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Star formation and the interstellar medium in low surface brightness galaxies

II. Deep CO observations of low surface brightness disk galaxies
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Abstract. We present deep, pointed $^{12}$CO($J = 2-1$) observations of three late-type LSB galaxies. The beam-size was small enough that we could probe different environments (HI maximum, HI minimum, star forming region) in these galaxies. No CO was found at any of the positions observed. We argue that the implied lack of molecular gas is real and not caused by conversion factor effects. The virtual absence of a molecular phase may explain the very low star formation rates in these galaxies.
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1. Introduction

Low Surface Brightness (LSB) galaxies exhibit some of the most extreme properties known for disk galaxies. This class itself can be split into the group of disk dominated, late-type field galaxies with absolute magnitudes between $M_B = -17$ and $M_B = -19$ and central surface brightnesses $m_B(B) \approx 23.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$, and the much smaller group of giant LSB galaxies ("Malin-1 cousins", Sprayberry et al. 1995 and Knezek 1993). Judging from their morphology these latter galaxies have undergone an evolutionary history quite different from the late-type field LSB galaxies which we will consider in this paper.

The extreme gas-richness (McGaugh & de Blok 1997) and low metallicities (McGaugh 1994) of the late-type LSB galaxies indicate that they are quite unevolved. They have low mass surface densities and this has often been suggested as a possible cause for their slow evolution (van der Hulst et al. 1987, McGaugh 1992, de Blok & McGaugh 1996).

Detailed investigations of a small sample of LSB galaxies (van der Hulst et al. 1993) show that their gas surface densities in general lie below the critical density needed for star formation, as derived by Kennicutt (1989). Although this global threshold density should be considered as a boundary condition only (local instabilities may still cause star formation), it nevertheless shows that conditions for star formation in LSB galaxies are not as favourable as in “normal” high surface brightness (HSB) galaxies.

This might simply be caused by the low densities, making dynamical timescales much longer, and therefore hampering the collapse of gas complexes into Giant Molecular Clouds (GMCs). The low metallicity may also make cooling of the Interstellar Medium (ISM) more difficult, delaying the formation of GMCs.

To get a better handle on the properties of the cold, molecular component of the ISM in LSB galaxies, one needs to observe indicators such as the CO molecule. Previous studies (Schomber et al. 1990) that have tried to detect CO, have not succeeded to rather low limits. This either means that CO does not work as a tracer in LSB galaxies – implying that large amounts of molecular hydrogen could still exist – or that LSB galaxies are deficient in their molecular component.

The case of H$_2$-poor galaxies is especially interesting: the conditions that can then be deduced for LSB galaxies, which have obviously formed stars, might help answer questions as: where and how do stars form in an environment poor in molecular gas? Is a small molecular component, even as an intermediate agent always needed? Are GMCs always needed for star formation?

In this paper we will describe the results and implications of a few very deep pointed observations in the CO(2-1) line of various galactic environments in LSB galaxies. The higher resolution of this line with respect to
the CO(1-0)-line enabled us to point at different locations within one galaxy. Positions were selected on the basis of detailed H\textsc{i} (de Blok et al. 1996) and optical imaging (de Blok et al. 1995). Section 2 describes the observations; in sect. 3 the results are discussed; sect. 4 gives a discussion of the implications; and sect. 5 summarizes the results. We will assume a Hubble constant $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ in the rest of this paper.

### 2. Observations

Three galaxies were chosen from the sample of LSB galaxies described in de Blok et al. (1996) and the references in the last paragraph of the previous section. We refer to these papers for a description of the detailed properties of our sample. In general, the sample contains late-type LSB galaxies with absolute magnitudes $M_B \sim -17.5$, central surface brightness $\mu_B \sim 23.5$ B-mag arcsec$^{-2}$, and colour $B-V \sim 0.5$. In order to observe as wide a range of galactic environments as possible, we used H\textsc{i} column density maps and H\textsc{a} imaging to choose prominent H\textsc{i} minima and maxima and star forming regions. The H\textsc{a} images were also used to check whether any H\textsc{i} features coincided with optical features. In the end four positions were observed with the 15-m James Clerk Maxwell Telescope at Mauna Kea, Hawai\text{i, in the $^{12}$CO ($J=2-1$) line at 230 GHz rest-frequency.

The observations were carried out from 29 March – 3 April 1993. The A2 SIS receiver was used with the AOSC backend, giving 2048 channels over a bandwidth of 500 MHz with a 250 kHz channel separation. Because of a factor of two oversampling the effective resolution was 500 kHz. This corresponded to a velocity range of 652 km s$^{-1}$, and an effective velocity resolution of 0.67 km s$^{-1}$ (2 channels).

The beam size was $22''$ and observations were made in beams-switching mode. The object position and a piece of sky 2 to 3'' away in azimuth were observed. Calibration was done with the help of a three-load measurement. Three resistors with known temperatures were measured and thus calibrated the temperature scale. These calibration measurements were made every half hour. The scatter in these calibration measurements was less than 5% from night to night. This calibration was deemed to be sufficiently accurate for our purpose.

The observed positions are given in Table 1, along with the name of the galaxy, a description of the position and the total (on-off source) integration time. The top panels in Fig. 1 show the pointing positions.

### 3. Results

No CO emission was detected at any of the positions after on-source integration times of $\sim 1.5$ hours per position. Typical RMS-noises at 500-kHz-resolution were $T_A^{\text{int}} \sim 6$ mK. A beam efficiency of 0.77 was used to convert the measured antenna temperatures $T_A$ to brightness temperatures $T_b$.

Upper limits on the CO-flux were determined using a 3$\sigma$ upper limit. As the original velocity resolution is too high to get any meaningful upper limits, we have smoothed the spectra to lower resolutions. We will present the results for two different resolutions: a velocity channel separation of 5.2 km s$^{-1}$, i.e., identical to the velocity channel separation of the Schombert et al. (1990) observations, and 11 km s$^{-1}$, which is identical to the velocity channel separation of the VLA H\textsc{i} observations in de Blok et al. (1996).

The 3$\sigma$ upper limits to the H\textsc{2} mass in the beam were determined following the method described in Schombert et al. (1990) and Bregman & Hogg (1988). Using the H\textsc{i} velocity widths measured within the JCMT beam [extracted from the de Blok et al. (1996) H\textsc{i} data cubes], we can derive an upper limit to the H\textsc{2} mass as follows. For a channel spacing of 11 km s$^{-1}$ we get:

$$I_{\text{CO}} \leq 3T_b \cdot \Delta V_{\text{HI}} / \sqrt{n},$$

where $\Delta V_{\text{HI}} = 11n$. This yields

$$I_{\text{CO}} \leq 3T_b \cdot \Delta V_{\text{HI}} / \sqrt{\Delta V_{\text{HI}} / 11} = 3T_b \cdot \sqrt{11} \Delta V_{\text{HI}}.$$

We can then convert this to upper limits of H\textsc{2} masses in the beam by using the formula given in Sanders et al. (1986):

$$M(H_2) = 5.82[(\pi/4)d_b^2 I_{\text{CO}}].$$

Here $d_b$ is the telescope beam diameter at the distance of the source, expressed in parsecs. This formula assumes $X = N(H_2) / \int T(CO) dv = 3.6 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} / (\text{K km s}^{-1})$. The H\textsc{2} mass depends directly on the value of this conversion constant. In the next section we will show that our conclusions do not depend crucially upon this factor.

Table 2 compares the RMS-noise at the lower resolutions. The top panel contains the RMS-noise of the spectra smoothed to 5.2 km s$^{-1}$; the bottom panel that of the 11 km s$^{-1}$ spectra.

The bottom panels of Fig. 1 compare the 11 km s$^{-1}$ JCMT spectra with the VLA spectra measured at the same spatial position. These latter were extracted from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$\alpha(1950.0)$</th>
<th>$\delta(1950.0)$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{int}}$</th>
<th>type$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F543-V1</td>
<td>08 43 46.7</td>
<td>+19 04 16</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>10 42 19.5</td>
<td>+22 19 00</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>hole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F571-V1</td>
<td>10 42 18.5</td>
<td>+22 19 15</td>
<td>10855</td>
<td>peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $a$: $t_{\text{int}}$ is total integration time in seconds. Time spent on source is half this value. $b$: ‘peak’ denotes a peak in the H\textsc{i} distribution, ‘hole’ a hole in the H\textsc{i} distribution, ‘SF’ a region of star formation.
the data cubes using a beam size of 22\arcsec (the size of the JCMT beam). If a significant amount of CO were distributed like the H\textsc{i}; the CO profile should resemble the neutral hydrogen profile at that position, but at the position of the H\textsc{i} signal, there is no hint of any CO emission.

The total masses of the H\textsc{i} within the beam are compared with the upper limits to the H\textsubscript{2} masses in Table 2. The upper limits to the $M(\text{H}_2)/M(\text{H}_\text{i})$ ratios are extremely low, consistent with the previous measurements by Schombert et al. (1990) and Knezek (1993). While the observations of Schombert et al. probed entire galaxies in one observation, our pointed observations show that also more locally the amount of CO is extremely low.

Many studies list the total $\text{H}_2$ masses of galaxies and compare them with other properties. To be able to compare our results, which only give $M(\text{H}_2)$ in a part of the galaxy, with these other studies we derive an upper limit on the total H\textsubscript{2} mass in the following way: we compute the
Fig. 1. (continued) See previous caption. Shown is LSB galaxy F571-V1

### Table 2. Upper limits to CO-fluxes and H₂ masses in LSB galaxies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pos</th>
<th>σ(T_A) (mK)</th>
<th>σ(T_b) (mK)</th>
<th>ΔV_HI (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>I_CO (K km² s⁻²)</th>
<th>D (Mpc)</th>
<th>d_b (kpc)</th>
<th>M(H₂) (10⁻¹⁰ M☉)</th>
<th>M(HI) (10⁻¹¹ M☉)</th>
<th>M(H₂)/M(HI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F563-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>97.95</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F571-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2 km s⁻¹ resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pos</th>
<th>σ(T_A) (mK)</th>
<th>σ(T_b) (mK)</th>
<th>ΔV_HI (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>I_CO (K km² s⁻²)</th>
<th>D (Mpc)</th>
<th>d_b (kpc)</th>
<th>M(H₂) (10⁻¹⁰ M☉)</th>
<th>M(HI) (10⁻¹¹ M☉)</th>
<th>M(H₂)/M(HI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F563-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>97.95</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F571-V1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Name of galaxy. 2. Position identification. 3. RMS noise in antenna temperature. 4. RMS noise in brightness temperature. 5. Velocity width HI profile within JCMT beam. 6. Upper limit CO intensity. 7. Distance to galaxy (H₀ = 75). 8. Diameter beam at distance of galaxy. 9. 3σ upper limit H₂ mass in beam. 10. HI mass in beam. 11. Upper limit mass ratio.

The ratio between the area of the beam and the total area of the galaxy within (1) the HI radius (radius where surface density reaches 1 M⊙ pc⁻²) and (2) the optical radius R₂₅. By multiplying the upper limits from Table 2 with this ratio we find the total H₂ mass (again within either the HI radius or the optical radius). We can then compare these with the total HI mass. These results are tabulated in Table 3. We have used the 11 km s⁻¹ results to be able to compare directly with the VLA data.

In general the CO in other galaxies is found only at radii less than half of the optical radius (Young & Knezek 1989). In this respect the numbers given in Table 3 are very optimistic numbers, as they assume that the CO extends out to the HI radius and the optical radius, respectively. The true numbers are therefore likely to be lower. We will use the results derived for 11 km s⁻¹ and R₂₅ in the rest of this paper.

### 4. Discussion

The non-detections of CO can be taken at face-value to suggest that LSB galaxies are poor in H₂. There are, however, several factors which complicate this naive interpretation. The most important of these is the conversion fac-
Table 3. Upper limits to total H$_2$ masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$M_{\text{HI}}$ ($10^7$ $M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$R_{\text{HI}}$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{H}<em>2}$ ($10^7$ $M</em>\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{H}<em>2}/M</em>{\text{HI}}$</th>
<th>$R_{25}$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{H}<em>2}/M</em>{\text{HI}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F563-V1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V1</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F568-V2</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F571-V1</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>3.8$^a$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: $R_{25}$ is smaller than radius of beam. We have therefore used the H$_2$ mass from Table 2 without changes.

Fig. 2. The ratio of H$_2$ mass and H$_1$ mass plotted as function of Hubble type. The filled circles show the average value for each Hubble type from Young & Knezek, indicating a decreasing importance of the molecular component with respect to the atomic component. The vertical lines indicate the full range of the Young & Knezek data points. The Schomber et al. measurements are indicated by the dotted symbols. Our measurements are indicated by the solid upper limit symbols. The data assume a constant conversion factor of $3.6 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$/(K km s$^{-1}$).

Fig. 3. The ratio of H$_2$ mass and total gas mass plotted versus the oxygen abundance. The dashed line show the average relation derived for HSB galaxies by VCE, the dotted lines show the approximate $2\sigma$ width of the distribution. Our measurements are indicated by the solid symbols, while those of Schomber et al. (1990) are indicated by the dotted upper limit symbols. We used abundance data from McGaugh (1994) and Paper I. Two cases where no abundance measurements were available are indicated by the horizontal errorbars that span the range of abundances found in LSB galaxies. The upper limits are consistent with the trend derived by VCE.

In the following sections we will first discuss our results in the light of other studies of the molecular gas in late type galaxies, then explore the implications of possible variations in the conversion factor X with morphological type and metallicity and finally infer that the LSB galaxies of the kind considered are here significantly poorer in H$_2$ than their HSB counterparts.

4.1. Comparison with other galaxies

As the ISM in LSB galaxies has low metallicities (McGaugh 1994) we will compare our non-detections with observations of samples of other late-type, low-metallicity galaxies.
One such sample is that of Sage et al. (1992) of dwarf irregulars and blue compact galaxies. One of their conclusions is that for the galaxies in their sample the CO/H$\alpha$ ratio did not depend on metallicity. If this were also true for our LSB galaxies then we would have expected to detect CO based on their H$\alpha$ masses.

Our results therefore appear inconsistent with a constant CO/H$\alpha$ ratio. This should perhaps not be expected: dwarf irregulars and especially blue compact galaxies have appreciable star formation rates or are undergoing bursts and both also have high surface densities. LSB galaxies on the other hand have low current star formation rates and low H$\alpha$ surface densities.

A better comparison sample therefore is perhaps the sample of Magellanic irregular galaxies of Hunter & Sage (1993). These observations also resulted in null-detections. Based on this they suggest that molecular gas may be a transient phenomenon in dwarf galaxies, as a result of the low H$\alpha$ volume densities which supposedly are not high enough to sustain H$_2$. The low H$\alpha$ densities found in LSB galaxies (de Blok et al. 1996) then suggest that similar reasoning can explain the apparent lack of CO, and thus of H$_2$.

It is of interest also to examine as a comparison sample the sample of late-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster as described by Kenney & Young (1988). They discuss several reasons why the CO-poor but H$_2$-rich galaxies in their sample are most likely also H$_2$-poor. The LSB galaxies discussed here share some of the properties of the late-type Virgo-spirals so this discussion is relevant for our results.

The sample of Virgo spirals shows a large range in CO-surface brightness (defined as $L_{CO}/D_{25}^{2}$) with the most luminous galaxies having the highest values. Kenney & Young divide the group of galaxies with $M_B \sim -19$ into two groups according to their H$_2$-richness. The H$_2$-rich galaxies turn out to be poor in CO, while the H$_2$-poor galaxies are rich in CO. As they find no H$_2$-rich galaxies that are also CO-rich, they suggest that this indicates that the H$_2$-rich galaxies do not contain much molecular gas. This might thus be a hint that the gas-rich late-type LSB galaxies are also poor in molecular gas.

Kenney & Young also find a correlation between the amount of far infra-red (FIR) emission (arising from dust heated by starlight) and the total amount of gas within the optical radius of a galaxy. Actual FIR measurements of LSB galaxies are to our knowledge not available (None of the late-type LSB galaxies discussed here was found in the IRAS database), but we can use the work by Bothun et al. (1989) to show that LSB galaxies must have FIR luminosities of $\leq 10^8 L_\odot$. Comparison with the relation of Kenney & Young (their Fig. 3d) then implies that the amount of H$_2$ present in LSB galaxies (a few times $10^9 M_\odot$) is (more than) enough to account for the total gas mass. This also is an indication that LSB galaxies do not contain large amounts of molecular gas.

4.2. The CO – H$_2$ conversion factor $X$

What conditions must a galaxy fulfill in order to be able to maintain large amounts of molecular gas? First, the metallicity must be high enough to ensure sufficient amounts of dust. Second, the total column density of gas must be large enough to shield H$_2$ from dissociating radiation. Measurements of colours, metallicities and H$\alpha$ region Balmer decrements of late-type LSB galaxies all suggests a low dust content (McGaugh 1994, van den Hoek et al. 1997). Observations of the H$\alpha$ (de Blok et al. 1996) show low surface densities. LSB galaxies do not seem to obey the conditions necessary for H$_2$.

As the H$_2$ formation rate is thought to be proportional to the number density of the dust, the low dust content and low dust-to-gas ratio, or alternatively the low metallicity, lower this rate and also lower the fraction of star-forming-cloud mass that is in molecular form. The low dust content may make the conversion from H$_2$ into H$_2$ more difficult, as dust grains provide shielding from the interstellar radiation field. A larger column density of gas is thus needed to shield the H$_2$. The low densities and lack of dust found in LSB galaxies make it easier for UV photons to dissociate molecules, thus destroying H$_2$ more easily.

However, the same processes affect the CO molecules even more. Lower abundances of oxygen, and presumably carbon, in the gas means that the sizes of the clouds as traced by the CO may be smaller than the underlying H$_2$ clouds. The CO emission will therefore be lower too. If a constant conversion factor is assumed, this then leads to an underestimate of the total amount of molecular hydrogen.

This effect is dramatically illustrated by Maloney & Black (1988) using a model of the SMC. A dust to gas ratio (equivalent to metallicity) 17 times smaller than that of the local solar neighbourhood yields an H$_2$ peak abundance which is decreased by only 10%. The effect is much more dramatic for the CO. Whereas models for Galactic GMCs show that 90% of the carbon is locked up in CO, the GMCs in the SMC contain only 1% of the carbon in the form of CO. Self-shielding is thus very important for the survival of CO molecules in the interstellar UV radiation field. Observations of molecular clouds in the SMC (Rubio et al. 1993) do indeed show this effect and the value found for $X$ from an analysis of virial masses of individual clouds is 4 – 20 times higher than the standard Galactic value for clouds of 20 – 200 pc in size.

Similarly the CO to H$_2$ conversion factor can be very different in the low metallicity LSB galaxies. One should keep in mind that a different value of $X$ does not imply that LSB galaxies contain large amounts of H$_2$. It still leaves open the possibility that the absolute amount of H$_2$ may be fairly small. We therefore discuss various approaches to the conversion factor and the implications for the H$_2$.
content of LSB galaxies in the following subsections (4.2.1-3)

4.2.1. A constant conversion factor

Young & Knezek (1989) have analysed the change in $M(H_2)/M(HI)$ over a large range in Hubble type, and found a decreasing importance of $H_2$ towards later Hubble types. In this analysis they kept the conversion factor constant at $t_2$ types. In this analysis they kept the conversion factor found a decreasing importance of $H_2$ this trend cannot be caused by a change in $X$ alone, as that would imply that the temperature of the gaseous ISM would need to be some 20 times lower in late type galaxies than in early types, or alternatively the density of the gas would have to differ by a factor of 400 between early and late types.

This means that the change in $M(H_2)/M(HI)$ would have to be caused at least partly by a true decrease in the importance of $H_2$ towards later types. Again, if these arguments are accepted, LSB galaxies are galaxies that are poor in $H_2$, and whose gas component is totally dominated by the neutral hydrogen.

This is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the data of Young & Knezek is schematically represented, along with LSB measurements. The upper limits derived for the LSB galaxies closely follow the trend defined by the Hubble sequence. Assuming a constant $X$ thus makes LSB galaxies 2.5 orders of magnitude more poor in $H_2$ than early-type HSB galaxies and, based on our upper limits, an order of magnitude poorer than HSB late-type galaxies of similar Hubble type.

4.2.2. An ad-hoc conversion factor

Low $H_2$ fractions can also be inferred from the work of Vila-Costas & Edmunds (1992) [VCE]. Amongst other things they looked at the mutual abundances in a number of HSB galaxies of metal abundances in the gas, surface densities of the gas and $H_2$ fractions. The latter were determined from CO fluxes from the literature, by assuming a variable conversion factor that would for each galaxy give an exponential total (i.e. $H_1$ and $H_2$) gas distribution. They show that low gas-surface density galaxies have low oxygen abundances (their Fig. 7), and low $H_2$ fractions.

In Fig. 3 the relation between abundance and molecular gas fraction from VCE is shown, with our measurements and those from Schomber et al. (1990) overplotted. We did not include Knezek’s (1993) sample as this consists of giant LSB galaxies who have most likely undergone a different evolutionary history.

Applying the abundance values from McGaugh (1994) and de Blok & van der Hulst (1997, Paper I) to the trend derived by VCE we find that $M(H_2)/M(gas)$ in LSB galaxies must be less than 0.25, consistent with the actual measurements, where our measurements imply ratios of less than 0.04.

The trend as presented in VCE cannot be explained as an artefact of their variable conversion factor $X$. VCE allow values between 0.8 and $4.8 \times 10^{20}$, which is a factor of 6. This translates in approximately a factor of 2 change in $M(H_2)/M(gas)$. The various values of $X$ which VCE derive are however independent of properties like Hubble type, and will therefore not introduce any systematic trends. The effect of changing $X$ in a non-systematic way as VCE have done is therefore merely a shift in the positions of individual galaxies by at most a factor of 2. In essence they have just added scatter to the relation derived by Young & Knezek (1989). This therefore does not affect the conclusion that the VCE analysis implies that the molecular component in LSB galaxies most likely constitutes only a small fraction of the total amount of gas.

4.2.3. A metallicity-dependent conversion factor

Wilson (1995) demonstrated that Maloney & Black's (1988) ideas concerning a variation in $X$ with metallicity is borne out in observations of galaxies in the Local Group. Based on measurements of the CO luminosity and determination of the virial masses of individual clouds, Wilson finds that the conversion factor increases as the metallicity decreases. Israel (1997) investigated the metallicity dependence of $X$ in a different way using the FIR surface brightness and H$_1$ column density to estimate the column density of H$_2$ and found an even steeper relationship.

The average oxygen abundance for the LSB galaxies we observed is $12 + \log(O/H) \sim 8.4$. Using the above results this would lead to conversion factor values $X$ of 2 to 6 times the Galactic value.

The star formation rates and H$_1$ column densities in the galaxies used to derive the dependence of $X$ on metallicity are appreciably higher than those commonly found in LSB galaxies. The lower star formation rate implies a lower energy density of the radiation field and consequently lower dissociation of the CO and H$_2$. The result will be that $X$ probably is not as large as in the extreme case of the SMC, so some care should be exercised in using these results for estimating the H$_2$ mass limits for LSB galaxies.

Another effect of the low metallicities is a less efficient cooling of the ISM, which leads to higher cloud temperatures, making it difficult for a cold molecular phase to exist. Detailed modelling suggests that the lack of cooling is sufficient to prevent most of the gas from becoming cold ($T < 1000$ K) [see the results presented in Gerritsen & de Blok [Paper III]], and that this is one of the main causes for the low star formation rates in LSB galaxies. This implies that H$_2$ would only be a small fraction of the total gas mass.

Bearing these effects in mind we estimate that $X$ will be $\sim 4$ times the Galactic value in our objects. In other
words, LSB galaxies should contain 4 times more $\text{H}_2$ than the Galactic value suggests.

The trend found by Young & Knezek (1989) implies a decrease in the importance of molecular gas by a factor of 300 from early- to late-type galaxies. Our LSB galaxies are furthermore at least a factor 15 more poor in molecular gas than average late-type HSB galaxies.

Assuming that the Galactic value of $X$ holds for the early-type galaxies, the metallicity dependence of $X$ increases the upper limits for the amount of molecular gas inferred in LSB galaxies by a factor of $\sim 4$. This still makes LSB galaxies an order of magnitude more poor in molecular gas than the early-types. The metallicity dependence of $X$ implies that its value for the late-type HSB galaxies will also be larger. This means that the amount of molecular gas in LSB galaxies will increase by less than a factor of $\sim 4$ with respect to the late-type HSB galaxies, thus retaining the difference between LSB and HSB galaxies. If, as suggested by, amongst others, Israel (1997) the value of $X$ depends mainly on the radiation field, rather than metallicity, then the value of $X$ in late-type HSB galaxies could actually be higher than in the LSB galaxies, thus increasing the difference between HSB and LSB galaxies.

Similar arguments apply to the VCE results. The larger $X$ will decrease the slope of the VCE trend by about a factor of 3, which is however not enough to make the trend of decreasing $\text{H}_2$ fraction with decreasing abundance disappear.

In summary, the metallicity dependence of $X$ tends to offset partly the trends found by Young & Knezek (1989) and VCE, but the effect is not strong enough to make these trends disappear. The trend of decreasing molecular gas content with Hubble type remains, although slightly less steep than given by Young & Knezek. The conclusion remains that it is likely that LSB galaxies have smaller $\text{H}_2$ fractions than their HSB counterparts.

4.2.4. Other arguments

An additional argument why it is plausible to have small $\text{H}_2$ fractions in LSB galaxies is the role of shear. One way of creating high column density regions where molecular gas may form is by making massive clouds. These form most likely in cloud-cloud collisions. The collision rate will be larger in galaxies where shear plays an important role. Clouds may then also grow from gravitational accretion in shearing gas layers. The rotation curves of LSB galaxies show them to have only slowly rising rotation curves with large solid-body parts, so that the amount of shear will be smaller with a consequently smaller cloud growth rate.

The cloud formation rate also depends on the mean gas volume density. For example in our Milky Way at 4 kpc 70 percent of the molecular gas is locked up in massive clouds, while at 10 kpc this is only 10 percent (see e.g. Sakamoto et al. 1997). It will be clear that the conditions for forming massive clouds will be less favourable in LSB galaxies.

These arguments thus imply that LSB galaxies probably have a low $\text{H}_2$ content. We should note though that CO emission has been detected in a few LSB galaxies in the sample of Knezek (1993). However, as noted earlier, her sample was selected to contain giant early-type LSB galaxies. These galaxies have a much different morphology (e.g. presence of a large bulge) than the galaxies in our sample. A detailed discussion of these galaxies would be interesting but is unfortunately beyond the scope of this paper.

4.3. Caveats

Throughout this paper we have assumed a one-to-one correspondence between the CO(2-1) brightness temperature and the CO(1-0) brightness temperature. All derivations of $X$ etc. are based on the latter. In practice the correspondence is not entirely one-to-one, as the CO(1-0) and CO(2-1) lines do not necessarily trace the same gas. CO(2-1) probably traces slightly warmer and denser clouds. Chiar et al. (1994) find from observations of an ensemble of molecular clouds in the Galactic plane that the average ratio between the CO(2-1) and CO(1-0) brightness temperatures $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0}$ is 0.8. This implies that a conversion factor $X_{2-1}$ based on CO(2-1) observations should be 20 percent larger than $X_{1-0}$, which is the generally used conversion factor.

Further independent modelling by Kutner et al. (1990) shows that the ratio $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0}$ depends on gas density, CO abundance and temperature. They find that $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0}$ increases with decreasing CO abundance. One might tentatively conclude from this that the $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0}$ ratio in LSB galaxies might be closer to unity than the value of 0.8 mentioned above. The results of Rubio et al. (1993) for the SMC indicate a $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0}$ ratio of 1.2, supporting the idea that this ratio is at least unity for LSB galaxies. In the worst case assuming a one-to-one correspondence between CO(2-1) and CO(1-0) (i.e. assuming that $T_{2-1}/T_{1-0} = 1$) thus underestimates the derived LSB $\text{H}_2$ masses by 20 percent. This is not enough to make LSB galaxies rich in $\text{H}_2$ and does affect neither the discussion nor the results.

5. Concluding Remarks

We have presented deep, pointed $^{12}\text{CO}(J = 2 - 1)$ observations of three LSB galaxies. No CO was found at any of the positions observed. This leads to a mean upper limit of the local $M(\text{H}_2)/M(\text{H}1) < 0.25$, with values for the total $M(\text{H}_2)/M(\text{H}1)$ ratio reaching values of less than 4% (assuming a Galactic value for the CO to $\text{H}_2$ conversion factor $X$).

It is, however, unlikely that the Galactic conversion factor applies to LSB galaxies which have low metallicity-
ties and low dust content. In fact the value for \(X\) is likely to be \(\sim 4\) times higher. The \(\text{H}_2\) content would be correspondingly higher. Our limits then imply that LSB galaxies roughly have \(20\%\) of their gas mass in the form of \(\text{H}_2\). This is still lower than is found in HSB galaxies.

The conclusion then is that there are no large amounts of \(\text{H}_2\) hidden in LSB galaxies. The low star formation rates measured in LSB galaxies can thus be explained by the virtual absence of a molecular component. Star formation in LSB galaxies may thus proceed in a different way than in HSB galaxies. A detailed comparison between the properties of star forming regions in LSB and HSB galaxies may be a good way to put more constraints on the way stars form in environments that lack a cold component.
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