Multicentre prospective validation of a urinary peptidome-based classifier for the diagnosis of type 2 diabetic nephropathy
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Abstract

Background. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is one of the major late complications of diabetes. Treatment aimed at slowing down the progression of DN is available but methods for early and definitive detection of DN progression are currently lacking. The ‘Proteomic prediction and Renin angiotensin aldosterone system Inhibition prevention Of early diabetic nePhropathy In TyPe 2 diabetic patients with normoalbuminuria trial’ (PRIORITY) aims to evaluate the early detection of DN in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) using a urinary proteome-based classifier (CKD273).
INTRODUCTION

In the year 2008; around 347 million people worldwide were suffering from diabetes mellitus, representing about 9.5% of the adult population [1]. In addition, the total number of people with diabetes mellitus is estimated to double by 2030 [2]. Improved treatment and disease management has reduced mortality, further contributing to increasing prevalence.

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is one of the major late complications of diabetes and is associated with substantial cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [3]. A number of large-scale clinical studies have demonstrated nephroprotective effects by interfering with the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system [4–6]. Although these treatments slow down the progression of renal disease, they do not halt its development.

Currently, microalbuminuria (30–300 mg/24 h or 20–200 μg/min) is considered a key risk factor for development of DN. However, the value of microalbuminuria as a predictor of DN is questioned since it is not specific and is highly variable [7, 8]. Furthermore, THE onset of DN in the absence of overt albuminuria has been reported in up to 50% of type 1 diabetic patients [9], indicating a lack of sensitivity. Reduction of the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in the context of diabetes is a clear indication of advanced DN, but at this late stage of the disease, the success of treatment is severely compromised by irreversible structural damage [6]. Thus, microalbuminuria lacks sensitivity/speciﬁcity and a significant reduction of eGFR is a late sign of DN.

We have previously established a urinary peptide-based classiﬁer composed of 273 different urinary peptides termed ‘CKD273’, which detects with high sensitivity and speciﬁcity chronic kidney disease (CKD) in patients with different disease aetiologies [10]. In small-scale studies, this classiﬁer predicted the progression from normoalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria in diabetic patients [11, 12], as well as progression of CKD in patients with renal disease originating from different aetiologies [13]. CKD273 was superior to urinary albumin in predicting DN, and also signiﬁcantly improved prognosis based on currently used classical risk factors [11].

The performance of CKD273 suggests clinical use of the classiﬁer in early detection and prediction of progression of CKD. Evaluation of CKD273 for selection of diabetic patients that will beneﬁt from a low dose of aldosterone treatment in combination with ACEi or ARB blockade is the aim of the recently initiated multicentre interventional trial ‘Proteomic prediction and Renin angiotensin aldosterone system Inhibition prevention Of early diabetic nephRopathy In T2D’ [14].

CONCLUSION

We provide for the ﬁrst time validation of this urinary proteome-based classiﬁer in a multicentre prospective setting and show the suitability of the CKD273 classiﬁer to be used in the PRIORITY trial.
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SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Sample and patient characteristics

Urine samples were prospectively collected in nine different centres (Table 1). Patients were considered to have DN upon displaying macroalbuminuria and/or eGFR <45 mL/min/1.73 m² (case). Diabetic patients without signs of DN (normoalbuminuria and eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m²) were used as controls. A mean of 18 (range 12–23) samples from each centre fulﬁlled these criteria and could be included for this study. Patient characteristics are given in Table 1. eGFR was estimated using the ‘Modification of Diet in Renal Disease’ (MDRD) formula [14]. None of the included patients was in a regular maintenance dialysis programme. The second morning urine was transferred and stored at −20°C in Urine-Monovette® (SARSTEDT AG & Co, Nümbrecht, Germany). In addition, 19 urine samples were collected at the Steno Diabetes Center to determine the effect of the use of different storage containers on the urinary proteome pattern. After collection the samples were A mean of 18 (range 12–23) samples from each centre fulfilled

Sample preparation

The urine samples were prepared as described previously in detail [15, 16]. Samples were thawed immediately before use. A volume of 0.7 mL was diluted with 0.7 mL 2 M urea, 10 mM NH₄OH and 0.02% sodium dodecyl sulphate. To remove high-molecular weight polypeptides, samples were filtered using Centrisart ultracentrifugation filter devices (20 kDa
### Table 1. Sample cohorts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centre number</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Sample (case/control)</th>
<th>Age (mean)</th>
<th>Gender (male per case/control group)</th>
<th>Urinary albumin median (range) (mg/L) case</th>
<th>Urinary albumin median (range) (mg/1.73 m²) case</th>
<th>eGFR median (range) (mL/min/1.73 m²) case</th>
<th>eGFR median (range) (mL/min/1.73 m²) control</th>
<th>Area under the curve (AUC, 95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Charite-Berlin</td>
<td>CHA</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>57/61</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>368 (11-8620)</td>
<td>11 (11-11)</td>
<td>72 (34-104)</td>
<td>87 (60-117)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.815-1.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Faculty University of Skopje</td>
<td>SKO</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>64/64</td>
<td>5/0</td>
<td>100 (0-100)</td>
<td>20 (0-20)</td>
<td>28 (10-48)</td>
<td>85 (83-111)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.782-1.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hospital St Georg Leipzig</td>
<td>STG</td>
<td>12/7</td>
<td>62/54</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>538 (97-1633)</td>
<td>4 (0-20)</td>
<td>21 (7-50)</td>
<td>87 (63-111)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.747-0.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Charles University</td>
<td>CUP</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>2043 (750-9500)</td>
<td>6 (1-16)</td>
<td>38 (19-61)</td>
<td>88 (62-160)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.832-1.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Diabetes Centre Prague</td>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>63/60</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>2115 (450-7780)</td>
<td>8 (3-23)</td>
<td>60 (22-110)</td>
<td>88 (60-131)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.670-0.984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mario Negri Institute</td>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>513 (217-2169)</td>
<td>5 (3-8)</td>
<td>38 (13-102)</td>
<td>98 (89-128)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.752-0.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Steno Diabetes Center</td>
<td>STE</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>346 (3-1673)</td>
<td>582 (23)</td>
<td>42 (18-87)</td>
<td>87 (68-90)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.786-1.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>II Fundación Jiménez Diaz</td>
<td>FJD-UTE</td>
<td>14/9</td>
<td>64/64</td>
<td>11/5</td>
<td>281 (2-2266)</td>
<td>4 (0-14)</td>
<td>43 (16-102)</td>
<td>71 (65-105)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.698-0.984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Health Plus Abu Dhabi</td>
<td>Abu Dhabi</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>67/44</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>1517 (889-9895)</td>
<td>15 (1-29)</td>
<td>79 (91-123)</td>
<td>99 (72-139)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.74-1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given are the different centres with the number of collected samples and sample characteristics. In addition, the resulted AUC (area under the curve) values obtained using the CKD273 classification score for each centre are listed.

n.a., not available.

*P < 0.05 (for age the Mann–Whitney test, for gender Fisher’s exact test was used).

bProteinuria (mg/24 h).

cAlbumin/creatinine ratio (mg/g).
using the CKD273 classifier [10]. The individual classification scores are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Combined abundance of all detected peptides and of the 273 CKD biomarkers are shown in Figure 1. A clear difference in the abundance of a large number of the 273 peptides of CKD273 can be observed between T2D patients with and without DN (Figure 1C and D).

As CKD273 was initially defined in a gender-balanced cohort [10], we studied the effect of gender and age on the classification score. An age bias was observed in one of the nine centres (Table 1, centre 9). Logistic regression was used to investigate if patient age and gender contributed to the proteome classifier-based prediction of the diagnosis. Samples for which no data on age were available (Table 1, centre 4, 6, 7) were excluded from these analyses. No significant contribution of age and gender to the score was observed (P = 0.269 for age and P = 0.312 for gender).

**Influence of sample storage**

To assess the possible effect of different containers for urine collection on the classification scores obtained with the CKD273 classifier, freshly collected samples (n = 19) were stored in two different containers at −20°C: Nunc® Cryo-Tubes® and Urine-Monovette® and shipped on dry ice. Both replicates were analysed using CE/MS and classified using the CKD273 classifier. No significant difference in CKD273 classification was detected between samples from the two different storage containers (P = 0.623, paired Wilcoxon test).

**Multicentre validation of CKD273 to detect DN in T2D patients**

The classification scores of T2D patients were analysed using receiver operation curve (ROC) statistics (Table 1) for each individual centre. This resulted in AUC values for the individual centres ranging between 0.9 and 1.0. Comparison of areas under independent ROC curves did not show significant differences between the individual AUC values. Figure 2A shows these scores in the form of box and whisker plots for all T2D patients with and without DN. As previously described [10], a sample with a CKD273 score lower than 0.343 indicates absence of DN, while a score above 0.343 indicates presence of DN. When combining all 165 samples of the 9 centres the classification resulted in AUC of 0.95 (95% CI: 0.90–0.98).

**FIGURE 1**: Compiled urinary protein profiles. (A) All peptides detected in the combined T2D cohort with DN and (B) without DN. (C) The 273 CKD biomarkers in the combined T2D cohort with and (D) without DN. Normalized molecular weight (800–20 000 Da) in logarithmic scale is plotted against normalized migration time (18–45 min). The mean signal intensity of polypeptides is given as peak height.
(Figure 2B) with 18 of the 165 patients ‘misclassified’ in relation to standard clinical definitions.

In addition, we investigated whether the regulation of the individual biomarkers in the different centres is similar to the regulation initially described in Good et al. [10]. Using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test, we investigated the distribution of the individual 273 CKD biomarkers between the DN group and the control group in each centre, and compared the distribution to the one described in Good et al. [10]. Due to the low number of samples in the individual centres, a P-value of 0.1 was used as the cut-off. Two hundred and seven of the 273 CKD markers (76%) could be verified in a minimum of one of the nine centres. These 207 markers are listed in Supplementary Table S2. This set of biomarkers included 94 collagen alpha-1 (I), 37 collagen alpha-1 (III), 18 alpha-1-antitrypsin, 10 uromodulin, 9 collagen alpha-2 (I), 7 serum albumin, 4 fibrinogen alpha, 3 polymeric-immunglobulin receptor, 3 alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein and 2 osteopontin, sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase gamma and transthyretin fragments.

To increase statistical power, we combined the data of T2D patients (n = 165) from all centres and investigated the distribution of the 273 biomarkers in this combined cohort. Because of the large number of samples used for this analysis, a P-value of 0.05 was used as significance threshold. When examining the 100 most significant biomarkers in the CKD273 classifier (based on the Good et al. [10], P-value <0.0001 in the training cohort and the lowest P-values in the validation cohort), 67 out of those 100 peptides could be verified in the current study (Supplementary Table S3, Figure 3). These were alpha-1-antitrypsin, serum albumin, transthyretin, alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, polymeric-immunglobulin receptor sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase gamma and uromodulin fragments, as well as fragments of collagen alpha-1 (I), alpha-1 (III) and collagen alpha-2 (I).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present ancillary study of PRIORITY, we observed a high consistency of the CKD273 classification scores across centres, with areas under the curve (AUCs) for the separation between patients with and without DN ranging from 0.9 to 1.0. Moreover, absence of an effect of gender and age on the accuracy of the classifier and absence of effects due to different urine storage containers were established. These results support the feasibility of using CKD273 routinely in a multicentre setting for the detection of DN in T2D patients.

In PRIORITY, as in any study using a proteomics approach, reproducible results are essential for successful implementation. Numerous issues need to be considered in applying proteome analysis to assess disease state, progression or response to drug treatment in the clinic [23, 24].

One of the most relevant aspects is validation of the biomarker model in independent cohorts [25]. Validation of biomarkers in independent test sets (i.e. not used for discovery) needs to be performed since most statistical approaches used for biomarker evaluation assume (i) an even distribution of features across the data (similar variance in control and disease groups, and the absence of covariates), (ii) that the findings can be generalized and (iii) that an association exists only with the investigated condition. As these assumptions do not generally hold in a ‘real world’ setting, most biomarkers with promising initial results have less promising results in independent data sets [26]. CKD273 has previously been validated only in a number of single-centre settings [10–13, 27].

Here we investigated the stability of the CKD273 classifier for the first time in a multicentre setting using prospectively collected samples. We analysed 165 samples of T2D patients from 9 different centres. Although sample collection is described for each centre in a standardized sampling protocol, minor differences in sample collection and handling and in the patient population are expected. In addition, differences in diet and lifestyle, e.g. in different countries, may impact on the urinary proteome. However, all of these differences did not modify the performance of the CKD classifier, which was found to be similar between the centres, resulting in a consistently high AUC value of 0.89–1.00. Therefore, the present study complements previous single-centre studies employing the classifier either focusing on the detection of CKD [10, 27].

**FIGURE 2**: Classification results of the T2D patient cohort. (A) Classification of all T2D patients based on the CKD273 score divided per centre. The scores of patients with macroalbuminuria or/and eGFR < 45 ml/min/1.73 m² (cases) are marked in gray and the scores of patients with normalalbuminuria and eGFR > 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (controls) are marked in black. The diagnosis cut-off of 0.343 is also shown. The centre number is given on the x-axis (Table 1). (B) Combined ROC curve for the CKD273-based prediction of all T2D patients (n = 165, AUC = 0.95).
or predicting progression of disease [11–13]. The data demonstrate that the CKD273 classifier is robust and can be applied to samples shipped to a central laboratory from different centres. Importantly, we ascertained that the use of different sample containers had no effect on the performance of the CKD273 classifier.

Additional basic issues, including temperature stability, freeze/thaw stability, post-preparation stability, reproducibility, intermediate precision and time course, have been studied for the CKD273 classifier, and have previously been described [10]. The relative intra- and inter-assay standard deviation of the classifier was below 7 and 10%, respectively [10]. Further technical validation of CKD273 included assessment of in vivo drug interference and inter-laboratory variability and resolution, mass accuracy and amplitude variability of individual peptides. These treatment interference experiments showed no significant modification of the classifier [28]. To address the inter-laboratory variability, the same 86 samples (45 cases and 41 controls) were analysed in three different laboratories in Germany, France and the UK. The individual results were correlated with each other and resulted in correlation coefficients of 0.881–0.904 [28]. The results of the current study demonstrate that CE/MS-based urinary proteome analysis can provide robust and accurate results when applied in multiple clinical centre studies.

Although we observed high accuracy in the classification of DN (AUC of 0.95), we also recorded a total of 10 false-positive and 8 false-negative classifications among the T2D case and control samples. From four of the apparently false-positive patients (i.e. controls classified as cases), follow-up data were available. One of the apparently false-positive controls developed microalbuminuria, and one developed macroalbuminuria after 1 year follow-up. The other two false-positive patients did not develop any sign of DN within the observation period (8 months – 1 year). These data indicate that the apparent false positives may in fact be true positives, but at an early stage of disease that is detectable by CKD273, but not based on albuminuria [12]. These observations are preliminary, need to be expanded to a longer observation period for all patients, but indicate that the results may, at least in part, be due to the prognostic capabilities of the classifier as previously suggested [11–13, 28].

We also investigated the distribution of individual CKD biomarkers in the single centres. As the cohort size in the single centres was significantly smaller (generally less than one tenth) than in the study for development of CKD273, we did not expect to confirm each biomarker. In addition, we did not observe each of the 273 CKD biomarkers in this cohort of diabetic patients, since for the definition of the 273 CKD biomarkers, patients with different CKD aetiologies were used, not only patients with DN [10]. Therefore, in the complete biomarker set of peptides included in CKD273, peptides specific to, e.g. IgA-nephropathy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, membranous glomerulonephritis, or ANCA-associated vasculitis, are represented. As these are not associated with DN, they are not expected to be validated in this study.

As expected, the correlation of individual biomarkers with CKD was lower than the correlation of the biomarker model with CKD, further supporting the application of a composite biomarker for the identification of complex diseases such as DN. When all data were combined, the majority of the 273 CKD markers could be verified in this combined multicentric cohort of diabetic patients. The most consistent biomarkers were fragments of alpha-1-antitrypsin, collagen alpha-1 (I) and (III), serum albumin, uromodulin, alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase gamma, polymeric-immunoglobulin receptor and transthyretin. We hypothesize that serum albumin and transthyretin are most likely derived from the circulation, while the different collagen fragments...
most likely originate from the kidney. The increased urinary excretion of alpha-1-antitrypsin by patients with CKD has already been reported [29–32]. The increased urinary abundance of circulating peptides may reflect structural damage to the glomerular filtration barrier and/ or impaired tubular absorption, which is well known to occur in CKD [10]. In the current study, all fragments of alpha-1-antitrypsin, serum albumin, uromodulin, alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase gamma, polymeric-im- tripsin, serum albumin, uromodulin, alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, CKD [10]. In the current study, all fragments of alpha-1-antitrypsin, serum albumin, uromodulin, alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase gamma, polymeric-im-
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ABSTRACT

Background. H.P. Acthar® Gel is currently the only Food and Drug Administration therapy approved for the treatment of nephrotic syndrome. Active drug ingredients include structurally related melanocortin peptides that bind to cell surface G-protein-coupled receptors known as melanocortin receptors, which are expressed in glomerular podocytes. In animal models of membranous nephropathy, stimulation has been demonstrated to reduce podocyte injury and loss. We hypothesized that H.P. Acthar® Gel would improve symptoms of the nephrotic syndrome in patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy.
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