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Maintaining plant diversity is a central goal in the management of biodiversity throughout the world. Herbivores are generally thought to increase plant diversity by their direct consumption of competitively dominant plant species and their effects on plant competition. Consequently, management of herbivores has become a crucial component in efforts to restore or maintain biodiversity, particularly in grasslands. However, other studies suggest that herbivores sometimes have weak or even negative effects on plant diversity. Two recent research developments suggest avenues for explaining these discrepancies. First, several field studies suggest that herbivores control plant diversity through mechanisms that influence local plant colonization and extinction dynamics. Second, recent findings on differences in effects between types of herbivores, types of habitat and spatial and temporal scales are leading to new theoretical work that may guide future syntheses.

Conflicting results

The effects of herbivores on plant species richness appear to depend on the type and abundance of herbivores species in a particular environment. These effects can be either positive or negative. For example, natural populations of large grazing mammals are reported to increase plant diversity. The same is found when domesticated large grazers are reported to increase plant diversity. However, management of herbivores has become a crucial component in efforts to restore or maintain biodiversity, particularly in grasslands. These effects can be either positive or negative. For example, natural populations of large grazing mammals are reported to increase plant diversity. Consequently, management of herbivores has become a crucial component in efforts to restore or maintain biodiversity, particularly in grasslands. However, other studies suggest that herbivores sometimes have weak or even negative effects on plant diversity. Two recent research developments suggest avenues for explaining these discrepancies. First, several field studies suggest that herbivores control plant diversity through mechanisms that influence local plant colonization and extinction dynamics. Second, recent findings on differences in effects between types of herbivores, types of habitat and spatial and temporal scales are leading to new theoretical work that may guide future syntheses.

The role of herbivores in controlling plant species richness is a critical issue in the conservation and management of grassland biodiversity. Numerous field experiments in grassland plant communities show that herbivores often, but not always, increase plant diversity. Recent work suggests that the mechanisms of these effects involve alteration of local colonization of species from regional species pools or local extinction of species, and recent syntheses and models suggest that herbivore effects on plant diversity should vary across environmental gradients of soil fertility and precipitation.

The effects of herbivores on plant diversity also differ with the environment. Grazing mammals in more productive grasslands, such as temperate grasslands in Europe or tall grasslands in the western Serengeti, increase plant diversity. Grazers in arid or very saline environments often do not change or can even decrease diversity. For example, herbivores in North American tallgrass prairie on poor soils decrease plant diversity, whereas those on rich soils increase it.

New approaches

Recent developments suggest new ways to explain these conflicting results. First, plant species richness is increasingly thought to result from the balance between local colonization and extinction rates of species, and the mechanisms that influence these rates. Second, there is increasing recognition that herbivore body size could explain the direction, magnitude and scale of herbivore effects on plant species richness. Finally, recent studies link herbivore dynamics and diversity to plant community dynamics across environmental gradients. This work provides a new conceptual framework that allows us to predict herbivore effects as a function of the supply of plant resources.

A spatial concept of plant species richness

Early research on determinants of species richness in grasslands focused on mechanisms enhancing resource partitioning and coexistence of plant species. These mechanisms included spatial variation in resource availability and limitation of plants by different resources. However, other research has stressed that local species richness is determined by local colonization and regeneration processes, which are governed by the number of species available to colonize the area from a species pool at larger spatial scales.

The effects of herbivores in controlling plant species richness are a critical issue in the conservation and management of grassland biodiversity. Numerous field experiments in grassland plant communities show that herbivores often, but not always, increase plant diversity. Recent work suggests that the mechanisms of these effects involve alteration of local colonization of species from regional species pools or local extinction of species, and recent syntheses and models suggest that herbivore effects on plant diversity should vary across environmental gradients of soil fertility and precipitation.
Both ideas are merging into a new synthesis. Within this, it is proposed that local species richness in grasslands is maintained by a dynamic interaction between local colonization (via dispersal and establishment) from species pools at larger spatial scales and local extinction (e.g. because of competitive exclusion). This synthesis is inspired by island biogeography theory\(^2\). As a result, high plant diversity should occur when local extinction rates of species (relative to the number of species present) are lower than local colonization rates. This suggests that processes determining grassland diversity can be classified into two groups: (1) processes contributing to enhanced local colonization rates, and (2) processes contributing to reduced local extinction rates (Table 1). The extensive literature suggests that herbivores influence grassland plant diversity through any or all of these mechanisms\(^3,4\) (Table 1, Figs 2 and 3).

### Table 1. Overview of major processes determining local plant species richness in grasslands, and proposed effects of herbivores on those processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism increasing diversity</th>
<th>Main-direction(^a)</th>
<th>Effects of herbivores</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local colonization processes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced propagule dispersal through soil on hooves, seeds attached to fur, feathers, exoskeletons, etc., and through dung or faeces deposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher input of propagules of new species to a site</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Removal of seeds and reproductive structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher availability of propagules of extant species</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Soil disturbances stimulate germination from the soil seed bank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of regeneration niches allowing establishment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Soil disturbances stimulate germination from the soil seed bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local extinction, competitive exclusion processes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive interactions between plants are released by herbivore consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less competition for limiting resources</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Preferential consumption of competitively dominant plants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different species are limited by different nutrients</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Plants shift from competing for light to competing for soil nutrients, which allows more functionally different plant species to coexist, especially when attended by some spatial heterogeneity in nutrient supply rates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More spatial and temporal variation in resource supply</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tail species lose more biomass and become more nutrient limited than presetable species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatially and temporal variation in rates of biomass loss (disturbance) in which intermediate levels of disturbance prevent competitive dominance by the local resource competitors but do not create environments too extreme for rare species</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Selective grazing on patches with attractive plant species (especially when these are free of predators and enemies), creating spatial heterogeneity in attractive and unattractive plant species across landscapes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>High grazing pressure may result in dominance of only a few tolerant species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Compiled from Refs. 16, 25, 26 and 46–50.

\(^b\)Indicates whether diversity is generally enhanced (+) or decreased (–) through herbivore effects upon each process.

**Importance of herbivore type and scale**

Differences in the effects among different herbivore and environment types remain largely unexplained, even in extensive reviews of the subject\(^3,4,5\). However, insight is emerging into the relationships between herbivore body size, variation in their digestive capability, spatial scale of effect and vulnerability to predators. Small nondigging herbivores, such as insects and small mammals, generally create relatively few soil and plant canopy disturbances and do not often reduce the biomass of tall, coarse, competitively dominant grassland plants. In short experimental studies,
they might, therefore, have weak or even negative effects on plant diversity. In years of peak abundance, however, they can heavily defoliate dominant plant species and increase diversity25. Intermediate-sized herbivores (1–5 kg), such as herbivorous birds32 and digging mammals, can reduce the biomass of dominant species under certain conditions11,30. However, digging by mammals of this size to escape predators can create soil disturbances that enhance plant colonization at establishment gradients and suggests that soil fertility gradients and precipitation gradients could be crucial to explain patterns in herbivory34. Larger herbivores may be more efficient seed dispersers than smaller ones by transporting soil and undamaged seeds over larger distances. Their spatially heterogeneous urine deposition could also increase regeneration sites and soil heterogeneity25. Thus, larger herbivores increase plant diversity through many mechanisms. However, large herbivores at high density, such as in intensive livestock grazing, can graze unsuitably and/or create widespread erosive, detrimental soil disturbances, leaving only a few tolerant plant species, thus reducing plant diversity25. Herbivore effects on plant diversity can therefore shift from weak and intermittent effects to strong effects across a continuum of small to large herbivores. However, the direction of effects (either positive or negative) could depend as much on the environmental characteristics as on the type of herbivore.

Effects across environmental gradients

Results of herbivore exclusion experiments in different types of grassland suggest that the effects of herbivores vary predictably across environmental gradients. The characteristics of herbivores and plants, expected in different environments, could influence how herbivores affect plant diversity (Fig. 4). Recent work27–31 couples plant-resource interactions, plant competitive dynamics and herbivore population dynamics and suggests that soil fertility gradients and precipitation gradients could be crucial to explain patterns in herbivore effects on plant diversity (Table 2). Ratios of the supply of different plant resources determine productivity and the tissue characteristics of competitively dominant plant species. Tissue characteristics can influence the palatability of dominants and thus whether herbivores will mediate plant extinction rates by preventing competitive exclusion. For example, ungulate, productive environments feature intense light competition and thus have greater potential for herbivores to increase local colonization rates of plant species.

Grassland environments naturally classify into four major habitat types (Table 2). Dry environments on infertile soils have low productivity and favor plants that compete well for both nutrients and water in the absence of herbivory (e.g. in deserts). Dominant species can either be ephemeral or have water retention mechanisms operating through light reflection or transpiration reduction (via thorns, hairs, wax layers, woody structures and secondary chemicals) that also deter herbivory35. Such a plant community may, therefore, support a few, small herbivores, and these herbivores are likely to select rare, palatable species. Hence, they will have little effect on plant competition and consequently induce few plant extinction rates. Therefore, herbivory could increase extinction rates. Because of the low productivity and already extensive bare soil in these environments, herbivores...
may have little effect on colonization rates by opening the plant canopy. Low natural abundance of herbivores implies that few plants in the species pool have evolved a tolerance to grazing. Introductions of high densities of large mammalian grazers that were supplementary fed, such as live-stock, are therefore likely to reduce diversity dramatically.

In dry environments on fertile soils, competitively dominant plant species tend to be palatable and support high densities of many herbivore species (e.g. in East African savannas). These plant species are likely to tolerate, rather than avoid, herbivory because of the good regrowth opportunities and high nutrient availability in fertile soils. In this case, exclusion of herbivores could have weak positive effects on diversity because only a few plants that are intolerant to grazing remain in the species pool to colonize ungrazed areas.

On infertile soils with nonlimiting precipitation (e.g. in chalk grasslands, heathlands or unfertilized meadows), dominant plants are likely to have low tissue nutrient concentrations but to be sufficiently productive to induce light competition. These plants will probably only be used by large grazers, which can tolerate low plant tissue quality. Grazing, therefore, might shift competition (locally) from light to soil nutrients, allowing more species to coexist. Such effects facilitate smaller herbivores, which increase extinction rates of rarer nondominant species but balance this local extinction by enhancing the regeneration of these species through soil disturbance. Thus, herbivores, especially large grazers, could dramatically increase diversity in these environments.

On fertile soils with nonlimiting precipitation (e.g. in agricultural pastures, salt marshes or river flood-plain), dominant plants are productive and light competition is important in the absence of grazing. Strong light competitors (such as tall grasses and woody plants), which dominate these habitats in the absence of grazers, are likely to be unpalatable (because of the high stem leaf ratios) to all but the large herbivores when mature. Grazing by large herbivores opens the canopy, so that a few grazing-tolerant plant species replace the tall species. These tolerant species, which are able to regrow quickly after being grazed, support high densities of grazers, which impose unacceptably high mortality rates on species that are less tolerant to grazing. Facilitation by large herbivores may create opportunities for small, selective herbivores (e.g. insects and small mammals) that may further reduce plant diversity because they prefer rare, palatable species.

**Conclusion**

Recent work on herbivore mediation of plant diversity has shifted the question from “do herbivores have an effect?” to “why do effects differ?” Herbivores appear to affect plant diversity through their impact on dominant plant species, plant regeneration opportunities and propagule transport. The strength of their effects range from weak to strong across a continuum from small to large herbivores. Furthermore, these effects depend on regional variation in major habitat characteristics, such as soil fertility and water availability, which determine herbivore abundance and the number of plant species that have evolved herbivore avoidance or tolerance strategies. These generalizations may lead to more understanding of why similar herbivores positively influence diversity in some environments but not others. They may also help to understand more of the magnitude

---

**Table 2. Hypothesized effects of herbivory on grassland plant diversity in different grassland environments, taken from recent reviews and models of herbivore effects across environmental gradients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Major limiting resource</th>
<th>Herbivore characteristics</th>
<th>Large herbivores</th>
<th>Small herbivores</th>
<th>Net effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Infertile</td>
<td>Water/nutrients</td>
<td>Rare, small</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Fertile</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Abundant, diverse</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Infertile</td>
<td>Nutrients, light</td>
<td>Intermediately abundant, large</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Fertile</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Abundant, diverse</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resource competed for by ungrazed plants.

**Effects on plant diversity**

- **Through extinction**
  - Large herbivores: --
  - Small herbivores: --

- **Through colonization**
  - Large herbivores: +
  - Small herbivores: +/–

---

**Fig. 4. Shifting effects of herbivores on plant diversity across an environmental gradient.** Cattle grazing for 15 years in the tidal salt marsh on the island of Schiermonnikoog, The Netherlands, increased plant diversity on low salinity soils but decreased it on high salinity soils. Plant communities on low salinity soils (the high salt marsh) are sufficiently productive for plants to compete for light, so grazing by cattle is likely to prevent competitive exclusion of species that have small stature. Plants on high salinity soils are typically of small stature and are water-stressed. These stress factors may relax competitive interactions as resource supply rates exceed demands; thus, biomass reductions of dominant species have little consequences for subordinate species. Water stress also improves forage quality by preventing “dilution” of nitrogen in plant biomass, causing plants in saline parts of the salt marsh to be heavily fed upon. This may cause extensive damage to certain species, which reduces diversity. Soil compaction of wet (saline) soils and trampling by large herbivores can also inhibit plant diversity. Squares indicate grazed by cattle; circles, ungrazed. Data from Ref. 6.
and direction of the effects of different types of herbivores and the spatial and temporal scales at which they operate. Place the results of individual studies in this framework should help define contexts for biodiversity management and help resolve management controversies that arise from comparing conflicting individual studies.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jan Bakker, Jan Bokhato, Wim Braakhekke, Hans de Kroon, Herbert Prins and Ruben Smit for useful comments on an earlier draft and discussion on the subject. We are also grateful to Maurice Gleichman for ideas and drawing the rabbit in Fig. 2. An anonymous referee made helpful suggestions on an earlier draft.

References