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The influence of boundary scattering on the one-dimensional weak localization of electrons is studied experimentally, in a submicron width GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure. The weak field magnetoresistance is measured at temperatures between 100 mK and 14 K. It is shown that the usual Altshuler-Aronov theory is inapplicable because of boundary scattering effects in the high mobility material. The observed effects can be accounted for by extensions of a recent theory of Dugaev and Khmel'nitskii. The analysis shows that scattering from the channel boundaries is predominantly specular, rather than diffuse.

1. Introduction

We have performed magnetoresistance experiments on a laterally restricted GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure, fabricated using a shallow mesa etch technique described earlier [1]. Similar experiments on quasi-one-dimensional transport have recently been reported [2-4]. In our sample the elastic mean free path \( l_e \) (associated with impurity scattering) is larger than the channel width \( W \). As a consequence, the transport properties depend on the nature of the boundary scattering and can no longer be described by the Altshuler-Aronov (AA) theory for dirty metals [5], valid in the regime \( l_e \ll W \). In this paper an experimental study of the new high mobility regime is reported. The data are analyzed by means of an extension [6] of the Dugaev-Khmel'nitskii (DK) theory [7] for clean metal films, which allows us to discriminate between diffuse and specular boundary scattering.

The GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure studied consists of a long narrow channel which connects two broad two-dimensional electron gas regions. The channel length \( L \) is 10 \( \mu \)m, and the width defined by the mesa structure is 0.5 \( \mu \)m. The effective width may be considerably smaller due to sidewall depletion [4]. The channel boundaries in our sample are thus not physical boundaries but rather confinement potential walls. From Shubnikov-de Haas experiments [8] the electron gas den-
sity in the narrow channel is estimated to be $2.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$, which is a factor of 2 lower than in the broad regions. We have measured the negative weak field magnetoresistance for temperatures between 100 mK and 14.3 K. Preliminary data on this sample for fields up to 1.5 T have been reported earlier [1]. The magnetic field dependence of the conductance for 4 temperatures is shown in fig. 1 for fields up to 0.2 T. The observed effect is clearly a one-dimensional weak localization effect, since the 2D weak localization theory would predict a saturation of the effect if the magnetic length $l_c \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ becomes comparable to $l_c$, which implies much lower saturation fields than the typically observed fields of 0.2 T. (The electron–electron interaction effect is generally found to be field independent in this field range [2–4].) Although the usual AA-theory [5] for 1D weak localization fits our data well, this analysis is inconsistent since the resulting parameter values ($W \approx 60 \text{ nm}, l_c \approx 600 \text{ nm}$) violate the criterion $l_c \ll W$ for its applicability. Because of the large mean free path we have to explicitly consider boundary scattering effects.

2. Boundary scattering modified weak localization

In the semiclassical description of weak localization [9] the conductance $G(B)$ in a magnetic field is given by

$$G(B) = G_0 - \frac{2e^2}{\pi \hbar} D \int_0^\infty dt \frac{C(t)}{\tau_\phi} e^{-\tau_\phi} e^{-\tau_B}.$$  \hfill (1)

Here $G_0$ is a field independent term, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, and $\tau_\phi$ and $\tau_B$ are the phase relaxation times associated with respectively inelastic collisions and the magnetic field. The quantity $C(t)$ represents the fraction of electrons which, after a time $t$, has returned to the origin. In the diffusion approximation $C(t) = (4\pi D t)^{-1/2}$. This approximation breaks down for short times, since electrons must have experienced at least a single collision before they can return. Even if there are no complications associated with boundary scattering, short time corrections are important if $\tau_\phi$ and $\tau_B$ are comparable. We can correct for this effect in an ad hoc way by introducing the additional factor $(1 - e^{-\tau_\phi})$ in $C(t)$, thereby excluding those electrons which have not yet been scattered elastically. This gives

$$G(B) = G_0 - \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \sqrt{D} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_\phi} + \frac{1}{\tau_B} \right)^{-1/2} - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_\phi} + \frac{1}{\tau_B} + \frac{1}{\tau_\phi} \right)^{-1/2} \right].$$  \hfill (2)

In the regime $\tau_\phi \ll \tau_\phi$ the second term between square brackets (resulting from the short time correction) can be neglected, as in the AA-theory. However, in our electron gas channel $\rho_\phi$ and $\tau_\phi$ are comparable (typically $\tau_\phi \approx 4 \tau_\phi$), and short time contributions are important. These would merit a more detailed investigation.

We now turn to the influence of boundary scattering. In the AA-theory the walls only serve to restrict the lateral diffusion, and the nature of the wall collisions is
irrelevant. For \( l_c > W \), however, the walls directly affect the motion of the electrons, and the boundary scattering has to be treated explicitly [7]. We have calculated the phase relaxation time \( \tau_B \) and find [6] for magnetic fields such that \( l_c > W \) that

\[
\tau_B = \frac{t_c^2}{K_1 W^2 v_F} + \frac{t_c^2 \tau_e}{K_2 W^2}.
\]

(3)

Here \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity, and the coefficients are \( K_1 = 0.11 \) and \( K_2 = 0.23 \) for specular scattering, and \( K_1 = 1/4 \pi \) and \( K_2 = 1/3 \) for diffuse scattering. (For comparison we note that in the AA-theory \( \tau_B = 6t_c^2 / W^2 v_F^2 \tau_e \).) Eq. (3) is a numerically obtained interpolation formula which agrees with analytical results in the limit of small or large magnetic fields. Channel width variations will cause additional phase randomization for strong magnetic fields. It can be estimated [6] that if width variations are moderate, as in our sample, we can neglect this effect for fields such that \( l_c > W \). Substitution of eq. (3) into eq. (2) yields the desired expression for the magnetoconductance. The diffusion coefficient appearing in this equation strongly depends on the boundary scattering. We have calculated \( D \) for a narrow 2D electron gas (cf. ref. [10]) and find for diffuse scattering

\[
D = \frac{1}{2} v_F l_c \left( 1 - \frac{4 l_c}{\pi W} \int_0^1 ds s^2 \{1 - \exp[-(1-s^2)^{-1/2} W l_c]\} \right),
\]

(4)

while \( D = \frac{1}{2} v_F l_c \) for specular scattering. In the limit \( l_c / W \to \infty \), eq. (4) simplifies to \( D = (v_F W / \pi) \ln(l_c / W) \). These are semi-classical formulae, in which the discreteness of the one-dimensional subbands in the channel is ignored. It would be of interest to study the influence of the subband structure on the magnetoresistance (cf. ref. [11]) since in these semiconductor channels only a few subbands are typically occupied [8].

3. Results

The data are analyzed in terms of the equations given in the previous section. Two of the three unknown parameters (\( W, \tau_c \), and \( \tau_e \)) can be eliminated using estimated values for the classical conductance \( (G_c = (me^2/\pi \hbar^2)(W/L)) \), \( D = 18 \times 10^{-6} \) \( \Omega^{-1} \), as obtained from extrapolation of a plot of \( G(0) \) versus \( T^{-1/2} \) and for the saturation value of the magnetoconductance,

\[
G(\infty) - G(0) = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \frac{\sqrt{D}}{L} \left[ \tau_c^{1/2} - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_c} + \frac{1}{\tau_e} \right)^{-1/2} \right].
\]

(5)

At 4.0 K we estimate \( G(\infty) = 13.9 \times 10^{-6} \) \( \Omega^{-1} \) (see fig. 1). Despite the uncertainties in \( G(\infty) \) this procedure was chosen instead of a two or three parameter fit because of the limited field range available for the fit. (We checked that variations of \( \sim 20\% \) in \( G(\infty) \) do not significant affect the results given below.) The third
parameter is obtained by a fit, considering only data points for which $l_c > W$ (see section 2). In fig. 2 the results for the 4.0 K data are shown. For specular scattering a reasonable fit is obtained, with $W = 106$ nm, $l_c = v_F \tau_c = 351$ nm and $l_o = v_F \tau_o = 450$ nm. For diffuse scattering the data cannot be fitted with values of $l_c$ smaller than 1 $\mu$m – which is the value for the wide channels on our sample. (Even for an unphysically larger mean free path the fit is poorer than for specular scattering.) The physical reason that we can discriminate by means of this theory between the

![Fig. 1. Perpendicular field magnetoconductance in a 0.5 $\mu$m wide GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure for 4 temperatures.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Analysis of the 4.0 K magnetoconductance data. Solid lines are best fits of eq. (2) for diffuse and specular boundary conditions with $l_c$ smaller than the bulk value of 1 $\mu$m. (Dashed line is for diffuse scattering with unrealistically high $l_c = 7$ $\mu$m.)](image2)
two types of boundary scattering is that the conductance depends on the magnetic field through the diffusion length $\sqrt{D\tau_B}$ (see eq. (2)). Since the relaxation time $\tau_B$ is rather insensitive to the type of boundary scattering (eq. (3)), the resulting diffusion length strongly depends on whether the scattering is diffuse or specular. Finally, in fig. 3 data are shown for temperatures between 0.5 K and 14 K, fitted to the specular scattering theory with the values for $W$ and $l_\sigma$ given above. Again, a reasonably good fit is found. The values for $l_\sigma$ given in the figure are consistent with the expressions given by Zeng et al. [3], but in view of the uncertainties in the modelling of the short time behavior (in eq. (2)) these values may not be very accurate. The width found for this narrow channel is a factor of 5 smaller than the etched width of 0.5 μm. This result agrees with the value obtained from an analysis of the magnetic depopulation of 1D subbands in higher magnetic fields [8]. Similar large sidewall depletion effects have been found by Choi et al. [4]. We find from $l_\sigma$ a diffusion coefficient $D=0.039$ m$^2$/s, which implies a channel mobility of 4 m$^2$/V·s. This is only a factor of 2 smaller than in the wide 2D electron gas regions. It can thus be concluded that our shallow mesa etch sample fabrication method [1] does not introduce much additional scattering.

In conclusion, we have presented experimental data on 1D weak localization in a new high mobility regime. Theoretical expressions for boundary modified weak localization are given, and it is concluded from the analysis of the data that the sidewall scattering is specular, rather than diffuse.
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