubstituted-ester is still present (~50%), indicating that the second step to obtain the amide does not go to full conversion. Increasing the temperature or prolonging the reaction time did not improve the results.


25 The absolute stereochemistry is assumed to be the same as for the β-substituted esters, see ref. 4 and 5.