The measurement of depression with questionnaires.
Bouman, Theo

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1987

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.
SUMMARY

In Chapter 1 it has been asserted that there is at least some consensus on the phenomenology of depression and its related cognitive, motivational, physiological and behavioural aspects. The clinical impression exists that there are various manifestations of depression. In addition, classification systems of depressive phenomena are manyfold. Several theories have been discussed in order to provide an (aetiological) background for the concept under study. Recent results from bio-psychiatric studies have been discussed briefly, as well as four influential psychological theories; psycho-analytical theory, reinforcement-, learned helplessness-, and cognitive models show similarities as well as discrepancies. We concluded that the four psychological theories converge among other things in their conception of depression as a result of 'loss-of-something-desirable' and the assumption of the etiological role of the individual's early learning. Differences in approaches especially appear in what is assumed to be the core aspect of depression. In this respect psychodynamic mechanisms were mentioned, as well as physiological, behavioural and cognitive aspects. The relation between depression and anxiety can be cast in different model, several of which have been mentioned briefly. The chapter ends with the conclusion that an assessment instrument for depression should encompass a phenomenological description of depression, including cognitive, motivational and somatic subaspects.

In the second chapter several methods for the assessment of depression have been discussed. A number of aspects were mentioned on which these methods differ from each other. The clinical interview proves to be a frequently used and particularly important method. Validity aspects and reliability of the interview are problematic, even when explicit classification criteria are used. Behavioural observations on the other hand have not become that popular. In contrast, the application of self-report questionnaires has assumed large proportions. It was argued that this is more a quantitative than a qualitative matter; a great many ill-evaluated instruments exist. An overview shows convergent validity relating to self-report measures to be quite
satisfactory. In contrast, discriminant validity is insufficient, especially vis à vis anxiety and neuroticism; depression is difficult to demarcate from these concepts. All these methods have their own assets and liabilities, one of the latter being the number of subjects that can be classified using a particular method.

Chapter 3 gives an outline of the construction of two self-report instruments (the Depression Questionnaire and the Depression Symptom Inventory), purporting to measure depression as a 'trait' and a 'state', respectively. A 'trait' scale was conceived because of the relative rarity of this kind of depression scale. The original item pool as well as the selection procedure of the items have been described. A more or less deductive approach have been followed in which a construct is anchored before the test construction commences. Some characteristics and backgrounds of the instrumental nomological network have been mentioned. In a tripartite model, based on the behavioural and cognitive depression theories of Lewinsohn, Seligman and Beck, we outlined relations between depression and relevant concepts. A distinction has been made between external, intervening and dependent variables. Many authors agree that an extensive research strategy is necessary to establish construct validity.

In Chapter 4 the instruments employed in two samples of psychiatric patients (n=165 and n=207, respectively) have been introduced. Subsequently, a brief overview has been given of the procedure which we have followed to evaluate the internal structure of all questionnaires. Our method essentially consisted of the elimination of 'unsound' items from the original scales of the Depression Questionnaire and the Depression Symptom Inventory. Thus the a priori construction was empirically revised. In the case of the Depression Questionnaire and the Depression Symptom Inventory this has been an iterative procedure, intended to optimize their internal structure. Results showed a satisfactory internal consistency of the new depression instruments, and also of most of the other instruments. The criteria used in the constitution of subsamples in Sample II have been introduced and applied, resulting in a Major-Depressed, a Non-Major Depressed and a Non-Depressed subgroup.

The convergent and discriminant validity of the depression instruments have been concluded that there is some overlap between self-rating measures, but only low correlation were found to be discriminant validity of the depression and neuroticism was found to be low. Repeated measurement analysis yielded five internal subgroups of depressed and non-depressed and all affective scales. Repeated measurement analysis yielded five internal subgroups of depressed and non-depressed and all affective scales. Repeated measurement analysis yielded five internal subgroups of depressed and non-depressed and all affective scales. Repeated measurement analysis yielded five internal subgroups of depressed and non-depressed and all affective scales.

In the sixth and final chapter, the questionnaires are at least partly valid and have been used as a basis for further research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. The analysis of the questionnaires is at least good content validity of the convergence and discriminant validity of the questionnaires is at least partly valid and have been used as a basis for further research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies. The discrepancy between the present circumstances and the behaviour of the modern conceptions. Finally, the assessment of depression should be given for future research strategies.
The convergent and discriminant validity of our newly conceived depression instruments have been investigated in Chapter 5. It was concluded that there is sufficient convergence between self-report measures, but only low correlation with rater judged depression. The discriminant validity of the depression scales vis-à-vis anxiety and neuroticism was found to be insufficient, particularly due to item overlap between self-rating scales. Second order principal components analysis yielded five interpretable latent dimensions. Groups of depressed and non-depressed patients showed significant differences on all affective scales. Repeated measures into a small sample showed that the mean state and trait depression differed significantly, which did not support the 'state' - 'trait' distinction. When two versions of the nomological network were translated into testable measurement models, they showed no good fit with the data.

In the sixth and final chapter the previous results were summarized and discussed in a broader perspective, and recommendations were given for future research strategies. It was concluded that under the present circumstances the measurability of depression with self-report questionnaires is at least problematic. The lack of discriminant validity obscures a closer look at several constructs in the affective domain. Research strategies should be aimed at the construction of scales with at least good content validity as a prerequisite for the highest possible degree of discriminant validity. Some suggestions in this area were highlighted particularly lexical and statistical (item response) strategies. The discrepancy between dimensional and typological classification of psychopathology in general was discussed in the light of modern conceptions. Finally, it was concluded that at present the assessment of depression should be conducted using multiple techniques and multiple dimensions in order to obviate its complexity.