

University of Groningen

Individual differences in the aesthetic evaluation of natural landscapes

Berg, Ageeta Elizabeth van den

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

1999

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Berg, A. E. V. D. (1999). Individual differences in the aesthetic evaluation of natural landscapes. Groningen: s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

- Abello, R.P., & Bernaldez, F.G. (1986). Landscape preference and personality. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 13*, 19-28.
- Achterberg, W. (1994). Houdingen tegenover de natuur. In: *Samenleving, natuur en duurzaamheid, een inleiding in de milieufilosofie* (pp. 144-167). Assen: Van Gorcum.
- Alderfer, C.P. (1972). *Existence, relatedness and growth: Human needs in organizational settings*. New York: The Free Press.
- Anderson, I.M. (1981). Land-use designations affect perception of scenic beauty in forest landscapes. *Forest Science, 27*, 392-400.
- Appleton, J. (1975). *The experience of landscape*. London: Wiley.
- Arcury, T.A. (1990). Environmental attitude and environmental knowledge. *Human Organization, 49*, 300-304.
- Arcury, T.A., & Christianson, E.H. (1990). Environmental worldview in response to environmental problems. *Environment and Behavior, 22*, 387-407.
- Bal, D., Beijer, H.M., Hooijer, Y.R., Jansen, S.R.J., & van der Reest, P.J. (1996). Handboek natuurdoeltypen in Nederland (The handbook of target nature types in The Netherlands). Wageningen: IKC Natuurbeheer (with English summary).
- Balling, J.D., & Falk, J.H. (1982). Development of visual preference for natural environments. *Environment and Behavior, 14*, 5-28.
- Baron, R.M., & Kenny, D.A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51*, 1173-1182.
- Bixler, R.D. & Floyd, M.F. (1997). Nature is scary, disgusting and uncomfortable. *Environment and Behavior, 29*, 443-467.
- Bourassa, S.C. (1988). Toward a theory of landscape aesthetics. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 15*, 241-252.
- Bourassa, S.C. (1990). A paradigm for landscape aesthetics. *Environment and Behavior, 22*, 787-812.
- Brown, T.C., & Daniel, T.C. (1987). Context effects in perceived environmental quality assessment: Scene selection and landscape quality ratings. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 7*, 233-250.
- Brown, T.C., Daniel, T.C., Richards, M.T., & King, D.A. (1988). Recreation participation and the validity of photo-based preference judgments. *Journal of Leisure Research, 20*, 40-60.
- Brown, T., Keane, T. & Kaplan, S. (1986). Aesthetics and management: Bridging the gap. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 13*, 1-10.
- Brush, R.O., & Shafer, E.L. (1975). Application of a landscape-preference model to lands management. In: E.H. Zube, R.O. Brush, & J.G. Fabos (Eds.), *Landscape assessment: Values, perceptions, and resources* (pp. 168-182). Stroudsburg, Pa.: Dowden, Hutchinson, & Ross.
- Bryk, A.S., & Raudenbush, S.W. (1992). *Hierarchical linear models: applications and data analysis*. London: Sage Publications.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Arnd, L.K., & Probst, D.B. (1981). Interval scaling of landscape preference by direct and indirect measurement methods. *Landscape Planning, 8*, 257-267.
- Buyhoff, G.J., Wellman, J.D., Harvey, H. & Fraser, R.A. (1978). Landscape architects' interpretations of people's landscape preferences. *Journal of Environmental Management, 6*, 255-262.
- Buijs, A.E., & Volker, C.M. (1997). *Publiek draagvlak voor natuur en natuurbeleid* (public support for nature and nature policy). Report 546. Wageningen: The Winand Staring Centre.

- Buttel, F.H. (1987). New directions in environmental sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 13, 465-488.
- Cacioppo, J.T., & Tassinary, L.G. (1990). *Principles of psychophysiology: Physical, social, and inferential elements*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Canter, D. (1983). The purposive evaluation of places: A facet approach. *Environment and Behavior*, 15, 659-698.
- Catton, W.R., & Dunlap, R.E. (1980). A new ecological paradigm for post-exuberant sociology. *American Sociologist*, 13, 41-49.
- Christensen, N.L., Baruska, A.M., Brown, J.H., Carpenter, S., D'antonio, C., Francis, R., Franklin, J.F., MacMahon, J.A., Noss, R.F., Parsons, D.J., Peterson, C.H., Turner, M.G., & Woodmansee, R.G. (1996). The report of the ecological society of America committee on the scientific basis for ecosystem management. *Ecological Applications*, 6, 665-691.
- Coeterier, J.F. (1983). A photo validity test. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 3, 315-323.
- Coeterier, J.F. (1996). Dominant attributes in the perception and evaluation of the Dutch landscape. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 34, 27-44.
- Cooper, L.G. (1973). A multivariate investigation of preferences. *Multivariate Behavior Research*, 8, 253-272.
- Craik, K.H. & Feimer, N.R. (1987). Environmental assessment. In: D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), *Handbook of environmental psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 891-9185). New York: Wiley.
- Daniel, T.C. (1990). Measuring the quality of the natural environment: A psychophysical approach. *American Psychologist*, 45, 633-637.
- Daniel, T.C., & Boster, R.C. (1976). *Measuring landscape aesthetics: the scenic beauty estimation method*. (Research Paper RM-167). Fort Collins, Colorado: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Daniel, T.C., Brown, T.C., King, D.A., Richards, M.R., & Stewart, W.P. (1989). Perceived scenic beauty and contingent valuation of forest campgrounds. *Forest Science*, 35, 76-90.
- Daniel, T.C., & Schroeder, H.W. (1979). Scenic beauty estimation model: Predicting perceived beauty of forest landscapes. In: G.H. Elsner & R.D. Swardon (Eds.), *Proceedings of our national landscape. A conference on applied techniques for analysis and management of the visual resource* (General Technical Report PSW-35, pp. 514-523). Berkeley, California: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Daniel, T.C. & Vining, J.V. (1983). Methodological issues in the assessment of landscape quality. In I. Altman & J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 39-83). New York: Plenum Press.
- Dearden, P. (1980). A statistical technique for the evaluation of the visual quality of the landscape for land-use planning purposes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 10, 51-68.
- Dearden, P. (1981). Landscape Evaluation: the case for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 13, 95-105.
- Dearden, P. (1984). Factors influencing landscape preferences: An empirical investigation. *Landscape Planning*, 11, 293-306.
- Dearden, P. (1987). Consensus and a theoretical framework for landscape evaluation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 34, 267-278.
- DeLucio, J.V., & Mugica, M. (1994). Landscape preferences and behaviour of visitors to Spanish national parks. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 29, 145-160.

- Dimberg, U. (1990). Facial electromyography and emotional reactions. *Psychophysiology*, 27, 481-494.
- Dunlap, R.E., & Catton, W.R. (1979). Environmental sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 5, 243-273.
- Dunlap, R.E., & Van Liere, K.D. (1978). The 'New Environmental Paradigm': a proposed measuring instrument and preliminary results. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 9, 10-19.
- Eiser, J.R., Reicher, S.D., & Popadec, T.J. (1993). What's the beach like? Context effects in judgements of environmental quality. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 13, 343-352.
- Fenton, D.M. (1985). Dimensions of meaning in the perception of natural settings and their relationship to aesthetic response. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 37, 325-339.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Gallagher, T.J. (1977). *Visual preference for alternative natural landscapes*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.
- González Bernaldez, F., & Parra, F. (1979). Dimensions of landscape preferences from pairwise comparisons. In: G.H. Elsner & R.D. Swardon (Eds.). *Proceedings of our national landscape. A conference on applied techniques for analysis and management of the visual resource* (General Technical Report PSW-35, pp. 256-262). Berkeley, California: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Gooch, G.D. (1995). Environmental beliefs and attitudes in Sweden and the Baltic States. *Environment and Behavior*, 27, 513-539.
- Greenberg, J., Solomon, S., & Pyszczynski, T. (1997). Terror management theory of self-esteem and cultural worldviews: Empirical assessments and conceptual refinements. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 29, 61-139. New York: Academic Press.
- Hammit, W.E., Patterson, M.E. & Noe, F.P. (1994). Identifying and predicting visual preference of southern Appalachian forest recreation vistas. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 29, 171-183.
- Hartig, T. (1993). Nature experience in transactional perspective. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 25, 17-36.
- Hartig, T., & Evans, G.W. (1993). Psychological foundations of nature experience. In T. Gärling & R.G. Golledge (Eds.), *Behavior and environment: Psychological and geographical approaches* (pp. 427-457). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Hartig, T., Mang, M., & Evans, G.W. (1991). Restorative effects of natural environment experiences. *Environment and Behavior*, 23, 3-26.
- Heider, F. (1958). *The psychology of interpersonal relations*. New York: Wiley.
- Herzog, T. (1989). A cognitive analysis of preference for urban nature. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 9, 27-43.
- Higgs, E.S. (1997). What is good ecological restoration? *Conservation Biology*, 11, 338-348.
- Highhouse, S., & Yüce, P. (1996). Perspectives, perceptions, and risk-taking behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 65, 159-167.
- Hodgson, R.W., & Thayer, R.L. (1980). Implied human influence reduces landscape beauty. *Landscape Planning*, 7, 171-179.
- Hull, R.B., & Bishop, I.D. (1988). Scenic impacts of electricity transmission towers: The influence of landscape type and observer distance. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 27, 99-108.
- Hull, R.B., & Revell, G.B. (1989). Cross-cultural comparison of landscape scenic beauty evaluations. A case study in Bali. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 9, 177-191.

- Hull, R.B., & Stewart, W.P. (1992). Validity of photo-based scenic beauty judgments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 12*, 101-114.
- Huth, H. (1957). *Nature and the American: Three centuries of changing attitudes*. Berkeley, CA.: University of California Press.
- Ittelson, W.H. (1973). Environment perception and contemporary perceptual theory. In: W.H. Ittelson (Ed.), *Environment and cognition* (pp. 1-19). New York: Seminar Press.
- Jackson, R.H., Hudman, L.E., & England, J.L. (1978). Assessment of the environmental impact of high voltage power transmission lines. *Journal of Environmental Management, 6*, 153-170.
- Jacques, J.L. (1980). Landscape appraisal: The case for a subjective theory. *Journal of Environmental Management, 6*, 153-170.
- Johnson, A. (1995). The good, the bad and the ugly: Science, aesthetics and environmental assessment. *Biodiversity and Conservation, 4*, 758-766.
- Jongman, R.H.G. (1995). Nature conservation planning in Europe: Developing ecological networks. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 32*, 169-183.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An analysis of decision making under risk. *Econometrica, 47*, 263-291.
- Kaplan, R. (1983). The role of nature in the urban context. In: I. Altman & J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 127-161). New York: Plenum Press.
- Kaplan, R. (1985). The analysis of perception via preference: A strategy for studying how the environment is experienced. *Landscape Planning, 12*, 161-176.
- Kaplan, R., & Herbert, E.J. (1987). Cultural and subcultural comparisons in preference for natural settings. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 14*, 281-293.
- Kaplan, R., & Herbert, E.J. (1988). Familiarity and preference: A cross-cultural analysis. In: J.L. Nasar (Ed.), *Environmental aesthetics: Theory, research, and applications*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kaplan, S. (1983). A model of person-environment compatibility. *Environment and Behavior, 15*, 311-332.
- Kaplan, S. (1987). Aesthetics, affect and cognition: Environmental preference from an evolutionary perspective. *Environment and Behavior, 19*, 3-32.
- Kaplan, S., & Kaplan, R. (1982). *Cognition and environment: Functioning in an uncertain world*. New York: Praeger.
- Kaplan, S., & Kaplan, R. (1989). *The experience of nature: A psychological perspective*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kaplan, S., Kaplan, R., & Wendt, J.S. (1972). Rated preference and complexity for natural and urban visual material. *Perception and Psychophysics, 12*, 354-356.
- Kaplan, S., & Talbot, J.F. (1983). Psychological benefits of a wilderness experience. In: I. Altman and J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 163-203). New York: Plenum Press.
- Kellert, S.R. (1985). Social and perceptual factors in endangered species management. *Journal of Wildlife Management, 49*, 528-536.
- Kenny, D.A., Kashy, D.A., & Bolger, N. (1998). Data analysis in social psychology. In: D. Gilbert, S.T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *Handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., Vol. 1, pp. 233-265). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kent, R.L. (1993). Determining scenic quality along highways: A cognitive approach. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 29*, 29-45.
- Knopf, R.C. (1983). Recreational needs and behavior in natural settings. In: I. Altman and J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 205-240). New York: Plenum Press.

- Knopf, R.C. (1987). Human behavior, cognition and affect in the natural environment. In: D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), *Handbook of environmental psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 783-825). New York: Wiley.
- Knopf, R.C., Peterson, G.L., Leatherberry, E.C. (1983). Needs for recreational river floating: Relative consistency across settings. *Leisure Sciences*, 5, 231-255.
- Kreft, I., & De Leeuw, J. (1998). *Introducing multilevel modeling*. London: Sage
- Lamb, R.J., & Purcell, A.T. (1990). Perception of naturalness in landscape and its relationship to vegetation structure. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 19, 333-352.
- Levine, D.W. (1994). True scores, error, reliability and unit of analysis in environment and behavior research. *Environment and Behavior*, 26, 261-293.
- Levine, D.W. (1996). Why choose one level of analysis? And other issues in multilevel research. *Environment and Behavior*, 28, 237-255.
- Lopes, L.L. (1986). Between hope and fear: The psychology of risk. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 20, 255-295.
- Lowenthal, D., & Prince, H.C. (1965). English landscape tastes. *Geographical Review*, 55, 186-222.
- Lyons, E. (1983). Demographic correlates of landscape preference. *Environment and Behavior*, 15, 487-511.
- Maciá, A. (1979). Visual perception of landscape: Sex and personality differences. In G.H. Elsner & R.C. Swardon (Eds.), *Our National Landscape* (USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-35, pp. 279-285). Berkeley, California: Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Martin, L.L., Seta, J.J., and Crelia, R. (1990). Assimilation and contrast as a function of people's willingness and ability to expend effort in forming an impression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59, 755-764.
- Maslov, A. (1970). *Motivation and personality*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer, en Visserij (1990). *Natuurbeleidsplan* (Nature Policy Plan). The Hague: Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer, en Visserij.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Nature Management, and Fisheries of The Netherlands (1996). *Nature conservation policy in The Netherlands: Objectives, methods and results*. Report nr. B-16. Wageningen: National Reference Centre for Nature Management.
- McClelland, D.C., Koestner, R., & Weinberger, J. (1989). How do self-attributed and implicit motives differ? *Psychological Review*, 96, 690-702.
- Miller, P.A. (1984). A comparative study of the BLM scenic quality rating procedure and landscape preference dimensions. *Landscape Journal*, 3, 123-135.
- Nash, R. (1982). *Wilderness and the American mind*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Natuurbeschermingsraad (1993). *Natuur tussen de oren: Natuur- en landschapsbeelden en hun rol bij de ontwikkeling en vormgeving van beleid*. Utrecht: Natuurbeschermingsraad (with English summary).
- Nuttin, J.M. (1987). Affective consequences of mere ownership: The name letter effect in twelve European languages. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 17, 381-402.
- Orians, G.H. (1980). Habitat selection: General theory and applications to human behavior. In: J.S. Lockard (Ed.), *The evolution of human social behavior* (pp. 49-66). New York: Elsevier.
- Orland, B. (1988). Aesthetic preference for rural landscapes: Some resident and visitor differences. In: J. Nasar (Ed.), *Environmental aesthetics: Theory, research, and applications* (pp. 364-378). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Parsons, R. (1995). Conflict between ecological sustainability and environmental aesthetics: conundrum, canard or curiosity. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 32, 227-244.

- Porter, M.V. (1987). *The role of spatial quality and familiarity in determining countryside landscape preferences*. Unpublished master's thesis. Seattle: University of Washington.
- Purcell, A.T. (1986). Environmental perception and affect: A schema discrepancy model. *Environment and Behavior*, 18, 3-30.
- Purcell, A.T. (1987). Landscape perception, preference, and schema discrepancy. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 14, 67-92.
- Purcell, A.T. (1992). Abstract and specific physical attributes and the experience of landscape. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 34, 159-177.
- Purcell, A.T., Lamb, R.J., Mainardi Peron, E., & Falchero, S. (1994). Preference or preferences for landscape? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 14, 195-209.
- Rosch, E., & Mervis, C.B. (1975). Family resemblances: Studies in the internal structure of categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 573-605.
- Rodman, J. (1983). Four forms of ecological consciousness reconsidered. In: D. Scherer & T. Attig (Eds.), *Ethics and the environment*. New Jersey: Englewood Cliffs.
- Ruiz, M., & Ruiz, J.P. (1989). Landscape perception and technological change in the central mountains of Spain. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 18, 1-15.
- Samuelson, W., & Zeckhauser, R. (1988). Status quo bias in decision making. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1, 7-59.
- Schama, S. (1995). *Landscape and memory*. London: HarperCollins.
- Schroeder, H.W. (1983). Variations in the perception of urban forest recreation sites. *Leisure Sciences*, 5, 221-230.
- Schwarz, N., Wänke, M., & Bless, H. (1994). Subjective assessments and evaluations of change: Some lessons from social cognition research. In: W. Stroebe & M. Hewstone (Eds.), *European Review of Social Psychology* (Vol. 5, pp.181-210). New York: Wiley.
- Scott, D., & Willits, F.K. (1994). Environmental attitudes and behavior: A Pennsylvania study. *Environment and Behavior*, 26, 239-260.
- Seligman, M.E.P. (1975). *Learned helplessness*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Sell, J.L., & Zube, E.H. (1986). Perception of and response to environmental change. *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research*, 3, 33-54.
- Shafer, E.L., & Tooby, M. (1973). Landscape preferences: An international replication. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 1, 197-197
- Sheets, V.L., & Manzer, C.D. (1991). Affect, cognition and urban vegetation. *Environment and Behavior*, 3, 285-304.
- Shuttleworth, S. (1981). Landscape appraisal: The "objective/subjective" debate. *Landscape Research*, 6, 33.
- Shuttleworth, S. (1984). Consensus and the perception of landscape quality. *Landscape Research*, 9, 17-22.
- Simpson, C.J., Rosenthal, T.L., Daniel, T.C., & White, G.M. (1976). Social-influence variations in evaluating managed and unmanaged forest areas. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 61, 759-763.
- Smardon, R.C. (1988). Perception and aesthetics of the urban environment: Review of the role of vegetation. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 15, 85-106.
- Snijders, T.A.B., & Bosker, R.J. (1994). Modeled variance in two-level models. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 22, 342-363.
- Solomon, S., Greenberg, J. & Pyszczynski (1991). A terror management theory of social behavior: The psychological functions of self-esteem and cultural worldviews. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 24, 93-159.

- Sonnenfeld, J. (1967). Environment perception and adaptation level in the Arctic. In: D. Lowenthal (Ed.), *Environmental perception and behavior* (pp. 42-59). Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Staats, H.J. (1991). *Directions in environmental preference research: Time, categories and the real world*. Paper presented at the third Spanish Environmental Psychology Meeting, Sevilla.
- Staats, H., & Van de Wardt, J.W. (1990). Changing a small-scale landscape: Environmental psychology applied to the problems of the countryside. *Netherlands Journal of Housing and Environmental Research*, 5, 65-86.
- Stamps, A.E. (1996). People and places: Variance components of environmental preferences. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 82, 323-334.
- Stankey, G.H., & Schreyer, R. (1987). Attitudes toward wilderness and factors affecting visitor behavior: A state-of-knowledge review. In R.C. Lucas (Ed.), *Proceedings - National Wilderness Research Conference: Issues, state-of-knowledge, future directions* (General Technical Report INT-220, pp. 246-293). Ogden, UT: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Strumse, E. (1994). Environmental attributes and the prediction of visual preferences for agrarian landscapes in western Norway. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 14, 293-303.
- Strumse, E. (1996). Demographic differences in the visual preferences for agrarian landscapes in western Norway. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 16, 1-15.
- Swart, J.A.A., Van der Windt, H.J., & Keulartz, J. (1998). *Societal aspects of ecological restoration*. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference on Restoration Ecology, 25-30 August, Groningen.
- Tesser, A., & Martin, L. (1996). The psychology of evaluation. In: E.T. Higgins & A.W. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 400-431).
- Thomas, K. (1983). *Man and the natural world: changing attitudes in England 1500-1800*. London: Allen Lane.
- Tooby, J., & Cosmides, L. (1990). On the universality of human nature and the uniqueness of the individual: The role of genetics and adaptation. *Journal of Personality*, 58, 17-67.
- Tuan, Y. (1974). *Topophilia: A study of environmental perception, attitudes, and values*. New Jersey: Englewood Cliffs.
- Ullrich, J.R., & Ullrich, M.F. (1976). A multidimensional scaling analysis of perceived similarities of rivers in western Montana. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 43, 575-584.
- Ulrich, S.R. (1979). Visual landscapes and psychological well-being. *Landscape Research*, 4, 17-23.
- Ulrich, S.R. (1981). Natural versus urban scenes: Some psychophysiological effects. *Environment and Behavior*, 13, 523-556.
- Ulrich, R.S. (1983). Aesthetic and affective response to natural environment. In: I. Altman and J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 85-125). New York: Plenum Press.
- Ulrich, S.R. (1986). Human responses to vegetation and landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 13, 29-44.
- Ulrich, S.R. (1993). Biophilia, biophobia and natural landscapes. In: Kellert, S.R., & Wilson, E.O. (Eds.), *The biophilia hypothesis*. Washington, DC: Island press.
- Ulrich, R.S., Simons, R.F., Losito, B.D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M.A., & Zelson, M. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 11, 201-230.
- Van den Berg, A.E. (1995a). *De waargenomen kwaliteit van landschapsveranderingen: Theoretisch en experimenteel onderzoek naar de mogelijkheden van een model*

voor het verklaren en voorspellen van de waargenomen kwaliteit van natuurontwikkelingsmaatregelen. Wageningen, DLO-Staring Centrum, Rapport 409.

Van den Berg, A.E. (1995b). Een goed initiatief, maar niet in onze wijk! Een veldonderzoek naar de attitude ten aanzien van methadon-verstrekking en de effecten van overredende communicatie. In: B. Van der Moolen & H. Voogd (Eds.), *Niet in mijn achtertuin, maar waar dan? Het Nimby-verschijnsel in de ruimtelijke planning*. Alphen aan den Rijn: Samson Tjeenk Willink.

Van den Berg, A.E. (1998). Predicting revealed preferences from GIS-based measures of landscape variety. In J. Teklenburg, J. Van Andel, J. Smeets, & A. Seidel (Eds.), *Shifting Balances: Changing Roles in Policy, Research, and Design* (pp. 177-186). Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the International Association for People-Environment Studies (IAPS). Eindhoven: Eirass.

Van den Berg, A.E., Coeterier, J.F., & Vlek, C.A.J. (1996). Hoe mooi is ruige natuur? Verschillen tussen gebruikers in de waardering van landschapskenmerken bij natuurontwikkeling. *Landschap*, 13, 285-297.

Van den Berg, A.E., De Vries, D.H., & Vlek, C.A.J. (1998). Nature images, environmental beliefs and group differences in perceived landscape beauty. *Manuscript submitted for publication*.

Van den Berg, A.E., & Koole, S.L. (1999). Effects of mortality salience on landscape preferences. *Manuscript in preparation*.

Van den Berg, A.E., & Vlek, C.A.J. (1998). The Influence of planned-change context on the evaluation of natural landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 43, 1-10.

Van den Berg, A.E., Vlek, C.A.J., & Coeterier, J.F. (1998). Group differences in the aesthetic evaluation of nature development plans: A multilevel approach. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 18, 141-157.

Van den Berg, A.E., Vlek, C.A.J., & Koole, S.L. (1999). Interindividual variation in the evaluation of natural landscapes: Sociodemographic correlates and motivational bases. *Manuscript submitted for publication*.

Van der Windt, H.J. (1995). *En dan: Wat is natuur nog in dit land? Natuurbescherming in Nederland 1880-1990*. Meppel: Boom.

Vlek, C.A.J., & Stallen, P.J. (1981). Judging risks and benefits in the small and in the large. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 28, 235-271.

Vogel, S. (1996). Farmers' environmental attitudes and behavior. *Environment and Behavior*, 28, 591-613.

Wade, G. (1982). The relationship between landscape preference and looking time: A methodological investigation. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 14, 217-222.

Ward, L.M., & Russel, J.A. (1981). The psychological representation of molar physical environments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 110, 121-152.

Wellman, J.D., & Buyhoff, G.J. (1980). Effects of regional familiarity on landscape preferences. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 11, 105-110.

Willis, K.G., & Garrod, G.D. (1992). Assessing the value of future landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 23, 17-32.

Winkel, G.H. (1981). The perception of neighborhood change. In J.H. Harvey, (Ed.), *Cognition, Social Behavior, and the Environment*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum associates.

Wohlwill, J.F. (1983). The concept of nature: A psychologist's view. In: I. Altman and J.F. Wohlwill (Eds.), *Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research* (Vol. 6, pp. 5-37). New York: Plenum Press.

Wolsink, M. (1994). Entanglement of interests and motives: Assumptions behind the NIMBY-theory on facility siting. *Urban Studies*, 31, 851-866.

-
- Woodhouse, G. (1995). *A guide to MLn for new users*. London: University of London, Institute of Education.
- Wyant, J.G., Meganck, R.A., & Ham, S.H. (1995). A planning and decision-making framework for ecological restoration. *Environmental Management*, 19, 789-796.
- Yates, J.F. (1992). *Risk-taking behaviour*. New York: Wiley.
- Yu, K. (1995). Cultural variations in landscape preference: Comparisons among Chinese sub-groups and Western design experts. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 32, 107-126.
- Zajonc, R.B. (1980). Feeling and thinking: Preferences need no inferences. *American Psychologist*, 35, 151-175.
- Zajonc, R.B. (1984). On the primacy of affect. *American Psychologist*, 39, 117-123.
- Zube, E.H. (1974). Cross-disciplinary and intermode agreement on the description and evaluation of landscape resources. *Environment and Behavior*, 6, 69-89.
- Zube, E.H. (1984). Themes in landscape assessment theory. *Landscape Journal*, 3, 104-110.
- Zube, E.H. (1987). Perceived land use patterns and landscape values. *Landscape Ecology*, 1, 37-45.
- Zube, E.H., & Pitt, D.G. (1981). Cross-cultural perceptions of scenic and heritage landscapes. *Landscape Planning*, 8, 69-87.
- Zube, E.H., Pitt, D.G., & Anderson, T.W. (1975). Perception and prediction of scenic resource values of the northeast. In: E.H. Zube, R.O. Brush & J.G. Fabos (Eds.), *Landscape assessment: Values, perceptions, and resources* (pp. 151-167). Stroudsburg, Pa.: Dowden, Hutchinson, & Ross.
- Zube, E.H., Sell, J.L. & Taylor, J.G. (1982). Landscape perception: Research, application and theory. *Landscape Planning*, 9, 1-33.
- Zube, E.H., Simcox, D.E. & Law, C.S. (1987). Perceptual landscape simulations: History and prospect. *Landscape Journal*, 6, 62-80.
- Zuckerman, M. (1979). *Sensation-seeking: Beyond the optimal level of arousal*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Zuckerman, M., Ulrich, R.S., & McLaughlin, J. (1993). Sensation seeking and affective reactions to nature paintings. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 15, 563-576.