Growth-Factor-Driven Rescue to Receptor Tyrosine Kinase (RTK) Inhibitors through Akt and Erk Phosphorylation in Pediatric Low Grade Astrocytoma and Ependymoma
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Abstract

Up to now, several clinical studies have been started investigating the relevance of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitors upon progression free survival in various pediatric brain tumors. However, single targeted kinase inhibition failed, possibly due to tumor resistance mechanisms. The present study will extend our previous observations that vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR)-2, platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) β, Src, the epidermal growth factor receptor (ErbB) family, and hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR/cMet) are potentially drugable targets in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma with investigations concerning growth-factor-driven rescue. This was investigated in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines treated with receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitors e.g. sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib. Flow cytometry analyses showed high percentage of cells expressing VEGFR-1, fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR)-1, ErbB1/EGFR, HGFR and recepteur d’origine nantais (RON) (respectively 52-77%, 34-51%, 63-90%, 83-98%, 65-95%). Their respective inhibitors induced decrease of cell viability, measured with WST-1 cell viability assays. At least this was partially due to increased apoptotic levels measured by Annexin V/Propidium Iodide apoptosis assays. EGF, HGF and FGF, which are normally expressed in brain (tumor) tissue, showed to be effective rescue inducing growth factors resulting in increased cell survival especially during treatment with dasatinib (complete rescue) or sorafenib (partial rescue). Growth-factor-driven rescue was less prominent when canertinib or crizotinib were used. Rescue was underscored by significantly activating downstream Akt and/or Erk phosphorylation and increased tumor cell migration. Combination treatment showed to be able to overcome the growth-factor-driven rescue. In conclusion, our study highlights the extensive importance of environmentally present growth factors in developing tumor escape towards RTK inhibitors.
in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma. It is of great interest to anticipate upon these results for the design of new therapeutic trials with RTK inhibitors in these pediatric brain tumors.

Introduction

Low grade astrocytomas (WHO grade I-II) are the most frequent brain tumors in children. Ependymoma (WHO grade II-III) accounts for 6–12% of all pediatric brain tumors and is the fourth most common brain tumor in children, after low grade astrocytoma, medulloblastoma (WHO grade IV) and high grade astrocytoma (WHO grade III-IV).[1] Although the 5-year survival of patients with pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO grade I) is around 90%, morbidity can be serious mainly because of the tumor localization and the change of surgical morbidity.[2,3] Moreover, despite developments in neurosurgery, chemotherapy and radiation, the 5-year survival of pediatric ependymoma is approximately 57%.[4] Therefore, a search for new targeted therapies has started. With kinome profiling we previously identified vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2), platelet derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFRβ), Src, the epidermal growth factor receptor family (ErbB1-4), and hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR/cMet) as potentially drugable targets in these pediatric brain tumors.[5] Potential interesting inhibitors for these targets are sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib respectively (overview in Fig. 1). Today, still very limited data is published about the clinical use of inhibitors targeting these receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) in pediatric brain tumors, and even less is known in low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma.

Up to now, mainly pediatric high grade astrocytomas and just a few ependymomas were included in phase I studies analyzing erlotinib, an ErbB1/EGFR TK inhibitor as a single agent and in combination with chemotherapy or radiation.[6–8] Erlotinib was well tolerated in children, as were other ErbB family inhibitors including gefitinib and lapatinib.[6–10] The only published phase II study showed unfortunately no increase in progression free survival or overall survival with gefitinib and radiation in malignant pediatric brain tumors.[10] Currently, erlotinib is under investigation in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma in phase I and II trials respectively. As the ErbB TK family comprises four members, canertinib, a new pan-ErbB TK inhibitor showing anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects on tumor cells,[11] could be more interesting. Canertinib has not been investigated in pre- or clinical pediatric brain tumor studies. Sorafenib has been described in clinical trials, yet only restricted to adult brain tumors. Limited activity was reported of sorafenib in recurrent glioblastoma and in the first-line therapy for glioblastoma.[12–14] Recently, sorafenib, crizotinib and dasatinib have been introduced in pediatric brain tumors.[15,16] Overall, the preliminary results of single receptor tyrosine kinase targeted tumor therapies are disappointing.

Although in chronic myeloid leukemia, single kinase targeted therapy, for oncogenic activated BCR/Abl has proven very successful,[17] more recent trials in other malignancies failed to show prolonged responses. It is thought that tumor progression is the net result of signaling through various protein kinase mediated networks driving tumor cell proliferation and survival. The signaling networks can be reflected by oncogenic mutations, silence tumor suppressor mutations, epigenetic changes and stromal interaction and crosstalk, such as in angiogenesis. Important tumor related growth factors which are normally expressed in the developing brain binding RTKs include VEGF, EGF, HGF, FGF and PDGF. Altogether these changes result in specific signaling profiles which promote tumor cell growth (Fig. 1).
In various tumor types, it has been shown that single targeted kinase inhibition failed due to tumor escape mechanisms through alternate routes of kinase pathway activation.[18] For example, RTK upregulation has been observed following targeted inhibition of selective kinases. This kinome reprogramming circumvents inhibition of proto-oncogenic kinases as for instance described for oncogenic RAS.[19] Furthermore, inhibition of specific RTKs could trigger the tumor to upregulate RTK ligands.[20,21] An increase in RTK ligands through autocrine tumor-cell production, paracrine contribution from tumor stroma or systemic production, could eventually contribute to tumor resistance to the RTK inhibitor with a similar signaling output as shown recently by Wilson et al in oncogenic mutated cell lines.[22] The moderate preliminary results of single RTK inhibitors in pediatric brain tumors could possibly be due to resistance mechanisms. The present study will extend our previous observations with investigations concerning growth-factor-driven rescue in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma treated with RTK inhibitors e.g. sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib.

Materials and Methods

Cell cultures

Three pediatric low grade astrocytoma cell lines (WHO grade I: Res-186, grade II: Res-259 and UW-467) and one ependymoma cell line (Res-196) were evaluated. These cell lines were kindly given by Dr. Michael S. Bobola (Seattle Children’s Hospital Research Institute, USA).[23] All cell lines were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 5% fetal calf serum (FCS). Cell cultures contained 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 ul/ml streptomycin (PAA Laboratories, Les Mureaux, France).
Flow cytometry analyses

Cells were blocked by PBS 1% BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin, Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), and stained with anti-VEGFR-1 (Sigma Aldrich), anti-VEGFR-2-PE (Sigma Aldrich), anti-VEGFR-3-APC (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), anti-EGFR (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-ErbB2-PE (R&D Systems), anti-ErbB3-APC (R&D Systems), anti-ErbB4 (R&D Systems), anti-HGFR/cMet-FITC (R&D Systems), anti-RON-APC (R&D Systems), anti-FGFR-1 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-FGFR-2 (R&D Systems), anti-PDGFR α-biotin (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), anti-PDGFRβ-PE (BD Biosciences). Primary FGFR-2, ErbB4 antibodies were visualized using a Rabbit anti-Mouse PE-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako Cytomation, Glostrup, Denmark), and FGFR-1 with a FITC-conjugated Swine anti-Rabbit secondary antibody (Dako Cytomation). Primary EGFR was visualized using Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-Rat secondary antibody (Cell Signaling) and PDGFRα antibody was visualized using streptavidin FITC (BD Biosciences). IgG-FITC/PE/APC and secondary antibodies were used as negative (isotype) controls. Expression was analyzed using LSRII (BD FACS DIVA software, BD Biosciences). The data was eventually developed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). Expression levels above 5% were considered as actual membrane protein expression, reaching above isotype controls.

Human growth factor antibody array

Human growth factor antibody array membranes (Abcam) were used to measure growth factor protein profile of the used low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines following manufacturer’s protocol. Each protein specific antibody is spotted in duplicate on a nitrocellulose membrane. Equal amounts of proteins were applied to the array. Levels of proteins were assessed using a horseradish peroxidase (HRP-)conjugated Streptavidin followed by chemiluminescence detection. Signal intensities were analyzed using ScanAlyze array software (Eisen Lab Software). Normalized signal intensity was calculated with the formula: X(y) x P1/P(y).

\[ P_1 = \text{mean signal density—background of positive control spots on culture medium array used as reference array}, \]
\[ P(y) = \text{mean signal density—background of positive control spots on array of specific cell line}, \]
\[ X(y) = \text{mean signal density—background for spot } X \text{ (protein of interest) on array for sample } y \text{ (specific cell line)}. \]

Cell viability assays

Crystal violet staining was used to analyze the effect of growth factors on the different pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cells. Furthermore, WST-1 colorimetric viability assays were performed for studying effects of RTK inhibitors and growth factors on tumor cell viability following the protocol described by the manufacturer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Cells were seeded in 96-wells plates at a density of 15 x 10⁴ per well in medium (1% FCS). After cell adhesion, growth factors including VEGF-A, EGF human, HGF human, FGF basic, PDGF-AB (all 100 ng/ml, Life Technologies)[22,24] were added. To determine single effects of these growth factors on tumor cell count after 48h, cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde. After 20 minutes, cells were washed followed by incubation with 0.04% crystal violet in 4% ethanol for 30 minutes. Then again cell washing, air dried and incubated with 1% SDS solution on a shaker for 1h. Optical density was measured at 595 nm in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and tumor cell count was calculated using an internal calibrator.

During WST cell viability assays, tumor cells were treated after adhesion with sorafenib (0–8 uM), dasatinib (0–1000 nM), canertinib (0–10 uM) or crizotinib (0–12 uM) for 48h, in which the doses were based on literature.[5,25–30] In the combination treatments, the second inhibitor was added at the following concentrations: sorafenib 2 uM, canertinib 4 uM or crizotinib 3
uM. Sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib (LC laboratories, Woburn MA, USA) were dissolved in sterile dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -20°C. Similar growth factors as described previously were added 1h after incubation by the inhibitor. After addition of the WST-1 cell survival reagent the absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories). In every assay, per growth factor or inhibitor, each concentration contains equal concentrations of DMSO. For each concentration 6 replicates were included. Similar conditions were maintained for different combination treatments. Growth-factor-driven rescue during RTK inhibition was calculated with the formula: \((\text{A} = \text{LC50 of cells treated with inhibitor plus growth factor}) - (B = \text{LC50 of the inhibitor-treated cells only})) / B \times 100\%\) if \((\text{A} - \text{standard deviation (SD)}) - (B + \text{SD}) > 0\), otherwise it was defined as ‘no rescue’. If addition of the growth factor did affect the LC50 during RTK inhibition, this was marked as ‘partial rescue’. Complete rescue was noted as A > 10x B.[22]

**Apoptosis assays**

For detection of (early) apoptotic and necrotic cells, Annexin V-FITC and Propidium Iodide (PI) were used. Cells were seeded in 6-wells plates at a density of 4.5 x 10^5 per well in medium (1% FCS). After adhesion, sorafenib (0–8 uM), dasatinib (0–800 nM), canertinib (0–10 uM), or crizotinib (0–12 uM, LC laboratories) were added. In the combination treatments, the second inhibitor was added at the following concentrations: sorafenib 2 uM, canertinib 4 uM or crizotinib 3 uM. 1h after incubation of the inhibitor(s) growth factors including VEGF-A, EGF, HGF, FGF or PDGF (100 ng/ml, Life Technologies) were added. After 48h, cells were harvested and stained with Annexin V-FITC and PI according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative analysis was conducted by flow cytometry. The data was eventually developed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc).

**Cell migration assays**

Cell migration was analyzed using a scratch test. Cells were seeded in 6-wells plates at a density of 4.5 x 10^5 per well in medium (1% FCS). Following adhesion, medium was removed and a horizontal ‘scratch’ was made in the cell monolayer using a 200-ul pipette tip. Then again medium (1% FCS) was added. Cells were incubated with sorafenib (0–1 uM) ± canertinib (4 uM), canertinib (0–2 uM) ± crizotinib (3 uM) or ± sorafenib (2 uM, LC laboratories). After 1h, growth factors including EGF, HGF or FGF (100 ng/ml, Life Technologies) were added. Cell migration into the scratched area was observed and imaged after 24h and 48h. Medium was refreshed directly before imaging at the later time point.

**Western blot analyses**

1 x 10^6 cells were seeded in T25 flasks in 5 ml DMEM-F12 containing 1% FCS to which after adhesion sorafenib 8 uM, dasatinib 1 uM, canertinib 8 uM or crizotinib 9 uM (LC laboratories) was added. In the combination treatments, the second inhibitor was added at a lower concentration: sorafenib 2 uM, canertinib 4 uM or crizotinib 3 uM. After 1h, growth factors including EGF, HGF, FGF or PDGF (all 100 ng/ml, Life Technologies) were added for another 1h. Cells were lysed in laemmli sample buffer (Bio–Rad Laboratories). Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate—polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and transported to nitrocellulose membranes. First, the membranes were incubated overnight with monoclonal primary antibodies for phospho-Erk (pErk) and total Erk (tErk), pAkt and tAkt, actin (Cell Signaling), followed by 1 hour incubation with HRP conjugated secondary antibodies (Dako Cytomation). Protein bands were visualized by chemiluminescence, on an x-ray film. β-actin was used as loading control. Normalized protein expression was calculated by dividing the
signal intensity of the target protein by signal intensity of β-actin per sample using Image Studio Lite 4.0 software. Data was presented as normalized protein expression based on three independent experiments.

Statistical analyses

Statistical comparisons within similar experiments were made with the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The Spearman correlation test was used to compute correlations between different techniques. For all statistical analyses, a two-tailed p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

EGF, HGF and FGF driven rescue to various RTK inhibitors

Previously, with kinome profiling we showed PDGFRβ, Src, ErbB family members, and HGFR are highly activated in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma and were found to be potentially druggable targets in vitro.[5] However, more recent clinical trials analyzing single targeted therapy in pediatric brain tumors show disappointing results, possibly due to tumor escape mechanisms when specific targets are inhibited. We started with flow cytometry analyses of RTK expression. Pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines showed high percentages of cells expressing VEGFR-1, FGFR-1, EGFR, HGFR and RON (respectively 52–77%, 34–51%, 63–90%, 83–98%, 65–95%, compared to isotype controls, Fig. 2A). Their inhibitors (sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib respectively) showed decreased tumor cell viability (Fig. 2B), mainly a result of tumor cell apoptosis (data not shown). As expected canertinib and crizotinib induced lower phosphorylation levels of phosphorylated EGFR and HGFR respectively by western blotting (data not shown).

The growth factors, VEGF, FGF, PDGF, EGF and HGF related to the abovementioned RTKs are normally expressed during brain development. Moreover high expression levels of these growth factors are previously found in brain tumor bulk. It is important to take into account that these results of crude tissue might have no relation with availability on cellular level where mechanisms of bound and soluble growth factors play an essential role related to specific stromal crosstalk. We assessed growth factor production by our cultured tumor cells themselves and showed in Fig. 3A that the overall release by tumor cells was low except for FGF. Therefore, we decided to continue these experiments by using consequent identical levels of growth factors as has been published previously.[24] Addition of different growth factors to the cell cultures without inhibitor showed that EGF, HGF and FGF increased cell numbers up to 2.5 times in Res-259 cells (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, inhibition by sorafenib, canertinib and crizotinib could be rescued partially, and even completely in dasatinib treated tumor cells (Fig. 3C and D). Especially EGF, HGF and FGF showed a strong rescue potential. Expression levels of the RTKs were not correlated with growth-factor-driven rescue in terms of increase in LC50.

Growth factors overcome RTK-inhibited-phosphorylation of downstream targets

We continued by assessing growth-factor-driven rescue on RTK-inhibited-phosphorylation of downstream targets to validate the previous rescue results on cell viability level. Analyses of the PI3K/Akt and MAPK/Erk downstream pathways, as the most commonly used survival signaling pathways by RTKs (Fig. 1), indeed underscored EGF- and HGF-driven rescue during sorafenib treatment which resulted in phosphorylation of Akt and Erk in all cell lines (UW-467 and Res-196 are shown as examples in Fig. 4A). Furthermore, complete rescue of EGF and
Fig 2. RTK expression and inhibition. A, Heat map showing percentages of cells expressing numerous growth factor receptors above control levels using flow cytometry analyses in pediatric low grade astrocytoma (Res-186, Res-259, UW-467) and ependymoma (Res-196) cell lines. B, Cell viability assays demonstrating the mean effect ± SD of sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib and crizotinib on pediatric low grade astrocytoma (Res-186, Res-259, UW-467) and ependymoma (Res-196) cell lines after 48h.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122555.g002
A. Human growth factor antibody array showing overall low growth factor release by pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines (mean ± SD), except for FGF. B. Cell counting assays showing mean effect (± SD) of growth factors on the different tumor cell lines in the absence of inhibitors (*p < 0.05). C. Heat map showing the effect of growth factors on tumor cells treated with different TKIs. Growth-factor-driven rescue during RTK inhibition was calculated with the formula: \((A = LC50 \text{ of cells treated with inhibitor plus growth factor}) - (B = LC50 \text{ of the inhibitor-treated cells only})) / B \times 100\% \text{ if } (A - SD) - (B + SD) > 0, \text{ otherwise it was defined as 'no rescue' (purple squares). If addition of the growth factor}

Fig 3. Growth-factor-driven rescue to RTK inhibitors. A. Human growth factor antibody array showing overall low growth factor release by pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines (mean ± SD), except for FGF. B. Cell counting assays showing mean effect (± SD) of growth factors on the different tumor cell lines in the absence of inhibitors (*p < 0.05). C. Heat map showing the effect of growth factors on tumor cells treated with different TKIs. Growth-factor-driven rescue during RTK inhibition was calculated with the formula: \((A = LC50 \text{ of cells treated with inhibitor plus growth factor}) - (B = LC50 \text{ of the inhibitor-treated cells only})) / B \times 100\% \text{ if } (A - SD) - (B + SD) > 0, \text{ otherwise it was defined as 'no rescue' (purple squares). If addition of the growth factor}
HGF during dasatinib treatment resulted in significant Akt and Erk phosphorylation in Res-186 and Res-259 cells (Fig. 4B, UW-467 not shown). HGF-driven rescue, positively effecting cell viability during canertinib, was also confirmed with overcoming the RTK-inhibited-phosphorylation of Akt (Fig. 4C). As internal negative control no significant Akt or Erk did affect the LC50 during RTK inhibition, this was marked as ‘partial rescue’ (yellow/orange squares). Complete rescue was noted as A > 10x B (red squares). As an internal negative control no growth-factor-driven rescue was found of the particular RTK ligand of which the receptor is inhibited. D, Cell viability assays demonstrating various effects of growth factors on TKI-treated cells (mean ± SD), with a corresponding colored bar indicating the level of growth-factor-driven rescue.

**Fig 4.** Growth factors overcome RTK-inhibited-phosphorylation of downstream targets. Examples of immunoblots showing effects of growth factors (100 ng/ml) on Akt and Erk phosphorylation (p) after sorafenib (A), dasatinib (B), canertinib (C), crizotinib (D) in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cells (2h). Controls represent the specific cell lines treated with DMSO. Growth-factor-driven rescue on cell viability as given in Fig. 3C and D is indicated underneath the blots: purple squares, no rescue; yellow/orange squares, partial rescue; red squares, complete rescue. Protein expression was normalized to β-actin as loading control and derived from three independent experiments of which the mean (± SEM) was calculated. EGF and HGF-driven rescue resulted in significantly higher protein expression of Akt (p = 0.028) and Erk (p = 0.043) during sorafenib in both UW-467 and Res-196 cells and during dasatinib in the low grade astrocytoma cell lines (p = 0.028 and p = 0.043). Significantly higher Akt phosphorylation was found after HGF addition during canertinib in Res-186, Res-259 and Res-196 cells (p = 0.043) of which Res-186 is shown as an example. *Discrepancies between growth-factor-driven rescue on cell viability level and downstream phosphorylation status.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122555.g004
phosphorylation was found with FGF or PDGF during sorafenib, EGF during canertinib, and HGF during crizotinib treatment (Fig. 4A, C and D).

**Discrepancies between growth-factor-driven rescue on cell viability level and downstream phosphorylation status**

Notably, is the apparent discrepancy of HGF-driven phosphorylation by Akt and Erk (Fig. 5) without increased cell viability during canertinib in Res-259 cells (Fig. 3C). There was HGF-driven rescue observed on cell viability level however at a lower dose than the LC50 and therefore not found in the growth-factor-driven rescue heatmap of Fig. 3C. Also, in dasatinib treated Res-186 cells, HGF was unable to rescue cells at cell viability level despite the expression of the RTK and observed phosphorylation of Akt and Erk. This could possibly be explained by the various downstream targets of dasatinib with the consequence that activation of Akt and Erk is insufficient for cell viability rescue. Vice versa, FGF-driven rescue was not confirmed with Akt or Erk phosphorylation possibly due to involvement of other downstream pathways (Fig. 1), that were not evaluated in this study.

**Combined RTK treatment can overcome growth-factor-driven rescue**

Interestingly, EGF-driven rescue during sorafenib and HGF- and FGF-driven rescue during canertinib could be overcome by combining specific TK inhibitors. As expected, combined RTK treatment in absence of added growth factors resulted in less viable tumor cells than in presence of added growth factors (data not shown). By addition of crizotinib to canertinib treatment, HGF-driven rescue could be overcome and tumor cell survival was disrupted. Moreover, significantly less phosphorylation of Akt (p = 0.028) and Erk (p = 0.046) was observed after combination treatment in Res-196 and Res-259 cells (Fig. 5). Furthermore decrease of apoptotic cells after HGF addition to canertinib 2 uM in Res-259 cells and after EGF addition to sorafenib 1 uM in Res-196 cells, could also be exceeded by crizotinib or canertinib respectively (61.5% and 36.9% more apoptotic tumor cells). In turn, sorafenib can overcome FGF-driven rescue during canertinib treatment (Fig. 5). Actually as rescue by different growth factors was found during sorafenib, including both EGF and HGF, more than one RTK inhibitor would have to be added. Not only on cell survival but also on cell migration EGF-, HGF- and FGF-driven rescue was found. In Fig. 6 the results of canertinib combined with HGF and crizotinib are shown.

In addition, to understand more about this shown growth factor rescue we analyzed growth factor production differences in the absence or presence of sorafenib, canertinib or crizotinib and can conclude that the growth factor production of cultured tumor cells does not differ upon the addition of one of these mentioned RTK inhibitors (S1 Fig.). Moreover, we investigated the expression of the previously described RTKs in the tumor cells treated with or without sorafenib, canertinib or crizotinib and demonstrated that no differences were observed in the percentages of cells expressing RTKs upon inhibitor addition compared to no inhibitor (Res-259 is shown as example in S2 Fig.).

**Discussion**

This study showed growth-factor-driven rescue to various RTK inhibitors in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cell lines. Previously, we described several potential interesting therapeutic targets upon kinome profiling in vitro e.g. VEGFR-2, PDGFRβ, Src, ErbB family members, and HGFR/cMet.[5] In the present study drugs such as sorafenib, dasatinib, canertinib or crizotinib respectively were used to inhibit these targets. In vitro cell viability decreased upon the single use of one of these inhibitors as expected. However, in normal developing brain and therefore, also in the brain tumor (microenvironment) several growth factors...
Fig 5. Combined RTK treatment can overcome growth-factor-driven rescue. Cell viability assays demonstrating additional effects of combination treatment in pediatric low grade astrocytoma (Res-259) and ependymoma (Res-196) compared with single treatment (mean ± SD, 48h). Immunoblots showing effect of single RTK inhibition (8 uM), the rescue effect of growth factors (100 ng/ml) and the ability of the second inhibitor (canertinib 4 uM, crizotinib 3 uM, sorafenib 2 uM) to overcome this growth-factor-driven rescue on Akt and Erk phosphorylation (p) in pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cells (2h). Protein expression was normalized to β-actin as loading control and derived from three independent experiments of which the mean (±SEM) was calculated. The ability of crizotinib to overcome HGF-driven rescue during canertinib treatment reached statistical significance on Akt and Erk phosphorylation (p = 0.028 and p = 0.046 respectively).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122555.g005
including VEGF, EGF, HGF, FGF and PDGF, are commonly expressed.[31–38] Interestingly, the growth factor receptors are found often to be present on low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cells.

Therefore, the present study investigated the rescue of ligand exposure to these RTK inhibitors. EGF and HGF showed to be effective rescue inducing growth factors in these tumor escape mechanisms acting via their RTKs resulting in increased cell survival and downstream signaling. Interestingly, growth-factor-driven rescue measured during RTK inhibition, was even more pronounced than the results of growth factors on cell survival in the absence of an inhibitor. This phenomenon might be due to a stronger response in a severe stress situation compared to more optimal culture settings. In vitro no changes in growth factor release or RTK expression of the cultured tumor cells was found upon inhibitor addition. Combination treatment showed enhanced decrease in viable tumor cells although a growth factor was present. In ependymoma cells the combination of canertinib and crizotinib showed the best potential strategy, whereas in low grade astrocytoma cells the combination of both canertinib and crizotinib or canertinib and sorafenib demonstrated the best results. These findings highlight the potential growth-factor-induced crosstalk within RTKs that are co-expressed on these pediatric low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma cells.

This is the first study to describe a RTK profile in relation to ligand exposure in low grade astrocytoma. In pediatric ependymoma a positive correlation between higher co-expression of ErbB2 and ErbB4 and tumor proliferative activity has been described.[39] Furthermore, ligand-dependent activation of ErbB receptor-signaling in cultured ependymoma cells resulted in Akt phosphorylation and cellular proliferation that was significantly blocked in a dose-dependent manner using WAY-177820, an inhibitor of ErbB2 tyrosine kinase activity.[39] The present study showed especially EGFR expression compared with the other ErbB family members. Moreover, EGF was shown to be a potential ligand to overcome resistance during other RTK inhibitors in both low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma.

A limitation of the present study is that a certain amount of growth factor was used whereas the exact amount present for interference with RTKs on a cellular level, is still unknown. We are not aware of a study measuring growth factor’s exact availability on the cellular level and therefore we used a dose as used previously by others.[22,24] By doing so, we were able to
study the interference of environmental growth factors on tumor cell survival during RTK inhibition.

The rescue by growth factors is in line with previous reports in other tumor types. Particularly, HGF/Met-induced resistance to RTK inhibitors is observed in diverse tumor types, including adult glioblastoma.[40] Further, PDGF upregulation in reaction to VEGFR inhibition and increased FGF/FGFR expression during BRAF or EGFR inhibition may potentially contribute to tumor resistance.[41–44] Recently, tumor-cell-secreted VEGF has been demonstrated as one of the growth-factor-driven rescue mechanisms through activation of VEGFR-2 and the PI3K/Akt pathway.[45] As VEGFR-2 expression is in pediatric low grade astrocytoma mainly limited to endothelial cells,[46] we expect that VEGF will be able to enhance tumor growth only indirectly by inducing angiogenesis. This study underscores the previous result because the cell viability did not differ.

Until now, the first results of single RTK targeted therapy are disappointing in various tumor types due to tumor resistance mechanisms. For pediatric brain tumors, especially low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma only limited studies have been published.[16] ErbB TK inhibitors combined with radiotherapy failed to show increase in progression free survival or overall survival in malignant pediatric brain tumors.[10] Canertinib, inhibiting all four ErbB family members, has not yet been investigated in (pre)clinical pediatric brain tumor studies. Currently, sorafenib is analyzed in pediatric low grade astrocytoma. Although this could be interesting as alterations affecting the BRAF oncogene represent the main genetic defects in pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO grade I),[47,48] we showed growth-factor-driven rescue during sorafenib in pilocytic astrocytoma cells, suggesting less potential for single application of this RTK inhibitor. Crizotinib has been introduced recently in pediatric patients with refractory solid tumors, showing to be well tolerated.[15] A combination of crizotinib and dasatinib is still under investigation in a pediatric brain tumor clinical trial.

As EGFR and HGFR were highly activated in pediatric brain tumors[5] and subsequently high percentages of pediatric brain tumor cells expressed these RTKs, these findings could provide a rational explanation of the limited efficacy of single targeted treatment in clinical trials. Moreover the successful combination treatments to overcome these tumor escape mechanisms support the importance for multi targeted therapy in low grade astrocytoma and ependymoma. Therefore, it is also crucial to take into account the doses that can be achieved without causing high toxicity and the ability of the RTK inhibitors to cross the blood brain barrier, although the presence of an intact or leaky blood brain barrier in children with pediatric brain tumors is still under debate. Coadministration of elacridar could substantially increase dasatinib and crizotinib oral availability and delivery to the brain.[49,50] More interestingly is the finding that canertinib could also increase brain accumulation of another RTK inhibitor in vivo.[51] Our study highlights the extensive importance of environmentally present growth factors in developing escape mechanisms towards RTK inhibitors. It is of great importance to anticipate upon these results for the design of new therapeutic trials with RTK inhibitors in pediatric low grade astrocytomas and ependymomas.
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S1 Fig. Growth factor release in absence or presence of a RTK inhibitor. Human growth factor antibody arrays showing no differences in growth factor release by pediatric low grade astrocytoma (Res-259) and ependymoma cells (Res-196) in absence (DMSO) or presence of a RTK inhibitor (sorafenib, canertinib, crizotinib, LC50, 24h). Bars represent mean signal intensity minus background of the measured spot on the array (± SD).

(TIF)
S2 Fig. RTK expression in absence or presence of a RTK inhibitor. Scatter plots showing no differences in percentages of pediatric low grade astrocytoma cells (Res-259) expressing RTKs without (DMSO) or with inhibitor treatment (sorafenib, canertinib, crizotinib, LC50, 24h) using flow cytometry analyses.

(TIF)
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