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Abstract
Cancer remains one of the leading causes of death in the developed countries and cancer mortality is expected to rise globally. Despite encouraging developments regarding targeted drugs, the most prevalent cancer mortality remains metastatic disease. Therefore, drugs that target cancer progression, invasion and metastasis, are clearly needed. One of the most interesting targets in this setting is transforming growth factor β (TGF-β). TGF-β can promote tumor growth, invasion and metastasis. However, TGF-β also has a physiological, opposing role: maintaining tissue homeostasis and suppression of tumor progression. The window of effective TGF-β targeting is therefore evidently small, which poses a clear challenge in selecting patients at the right time. Despite this complexity, several TGF-β inhibitors are currently in clinical development, modulating TGF-β production, activation or signaling. Still, specificity and long term toxicity remain unclear, emphasizing the importance of careful monitoring of clinical trials. Development and application of these drugs in the clinic, requires adequate insight in, and evaluation methods for the role of TGF-β during tumor invasion and metastasis. In this review, presently available methods for clinical evaluation will be discussed, such as an ex vivo stimulation assay, TGF-β response signature and molecular imaging techniques. Future clinical trials incorporating the validation of these evaluation methods will show which method will be most predictive and suitable for clinical application.
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Introduction
Cancer remains one of the leading causes of death in the developed countries and cancer mortality is expected to rise globally (1). Unprecedented, cancer treatment is rapidly moving towards use of targeted drugs that specifically aim at disrupting essential processes in cancer cells. Despite these encouraging developments, the most prevalent cause of cancer mortality remains metastatic disease. Therefore, drugs that target cancer progression, invasion and metastasis, are clearly needed. One of the most interesting targets in this setting is the protein Transforming Growth Factor β (TGF-β). TGF-β signaling is involved in maintaining the balance of normal tissue homeostasis and suppression of tumor progression in healthy and premalignant tissue (2-4). In response to injury, TGF-β is released by blood platelets and various stromal components to prevent excessive cell proliferation and inflammation. In the surrounding environment of an oncogenic process, TGF-β prevents malignant progression (1-3). However, during malignant progression TGF-β also functions as a factor that tumor cells use to their own advantage, by promoting tumor growth, invasion and eventually metastatic disease (5). TGF-β is especially overexpressed in aggressive and invasive types of cancer and overexpression is associated with poor outcome in amongst others glioma, melanoma, breast, lung, colon and prostate cancer patients (6,7).

Its overexpression and tumor growth promoting functions make TGF-β a potential drug target for cancer treatment. However, its dual role is a major challenge in the successful clinical implementation of TGF-β targeted drugs. To overcome this problem, and to safely intervene with TGF-β in cancer treatment, more insight is needed in TGF-β dynamics during oncogenesis. Much research has focused on the role of changes in the TGF-β signaling pathway (Fig. 1), but mechanisms of TGF-β production and activation by tumor or stromal cells have been less well clarified (6-10). Understanding production and activation mechanisms next to cellular signaling pathways, is of key significance for defining potential TGF-β intervention strategies. Additionally, as with other targeted therapies it is well possible that only patients with specific tumor characteristics will benefit from TGF-β directed intervention.

Therefore, in this review we focus on the mechanisms of TGF-β production and activation and the currently known intervention options for modulating TGF-β activity. Furthermore, methods for patient selection and evaluation of intervention strategies, by means of TGF-β imaging and quantification methods will be addressed. Finally, future perspectives for the clinical implication of TGF-β targeted therapies will be discussed.
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Production of TGF-β

The TGF-β superfamily consists of TGF-β, bone morphogenetic proteins, anti-Mullerian hormone, activin, nodal and growth and differentiation factors. TGF-β plays a key role in maintaining homeostasis, inducing wound healing and controlling the immune system. Consequently, TGF-β and its receptors are expressed by almost all cell types (11). TGF-β is secreted into the extracellular matrix by amongst others, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, blood platelets, B-, T- and dendritic cells and macrophages (12). In a tumor, the main sources of TGF-β are the cancer cells and certain cells present in the tumor stroma, such as fibroblasts. Both by healthy tissue and in malignant tumors, TGF-β is secreted as a latent complex of high molecular weight (290 kDa) (4,13).

![Diagram of TGF-β signaling pathway](image_url)

**Fig. 1 TGF-β Signaling pathway**

Activated TGF-β dimers signal by bringing together two pairs of serine/threonine kinases receptors located on the cell membrane. Activated TGF-β binds to TGF-βRII on the cell surface. In turn TGF-βRII then activates TGF-βRI, forming a complex of TGF-β and two pairs of TGF-β Receptor I and II. SMAD proteins play an important role in the TGF-β signaling pathway. SMAD proteins are situated in the cytoplasm and can be divided into three classes: receptor-regulated SMAD (SMAD 2/3), co-mediator SMAD (SMAD 4) and inhibitory SMAD (SMAD 7). SMAD 2/3 binds to the activated TGF-βRI. Subsequently, SMAD 4 will bind to SMAD 2/3 and this complex will shift to the nucleus. In the nucleus the complex will bind with additional DNA-binding cofactors and co-activators or –repressors, in order to activate or repress specific target genes.
Synthesis of the latent complex of TGF-β
In humans, three isoforms of TGF-β have been identified, namely TGF-β1, -β2 and -β3. These isoforms are encoded by the genes TGFB1, TGFB2 and TGFB3, which are located on chromosome 19, 1 and 14 respectively (14, 15). Transcription of TGF-β mRNA is induced by different stimuli from the microenvironment, such as hyperglycemia (via activating protein-1) or hypoxia (via hypoxia-inducible factor-1) (16,17). Translation of TGF-β mRNA in the nucleus results in a homodimeric protein complex consisting of two molecules of TGF-β and the latency associated protein (LAP) each (18). The following proteolytical processing of the complex, by means of the convertase family of endoproteases, results in a conformational change of TGF-β (19). This change is essential for subsequent activation steps. After processing, TGF-β remains non-covalently bound to LAP, forming the small latent complex. Still in the cytoplasm, the small latent complex then binds to latent TGF-β binding protein (LTBP) with a disulfide bond. This complex, consisting of TGF-β, LAP and LTBP, is called the large latent complex. Finally, the large latent complex is secreted into the extracellular environment (Fig. 2) (13, 20, 21).

LAP and LTBP in the large latent TGF-β complex
All elements of the latent form of TGF-β have their own function. LAP blocks the binding site for the TGF-β receptor II (TGF-βRII), thus maintaining the TGF-β complex in its latent form. LAP is also involved in the folding and synthesis of TGF-β. There are three LAP isoforms, LAP-1, LAP-2 and LAP-3 (19,22,23). LAP monomers form a dimer by joining another LAP molecule by intrachain disulfide bonds near the C-terminus. Near the N-terminus, LAP can bind to LTBP (21).

In fibroblasts and fibrosarcoma cells LTBP directs TGF-β to the extracellular matrix. Latent TGF-β present in the extracellular matrix serves as a reservoir of TGF-β that can be activated via proteolytic cleavage of LTBP (24). LTBP has four isoforms, LTBP-1, LTBP-2, LTBP-3 and LTBP-4 (25). For most cells in which the large latent complex is defined, TGF-β is found as a large latent complex containing the isoform LTBP-1 (21). Thus TGF-β is intracellularly processed before it is secreted as the large latent complex. This complex can consist of two or three elements, which all have their own function. After secretion, the large latent complex needs to be activated before it can bind to its receptors. Therefore overexpression of the latent form does not have an effect on its own, unless the release of the active form is increased (26).

Activation of the latent TGF-β complex
The effects of TGF-β are predominantly controlled by local activation of the latent TGF-β complex. TGF-β can be activated by denaturation, radiation or interaction with the extracellular matrix.
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Activation induces a conformational change of LAP, or a release of TGF-β from LAP via proteolysis, thus revealing the binding site for the TGF-βRII (27,28). In this section, we will focus on different activators of TGF-β.

Fig. 2 Production of latent TGF-β
TGF-β is intracellularly processed before it is secreted into the extracellular matrix as the large latent complex. The large latent complex consist of TGF-β itself, latency associated protein and latent TGF-β binding protein. After secretion, the large latent complex needs to be activated before it can bind to its receptors.
Activation by radiation

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced after ionizing radiation or metal-catalyzed ascorbate oxidation, can activate latent TGF-β (Fig. 3A). The hydroxyl radicals are thought to disable the counter acting LAP by scissions and side group modifications (29). In irradiated murine mammary glands, increased active TGF-β compared to non-irradiated mammary glands, was shown by means of immunofluorescent staining (30). In lung cancer, plasma TGF-β levels can predict the risk for pulmonary injury after radiotherapy (31-33). A study in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients (n=26), showed that plasma TGF-β levels above the normal range after radiotherapy predicted significantly for pulmonary injury in these patients (33). Another study amongst NSCLC patients (n=38), showed the feasibility of plasma TGF-β levels to select for patients who can be treated with higher doses of radiotherapy (31). Thus in lung cancer patients receiving radiotherapy, plasma TGF-β levels can serve as a predictive marker for pulmonary injury or tolerance for higher doses of radiotherapy, thereby allowing for individualization of treatment. Furthermore, TGF-β can also be activated by genotoxic stress and DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation or cytotoxic chemotherapy (34,35). TGF-β seems to play a role in regulating responses to genotoxic stress. Inhibition of TGF-β could in turn possibly sensitize tumors to DNA damage and promote cell death.

Activation by interaction with the extracellular matrix

Activation by integrins

Integrins are heterodimeric transmembrane glycoproteins that attach cells to extracellular matrix proteins of the basement membrane or to ligands on other cells. They are composed of α and β subunits. Four types of integrins are identified as activators of latent TGF-β: αvβ3, αvβ5, αvβ6 and αvβ8. These integrins all activate TGF-β1 and TGF-β3 by binding to the amino acid sequence arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) present in LAP (36). The integrins αvβ6 and αvβ8 fully activate TGF-β1 and TGF-β3 in vivo (37). Primarily for αvβ6, a clear role in cancer progression has been described. This integrin is located on the cell membrane of epithelial cells (36). It can bind to the RGD sequence in LAP, which induces a conformational change in LAP. This subsequently enables TGF-β to bind to its receptor. To create this conformational change, the cytoplasmic domain of this integrin is connected to the actin cytoskeleton, thereby pulling LAP to the cell membrane. Simultaneously, LTBP1 is still bound to the extracellular matrix, thereby pulling TGF-β in the opposite way. These opposing forces create a conformational change in LAP (Fig. 3B), thereby allowing TGF-β activation (38-40). In normal epithelial cells, the expression of αvβ6 is very low. Physiological upregulation of αvβ6 expression is seen during injury, which in turn activates TGF-β. Activation of TGF-β via αvβ6 is mediated
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Fig. 3 Activation of latent TGF-β
A) TGF-β can be activated by reactive oxygen species, which is produced after ionizing radiation or metal-catalyzed ascorbate oxidation. B) Integrins are glycoproteins that attach cells to extracellular matrix proteins of the basement membrane or to ligands on other cells. Integrins can also bind to LAP, thereby enabling binding of TGF-β to its receptor on the cell membrane. C) Proteases can also activate TGF-β via proteolytical cleavage, which releases TGF-β from its latent complex.
by lysophosphatidic acid (41). In αvβ6 negative mice, bleomycin treatment induced pulmonary inflammation, but protected the mice from fibrosis due to lack of TGF-β activation (21,42). Thus, blocking αvβ6 could possibly prevent TGF-β mediated fibrosis. Blocking of αvβ6 in mice indeed caused inhibition of fibrosis after radiation or bleomycin treatment, without initiating inflammation (43,44).

Multiple cancer types (including breast, lung, colorectal, cervical and skin carcinomas) show increased αvβ6 expression (45,46). Blockade of αvβ6 did not affect tumor cell proliferation in vitro, but did inhibit tumor growth in vivo (45). This suggests a role for the microenvironment in this response, which should be further investigated. Since αvβ6 is hardly expressed on normal epithelial cells (47), it has been suggested that this upregulation might be a good marker for TGF-β activation in carcinomas, but so far evidence to support this is lacking.

**Activation by proteases**

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are zinc-based proteolytic proteases. MMP 2 and 9 are involved in extracellular matrix degradation and can both activate latent TGF-β (Fig. 3C) (13). MMPs can cleave LTBP at a hinge region from the extracellular matrix, thereby releasing the large latent complex. This large latent complex can be directly activated by MMPs, through proteolytical cleavage of LAP (24, 48).

In mammary carcinoma cells and human melanoma cells, the hyaluronan receptor CD44 localizes proteolytically active MMP9 to the cell surface (49). A co-culture system of mammary carcinoma cells and mouse fetal myoblasts showed that TGF-β activation by MMP-9 depends on this localization of MMP9 at the cell surface by CD44. TGF-β activation is reduced when CD44 is only present in a soluble form and thus unable to bind to MMP-9. In contrast, activation increases when CD44 is present at the cell surface and is able to bind to MMP-9 (50). This is supported by xenograft mouse models with subcutaneous tumors. In tumors where TGF-β was less activated due to soluble CD44, there was reduced angiogenesis and invasiveness (50). The same mechanism has been shown for MMP2, with the difference that MMP2 is localized at the cell surface by the integrin αvβ3 (51).

In summary, TGF-β can be activated by denaturation, radiation or interaction with the extracellular matrix. These different activators all have their own mechanism to activate TGF-β by breaking down LAP. This induces a conformational change in the large latent complex or obliterates the non-covalent bonds between LAP and TGF-β. The end product is the same for all; active TGF-β, which is subsequently able to bind to its receptor and start its signaling cascade.
Modulation of TGF-β

The overexpression, tumor growth promoting functions and facilitation of an immunosuppressive environment by TGF-β has drawn attention to this protein as a potential target for anti-cancer drugs. There are a number of pharmacological ways to exert an anti-TGF-β effect. A variety of TGF-β inhibitors have been developed, and are in preclinical studies or already in clinical trials. In this section, we will discuss the TGF-β targeting drugs known at present. These drugs will be presented by mechanism of action, including: 1) modulation of TGF-β production, 2) modulation of TGF-β activation and 3) modulation of TGF-β signaling (Fig. 4a & 4b).

Modulation of TGF-β production

Antisense oligonucleotides

TGF-β production can be decreased by means of antisense oligonucleotides, through silencing of the corresponding mRNA sequence. Trabedersen (AP-12009) is an antisense oligonucleotide against the mRNA of TGF-β2 and is of interest for treating TGF-β2 overexpressing tumors. In a randomized phase IIb study in patients with recurrent/refractory glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) or anaplastic astrocytoma (AA), 10 or 80 µM of trabedersen was administered intratumorally through a subcutaneous port access system for 7 days every other week. In the total study population (n=134), trabedersen showed no significant benefit compared to chemotherapy. In the 39 AA patients however, trabedersen increased median- and overall survival rates compared to chemotherapy (52). The main toxicity observed was neurotoxicity. Procedure related serious adverse

![Fig. 4a Modulation of TGF-β](image-url)
TGF-β can be modulated at several levels: production, activation or signaling. Activation of TGF-β can be modulated by blocking integrin αvβ3 with the antibody etaracizumab or by using Cilengitide, a small molecule inhibitor against αvβ3 and αvβ5. Modulation of TGF-β signaling can be achieved by directly blocking TGF-β with the human monoclonal antibody fresolimumab or with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor such as LY-2157299.
events were around 30% in the trabedersen groups. Currently, a phase III study with trabedersen (SAPPHIRE) in AA patients is ongoing [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00761280]. Also a phase I/II study in pancreatic carcinoma, metastatic melanoma and metastatic colorectal carcinoma patients has started. Another antisense oligonucleotide against TGF-β1, AP-11014, is in preclinical development (53). Clinical results of antisense oligonucleotides targeting TGF-β seems to be favorable for recurrent/refractory AA but not for GBM patients. Still, these conclusions are based on a very small subgroup of only 39 patients and should be confirmed in future phase III trials.

**Tumor cell vaccines**

Increased expression of activated TGF-β2 induces immunosuppression, favoring tumor progression. Belagenpumatucel-L (Lucanix®) is a gene-based allogeneic tumor cell vaccine, transfected with a TGF-β2 antisense plasmid vector. By inhibiting TGF-β2 expression, the tumor cell vaccine can increase tumor antigen recognition. In a randomized phase II study amongst 75 NSCLC patients, who completed or refused conventional therapy, a single intradermal injection of 1.25, 2.5 or 5x10⁷ cells given every month or every other month, was safe and well tolerated with low toxicity (54,55). An injection of ≥2.5 x 10⁷ cells in NSCLC patients (n=60) led to an estimated 2 year survival of 47%, compared to 18% in NSCL patients (n=25) who received fewer cells, suggesting a dose-related survival benefit (54). At the moment, a phase III study in patients with advanced stage of NSCLC, comparing belagenpumatucel-L with placebo, is ongoing [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00676507].

**Modulation of TGF-β activation**

**Integrins**

Integrins are widely expressed on tumor cells and also on tumor vasculature. Ligand binding to integrins leads, amongst others, to angiogenesis. Blocking integrin activity can impede angiogenesis, raising interest for integrins as a potential target for anti-cancer therapy. Integrins can also activate TGF-β, and indirect modulation of TGF-β may ensue when integrins are blocked. Etaaracizumab is a monoclonal antibody against the αvβ3 integrin. In a phase II study in patients with metastatic melanoma, the addition of etaracizumab to dacarbazine did not show any benefit (56). Currently, clinical development of etaracizumab is on hold. Cilengitide is a small molecule inhibitor against the αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrin. In a phase II study in patients with newly diagnosed GBM, cilengitide was added to radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide. Compared to historical data for chemoradiotherapy, the addition of cilengitide modestly
increased the median- and overall survival (57). At the moment cilengitide is the first anti-integrin agent to enter a phase III study, called CENTRIC (58). Furthermore, phase I/II studies with cilengitide combined with standard therapy in recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck cancer and in NSCLC are ongoing [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00842712 and NCT00705016].

Modulation of TGF-β signaling

**TGF-βR kinase inhibitors**

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) inhibit signaling cascades by binding to a specific tyrosine kinase domain, located on the receptor of interest (59-61). At the moment, two TKIs targeted against the kinase domain of the TGF-βRI are being evaluated in the clinic. A small phase I study including 7 patients with advanced or metastatic tumors, showed that daily oral administration of 40 or 80 mg of LY-2157299 was safe and well tolerated (62). In another phase I study (n=28), glioma patients were treated with 160, 240 or 300mg of LY-2157299 per day with intermittent dosing (14 days on, 14 days off). Again, treatment with LY-2157299 was safe and well tolerated (63). An ongoing phase I/II study in GBM patients, aims at evaluating safety, tolerable dose and pharmacodynamics of LY-2157299 combined with radiotherapy and temozolomide [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01220271]. A phase II study to determine progression free survival after LY-2157299 monotherapy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma is ongoing [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01246986].

**Monoclonal antibodies**

The use of monoclonal antibodies appears to be a very effective approach in inhibiting TGF-β signaling. Both antibodies against mouse and human active TGF-β have been developed. Treatment of mice with 2G7 or 1D11, antibodies against mouse TGF-β, suppressed tumor formation and tumor burden of both bone and lung metastases. This illustrated the potential of targeting TGF-β with monoclonal antibodies (64,65). Fresolimumab (GC1008) is a fully human monoclonal IgG4 antibody against mammalian TGF-β isoform 1, 2 and 3. Recently, a phase I/II multi-center trial of fresolimumab in patients with advanced malignant melanoma or renal cell carcinoma who failed prior therapy, was completed. Patients received 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 10 or 15 mg/kg fresolimumab intravenously. If no dose-limiting toxicity was induced, patients received three additional doses given 2 weeks apart. If stable disease, partial- or complete response was reached, fresolimumab treatment was extended. No dose-limiting toxicity was observed in any of the 22 patients (21 malignant melanoma, 1 renal cell carcinoma). In one patient with a history of skin cancer, fresolimumab treatment possibly led to the development of a squamous cell carcinoma. The most common adverse event was
the development of reversible crops cutaneous lesions that histologically resembled benign keratoacanthomas. Interestingly, these appear to phenocopy the self healing epitheliomas, a genetic disorder that is caused by germline mutations in the TGFβR1 gene (66). Five patients achieved stable disease; three of these patients had mixed responses with shrinkage of liver metastases and at other sites. One patient with malignant melanoma obtained a partial response with over 75% reduction of target lesions (67). At the moment, a phase II study of fresolimumab for patients with mesothelioma has been initiated [clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01112293]. Furthermore, in another study the addition of fresolimumab to local radiotherapy in metastatic breast cancer patients is investigated [clinicaltrials.gov NCT01401062].

In conclusion, several different approaches to modulate TGF-β are in clinical development, but still many hurdles have to be overcome. Long-term toxicity still remains mainly unknown for all these different approaches. Since TGF-β exerts multiple functions in both healthy tissue and during cancer, unwanted side effects are therefore conceivable. Upcoming clinical trials should therefore monitor this carefully. Future phase III trials will clarify whether antisense oligonucleotides show a survival benefit for recurrent AA patients. Tumor cell vaccines have a favorable efficacy/toxicity profile, but again the survival benefit compared to a placebo group needs to be investigated. Targeting TGF-β by blocking integrins and thereby TGF-β activation could be an interesting approach. However, compared to the other approaches this could be too indirect and unspecific for proper targeting of TGF-β. Thus far, targeting TGF-β with TKIs seems to be nontoxic. A prominent advantage of using TKIs, is the possibility of oral- instead of intravenous administration. Targeting TGF-β by means of ligand trapping with a monoclonal antibody shows encouraging results. Targeting all three isoforms of TGF-β, may be an advantage for the use of fresolimumab.

Evaluation of TGF-β targeting intervention strategies

As stated previously, it is clear that the dual role of TGF-β in cancer complicates the clinical implementation of TGF-β targeted drugs. Therefore, there is a need for adequate evaluation methods to select patients most likely to benefit from TGF-β targeted therapies and to predict clinical response in these patients to guide treatment. Furthermore, these methods may be used to gain insight in the role of TGF-β during tumor progression. Immunohistochemistry, although predominantly used to evaluate TGF-β expression, is not suitable for detection of the dynamics of TGF-β signaling in vivo. In this setting, in vivo imaging could be a very attractive alternative. In this section, an overview of developments for evaluating TGF-β signaling and expression, with particular emphasis on their potentially clinical application, will be given.
Optical imaging
Disseminating cells demonstrate a certain motile behavior, which can be divided in collective slow moving cells or single fast moving cells. These motile cells are heterogeneously distributed throughout the tumor and count for only 5% of all the cells in the primary tumor (68). Because of its role during tumor invasion and the forming of metastases, TGF-β could also influence the motile behavior of tumor cells.
Rat mammary carcinoma cells, transfected with TGF-β dependent reporter constructs, were injected in the mammary fat pad of female nude mice. Intravital imaging showed that TGF-β signaling can influence the motile behavior of the tumor cells, favoring single fast moving cells. Then again, TGF-β signaling alone seemed to be insufficient in driving cancer cell motility, since active TGF-β signaling was also seen in non-moving cells. Furthermore, activated TGF-β signaling enabled these single moving cells to disseminate into the blood, whereas cells lacking activated TGF-β signaling were moving collectively and could not enter the blood. Conversely, persistent TGF-β signaling did not lead to an increase in lung metastases, suggesting that TGF-β signaling should be transient to permit efficient forming of blood borne metastasis (69,70).
In a mouse model for bone metastases, TGF-β signaling dynamics and therapeutic response were evaluated with the help of bioluminescent imaging (71). The triple negative breast cancer cell line SCP28 was transfected with a dual-luciferase reporter system, allowing imaging of both metastatic tumor growth and TGF-β signaling. Preventive blocking of TGF-β signaling with either TKI LY2109761 or a bisphosphonate, evidently reduced the number of bone metastases, illustrating TGF-β’s role in the promotion of bone metastases. On the other hand, blocking TGF-β signaling when bone metastases were already established was less effective. This again illustrates a transient role for TGF-β signaling in the development of blood borne metastases.
In a second study using a similar human metastatic basal-like breast cancer model, the effects of two types of TGF-β pathway antagonists (antibody 1D11 and TKI LY2109761) were examined on sublines of basal cell-like MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma cells that preferentially metastasize to lungs or bones. Both 1D11 and LY2109761 significantly reduced the metastatic burden to either lungs or bones in vivo. Besides inhibiting metastasis in a tumor cell autonomous manner, the TGF-β antagonists inhibited angiogenesis associated with lung metastases as well as osteoclast number and -activity associated with lytic bone metastases. In aggregate, these studies support the notion that TGF-β plays an important role in both bone- and lung metastases of basal-like breast cancer, and that inhibiting TGF-β signaling results in a therapeutic effect independently of the tissue-tropism of the metastatic cells (72).
In vivo visualization of TGF-β activity by means of optical imaging, has clearly led to
improved insight in the role of TGF-β during tumor invasion and metastasis. However, optical imaging with its poor tissue penetration depth is at present not applicable in the clinical setting.

**TGF-β and positron emission tomography**

Of all presently available imaging techniques for TGF-β, positron emission tomography (PET) imaging is the one potentially applicable in the clinical setting. Fresolimumab is a human monoclonal antibody neutralizing all mammalian isoforms of TGF-β and has been evaluated in a phase I/II multi-centre trial in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma or renal cell carcinoma (67). Imaging with this antibody, and thereby the presence of TGF-β, with PET technique would be a non-invasive approach to ascertain tumor overexpression of TGF-β. This might allow patient selection for TGF-β targeted therapies and pharmacodynamic evaluations of these therapies. This approach, of *in vivo* imaging of a tumor related soluble factor with a radiolabeled antibody, was previously shown to be feasible for vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). In preclinical and clinical studies, tumor VEGF levels were visualized with zirconium-89 (89Zr) -bevacizumab-PET (73,74). 89Zr-fresolimumab was developed, in order to visualize and quantify *in vivo* TGF-β expression, uptake of the antibody in the tumor and organ distribution, by means of PET imaging. Tumor uptake and organ distribution of 89Zr-fresolimumab was evaluated in different xenograft models. Clear uptake of 89Zr-fresolimumab in tumors of all xenograft models was observed from 72 hours post tracer injection and onwards. Distribution throughout all different organs of 89Zr-fresolimumab was comparable to the distribution of the control 111In-IgG, except for high uptake in the liver and kidney (75). Imaging of TGF-β with 89Zr-fresolimumab could be useful in the further clinical development of fresolimumab and help identify patients most likely to benefit from fresolimumab therapy. In the future 89Zr-fresolimumab will be used to quantify tumor uptake of fresolimumab in patients with high grade gliomas [clinicaltrials.gov NCT01472731].

**Ex vivo stimulation assay**

Within the development of potential new drugs, determination of pharmacodynamics is essential. To obtain sufficient tissue samples for such an assay directly from a solid tumor is (although potentially relevant) clinically usually unfeasible. Instead, frequently surrogate markers are used, such as measurements in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of cancer patients. Although it is clear that these surrogate markers are not necessarily reflecting the true events in the tumor, for evaluation of pharmacodynamics they may be of use. With regard to TGF-β, measuring phosphorylated SMAD 2 (pSMAD2)
was tested as a potential biomarker for tumor response to a TGF-βR kinase inhibitor. Ex vivo change in pSMAD2 levels of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (determined with a sandwich ELISA), correlated with the *in vivo* response of the tumor, in a xenograft model (76). The assay was further validated within a study of 49 patients with bone metastases of prostate- or breast cancer. In blood samples from these patients, the *ex vivo* pSMAD2 response assay again correlated well with the TGF-β plasma levels (77). This *ex vivo* measurement of pSMAD2 is of particular interest, as it allows evaluation of pharmacodynamics of both large and small molecule inhibitors in one assay.

**TGF-β response signature**

Investigators at Memorial-Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) recently defined a TGF-β response gene signature consisting of 153 genes (TBRS<sub>MSKCC</sub>) that may be used to identify tumors with high levels of TGF-β activity (78,79). In 368 primary breast tumors in TBRS<sub>MSKCC</sub> positive tumors, mRNA levels for TGF-β1, TGF-β2 and LTBP1 were higher. Also in estrogen receptor (ER) negative tumors, a positive TGF-β response signature correlated with lung metastases. This preference for lung metastases is possibly caused by an increase in angiopoietin-like 4 expression in the cancer cells, induced by TGF-β signaling. Angiopoietin-like 4 in turn disrupts the morphology of the lung capillaries and thereby improves seeding of tumor cells into the lung.

Another study determined whether the TBRS<sub>MSKCC</sub> and a similar 92-gene signature developed at The Cancer Institute of New Jersey (TBRS<sub>CINJ</sub>) correlated with any particular breast cancer subset (80,81). This was validated across three independent publicly available breast cancer expression data sets. These subsets were classified as described by Alexe *et al* (82) in human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) 2 positive tumors with or without lymphocyte infiltration, HER2 and ER negative tumors (basal-like type I and II) or HER2 negative and ER positive tumors (luminal type I and II) (78,83,84). Both signatures positively correlated with the HER2 positive tumors with no lymphocyte infiltration, basal-like type II and luminal type I subsets. Furthermore, expression of TBRS<sub>MSKCC</sub> correlated with poor overall survival in patients with lymph node negative breast cancer, who did not receive adjuvant systemic therapy. Patients with HER2 positive tumors with lymphocyte infiltration have a significantly better outcome than patients with HER2 positive tumors with no lymphocyte infiltration (85). Also a positive signature predicted for very poor survival in HER2 positive tumors with no lymphocyte infiltration, while patients with a negative signature had a survival comparable to HER2 positive tumors with lymphocyte infiltration. This suggests that active TGF-β signaling may be mainly responsible for the poor prognosis of these patients and that these patients will benefit from TGF-β inhibition.
In another study, gene expression profiles of early stage cervical cancer patients with or without positive lymph nodes, were compared to several pathway signatures. The TGF-β pathway was enriched in patients without lymph node involvement. Immunohistochemical staining for TGF-β on tissue microarrays confirmed this negative association for TGF-β with lymph node metastasis (86). The TGF-β gene signatures may support patient selection for TGF-β targeted therapy and for further identification of new biomarkers.

Conclusion and future perspectives
For targeting cancer metastasis, TGF-β is both of interest and utterly challenging. Several TGF-β inhibitors are under clinical development. Amongst these inhibitors, monoclonal antibodies and TKIs are likely to be the most useful agents. In comparison with other TGF-β targeting agents, both TKIs and fresolimumab may be applicable to a broader range of tumors, since they target TGF-β relatively specifically, without discriminating between isoforms. The fact that TGF-β can act both as a tumor promoter and a tumor suppressor, underlines the importance of adequate evaluation methods of TGF-β activation in the clinical setting. Several evaluation methods are under development. With the pSMAD2 response assay in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, the effect of both large and small molecule inhibitors targeting TGF-β may be assessed in one assay. TGF-β gene signatures may be useful for patient selection and further identification of new biomarkers. The only technique that potentially allows in vivo imaging in patients at present, is the TGF-β PET. The currently conducted trial with TGF-β PET will assess whether this technique is indeed suitable for evaluating pharmacodynamics, patient selection and monitoring of response to TGF-β targeted therapies. Obviously, the ideal evaluation method will allow the identification of patients who will benefit from TGF-β targeted therapies, and select out those in whom targeting of TGF-β will do more harm than good. Future clinical trials should implement these evaluation methods, to show which method will be most predictive and suitable for clinical application. This could guide accurate timing of TGF-β targeted therapy and support the clinical development of TGF-β inhibitors, bringing us a step closer to the clinical implementation of TGF-β targeted therapies in the future.
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