A modern landscape ecology of Black-tailed Godwits: habitat selection in southwest Friesland (The Netherlands)
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CHAPTER 2

ABSTRACT
For a long time, agricultural areas had considerable ornithological value, an ecological richness which in The Netherlands was epitomised by the term ‘meadow birds’. However, over the last half century, agricultural intensification has negatively affected the quality of meadow bird habitats. Here we provide a quantitative characterization of agricultural habitats and their use by Black-tailed Godwits *Limosa l. limosa* in the south-western part of the province of Friesland, The Netherlands, in 2009, to provide a yardstick to evaluate further change. We used groundwater level, vegetation typology, relief in the landscape, the occurrence of foot drains, land use and soil characteristics such as textures and peat to describe the landscape that comprised 43 polders covering 8480 ha. We used a Principal Component Analysis to summarize landscape characteristics and find that much of the habitat variation is explained by a combination of herb richness of the vegetation, the presence or absence of foot drains and groundwater level. The modern agricultural landscape of southwest Friesland consists of 80% of uniform, intensively managed landscape with herb-poor meadows and low groundwater levels, the remaining 20% being taken by remnants of the former herb-rich meadows. The whole study area was searched weekly and Black-tailed Godwits were mapped between arrival and egg-laying. The positive relationship between godwit density and the first PC axis indicates that Black-tailed Godwits preferred herb-rich polders with high groundwater levels and the presence of foot drains. Soil texture was poorly correlated with godwit breeding densities for intensively managed (herb-poor) parcels, but for herb-rich meadows, soils of sandy clay loam and sandy clay harboured the highest densities of godwits. To protect Black-tailed Godwits, areas should have a herb-rich vegetation, contain foot drains and high groundwater tables should be re-established.
INTRODUCTION

Changes in agricultural methods initially led to a rise in numbers of grassland breeding birds (Bignal & McCracken 1996), called ‘meadow birds’ in The Netherlands. However, ongoing industrialization of the agricultural landscape across Europe over the last 60 years has led to widespread declines in many species dependent on farmland (Donald et al. 2001, Vickery et al. 2001, Newton 2004, Wilson et al. 2004). Agricultural intensification results in a whole range of changes in land management practices designed to increase the productivity of the land (Harms et al. 1987, Donald et al. 2001, Newton 2004). In The Netherlands, from 1950 to 1970, the amount of fertilizer applied at Dutch dairy farms grew from 50 kg N/ha/year to 400 kg N/ha/year (Bakker & Berendse 1999), although this amount has dropped since 1990 to 200 kg N/ha in 2010 (CBS 2012). Heavier machinery came to replace man- and horsepower and more recently groundwater levels were lowered by replacing canals and foot drains with belowground drainage pipes. Hereby the bearing of the soil increased and so spring manure application could be advanced. Moreover, the soils warmed earlier, thereby speeding up grass growth and advancing mowing dates by more than a month during the last century (Beintema et al. 1985, Kleijn et al. 2010). The building of roads and paved farm tracks fragmented polders and caused disturbance from traffic and street lights (van der Vliet et al. 2010). Consequently, with agricultural intensification habitat is lost, fragmented and deteriorated, including habitat of a bird endemic to agricultural Europe, the Black-tailed Godwit *Limosa l. limosa* (Beintema 1983, Hötker et al. 1991, Gill et al. 2007). The numbers of breeding Black-tailed Godwits in The Netherlands have declined from 120,000 pairs in the 1960s (Mulder 1972) to 45,000 pairs in 2004 (BirdLife International 2004), with numbers continuing to decline by more than 5% per year (van Dijk et al. 2010). Numbers have also declined elsewhere due to similar causes (Gill et al. 2007), but with more than half of the European breeding population of Black-tailed Godwits within its borders (Thorup 2006), The Netherlands still carries great responsibility for their conservation.

To halt the decline of meadow birds, already in 1975 the Dutch government set up reserves managed especially for meadow birds (Beintema et al. 1995). Within these reserves, managers try to maintain or restore the landscape typical of 1950–1960. Additionally, in some well-defined areas outside these reserves, farmers can enter into management agreements (Melman et al. 2008), either applying Agri-Environmental Schemes (Kleijn et al. 2001, Verhulst et al. 2007) or mosaic management (Schekkerman et al. 2008, Oosterveld et al. 2011), usually consisting of postponed mowing dates and restrictions in agricultural activities to enhance the breeding success of meadow birds.

Assessments of changes in both landscape and birds usually suffer from a lack of quantitative landmarks. Our ambition here is to provide such a landmark by quantifying the agricultural landscape in relation to the abundance of Black-tailed Godwits in southwest Friesland, in 2009. This northern part of The Netherlands is well known for the high numbers of breeding godwits. Additional to assessments of future change (see Smart et al. 2008 for an example), it can also be used to help define areas outside of Friesland that have potential to be restored for Black-tailed Godwits.
We used a principal component analyses (PCA) to integrate characteristics that summarize variation in habitat best. As the result of glacial events, the impact of several rivers and, later, the creation of artificial dikes (van Eerden et al. 2010), The Netherlands contains various soil types. Soil texture influences earthworm abundance (Lapied et al. 2009), the main prey for adult godwits in the breeding period, and affects penetrability of the soil (Green 1988), hence prey availability. Therefore, we also incorporated soil type and texture in our analysis. Next, we investigated habitat selection of Black-tailed Godwits by correlating the density of godwits during arrival and breeding with the PC axes and with soil characteristics.

METHODS

Study area
The study area covers 10,700 ha including villages, canals and open water. The agricultural land covers 8480 ha (79.3%) and stretches from the villages of Makkum (53°03.18’N, 05°25.48’E) in the north, to Laaksum (52°50.59’N, 05°25.16’E) in the south. It borders Lake IJsselmeer in the west and south and consists of 43 separate polders. A polder is a tract of land originally enclosed by embankments known as dikes, forming a hydrological entity. A polder has no connection with outside water other than through water pumps or an artificial water inlet. Water levels and soil textures are not uniform for the whole polder. Parcels are the basic units in a polder and separated from each other by ditches or fences. The studied polders vary in size from 3.3 ha to 248.9 ha with an average of 196.9 ha. The polders include 2284 unique parcels (range 1–158 parcels per polder) measuring on average 7.8 ha (range 1.6–61.0 ha).

Landscape characteristics
We selected seven landscape characteristics to describe habitats in our study area. Four of these were measured in the field by visiting all parcels in the study area in spring and early summer. Juncus effusus presence and presence of drainage were scored in 2007, land use and vegetation typology in 2009. These are:

1. Land use. Meadows: parcels with predominantly grasses, arable land: ploughed parcel for growing maize, tulips, potatoes or other annual crops, or marsh/water: shallow pools in polders and land outside the summer dike (embankment to hold back water).

2. Vegetation typology. Meadows were classified into four categories. Herb-poor: generally reseeded ‘artificial’ meadows, 1 to 3 plant species, dominated by high-productive rye grasses Lolium spec., some dandelions Taraxacum spec. and stichwort Stellaria spec. or arable land with monocultures of corn, potatoes or tulips. Moderate herb-rich: meadows with 4 to 10 species, dominated by different grasses and herbs such as buttercup Ranunculus spec., Cuckoo Flower Cardamine pratensis and Daisy Bellis perennis. Herb-rich: over 10 species of herbs and several grasses such as Sweet Vernal Grass Anthoxanthum odoratum, Crested Dog’s-tail Cynosurus cristatus and typical herbs for this class such as Ragged Robin Lychnus flos-cuculi, Yellow Rattle Rhinanthus angustifolius and Water
Forget-me-not *Myosotis scorpioides*. *Botanically valuable*: species like Marsh Thistle *Cirsium palustre*, orchids and all species from herb-rich meadows. They are in general managed by a nature conservation organisation. To minimize observer bias, data on vegetation typology for this analysis is gathered by one observer (BV).

3. **Presence of Juncus effusus** (Soft Rush). Because it is believed that *J. effusus* alters plant species diversity and species richness (Ervin & Wetzel 2002) and anecdotal evidence suggests that *J. effusus* cover negatively affects the suitability for breeding meadow birds.

4. **Type of drainage** is determined because it affects potential wetness of a parcel and heterogeneity of the field. Intensively used agricultural parcels have water drained by pipes 50–70 cm under the ground, while these parcels originally drained surface water by foot drains; small channels which are 10–30 cm deep. We scored the presence of foot drains per parcel. The underground drainage system was not always visible, only where drainpipes discharge the water into the ditch, however we believe that all parcels without foot drains were drained by a belowground drainage system.

5. **Groundwater levels** were obtained from Wetterskip Fryslân. They provided the mean highest groundwater level (MHGL) and the mean lowest groundwater level (MLGL) in the form of a GIS database with a resolution of 25 m, of which we calculated the mean spring groundwater level (MSGL) with the formula: 

   \[ \text{MSGL} = 0.83 \times \text{MHGL} + 0.19 \times \text{MLGL} + 5.4 \text{ cm} \]  

   (Runhaar et al. 1997). We averaged MLGL per parcel.

6. **Relief**. Variability of the groundwater level per parcel provides information on the presence or absence of relief, the little dips or pools or gradually sloping, old creeks and gullies characterizing these parcels. We calculated relief from the groundwater level map: parcels contained relief if at least 10% of the field differed more than 50 cm in groundwater level from the rest of the field.

7. **Soil types**. Data on soil texture was obtained from a 1:50,000 soil texture map (WUR-Alterra 2006). We distinguished seven soils in the study area: (1) loam, (2) silty clay, (3) clay, (4) peat, (5) sand, (6) sandy clay loam and (7) sandy loam. The spatial distribution of the landscape characteristics is shown in Fig. 2.1.

**Mapping of Black-tailed Godwits**

In 2009, we counted individual Black-tailed Godwits across all parcels twice during the arrival period (16–29 March) and once during early breeding (13–20 April). After 20 April we would have missed the birds that started to incubate. For the arrival period we averaged the counts. We did not count around noon, because during this time of the day most godwits were resting on roost sites. The two weekly counts in the arrival phase were averaged. Densities were calculated per polder and parcel, and expressed as godwits per 100 ha.

**Statistics**

With agricultural intensification, a whole suite of landscape characteristics is modified. Because these landscape characteristics will be highly correlated, data describing parcel characteristics were combined using principal component analysis (PCA). The purpose of a PCA is to reduce the number of redundant variables to a few uncorrelated principal components. At the parcel level, many of our variables were categorical, while underlying
Figure 2.1 The spatial occurrence of the measured habitat characteristics in the study area.
data for a PCA should be continuous. We therefore ran the PCA at the polder level, calculating the percentage of the area per polder of meadows (opposed to arable fields), herb-rich (including botanical valuable) vegetation, parcels with foot drains, parcels with relief and parcels with *J. effusus*. Analysing at the polder level also reduced spatial pseudo replication. Percentages were arcsine transformed. The mean spring groundwater level (MSGL) was averaged per polder. Because soil is a categorical variable with more than two categories, we could not include soil in the PCA. Two polders were managed as grassy marshlands and one (small) polder was not examined, which left 40 polders for the PCA analyses. Only principal components with an eigenvalue greater than one were further examined. We correlated the density of godwits in the arrival and breeding phase with the PC axes. After log-transformation, densities of godwits were normally distributed. We then used a variable that best described agricultural management (herb richness) to test relationships between soil types, agricultural management and densities of godwits in the arrival and breeding phase. With a $\chi^2$ test we tested if the different soil types were equally distributed over the agricultural management types, and also if the density of arriving and breeding godwits differed per soil type in the different agricultural management regimes.

We used R.2.13.0 (R Development Core Team 2008) for statistics. PCA was performed with the function prcomp, using a correlation matrix. We tested linear relationships using linear regression.

## RESULTS

### Landscape characteristics

Meadows (parcels vegetated primarily by grass) made up for 88.5% of the study area, arable land 11.0% and marshland or pools 0.5%. Of the arable land, 90% was cultivated with maize and the rest with potatoes or tulips. The PCA of the six habitat characteristics resulted in two components with eigenvalues greater than one, accounting for 48% and 19% of the variance. Five out of six habitat characteristics had a correlation coefficient greater than 0.5 with PC1: percentage of area containing meadows, percentage of area containing parcels with herb-rich vegetation, presence of foot drains and of *J. effusus* and mean spring groundwater level (MSGL). Percentage of relief showed a negative, and MSGL a positive correlation with PC2 (Table 2.1, Fig. 2.2). PC1 represents agricultural manage-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meadows</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb-rich</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot drains</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>J. effusus</em></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGL</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.1** Coefficients of the correlations between habitat characteristics and the first two principal components. MSGL stands for mean spring groundwater level.
ment intensity, with the more positive values for less intense management. Percentage of herb richness and occurrence of foot drains within a polder has the strongest positive correlation with PC1, indicating that they are a good predictor for the intensity of agricultural management. PC2 represents relief, and in lesser extent ground wetness.

**Habitat selection by Black-tailed Godwits**

In the arrival period, we counted 1523 Black-tailed Godwits, and in the breeding period 2110. Godwits were not randomly distributed over the study area and the highest densities of godwits were found in polders with high PC1 values (arrival: $F_{1,38} = 17.65$, $R^2 = 0.32$, $P < 0.001$, breeding: $F_{1,38} = 23.6$, $R^2 = 0.38$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2.3). The density of godwits showed no relationship with PC2 (arrival: $F_{1,38} = 0.06$, $R^2 < 0.01$, $P > 0.5$, breeding: $F_{1,38} = 0.36$, $R^2 = 0.01$, $P > 0.5$).

**Soil types**

Herb-rich meadows were not equally distributed over the available soils ($\chi^2_{6} = 2343$, $P < 0.001$). Although sandy soils made up only 9% of the total area, 38% of all the herb-rich wet meadow area was found on sand (Fig 2.4). The most dominant top soils were sandy clay loam (25%), sandy loam (24%) and clay (23%), and on each of these soils circa 15% of the area were herb-rich wet meadows. Peat soils made up 10% of the total area and 14% of all herb-rich meadows was found on peat. On the other soils, less than 2% of the area were herb-rich meadows (Fig. 2.4). On both intensively managed land and herb-rich meadows, densities of arriving and breeding godwits were different between soil
types (arrival: herb-poor $\chi^2 = 18, P = 0.005$, herb-rich $\chi^2 = 92, P < 0.001$, breeding: herb-poor $\chi^2 = 34, P < 0.001$, herb-rich $\chi^2 = 50, P < 0.001$, see Fig 2.5). In the arrival period, the highest godwit densities were found on herb-rich peat (84 godwits/100 ha) and herb-rich sandy loam (63 godwits/100 ha). Densities on herb-poor parcels were lower and more uniform. During the breeding period the highest godwit densities were found on herb-rich sandy loam and sandy clay loam (88 and 86 godwits/100 ha, respectively). Numbers on herb-poor soils were overall lower, with the highest densities occurring on sandy clay loam (21 godwits/100 ha).

**Figure 2.3** Relationship between the $10 \log$ density of godwits with the PC1 value per polder, separately for the arrival period and the breeding period.

**Figure 2.4** Occurrence of soil textures and peat in our study area. The dark grey bars show the percentage of area per soil texture and peat in intensively managed agricultural land (herb-poor areas without foot drains) and the light grey bars represents the percentage of area with herb-rich meadows and foot drains.
The modern agricultural landscape and how it relates to the distribution of a meadow bird of high conservation concern can be characterized with a few simple variables, which are highly correlated with each other. The first principal component is best described by vegetation typology (herb richness) and the occurrence of foot drains, followed by the percentage of meadows, groundwater level and presence of *J. effusus*. Intensively managed agricultural land is reseeded with fast growing ryegrasses and foot drains are replaced by a belowground drainage system, indicating that PC1 summarises the intensity of agricultural management. However, we recently noticed a trend that farmers make foot drains on land with an underground drainage system, to prevent flooding from heavy downpours. These parcels with modern foot drains are very scarce in our research area and have no effect on the results. *J. effusus* is related to high phosphate levels and therefore often found on agricultural land where management changed from intensive with high fertilizer input to less intensive (Smolders *et al.* 2008).

The second principal component seems to describe relief. Relief is one of the relics of the historical landscape and the result of salt-marsh dynamics (northern part of study area) and glaciation events (southern part of study area) that influenced the landscape before the creation of dikes (1200 AD) and the closure of part of the North Sea, the Zuiderzee, with the Afsluitdijk in 1932 (van Eerden *et al.* 2010). Relief showed no correlation with PC1 and seems to have no relationship with agricultural management. MSGL also correlated, in the opposite direction of relief, with PC2. Because of the variability in height of parcels with relief, it makes sense that these parcels, at least partially, have a low groundwater level.
Habitat selection in the modern landscape

During the arrival period, non-territorial groups can consist of more than 150 godwits which are often a mixture of local breeding birds, non-local breeding birds and godwits of the *islandica* subspecies that stage in the Dutch meadows (Alves et al. 2012). Higher densities of godwits during both the arrival and the early breeding phases occurred in herb-rich polders with high groundwater levels and foot drains. These polders are often especially managed for meadow birds, and resemble the meadows from the years when the godwit population was thriving, i.e. 1950–1970. Godwits that breed on herb-rich meadows have higher breeding success than godwits breeding on intensively used agricultural parcels (Kentie et al. 2011). Because godwits show highest site-faithfulness when they successfully hatch their eggs (Groen 1993), higher densities are expected in the herb-rich polders with high groundwater levels and foot drains. Other waders, such as Snipe *Gallinago gallinago*, Curlew *Numenius arquata* and Redshank *Tringa totanus*, also prefer ‘unimproved’ agricultural land (Baines 1988, Smart et al. 2006).

Adult godwits feed mainly on earthworms, which they catch by probing in the soil with their long bill. Although the total number of earthworms may be higher in herb-poor meadows where fertilizers are used more frequently (Curry et al. 2008), the availability of worms in the top layer is usually higher in herb-rich meadows (J. Onrust et al., unpubl. obs.), and wet soils are easier to probe for worms (Green 1988, Green et al. 1990, Kleijn et al. 2011a). Soil organic matter and texture might also influence food availability of adults, either through their effect on soil penetrability, because some soils have better potential in retaining water (Holmstrup et al. 2011), and through the number of earthworms (Didden 2001). This might explain part of the relationship between soils and godwit densities on herb-rich wet meadows. However, on herb-poor meadows, groundwater level might have a stronger effect on food availability than soil texture, possibly explaining the less pronounced differences in godwit densities in that habitat.

Besides food availability for adults, food availability for chicks – insects living in the vegetation – might also play a role in selecting a breeding location. Herb-rich wet meadows provide higher food availability for the nidifugous and precocial godwit chicks (Schekkerman & Beintema 2007, Kleijn et al. 2010), especially if water is let in during dry periods (Eglington et al. 2010). Foot drains increase micro habitat variation and heterogeneity on the field surface. Even within intensively managed agricultural fields, godwits select the more heterogeneous fields (Verhulst et al. 2011). As most of the intensively managed meadows are mowed during the nesting period, this reduces vegetation cover for chicks which therefore experience higher predation rates (Schekkerman et al. 2009). The selection for herb-rich wet meadows might thus be due to a combination of better food availability for adults and chicks and improved chances of chick survival.

It is often believed that high densities of *J. effusus* have detrimental effects on the habitat quality of meadow birds (see for instance van ’t Veer et al. 2008). However, some meadow birds, such as Lapwings *Vanellus vanellus*, show no relationship (Baines 1988), or a positive relationships in the case of Snipe (Baines 1988) and Redshank (Smart et al. 2006). Whether these are direct responses, or indirect responses, because *J. effusus* indicates wetter soils, is often unclear. In our study, the density of godwits was higher in
polders with more parcels with *J. effusus*, but it is not possible to conclude whether godwits actively selected for these parcels, or that they preferred other habitat characteristics, such as herb richness and foot drains that were associated with it. Moreover, it is possible that in our study area the densities of *J. effusus* were not high enough to cause detrimental effects.

**Conservation issues**

Agri-environmental schemes (AES) have been developed to preserve breeding bird populations in modern agricultural landscapes, and 87% of the national budget (€31 million) was invested in meadow bird protection (Schekkerman *et al.* 2008). However, these schemes, which often only include postponed mowing and per-clutch payment while farming practices are otherwise unrestricted, have meanwhile been shown not to be effective for meadow bird conservation (Kleijn *et al.* 2001, Verhulst *et al.* 2007). Here we observed once more that the highest breeding densities of godwits occurred in herb-rich wet meadows, mostly (87%) managed by nature conservation organisations or implemented with the most meadow bird friendly management. In our study area, management by nature conservation organisations thus seems to be successful. The modern ways of farming does not sustain godwits: the birds are unable to cope with the intensification. For instance, godwits advanced their laying date parallel with the first mowing date of the meadows up to 1980, but thereafter mowing dates kept on advancing while laying dates did not (Kleijn *et al.* 2010, Schroeder *et al.* 2012). Godwits still breed in intensively managed meadows, although at lower densities. If breeding success is lower than necessary for population stability, these areas would act as population sinks (Levins 1969). If such sinks nevertheless attract breeding birds, these meadows would be an ecological trap (Battin 2004). Because godwits live long, it is possible that the changes of the landscape happened too rapidly for the species to have adapted to altered habitat cues.

Historically, herb-rich wet meadows were the most common type of agricultural land in The Netherlands. Now they are the rarest. In our study area, only 20% of the agricultural area consists of herb-rich meadows. A large percentage of herb-rich meadows is found on the atypical sandy soils of recently established polders bordering Lake IJsselmeer. Nevertheless, we think that this study is relevant for other potential meadow bird areas, because soil type only had a small effect on godwit densities compared to agricultural management.

Clearly, conservation of meadow birds, a key ornithological value of The Netherlands, necessitates the care for enough high-quality habitat. In these areas, the vegetation should be herb-rich and not mowed during the breeding and chick rearing periods, and water levels should be high. Moreover, foot drains might provide water in periods of drought and irrigate the excess in wet periods (see Eglington *et al.* 2010), but also serve as cover and as ‘run(a)ways’ if the grass is growing denser late in spring. Table 2.2 summarizes the vital habitat characteristics, in conjunction with each other, of a good-quality habitat for Black-tailed Godwits.
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Table 2.2  Habitat quality for breeding Black-tailed Godwits in relation to habitat characteristics. Dark grey blocks represents good-quality habitat, light grey areas with a plus are moderate quality habitat, but only in combination with each other. Middle grey blocks are combinations of habitat characteristics that did not occur in our study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation typology</th>
<th>Foot drains</th>
<th>Groundwater level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanically valuable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb rich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate herb rich</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb-poor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>