Microbial Communities and Electrochemical Performance of Titanium-Based Anodic Electrodes in a Microbial Fuel Cell

Urania Michaelidou,1,2* Annemiek ter Heijne,1,3 Gerrit Jan W. Euverink,1 Hubertus V. M. Hamelers,3 Alfons J. M. Stams,2 and Jeanine S. Geelhood2,4

Wetsus, Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Water Technology, Agora 1, P.O. Box 1113, 8900 CC Leeuwarden, Netherlands3; Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Dreijenplein 10, 6703 HB Wageningen, Netherlands2; Sub-department of Environmental Technology, Wageningen University, Bomenweg 2, P.O. Box 8129, 6700 EV Wageningen, Netherlands2; and Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Celsiusstrasse 1, D-28359 Bremen, Germany2

Received 2 December 2009/Accepted 24 November 2010

Four types of titanium (Ti)-based electrodes were tested in the same microbial fuel cell (MFC) anodic compartment. Their electrochemical performances and the dominant microbial communities of the electrode biofilms were compared. The electrodes were identical in shape, macroscopic surface area, and core material but differed in either surface coating (Pt- or Ta-coated metal composites) or surface texture (smooth or rough). The MFC was inoculated with electrochemically active, neutrophilic microorganisms that had been enriched in the anodic compartments of acetate-fed MFCs over a period of 4 years. The original inoculum consisted of bioreactor sludge samples amended with Geobacter sulfurreducens strain PCA. Overall, the Pt- and Ta-coated Ti bioanodes (electrode-biofilm association) showed higher current production than the uncoated Ti bioanodes. Analyses of extracted DNA of the anodic liquid and the Pt- and Ta-coated Ti electrode biofilms indicated differences in the dominant bacterial communities. Biofilm formation on the uncoated electrodes was poor and insufficient for further analyses. Bioanode samples from the Pt- and Ta-coated Ti electrodes incubated with Fe(III) and acetate showed several Fe(III)-reducing bacteria, of which selected species were dominant, on the surface of the electrodes. In contrast, nitrate-enriched samples showed less diversity, and the enriched strains were not dominant on the electrode surface. Isolated Fe(III)-reducing strains were phylogenetically related, but not all identical, to Geobacter sulfurreducens strain PCA. Other bacterial species were also detected in the system, such as a Propionimonas-related species that was dominant in the anodic liquid and Pseudomonas-, Clostridium-, Desulfovibrio-, Azospira-, and Aeromonas-related species.

The microbial fuel cell (MFC) (9, 30) is a promising technology for sustainable energy generation, remediation, and sensoring (11, 29, 48, 58). The MFC concept is based on microbial exocellular electron transfer, or the capacity of microbes to transfer electrons produced from the metabolic oxidation of organic substrates to insoluble, extracellular electron-accepting compounds (33, 53). Microbial electron transfer to electrodes can be achieved directly by transferring the electrons produced via bacterial cell membrane cytochromes and protein complexes (37, 44). Alternatively, certain microbes transfer electrons indirectly by the use of environmental or self-produced extracellular electron mediators (3, 18, 27, 34).

Many metal-reducing microorganisms, such as certain Fe(III)-reducing bacteria, have been shown to be electrochemically active in MFC anodic compartments. This is perhaps due to the fact that the solid surface of the electrode resembles the surface of insoluble terminal electron acceptors, such as Fe(III) oxides (2, 7). Fe(III)-reducing bacteria of the Delta proteobacteria and specifically members of the Geobacteraceae family have been shown to be especially active in MFCs (19, 26), with Geobacter sulfurreducens being one of the most extensively studied microorganisms that uses direct electron transfer (2, 45, 52). Other Fe(III)-reducing bacteria have also been detected (19, 32) and isolated (39, 40) from MFCs. However, non-Fe(III)-reducing bacteria can also be active in MFCs (62). Furthermore, bacteria most frequently detected in MFCs are affiliated with Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes (26, 32, 43).

Despite the merits of the MFC as an energy source, MFC technology has not yet been sufficiently optimized for the system to operate as a large-scale application (49, 60). Based on MFC studies so far, some of the most critical factors in optimum MFC operation are the anodic microbial population and its interaction with the electrode surface (33). Materials used as MFC anode electrodes are characterized by high conductance and chemical stability. Graphite has been used most commonly, in different forms, as anodic electrode core material in MFCs, due to its large current density output (11, 17, 32). Stainless steel (14) and gold (46) were also used as anodic electrodes, but these materials had lower current density outputs than graphite electrodes. Surface-modified electrodes have also been tested in MFCs, in an effort to increase current density output, with positive results. Metal-incorporated and polymer-coated anodic electrodes showed higher activity than their uncoated counterparts (11, 25). In addition, precious-
metal coating, specifically with platinum (Pt), was shown to increase current density of a graphite electrode almost 20-fold (22).

Despite the good performance of graphite electrodes in MFCs, alternative electrode materials should be explored with the optimization of the MFC process in mind. Titanium (Ti) is preferred in metallurgy for electrode manufacture in dimensionally stable anodes (DSA), mostly because of its chemical stability and hence resistance to deterioration over time (12). This could be a considerable advantage over graphite electrodes for long-term MFC runs. However, Ti is not well studied as anodic electrode core material in MFCs, as it has not been used in MFCs until recently. The current densities of tantalum (Ta)-coated Ti bioanodes were slightly lower than uncoated graphite bioanode current densities (13). In addition, Pt-coated Ti and rough graphite bioanodes exhibited comparable current densities (57), and more recently, Pt-coated Ti electrodes were used in a scaled-up, stacked MFC to produce unprecedented power densities (10). These studies, however, did not address the factor central to MFC energy generation—the bacterial populations attached to the electrode surface(s).

We have studied the relationship between electrode surface properties of different Ti electrodes as bioanodes and performance. The electrochemical performances and major microbial communities of the biofilms of four Ti-based bioanodes were determined. A direct comparison was possible due to the placement of all electrodes tested in the same anodic compartment, where they were exposed to identical growth conditions and microbial populations in the anodic liquid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Inoculum source. The anodic liquid (anolyte) was inoculated with electrochemically active organisms from the effluent of a previous MFC run on acetate (55). This mixed culture had been enriched and sequentially transferred from previously run MFC anodes over a period of 4 years (47, 56; R. A. Rozendal, personal communication). The original start-up MFC anode was inoculated with a sludge sample from a full-scale anaerobic paper mill wastewater treatment bioreactor (Eerbeek, Netherlands), anodic effluent from a molasses-fed MFC, and Geobacter sulfurreducens strain PCA.

Microbial fuel cell design and setup. The experimental setup consisted of a flat-plate MFC similar to a previously described MFC setup (56). The volume of the anodic and cathodic compartments were 350 ml each; the total volume of the anolyte, including the recirculation tubing and flow cell, was 650 ml. The anodic and cathodic compartments were separated by a cation-exchange membrane (fumasep FKB; FaMA-tech GmbH, St. Ingbert, Germany). The anodic compartment faced a perspex plate with four vertical channels in which rod-shaped bioelectrodes were placed. The four titanium electrodes (Magnet special anodes B.V., Schiedam, Netherlands) used were identical in shape, macroscopic surface area, and core material; however, they differed in either coating or surface texture. These were (i) platinum-iridium composite-coated titanium (Pt-Ti), (ii) tantalum-iridium composite-coated titanium (Ta-Ti), (iii) hydrochloric acid surface-treated titanium (smooth Ti), and (iv) aluminum oxide-blasted titanium (rough Ti). The effective projected macroscopic surface area (height by width) of each electrode was 20 ± 1 cm². The four electrodes were connected to the same cathodic electrode. The cathodic electrode was graphite felt (3 mm; FM1 Composites Ltd., Galashields, United Kingdom), with a projected surface area of 290 cm². Cathodic and anodic electrodes were connected to the electrical circuit via gold wires.

Microbial fuel cell operation. The MFC was operated at 30°C, and the anodic compartment was continuously fed at a rate of 250 ml/day with a 5 mM potassium acetate-20 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0), trace minerals, and vitamins as previously described (59). Both the anolyte and the catholyte were recirculated at a flow rate of 10 liters/h. Anolyte pH was controlled at 7.0 with NaOH. Both anodic and cathodic compartments were equipped with Ag/AgCl, 3 M KCl reference electrodes (+205 mV against standard hydrogen electrode). Anodic electrode potentials were collected every 60 s via a Fieldpoint FP-AI-110 module (National Instruments, Woerden, Netherlands). Cell voltages were measured every few days with a multimeter (289true- rms; Fluke Europe B.V., Eindhoven, Netherlands). The MFC was started up with a solution of 20 mM continuously aerated phosphate buffer (pH 7) in the cathode and a resistance (R) of 1,000 Ω for each anodic electrode. After 9 days, the resistance was decreased to 100 Ω. From day 29 to day 33, 50 mM hexacyanoferrate(III) in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7) was used as the catholyte for a fast cathode reaction [reduction of Fe(III)/(CN)]⁺ to Fe(II)/(CN)⁻], and electrochemical tests were performed upon stabilization of the system (see below). On day 33, the catholyte was replaced again with aerated phosphate buffer solution. Operation of the MFC was stopped on day 62.

Electrochemical measurements and data analysis. The anodes were characterized by cyclic and potential-step voltammetry with Fe(CN)₆³⁻⁻ in the cathode (day 29 to day 33 of operation). During cyclic voltammetry, the applied cell voltage, E (V), was cycled from 500 to 700 mV at a rate of 1 mV/s. For potential-step voltammetry, 5 different anode potentials (−450 mV, −425 mV, −400 mV, −375 mV, and −350 mV versus Ag/AgCl) were tested, and the resulting current at the electrode was monitored as a function of time. Each potential was set for 2 min to obtain a stable current; the standard deviation in the current density was always <2% during the last 30 s of the measurements. Current density, J (A/m²), was calculated based on the projected macroscopic electrode surface area (m²). Power density, P (W/m²), was calculated according to P = E × J. All electrochemical tests were performed using a potentiostat (IVIUMstat; IVIUM Technologies, Eindhoven, Netherlands).

Sample collection and enrichments. Biofilm from the electrode surfaces and anolyte samples were collected on day 62. Electrode surface biofilm was aseptically scraped off the entire surface and resuspended in anoxic phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). Subsamples were used to establish enrichments by the inoculation into 20-ml serum bottles of anoxic, defined medium as described below, with acetate (10 mM) as the electron donor and nitrate (10 mM) or chelated Fe(III) (10 mM) in the form of Fe(III) citrate or Fe(III) nitriol-triacetate (NTA) as the electron acceptor. Enrichments were incubated at 30°C. Growth in enrichments utilizing nitrate as the electron acceptor was monitored visually for turbidity, and enrichments with Fe(III) as the electron acceptor were monitored for Fe(III) reduction, as indicated by color change of the medium from light brown to transparent.

Media for microbial culturing and isolation techniques. Standard anaerobic and anaerobic culturing techniques (20, 42) were used throughout. The liquid medium contained the following (g/liter): NH₄Cl (0.25), KCl (0.1), NaH₂PO₄ · 2H₂O (1.56), and NaH₂PO₄ · 2H₂O (1.78). Minerals and vitamins were added (10 ml/liter) from stock solutions as described by Wolin et al. (61), and the pH was adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH. Alternative electron donors and acceptors were added from sterile anoxic stock solutions. Solidified medium for single cell isolation was prepared using the shake agate tube technique (20, 35), with the same medium components amended with 2% (wt/vol) noble agar (Difco). Single colonies were picked from the higher-dilution tubes, and if necessary, isolates were further purified by serial dilutions.

DNA extractions and 16S rRNA gene amplification. Whole-cell DNA was extracted from enrichments and pure cultures using a mini bead beater (Biospec Products Inc., Bartlesville, OK) and a FastDNA Spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France). PCR was performed to selectively amplify the bacterial 16S rRNA gene with primers bact27-f and 1492-r (16) for partial 16S rRNA sequences. The thermocycling parameters were as follows: predenaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, primer annealing at 52°C for 40 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1.5 min. The postelongation step at 72°C was held for 5 min, followed by cooling at 38°C. For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified using primer pair 908-GC-U/1401-f (38). The thermocycling parameters were as follows: predenaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, primer annealing at 52°C for 40 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1.5 min. The postelongation step at 72°C was held for 5 min, followed by cooling at 38°C. For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified using primer pair 908-GC-U/1401-f (38). The thermocycling parameters were as follows: predenaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 56°C for 40 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min. The thermocycling postelongation step for DGGE was held at 72°C for 30 min to avoid artifactual double bands (23), followed by cooling at 4°C. Size and yield of the PCR products were estimated by electrophoresis on a 1% (wt/vol) agarose gel. All primers were purchased from MWG-Biotech (Ebersberg, Germany), and PCR buffer, MgCl₂ solution, denaturant nucleotides, and Taq polymerase were purchased from Invitrogen (Breda, Netherlands). The PCR products that were used for sequencing were purified with a NucleoSpin extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany).

Detection and band excision. Amplicons were run on 8% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels containing a 30 to 60% denaturant gradient of formamide and urea, as previously described (36). Bands were visualized by silver nitrate staining (51). DGGE bands were excised and incubated in 25 μl of 1× Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8) at 35°C to extract the DNA. Amplified DNA was run on an agarose gel to...
confirm correct amplification and subsequently run on a DGGE gel to confirm purity. Whenever necessary, the band was reexcised and the procedure was repeated until purity was observed. Upon confirmation of a single-band DNA extract, the PCR product was sequenced.

**16S rRNA gene sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.** 16S rRNA gene amplicons were sequenced using bar27f and 1492r (16) and internal primers 519f and 1100r (28), whereas amplicons acquired from the single bands extracted from DGGE gels were sequenced with 906f and 1401r (28). Sequencing was performed by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, Netherlands). Sequence fragments were edited and assembled with DNA Baser software and compared against known 16S rRNA gene sequences using the NCBI BLAST tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Relevant sequences were aligned on the Ribosomal Database Project website (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp) (8). Due to the differences in size of the acquired 16S rRNA gene sequences (bacterial isolates, 850 to 1,383 bp; excised DGGE bands, ~400 bp), the phylogenetic tree was constructed using only the common parts of the aligned sequences (~400 bp). The trimmed sequences were imported into MEGA version 4.0 (54), where the phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the bootstrap consensus tree (15) inferred from 1,000 replicates using the neighbor-joining method (50).

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** Nucleotide sequences described in this paper were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers GQ463723 to GQ463735.

### RESULTS

**Start-up of the anodic electrodes.** Upon start-up of the MFC, both metal-coated electrodes, Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti, demonstrated similar behaviors: the anode potentials decreased sharply and produced electricity almost immediately. In contrast, the two uncoated Ti electrodes showed a delay of 30 h before producing electricity (i), as shown by the cell voltage ($E$) in Fig. 1a (where $i = E/R$). The anode potentials versus Ag/AgCl from day 4 to day 8 were $-478 \pm 2$ mV for Ta-Ti, $-481 \pm 2$ mV for Pt-Ti, $-424 \pm 9$ mV for rough Ti, and $-404 \pm 10$ mV for smooth Ti ($R = 1,000 \, \Omega$). The anode potential values remained at stable levels throughout the experiment whenever the resistance was kept constant or the anodes were not run potentiostatically.

**Electrochemical characterization of the bioanodes.** During cyclic voltammetry tests, the two metal-coated bioanodes, Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti, showed higher reduction-oxidation activity than the uncoated Ti bioanodes. Power density for all four bioanodes was calculated for corresponding voltages. Performance, expressed as power density at 500-mV cell voltage, decreased in the order Pt-Ti > Ta-Ti > rough Ti > smooth Ti, as shown in Fig. 1b. The Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti bioanodes exhibited high power densities, both approximately 3× and 11× larger than the power densities of the rough Ti and smooth Ti bioanodes, respectively. The power density of the rough Ti bioanode was remarkably higher than that of the smooth Ti bioanode, almost 5-fold. During potential-step voltammetry, the two metal-coated bioanodes produced comparable current densities, up to 5× larger than the uncoated electrode current densities. Again, the bioanode performance decreased in the order Pt-Ti > Ta-Ti > rough Ti > smooth Ti (Fig. 1c).

**Major bacterial populations.** DNA analyses of the anolyte and the Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti bioanodes indicated several bacterial species present for each sample. Biofilm formation on the uncoated electrodes was poor (as evaluated visually) and insufficient for DNA analyses. Dominant bacterial populations present in the anolyte and on the Ta-Ti and Pt-Ti electrode surface showed comparable patterns between the two electrodes and distinct patterns between the anolyte and the electrodes (Fig. 2a) in each sample. The anolyte contained 14 to 15 dominant species, Ta-Ti showed up to 10 dominant species, and Pt-Ti, which was also the electrochemically best-performing electrode, showed approximately 14 dominant species.

**Enrichments and isolates.** The enrichments from the two electrochemically best-performing electrodes, Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti, were chosen to be sampled for strain isolation in an effort to acquire dominant and potentially electrochemically active strains from the MFC. Microscopic examination of both the nitrate- and Fe(III)-reducing enrichments (and subsequent transfers) from both electrodes showed the presence of motile, rod-shaped microorganisms. Several acetate-oxidizing, nitrate-
reducing, and Fe(III)-reducing colonies were acquired from the enrichment transfers. Strains N959 and N968 were isolated from nitrate-reducing enrichments of electrode Pt-Ti. Fe(III)-reducing isolates T33 and T32 were isolated from the Fe(III) NTA enrichments of the Pt-Ti electrode. Strains C328, C314, and Lac319 were isolated from the Fe(III) citrate enrichments of electrode Ta-Ti. Strain Lac319 was isolated from a subsequent transfer on lactate of an acetate-oxidizing, Fe(III) citrate-reducing mixed culture.

**Dominant Fe(III)- and nitrate-reducing bacteria.** Enrichments with complexed Fe(III) compounds as the electron acceptor from biofilm material of the Ta-Ti and Pt-Ti bioanodes indicated several Fe(III)-reducing bacteria present on the surface of both electrodes, as shown by DGGE (Fig. 2b). Ta-Ti biofilm enrichments on Fe(III) citrate indicated up to 8 major Fe(III)-reducing bacterial species (Fig. 2b, lanes D and E). Pt-Ti biofilm enrichments indicated up to 9 major Fe(III)-reducing bacterial species (Fig. 2b, lane H). Some Fe(III)-reducing species were also present as dominant species on the surface of electrode Ta-Ti (Fig. 2b, bands D-7, D-11, and E-8) and Pt-Ti (bands H-6 and H-7). Isolate Lac319 (Fig. 2b, band F-8) and isolates C328 and C314 (not shown) shared the same band position. A band at the same position was also present on the Pt-Ti electrode surface (Fig. 2b, band C-7, but not identical to strain Lac319, as indicated by band migration on the DGGE gel. The strains were also present on the surface of both electrodes (Fig. 2b, bands C-7 and B-7). In addition, band positions of Lac319, C328, and C314 suggested that the strains were identical to each other and to reference culture *Geobacter sulfurreducens* (Fig. 2b, band G-8) the organism originally used to enhance start-up of the cell (R. A. Rozendal, personal communication). With the exception of one band (Fig. 2b, band H-2) that was present in Fe(III) NTA enrichments of both the Pt-Ti electrode and the anolyte, other anolyte/bioanode similarities were not detected. Nitrate-reducing communities from the Pt-Ti electrode surface indicated only two dominant species, bands J-3 and J-9 (Fig. 2b, lane J), one of which (band J-3) was similar to isolate N968 (band K-3) (coinciding with the DGGE gel migrating position of isolate N959, not shown on the DGGE gel). These two species at bands J-3 and J-9, however, were not dominant on either one of the electrode surfaces or the anolyte.

**16S rRNA gene analysis.** Analysis of acquired 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the isolates obtained from the enrichments with Fe(III) citrate from electrode Ta-Ti—isolates C328 (1,376 bp), C314 (881 bp), and Lac319 (1,363 bp)—showed, respectively, 99.9%, 100%, and 100% similarity to *Geobacter sulfurreducens* strain PCA. The Fe(III)-reducing bacteria isolated from the Fe(III) NTA enrichments from electrode Pt-Ti—isolates T33 (1,325 bp) and T32 (1,383 bp)—were, respectively, 99.8% and 99.9% similar to an uncultured *Geobacter* species, clone NS1. Similar analysis of the nitrate-reducing strains from the Pt-Ti electrode—isolates N959 (853 bp) and N968 (1,337 bp)—showed, respectively, 100% and 99.2% similarity to *Pseudomonas delhiensis* strain RLD-1. 16S rRNA gene sequence data from DGGE band excision (Fig. 2b) revealed the major bacterial species in the anolyte as a *Propionimonas paludicola*-related species (99%, band A-5). Other bacterial groups detected by band excision (Fig. 2b) were *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (98%, band E-6), *Clostridium celerecrescens* (99%, band E-1), *Aeromonas hydrophila* (99%, band H-2), and *Azospira oryzae* (99%, band J-9). Phylogenetic relationships, accession numbers, and known close relatives of isolates and gene sequences identified by excised DGGE bands are shown in Fig. 3.
DISCUSSION

Based on our microbiological studies, the best electrochemically performing bioanodes, Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti, indicated several bacterial species (Fig. 2a) distinct from the anolyte bacterial species. These two bioanodes exhibited some differences in dominant bacterial species, even though both electrodes were exposed to the same microorganisms during operation. This is perhaps an indication of different selection processes of electrochemically active bacteria (EcAB) on the surface of each electrode material. In addition, bacterial species dominant in the anolyte were not present on the surface of the metal-coated electrodes even though planktonic cells in the anolyte might have been responsible for some of the electrochemical activity. The uncoated electrode bioanodes, rough Ti and smooth Ti, were the worst electrochemically performing bioanodes and did not produce sufficient biofilm for DNA analysis.

Fe(III)-reducing bacteria, unlike nitrate-reducing bacteria, were abundant on the electrode surfaces analyzed, suggesting the link between the use of Fe(III) and the use of electrodes as extracellular electron-accepting compounds. The Fe(III)-reducing bacteria on the surface of the metal-coated electrodes consisted of Geobacter strains, among others. Based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence, isolate Lac319 was phylogenetically identical to G. sulfurreducens PCA and was detected on both the Ta-Ti and the Pt-Ti electrode surface. Isolates T33 and T32 were present on the Pt-Ti electrode and were also phylogenetically related to G. sulfurreducens (99% similarity in 16S rRNA). They were even more closely related, if not identical, to an uncultured Geobacter species, clone NS1, originally detected in quinone-respiring bioreactor enrichments (6). In addition, a clone very closely related (99% similarity in 16S rRNA) to Geobacter NS1 was detected in another acetate-fed MFC (26). Detection and isolation of Geobacter sulfurreducens strains from the system are not surprising, since the original inoculum was amended with the type strain, a known electrochemically active bacterium. However, it is interesting to see that the strain persisted over the 4-year-long enrichment of EcAB over several MFC transfers.

Bacterial groups other than Geobacter, such as Clostridium-, Desulfovibrio-, Pseudomonas-, Aeromonas-, Azospira-, and Propionicimonas-related species, were also detected in this system. Even though these species were not detected as dominant bioanode species in our study, they were also detected in other MFCs of various designs, electrode materials, and growth conditions (Fig. 3). In specific, the species present on the Pt-Ti
electrode (band H-2, Fig. 3) was phylogenetically related to an *Aeromonas hydrophila* strain that was originally isolated from an MFC (40). Moreover, *A. hydrophila* showed high electrochemical activity in MFC inoculum consortia also on Pt-deposited electrodes (22). A *Desulfovibrio* species was previously shown to be active in an MFC using a sulfur electron shuttle (21). Several *Pseudomonas* and *Clostridium* species were also previously detected in MFCs (31, 39, 43), and a variety of *Azospira* clones, related to our detected strains, were also recently acquired from an acetate-fed MFC (4). The dominant species in the anolyte (band A-5, Fig. 3) was very closely related to a group of clones detected in yet another MFC anode (GenBank accession numbers EF515387 and EF515281). These species are related to *Propionicimonas* spp., Gram-positive bacteria in the *Actinobacteria* group (1).

Based on our electrochemical analyses, the four types of titanium (Ti) electrodes exhibited significant differences in performance as bioanodes in the MFC. The Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti bioanodes demonstrated faster and higher cell voltages (and hence higher current production) during start-up (Fig. 1a) than the uncoated Ti (rough and smooth) bioanodes. The differences in cell voltage value between the metal-coated and uncoated Ti bioanodes were most prominent during the first 2 days of start-up; the cell voltages of the two uncoated Ti bioanodes increased perhaps due to the electrochemical activity of planktonic cells and were almost as high as those of the metal-coated bioanodes after day 2. This was most probably due to the slow oxygen reduction rate in the cathode, meaning that the maximum anode potential for each electrode might not have been reached. The differences in current densities of the bioanodes were more clearly seen during voltammetric tests with Fe(CN)₃⁻ in the cathode for a faster reaction. Cyclic voltammetry scans of bioanodes a month after start-up (power densities shown in Fig. 1b) showed larger current densities of the metal-coated bioanodes than of the uncoated Ti bioanodes. Since the anolyte environment for all four Ti electrodes was the same, the higher current production of the metal-coated electrodes can be explained, at least partly, by the presence of a more substantial biofilm with EcAB formed on the Pt-Ti and Ta-Ti electrodes. Potential-step voltammetry allowed for a more accurate and representative current measurement, and it confirmed best performance of the metal-coated bioanodes, with the Pt-Ti bioanode outperforming the Ta-Ti bioanode (Fig. 1c). The uncoated Ti electrodes produced the worst electrochemically performing bioanodes, due to poor biofilm formation on the Ti surface. Scarce biofilm formation might be explained by the presence of a Ti oxide layer on the surface of the electrode, which has been shown to hinder bacterial attachment (24). In addition, electron transfer to the surface of the electrode might be hindered due to the low conductance of this Ti oxide layer (5). Even so, the rough Ti bioanode produced higher current densities than the smooth Ti bioanode (Fig. 1b and c). This might be explained by a microscopic increase of the electrode surface area after surface blasting and potentially higher numbers of adhered bacterial cells due to lower surface tension and the destruction of the Ti oxide layer.

In conclusion, the higher electrochemical activity of Ti-based bioanodes in MFCs appears to be more related to the precious-metal coating—Pt or Ta—rather than the core material itself. This seems to be due to facilitated bacterial adhesion, since best bioanode performance was linked to biofilm formation. In addition, there might be a bacterial preference for one surface coating over another. This is an important aspect of electrode materials considered for use in large-scale applications, where stable performance is critical. Furthermore, based on the stability of Ti as an electrode, the precious-metal-coated Ti electrodes used in this study are excellent candidates for long-term use and high current production. As demonstrated recently (10), a very high power output, 144 W/m², was achieved in a large-scale application using Pt-coated Ti electrodes. It is not yet clear, however, whether the catalytic properties of the metals have an effect on the mode of microbial exocellular electron transfer. Even so, the Fe(III)-reducing bacterial population seems to play a role on the surface of both metal-coated electrodes. It is interesting to compare the physiologies and electrochemical activities of the two different *Geobacter* strains isolated from the MFC, strains Lac319 and T33, especially since similar species have been detected in other MFCs (26, 32). With the exception of *Aeromonas* and *Clostridium* species, which were previously shown to be electrochemically active in MFC anodes (22, 39), the role of the *Pseudomonas*-, *Azospira*-, and *Propionicimonas*-related organisms in an MFC appears more elusive. These organisms, even though not dominant on the surface of the electrodes in this study, are shown to populate other MFCs, perhaps as auxiliary factors in exocellular electron transfer, such as the production of phenazines or other soluble electron shuttles (41) that can be used by other bacteria. As a result, elucidation of the role of individual microorganisms detected in mixed-culture MFCs is a difficult task. In addition, many of the microorganisms detected in MFCs cannot be tested in MFCs since they exist only as 16S rRNA clone sequence information and were never physically isolated. This fact further highlights the need for MFC *in situ* isolation methods, where EcAB can be isolated and characterized not based on inferred metabolic activities, such as Fe(III) reduction, but based directly on their electrochemical abilities.
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