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Abstract—We study a class of partial differential equations on a one dimensional spatial domain with control and observation at the boundary. For this class of systems we describe how to obtain an impedance energy-preserving system, as well as scattering energy-preserving system. For the first type of systems we consider (static and dynamic) feedback stabilization by means of boundary control. For the scattering energy-preserving systems we give conditions for which the system is either asymptotically or exponentially stable.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the following partial differential equation (PDE) on the spatial interval \([a,b]\)

\[\begin{align*}
x(t) &= Jx(t), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (1a) \\
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where $P_W$ is given by
\[
\frac{1}{4} \left( S^{-T} (P_1^2 - P_1 V V^T P_1) S^{-1} - 2 S^{-T} P_1 V P_2 S^{-1} \right),
\]
and $\bar{P}_1 = (P + V V^T)^{-1}$, $\bar{P}_2 = (P + V V^T)^{-1}$. For more information see [1] and [2].

III. IMPEDANCE ENERGY-PRESERVING SYSTEMS

Here we use the term ‘impedance energy-preserving system’ in the sense of [3]. In that paper the author shows that an impedance energy-preserving system satisfies the relation
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||x(t)||^2 = u(t)^T y(t)
\]
for $u \in C^2((0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{nN})$, $x(0) \in D(\mathcal{J})$ and, $\mathcal{B} x(0) = u(0)$. In [1] the authors show that for an impedance energy-preserving system we have $V^T V = V V^T = I$, $A_W = -A_W$ and $D(A_W) = D(A_W^*)$. In [2] it is shown that, in this case, the inputs can be described by
\[
u = \frac{1}{4} S^{-T}_2 [-I - V^T, I - V^T] \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right] = \mathcal{W}_{imp} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right]
\]
and the outputs by
\[
y = S_2 \left[ I - V^T, -I - V^T \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right] = \mathcal{C}_{imp} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right].
\]

Here, the state space is $X = L_2((a, b); \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the input and output spaces are $U = Y = \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, we know that $A_W = \mathcal{J} D(A_W)$ is the generator of a contraction semigroup (see Theorem 2.2) with $D(A_W) = \ker \mathcal{B}$.  

IV. STATIC FEEDBACK OF AN IMPEDANCE ENERGY-PRESERVING SYSTEM

In this section we apply feedback (see Figure 1), i.e.,
\[
u = r - \alpha y,
\]
where $r, u, y \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ and $\alpha > 0$ is a positive definite matrix.

We have that the plant is described by equations (1a)–(1c), where $\mathcal{B} x(t)$ is given by (13), $\mathcal{C} x(t)$ is given by (14) and the differential operator $\mathcal{J}$ when restricted to $D(\mathcal{J}) \cap \ker(\mathcal{B})$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup.

Using the feedback control (15) we can see that the closed-loop system is now described by
\[
\dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{J} x(t)
\]
\[
\mathcal{W}_{imp} + \alpha \mathcal{C}_{imp} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right] = (\mathcal{B} + \alpha \mathcal{C}) x(t) = r(t)
\]
\[
\mathcal{C} x(t) = y(t).
\]

\textbf{Lemma 4.1:} The system described by (16) (with $V V^T = I$) is a boundary control system. Furthermore, the operator $A_s = \mathcal{J} D(A_s)$ generates a contraction semigroup on $X = L_2((a, b); \mathbb{R}^n)$, where
\[
D(A_s) = \left\{ x \in D(\mathcal{J}) \mid \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_0 \\ e_0 \end{array} \right] \in \ker \bar{W} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{W} = (\mathcal{W}_{imp} + \alpha \mathcal{C}_{imp})
\]
is a full rank $nN \times 2nN$ matrix.

\textbf{Proof:} First observe that (18) follows from (16). From Theorem 2.2 we can see that if $\bar{W}$ satisfies $\bar{W} \Sigma \bar{W}^T \geq 0$ ($\Sigma$ given by (5)), then we will be done. Since $\bar{V}$ is unitary we can use Lemma A-1 to show that $\bar{W}$ satisfies $\bar{W} \Sigma \bar{W}^T = \alpha + \alpha^2 > 0$ (since $\alpha > 0$).

\textbf{Remark 4.2:} Using Lemma A.1 of [1] we can see that (18) can be factorized as
\[
\bar{W} = \tilde{S} \left[ I + \bar{V}, \quad I - \bar{V} \right].
\]

Furthermore, from step 1 and 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.3 of [1] we can show that
\[
(A_s x, x) < 0, \forall x \in D(A_s), \quad (A_s^* x, x) < 0, \forall x \in D(A_s^*).
\]

\textbf{Remark 4.3:} Following the same procedure used to prove (10)–(11), see [1, p.19], we can show that if $r(t) = 0$, $x(0) \in D(\mathcal{J})$, and $(\mathcal{B} + \alpha \mathcal{C}) x(0) = 0$, then
\[
\frac{d}{dt} ||x(t)||^2 = -y(t)^T \alpha y(t).
\]

Next we study stability of the closed-loop system.

\textbf{Theorem 4.4:} Assume that $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator for $\lambda > 0$. Then the system described by (16) (with $V V^T = I$ and $r = 0$), is asymptotically stable.

\textbf{Remark 4.5:} It can be said that most of the examples encountered in the literature satisfy the assumption in the theorem above, see, e.g., [5, p. 269].

\textbf{Proof:} [Proof of Theorem 4.4] By Lemma 4.1 we know that $A_s$ generates a contraction semigroup. Thus, for any $x(0) \in X$, the solution $x(t) = T(t)x(0)$ (classical or weak) is bounded in $X$. Since $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ is a compact operator for $\lambda > 0$, it follows that the trajectory of the solution $x(t)$, i.e. the set $\gamma(x(0)) = \{ x(t) \in X : t \geq 0 \}$ is precompact in $X$, see Theorem 3.65 of [5]. It then follows that the $w$-limit set of $w(x(0))$ of the trajectory is nonempty, compact, and we have $x(t) \rightarrow w(x(0))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, see Theorem 3.61 of [5].

Next we show that $w(x(0))$ contains only the point zero. First we prove this for $x(0) \in D(A_s)$. In this case we have that $x(t) = T(t)x(0) \in D(A_s)$ for all $t \geq 0$, see Theorem 2.1.10 of [4]. Define the energy function
\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2} ||x(t)||^2.
\]

Since $x(0) \in D(A_s)$ we have that $x(t)$ is differentiable, see [4, §2.1]. Thus the derivative of $E(t)$ is given by (20) with $r(t) = 0$, that is $E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||x(t)||^2 = -y(t)^T \alpha y(t)$. Since $\alpha$ is a positive definite matrix we have that $E(t) < 0$, which shows that $E(t)$ is a Lyapunov function, see Definition 3.62 of [5].

Observe that $E(t) = 0$ implies $y(t) = 0$. Now consider the
\[
\text{The } w\text{-limit set of } x \text{ is given by } w(x) = \{ y \in F \} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(t_n)x \text{ with } t_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } F \text{ is a closed subset of } X.
\]
A. First order differential operator (case $N=1$)

In this section we study the differential operator

$$\mathcal{J} x = P_0 x(z) + P_1 \frac{dx}{dz}(z).$$

This case includes the well-known beam and wave equations. We assume that the input and outputs have been chosen so that the resulting system is impedance energy-preserving, i.e., $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 = u(t)^T y(t)$ holds. We want to apply static feedback to the resulting BCS, see Figure 1. We already know that, in this case, the closed-loop system is a BCS and that the operator $A_s = \mathcal{J}(D(A_s))$ generates a contraction semigroup, see Lemma 4.1. We want to check whether the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable. To do so we need to check that the resolvent $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ is compact for $\lambda > 0$, see Theorem 4.4.

First we study the eigenvalues of $A_s$. From (19b) we know that $\langle A_s x, x \rangle < 0$ for all $x \in D(A_s)$. Let $x_1$ be any eigenvector of $A_s$ with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda$. Then we have that

$$\text{Re} \, \langle A_s x_1, x_1 \rangle = \text{Re} \, \langle \lambda x_1, x_1 \rangle = \text{Re} \, \lambda \|x_1\|^2 < 0.$$ 

This implies that all eigenvalues of $A_s$ satisfy $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$. Moreover, we have that this eigenvector $x_1$ is the solution of

$$P_0 x_1 + P_1 \frac{dx_1}{dz} = \lambda x_1 \iff \frac{dx_1}{dz} = P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)x_1.$$ 

The general solution of the equation above is given by

$$x_1(t) = e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(z-a)} c$$

where $c$ is a constant vector. Using (19) and the boundary conditions on $D(A_s)$, see (17), we get

$$W \left[ f_s \right]_{[a]} = W R_{\text{ext} \left[ x_1(b) \right] x_1(a)} = 0 \iff \tilde{S}[I + \tilde{V}, I - \tilde{V}] \left[ P_1 - P_1 I \right] \left[ x_1(b) \right] x_1(a) = 0$$

where we used Definition 2.1 and (19a). Using (23) in the above equation gives

$$\left( [I + \tilde{V}] P_1 + [I - \tilde{V}] \right) e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(b-a)} + \left( -[I + \tilde{V}] P_1 + [I - \tilde{V}] \right) c = 0$$

We know that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A_s$ iff the matrix above is singular. At the beginning of this subsection we showed that the eigenvalues of $A_s$ satisfy $\text{Re} \lambda > 0$, which shows that the matrix above is nonsingular if $\text{Re} \lambda \geq 0$, otherwise $A_s$ would have eigenvalues with $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$. In summary we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.6:** Consider the system described in Lemma 4.1 with $\mathcal{J}$ given by (22). Then the eigenvalues of $A_s$ satisfy $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$. Furthermore, if $\lambda > 0$ the matrix on (25) is nonsingular and $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ is a compact operator.

**Proof:** That the eigenvalues of $A_s$ satisfy $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$ and that the matrix is nonsingular was proved above.

Next we study the resolvent of $A_s$. First we study the range of $(\lambda - A_s) : D(A_s) \to X$ for $\lambda > 0$. To see this, consider $(\lambda - A_s)x = y$, which is equivalent to solve

$$\frac{dx}{dz}(z) = P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)x(z) - P_1^{-1}y(z)$$

for $x \in D(A_s)$. The general solution of (26) is given by

$$x(z) = e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(z-a)} c - \int_a^z e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(\tau-a)} P_1^{-1}y(\tau) \, d\tau$$

with $c$ a constant vector. Since $x \in D(A_s)$ the boundary conditions (see (17)) are given by (24). Using (27) in (24) yields

$$\left(\left[I + \tilde{V} P_1 + (I - \tilde{V})\right] e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(b-a)} + \left[-(I + \tilde{V}) P_1 + (I - \tilde{V})\right] \right) c = \left(I + \tilde{V} P_1 + (I - \tilde{V})\right) \int_a^b e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(\tau-b)} P_1^{-1}y(\tau) \, d\tau.$$ 

We already showed that when $\text{Re} \lambda > 0$ the matrix on the LHS is nonsingular. In that case, $c$ can be defined uniquely, which implies that (26) has a unique solution in $D(A_s)$. This solution is given by (27). Hence $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ exists when $\text{Re} \lambda > 0$. In order to prove that (27) defines the resolvent of $A_s$, we need to show that it is bounded. First observe that the dimension of the domain of $e^{P_1^{-1}(\lambda - P_0)(z-a)} c$ is finite, thus it is a compact operator (hence bounded), see [6, Th. 2.6-9 b, Th. 8.1-4, Th. 8.1-2 b]. Also, the integral operator on (27) is compact, see Theorem A.3.52 of [4]. Then, $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ given by (27) is the sum of two compact operators (see see [6, p. 407]), hence $(\lambda - A_s)^{-1}$ is a compact operator when $\text{Re} \lambda > 0$.

From the Lemma above and Theorem 4.4 we know that this type of closed-loop systems (when $N=1$) are asymptotically stable. Also, if $P_1 = 0$ we can see that when $\lambda$ is a pure imaginary number, the resolvent (27) is clearly a uniformly bounded operator since $P_1$ has only real eigenvalues and hence the magnitude of $e^{P_1^{-1} \lambda z}$ is equal to one. Since $A_s$ generates a contraction semigroup it follows that the conditions on Corollary 3.36 of [5] are satisfied, which proves that, in this case, the closed-loop is exponentially stable.

V. Dynamic feedback of an impedance energy-preserving system

In this section we generalize the class of static controllers described in the previous section. More precisely, we replace
the static matrix $\alpha$ with a matrix transfer function $\alpha(s)$ where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex variable.

The state space representation of the controller is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{v}(t) &= A_\alpha v(t) + B_\alpha y(t) \\
y_\alpha(t) &= C_\alpha v(t) + D_\alpha y(t)
\end{align*}
$$

(28)

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the state of the minimal realization. In this way, equation (15) becomes

$$
u = r - y_\alpha = r - C_\alpha v - D_\alpha \theta x,$$

(29)

where (1c) was used.

In the literature, an $n \times n$ rational matrix $H(s)$ is said to be positive real (PR) if: i) all elements of $H(s)$ are analytic in the open right-half plane $\Re(s) > 0$, ii) poles of any element of $H(s)$ on the $jw$-axis are distinct, and the associated residue matrix of $H(s)$ is $\geq 0$, iii) $H(jw) + H^H(-jw) \geq 0 \forall w$ which is not a pole of any element of $H(jw)$.

Definition 5.1 (Tao and Ioannou [7]): A rational matrix $H(s)$ is strictly positive real (SPR) if $H(s-\epsilon)$ is positive real (PR) for some $\epsilon > 0$.

The next lemma is used in the stabilty analysis (see [7]).

Lemma 5.2: Assume that the transfer matrix $H(s)$ has all its poles in $\Re(s) < -\gamma$, where $\gamma > 0$ and $(A,B,C,D)$ is a minimal realization of $H(s)$. Then $H(s-\gamma)$ is PR if and only if there exist matrices $P, Q$ and $K$ such that $P = P^T > 0$ and

$$
PA + A^T P = -QQ^T - 2yP; \quad PB = CT - QK; \quad K^T K = D + D^T.
$$

(30)

Throughout this section the controller is assumed to be SPR. Let $x \in X$ be the state of the plant, $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the state of the controller, and $\nu = \nu_\alpha$. Using the feedback control (29) and the fact that $u(t) = \theta x(t)$, see (1b), we can see that the closed-loop system is now described by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}(t) &= \mathcal{J}_c w(t), \quad w(0) \in \tilde{X} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta + D_\alpha \theta, & C_\alpha
\end{bmatrix} w(t) &= r(t) \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta & 0
\end{bmatrix} w(t) &= y(t),
\end{align*}
$$

(31)

where $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\nu_\alpha$, $x \in X$, and $\mathcal{J}_c : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ is a linear operator defined as

$$
\mathcal{J}_c w = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{J} & 0 \\
B_\alpha \theta & A_\alpha
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
v
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(32)

with $D(\mathcal{J}_c) = D(\mathcal{J}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$. The inner product on the space $\tilde{X}$ is defined as

$$
\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{\tilde{X}} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_X + \frac{1}{2} v_1^T P v_1 + \frac{1}{2} v_2^T P v_1,
$$

(33)

where $P$ is the positive definite matrix found in Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.3: Let the state of the closed-loop system of Figure 1 satisfy $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||x(t)||^2 = u(t)^T y(t)$ and the controller $\alpha(s)$ be SPR. Then the system $(31)-(32)$ is a boundary control system. Furthermore, the operator $A_\alpha$ defined by

$$
A_\alpha w = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{J} & 0 \\
B_\alpha \theta & A_\alpha
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
v
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(34a)

with

$$
D(A_\alpha) = \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x \in D(\mathcal{J}), \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix}
\nu \\
\nu_\alpha
\end{bmatrix} \in \ker \tilde{W}_D \},
$$

(34b)

where

$$
\tilde{W}_D = \begin{bmatrix}
W_{imp} + D_\alpha C_{imp}, & C_\alpha
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(34c)

generates a contraction semigroup.

Proof: First we need to prove that there exists an operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ such that for all $r \in U, Br \in D(\mathcal{J}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\begin{bmatrix}
\theta + D_\alpha \theta, & C_\alpha
\end{bmatrix} Br = r$. From the proof of Theorem 4.5 of [1] we know that if the matrix $\tilde{W}_D$ has full rank, then such operator $B$ exists. Thus we need to prove that $\tilde{W}_D$ has full rank. Since the open-loop system is assumed to be impedance energy-preserving, we must have $V V^T = I$. From this and Lemma A-2 we can see that the matrix $(W_{imp} + D_\alpha C_{imp})$ has full row-rank. Hence, we can conclude that $\tilde{W}_D$ has full rank. Equations (34) follow easily from (31) and the proof of Lemma 4.1. Next we need to prove that $A_\alpha$ generates a semigroup. We will use the Lumer-Phillips theorem (see Theorem 2.27 of [5]). First we prove that $\langle A_\alpha w, w \rangle \leq 0$. Let $w = \nu \in D(A_\alpha)$, then we have

$$
\langle A_\alpha w, w \rangle_X = \langle \mathcal{J} x, x \rangle_X + \frac{1}{2} (A_\alpha v + B_\alpha y)^T P v + \frac{1}{2} v^T (A_\alpha v + B_\alpha y)
$$

(35)

Using (A-1) together with (13) and (14) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{2} \nu^T u + \frac{1}{2} v^T (\nu^T \theta P) v + \frac{1}{2} v^T (\theta^T Q) v + \frac{1}{2} v^T (C_\alpha K - K^T C_\alpha) v.
\end{align*}
$$

(36)

Since $w = \nu \in D(A_\alpha)$ we have that $C_\alpha v = -(W_{imp} + D_\alpha C_{imp}) \nu_\alpha$, see (34), and using again (13) and (14) gives, after simplification

$$
\begin{align*}
= \frac{1}{2} v^T (\theta^T Q) v + \frac{1}{2} v^T (\theta^T Q) v - \frac{1}{2} v^T (K y + Q^T v)^T (K y + Q^T v).
\end{align*}
$$

(37)

Since $\gamma > 0$ and $P$ is positive definite it thus follows from the equation above that $\langle A_\alpha w, w \rangle \leq 0$. 
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Next we need to prove that the range of \((I - A_\lambda)\) is equal to \(\bar{X}\). In order to do so, we can show that for all \(\left[ \psi \right] \in \left[ \bar{X} \right] \), there exists \(\left[ \phi \right] \in D(A_\alpha)\) such that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  f \\
  z
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  (I - J) x \\
  -B_\alpha \xi x + (I - A_\alpha) v
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (36)

Observe that since \(\left[ \psi \right] \in D(A_\alpha)\) we must have (see (31))

\[
(B + D_\alpha \xi) x + C_\alpha v = 0.
\] (37)

We need to solve (36) and (37) for \(\left[ \psi \right] \in \left[ \bar{X} \right] \). Recall that \(A_\alpha\) is assumed to have only negative eigenvalues, and hence \((I - A_\alpha)\) is a nonsingular matrix. Using the lower equation of (36) into (37) yields

\[
(B + \alpha(1) \xi) x = -C_\alpha (I - A_\alpha) z,
\] (38)

where \(\alpha(1) = C_\alpha (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} B_\alpha + D_\alpha\). We now need to find an \(x\) that satisfies (38) and the upper equation in (36). In these two equations let \(\tilde{z} = -C_\alpha (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} z\) and \(x = x_{\text{new}} + \tilde{B} z\) where \(\tilde{B}\) is such that \((B + \alpha(1) \xi) \tilde{B} = I\) (the existence of \(\tilde{B}\) is proved in (1)). This gives

\[
(I - J) x_{\text{new}} = f - (I - J) \tilde{B} z,
\] (39)

\[
(B + \alpha(1) \xi) x_{\text{new}} = 0.
\] (40)

Following the proof of Theorem 4.1 it is not difficult to see that if (40) holds then \(J\) generates a contraction semigroup. This implies that \((I - J f)\) has an inverse and hence \(x_{\text{new}}\) exists. Thus, for \(\left[ \psi \right] \in \left[ \bar{X} \right] \) we can find \(\left[ \varphi \right] \in D(A_\alpha)\) such that (36) and (37) holds.

In the rest of this section we denote by \(A_\alpha\) the operator \(A_\alpha\) described in Lemma 4.1 with \(\alpha\) replaced by \(\alpha(\lambda) = C_\alpha (\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1} B_\alpha + D_\alpha\) in (18). Next we show that if the resolvent of \(A_\alpha\) is compact for \(\lambda > 0\), then the associated closed-loop system will also have a compact resolvent.

**Theorem 5.4:** Consider the system described in Theorem 2.2. Let the energy of this system satisfy \(\frac{1}{2} \| x(t) \|_2^2 = u(t)^T v(t)\). Assume that \(\alpha(s)\) is a SPR function and that the resolvent of the operator \(A_\alpha\) described above is compact for \(\lambda > 0\). Then \((\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1}\) is also compact for \(\lambda > 0\).

**Proof:** We will use Theorem 8.1-3 of [6], which states that an operator is compact iff it maps every bounded sequence onto a sequence which has a convergent subsequence. First we find the inverse of \((\lambda I - A_\alpha)\) for \(\lambda > 0\) by following the same procedure used to find (36)-(40). We know that this inverse exists since \(A_\alpha\) generates a contraction semigroup. From (39)-(40) we see, in this case, that \(x_{\text{new}} \in D(A_\alpha)\) and \(x_{\text{new}} = (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} f - (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} (I - J) \tilde{B} z\), where \(\tilde{z} = -C_\alpha (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} z\). Since \(x = x_{\text{new}} + \tilde{B} z\) we obtain that

\[
x = (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} f - (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} (I - J) \tilde{B} z + \tilde{B} z,
\] (41)

and from the lower equation of (36) we get

\[
v = (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} B_\alpha \xi x + (I - A_\alpha)^{-1} z.
\] (42)

Let \(\{ k_n \} \in \left[ \bar{X} \right] \) be any bounded sequence in \(\bar{X}\) and let \(w_n = \{ v_n \} \in D(A_\alpha)\) such that \(w_n = (\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1} k_n\). By Theorem 5.3 we know that \(A_\alpha\) generates a contraction semigroup and by the Hille-Yosida theorem it follows that \(\| (\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \) for \(\lambda > 0\). Hence the sequence \(\{ w_n \}\) is bounded too. Since we know that \((\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1}\) is compact and that \(J\) is bounded (see Definition 3.3.2 of [4]), we have that \(\{ v_n \}\) has a convergent subsequence, see (41). Also, since \(\{ v_n \}\) is bounded and belongs to a finite dimensional subspace of \(\bar{X}\), it follows that \(\{ v_n \}\) has another convergent subsequence. Hence, \(w_n = \{ w_n \}\) has a convergent subsequence and therefore \((\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1}\) is compact for \(\lambda > 0\).

Next we give an asymptotic stability result similar to the one in Theorem 4.4.

**Theorem 5.5:** Consider the system given by (31). Let the transfer function \(\alpha(s)\) be a SPR function. Let the resolvent associated with \(A_\alpha\) be compact for \(\lambda > 0\). Then the system described by (31) with \(r = 0\), is asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.4. First we prove this for \(w(0) \in D(A_\alpha)\). By Lemma 4.1 we know that \(A_\alpha\) generates a contraction semigroup. In this case we have that \(w(t) = T_\alpha(t) x(0) \in D(A_\alpha)\) for all \(t \geq 0\), see Theorem 2.1.10 of [4]. Define the energy function

\[
E_c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \| w(t) \|_X^2 = \frac{1}{2} \| w(t) \|_X^2.
\] (43)

Since \(w(0) \in D(A_\alpha)\) we have that \(w(t)\) is differentiable, see [4, §2.1]. By differentiating the equation above and using (31) and (35) we obtain

\[
\dot{E}_c(t) = \langle \dot{w}(t), w(t) \rangle_X = \langle A_\alpha w(t), w(t) \rangle_X
\] (44)

\[= -\gamma v^T P v(t) - \frac{1}{2} (K y(t) + Q^T v(t))^T (K y(t) + Q^T v(t)),\]

where \(\gamma > 0\) and \(P\) is positive definite. Since \((\lambda I - A_\lambda)^{-1}\) is compact, it follows from Theorem 5.4 that \((\lambda I - A_\alpha)^{-1}\) is also compact. Since \((\lambda I - A_\lambda)^{-1}\) is compact and \(T_\alpha(t)\) is a contraction, it follows from LaSalle’s principle that all solutions of (31) asymptotically tend to the maximal invariant set of \(\mathcal{E}_c = \{ x \in X \mid E_c(t) = 0 \}\). Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be the largest invariant subset of \(\mathcal{E}_c\). Next we show that \(\mathcal{E} = \{ 0 \}\). The condition \(E_c(t) = 0\) implies, from (44), that \(v(t) = 0\); and hence, \(v(t) = 0\). Then by (28) we must have that \(B_\alpha v(t) = 0\). Since \(\alpha(s)\) is SPR, we have that \(\alpha(j w) + \alpha^T (-j w) y(t) > 0\). This implies that

\[
y^T(t) (\alpha(j w) + \alpha^T (-j w) y(t) > 0
\]

\[
= y^T(t) [D_\alpha + D_\alpha^T] y(t) > 0 \Rightarrow K^T K > 0.
\]

In the second step the facts \(\alpha(j w) = C_\alpha(j w - A_\alpha)^{-1} B_\alpha + D_\alpha\) and \(B_\alpha y(t) = 0\) were used, and in the third step we used (30). Since \(v(t) = 0\) and \(K^T K > 0\) it follows from (44) that \(y(t) = 0\), and hence by (28) we also obtain \(y_{\text{new}}(t) = 0\).

Therefore from (31) and (34) it follows that the invariant solution of (31) in \(\mathcal{E}_c\) reduces to the invariant solution of the associated open-loop system in the domain (17)-(18). The rest of the proof follows from the proof of Theorem 4.4.

**VI. SCATTERING ENERGY-PRESERVING SYSTEMS**

Here we use the term ‘scattering energy-preserving system’ in the sense of [3]. In that paper the author shows that a scattering energy-preserving system satisfies the relation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| x(t) \|_2^2 = \| u(t) \|_2^2 - \| y(t) \|_2^2
\]
where \( u \in C^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n) \), \( x(0) \in D(J) \) and, \( \mathcal{B} u(0) = u(0) \). In [2] it is shown that, in this case, \( V = 0, P_1 = P_2 = I, S^{-T} S^{-1} = 4I \), and \( S^{-T} S^{-1} = 4I \). This means that \( W \) has the form \( W = S[I \quad I \quad I] \).

For this type of systems related to the operator \( J \) we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.1:** Consider the system described in Theorem 2.2 with \( J e = P_1 \frac{dx}{dt} (z) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 = \|u(t)\|^2 - \|y(t)\|^2 \). Then the system is exactly observable in finite time.

**Proof:** First observe that \( W \) has the form \( W = S[I \quad I \quad I] \). In order to check stability of the system we study the resolvent of \( A_W = J D(A_W) \). Let \( x \in D(A_W) \) and observe that \( y(z) = (\lambda - A_W) x(z) = \lambda x(z) - P_1 \frac{dx}{dt} (z) \). Thus \( \frac{dy}{dt}(z) = \lambda P_1^{-1} x(z) - P_1^{-1} y(z) \) need to be solved. The general solution is given by

\[
x(z) = e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (z-a)} c - \int_a^z e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (z-t)} P_1^{-1} y(t) \, dt
\]

where \( c \) is a constant vector. Since \( x \in D(A_W) \) the boundary conditions (see Theorem 2.2) are described by (4).

\[
WR_{ext} \begin{bmatrix} x(b) \\ x(a) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 + I \\ I - P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(b) \\ x(a) \end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

Using (45) in the equation above yields

\[
(P_1 + I) \left[ e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (b-a)} c - \int_a^b e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (b-t)} P_1^{-1} y(t) \, dt \right] + (I - P_1) c = 0
\]

\[
\iff (P_1 + I) e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (b-a)} + (I - P_1) c = (P_1 + I) \int_a^b e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (b-t)} P_1^{-1} y(t) \, dt.
\]

It follows from Lemma 14 of [8] that when \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ -\infty \} \) the matrix on the left hand side is nonsingular. In that case, \( c \) can be defined uniquely, which implies that \( (\lambda - A_W)^{-1} \) exists. Also, it is not difficult to show that \( (\lambda - A_W)^{-1} \) is bounded, hence Equation (45) defines the resolvent operator of \( A_W \).

It is easy to show that the resolvent (45) is uniformly bounded (see the last paragraph of Subsection IV-A). Since \( A_W \) generates a contraction semigroup it follows from Corollary 3.36 of [5] that the system is exponentially stable. Finally, from Theorem 11.3.8 of [9] one can see that the system is also exactly observable in finite time.

**Lemma 6.2:** Consider the system of Theorem 2.2 with \( J e = P_1 \frac{dx}{dt} (z) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 = \|u(t)\|^2 - \|y(t)\|^2 \). Then the resolvent \( (\lambda - A_W)^{-1} \), with \( \lambda \geq 0 \), is a compact operator, where \( A_W = J D(A_W) \). Furthermore, the operator \( W J e = P_0 e(z) + P_1 \frac{dx}{dt} (z) \) has compact resolvent \( \lambda > 0 \), i.e., \( (\lambda - A_W)^{-1} \) is a compact operator.

**Proof:** From Theorem 6.1 we know that the resolvent of \( A_W \) is given by (45) for \( \lambda \geq 0 \). The integral operator on the RHS is clearly compact, see Theorem A.3.52 of [4]. The exponential operator \( e^{\lambda P_1^{-1} (z-a)} c \) is also compact, since it is a finite rank operator, see Theorem 8.1-4 of [6]. Since \( (\lambda - A_W)^{-1} \) in (45) is the sum of two compact operators we have that it is also compact for \( \lambda \geq 0 \), see [6, p. 407].

Using Lemma A-3 we can conclude that \((\lambda - A_W)^{-1}\) is compact for \( \lambda > 0 \) since \( A_W \) is also the generator of a contraction semigroup.

**Theorem 6.3:** Consider the system of Theorem 2.2 with \( J e = P_0 e(z) + P_1 \frac{dx}{dt} (z) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 = \|u(t)\|^2 - \|y(t)\|^2 \). Then the system is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** From Lemma 6.2 we know that \((\lambda - A_W)^{-1}\), with \( \lambda > 0 \), is a compact operator, where \( A_W = J D(A_W) \). The rest of the proof follows similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4, noting that \( E(t) = -\|y(t)\|^2 \).

**APPENDIX**

**Lemma A-1:** Let \( S_2, V \in R^{n \times n} \) and \( R_{ext} \), \( \Sigma \in R^{2n \times 2n} \) where \( \Sigma \) is given by (5). Consider the matrices \( W_{imp} \) and \( C_{imp} \) given by (13) and (14), respectively. If \( V \) satisfies \( V V^T = I = V^T V \) (impedance passive system), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{imp}^T W_{imp} + W_{imp}^T C_{imp} &= \Sigma, \quad (A-1) \\
C_{imp}^T W_{imp} &= 4 S_2^T S_2^{-1} C_{imp}^T, \quad (A-2) \\
C_{imp} W_{imp} &= 0, \quad \text{and} \quad W_{imp} C_{imp}^T &= 0. \quad (A-3)
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof:** It follows easily by using algebra and \( V V^T = I \).

**Lemma A-2:** Consider the matrices \( W_{imp} \) and \( C_{imp} \) given by (13) and (14), respectively. Let \( V \) and \( D \) be \( n \times n \) matrices, with \( V V^T = I = V^T V \). Then, the matrix given by

\[
\tilde{W} = W_{imp} + D C_{imp}
\]

has full row-rank.

**Proof:** It is easy to show that the matrix \( \tilde{W} \tilde{W}^T \) is nonsingular.

The following lemma is not difficult to prove.

**Lemma A-3:** Let \( A = A_0 + A_1 \) be a closed and densely defined operator on \( X \), where \( A_0 \) is a bounded operator on \( X \) and \( A_1 \) is an unbounded operator with \( D(A_0) = D(A) \). If \( A \) satisfies \( \text{Re} \langle Az, z \rangle \leq 0 \) and \( (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \) is a compact operator for \( \lambda > 0 \), then the operator \( (\lambda - A)^{-1} \) is a compact operator for \( \lambda > 0 \).
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