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ABSTRACT

Objectives
To determine 1) the effects of a nine-week-long combined aerobic and strength exercise program, and an aerobic-only exercise program on proxy-reported and performance-based activities of daily living (ADL), and 2) the mediating effect of exercise induced changes in motor and cognitive function on changes in ADL in patients with dementia.

Methods
Patients with dementia (N = 105, age = 85.6 ± 5.1 years) participated in a nine-week, parallel, three-group, single-blind, randomized, controlled trial.

Intervention
Each 9-week-long intervention consisted of 36, 30-minute-long sessions. A Combined group (N = 35) received and completed two strength and two walking sessions per week. An Aerobic group (N = 35) completed four walking sessions, and a Social group (N = 35) four social visits per week.

Results
There was a group effect for pre-posttest difference scores in proxy-report KATZ (Chi² = 8.3(2), p = .018), and performance-based Erlangen-ADL (Chi² = 16.4(2), p < .001) and 7-item Physical Performance Test (PPT-7) (Chi² = 11.9(2), p = .003). Compared to the Social group, the Combined group significantly improved on KATZ (Z=-2.8, p = .010), Erlangen-ADL (Z=-3.8, p < .001), and PPT-7 (Z=-3.3, p = .002), whereas the Aerobic group only improved on the Erlangen-ADL (Z=-2.9, p = .008). In the Combined group, pretest-posttest difference scores on global cognition significantly mediated KATZ, while leg-muscle strength mediated Erlangen-ADL, and leg-muscle strength and walking endurance mediated PPT-7.

Conclusion
Both motor (i.e., walking endurance and leg strength) and cognitive (i.e., global cognition) function mediate the superior effects of a combined aerobic and strength training versus an aerobic-only training in improving ADL in patients with dementia.

INTRODUCTION

Dementia is associated with cognitive and motor impairments, which in turn leads to lower levels in activities of daily living (ADL). In addition to motor and cognitive decline, impaired cognitive coping strategies, that could compensate for physical limitations, exacerbate ADL disability in patients with dementia. ADL disability leads to increased dependence in daily life, which contributes to lower quality of life and greater caregiver effort, care burden, and long-term care costs. To combat these emerging personal and socio-economic problems it is of high clinical relevance to develop interventions that slow the evolution of ADL disability in patients with dementia.

Specific risk factors, including physical inactivity, can accelerate the progression of ADL disability. Nursing home residents with dementia have an increased risk for becoming inactive because these patients often have thoughts that make them reluctant from becoming physically active, and they also have great difficulties in initiating movement. In addition, institutionalized patients with dementia often live in a closed off ward that limits free movement within the facility. Patients who are physically inactive are at major risk for a decline in motor and cognitive function. Therefore, decreasing physical inactivity through an exercise program could slow the decline in both physical and cognitive function in patients with dementia and as a result, slow ADL disability.

The hypothesis that exercise is beneficial for ADL in patients with dementia has been examined only to a limited extent. To date, five studies, of which three with limited sample size (N < 8 N ≤ 24) and one without a control group, showed inconsistent results with small to large ADL effects after a specific aerobic or strength exercise program. In healthy old people and in older patients with dementia, a combination training consisting of aerobic and strength exercise yielded the strongest cognitive and motor effects. Therefore, we hypothesize that a combined aerobic and strength exercise program is more effective in improving ADL than a single-component exercise program.

The previous ADL studies only used proxy-reported ADL measures, which are, compared to performance-based ADL tests, less valid (e.g., prone to subjective influence and social desirability bias) and less sensitive to detect changes. The use of proxy-report measures can overestimate (e.g., social desirability bias) or underestimate (e.g., limited sensitivity to change) intervention effects. Clinical trials should therefore include both proxy- and performance-based ADL measures with appropriate sample sizes to study the effects of exercise on care related proxy-ADL perception and the patient-based ADL performance. We assessed both ADL...
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measures to study the effect of exercise on ADL.

To date, there are no data on how exercise-induced adaptations in motor and cognitive function mediate improvements in ADL in patients with dementia. Based on several disability models, we expect that by reducing motor impairments (e.g., improve walking endurance, strength, balance, mobility) via physical exercise, motor performance-based ADL will improve (e.g., transfers in the living room, picking up an object from the floor, lifting a heavy object). Regular physical exercise may also improve cognitive functions (e.g., global cognition, memory, and executive function), which are involved in cognitively challenging ADL tasks (e.g., reasoning and planning). Therefore, we hypothesized that improvements in motor and cognitive function after physical exercise positively mediate improvements in ADL.

In sum, the primary aim of the study was to determine the effects of a nine-week-long combined aerobic and strength exercise program, compared to an aerobic-only exercise program, on proxy-reported and performance-based ADL in patients with dementia. The secondary objective was to study the mediating effect of exercise-induced changes in motor and cognitive function on changes in ADL.

METHODS

Ethics statement
The Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands, approved the research protocol according to the principles expressed by the Declaration of Helsinki, and gave permission for the procedures of this study. Prior to entering the study, we obtained written consent from each patient’s legal representative.

Design and procedures
The present study is part of a large randomized clinical trial in patients with dementia, in which the effects of a combination of aerobic and strength sessions, compared to aerobic only or social sessions, on cognitive and motor function are studied. In the present study, we compared the effects of two exercise interventions with a control group on ADL function in patients with dementia. The study design was a nine-week-long, parallel, three-group, single-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Parallel to the combined aerobic and strength exercise group (Combined group), an aerobic-only group (Aerobic group), and a social visit group (Social group) were allocated. Baseline measurements (baseline, T0) were done before randomization procedures, and retests were done after the nine-week-long intervention (time 9-weeks, T1).

Between January 2011 and May 2013, patients were recruited from seven specialized nursing homes in Northern Netherlands. First, a geriatrician checked the following initial eligibility criteria: age 70 or older, diagnosis of dementia, and absence of serious health problems. Next, a trained Human Movement Sciences (HMS) research-assistant tested the patients for the following additional inclusion criteria: Mini Mental State Examination score (MMSE) ≥ 9 and ≤ 23, and able to perform the timed up & go test. For those who passed these five criteria, baseline performance-based ADL, cognitive, and motor function were assessed by a trained HMS research-assistant. A nurse, who worked closely with a patient, completed the proxy-reported ADL (i.e., KATZ-index). The test administrators were then blinded to patients’ group assignment. After baseline measurements, participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups, by using numbered containers, stratified according to nursing home, gender, and MMSE score (allocation ratio 1:1:1). A scientist unrelated to the study performed the procedure.

Intervention
We have recently described in detail the three intervention programs. Briefly, 18 trained HMS researchers administered 30-minute-long, one-on-one guided sessions in each intervention program. The Combined group participated in two strength and two walking sessions each week, for a total of nine weeks. Aerobic and strength
training sessions were alternated. This exercise program improved motor (i.e., walking endurance, leg strength, and balance) and cognitive function (i.e., global cognition, executive function, memory). The Aerobic group participated in four walking sessions each week, and the Social group participated in four social visits each week, for nine weeks total.

**Strength exercise**
Strength exercises for the Combined group focused on lower-limb strengthening. The exercises were as follows: (1) seated knee extension, (2) plantar flexion through toe raises while holding both hands of the trainer, (3) hip abduction by moving the straight leg sideways while standing behind and holding on to a chair, and (4) hip extension by moving the straight leg backwards while standing behind and holding on to a chair.

**Aerobic exercise**
Aerobic exercise consisted of moderate to high intensity walking sessions that were performed in the corridors of the nursing home, or on paved outdoor walking paths near the nursing home. If a participant requested rest, an appropriate rest period was included in the 30-minute-long session. As soon as patients recovered, walking was resumed. The training intensity was adjusted by varying the distances per session.

**Social intervention**
The Social group received 30-minute-long, one-on-one social visits. During social visits participants talked with the HMS research-assistant, while sitting in a chair.

**Measurements**
At T0 and T1, a nurse who worked closely with a participant filled in a proxy-reported KATZ questionnaire. Furthermore, the performance-based Erlangen-ADL test (E-ADL), 7-item physical performance test (PPT-7), a motor function test battery, and a cognitive function test battery were assessed by a trained HMS research-assistant who was blinded for the treatment conditions.

**KATZ questionnaire**
The KATZ is a proxy-reported questionnaire. The test assesses dependency in basic functional, care-related ADL. The KATZ consists of six items (bathing, dressing, toileting, transferring, continence, and feeding), which were scored on a 3-point Likert scale. A higher score on the KATZ indicated that more help was needed during ADL activities, which was provided by the nurse. Per item, a score 1 represented complete independency, a score 2 moderate dependency, and a score 3 complete dependency. The sum score of six items was used as outcome variable. A score of 6 indicated complete ADL independency, 12 moderate ADL dependency, and 18 indicated maximal dependency on ADL. For interpretation purposes in the analysis, the KATZ difference scores were multiplied by -1, thereby indicating that a positive difference score represented an improvement on the KATZ. The KATZ is a frequently used functional ADL measure in residential care settings, and is reliable to measure patients’ functional status.

**Erlangen Test of Activities of Daily living (E-ADL)**
The E-ADL is a performance-based instrumental-ADL test that consists of six items: pouring a drink, spreading butter on a sandwich and cutting the sandwich, open a little cupboard with a key, wash and dry hands, and tie a bow on a small wrapped present. Points were given for each correctly performed step within each of the six items. E-ADL scores ranged from 0 to 31 with a higher score indicating better ADL. The E-ADL was specifically developed for patients with dementia and showed good validity and test-retest reliability.

**Physical Performance Test adjusted 7-items**
The PPT-7 is a performance-based motor function ADL test. The PPT-7 consists of seven items: writing a sentence, transfer five beans from a bowl into a cup with a teaspoon, lifting a book onto a shelf, put on a coat, pick up a coin from the floor, walk 50 feet (15.24 meters), and turning 360 degrees while standing in one place. Each item was scored on a 4-point Likert scale according to preset time limits, and the patients’ ability to perform the test according to protocol. The total score was the sum of seven items and scores ranged from 0 – 28, with higher scores indicating better motor ADL performance. The PPT-7 was found feasible and reliable in patients with dementia.

**Cognitive and motor tests**
Details of the cognitive and motor tests are described in a study by Bossers et al. (2014). Thirteen cognitive tests were assessed, which covered the following cognitive domains: global cognition (Mini Mental State Examination), visual memory (visual memory span forward test, faces recognition test, pictures recognition test), verbal memory (eight-words test direct recall, eight-words test recognition, digit span forward test), and executive function (visual memory span backward test, digit span backward test, stroop test, verbal fluency test, picture completion test, trail making test-A).

Eight motor tests were assessed for walking endurance (six minutes walking test), leg strength (30-seconds sit-to-stand test, maximal knee extension strength with a dynamometer), mobility (6-meter walk test, timed up & go), and balance (frailty and injuries cooperative studies of intervention techniques - subtest 4 (FICSIT-4), figure
of eight test, Groningen Meander Balance Test).

Statistical analysis
SPSS 20.0 was used for data analysis, and two-sided alpha was set at 0.05. Baseline differences of group characteristics between the three groups were analyzed with Chi-squared tests and ANOVA tests.

KATZ, E-ADL, and PPT-7 data were not normally distributed, and homogeneity of variances between groups was not supported. Therefore, Kruskall-Wallis tests between the difference scores of the KATZ, E-ADL, and PPT-7 were done to compare the three groups with respect to the effects on ADL. In addition, post-hoc pairwise comparisons were done using Mann-Whitney tests with Bonferroni corrections to correct for alpha inflation (i.e., two comparisons; Combined vs. Social and Aerobic vs. Social). The magnitude of effects between the Combined and Social group, and Aerobic and Social group were displayed as Cohen’s d effect sizes. Cohen’s benchmarks were used to indicate small ($d = 0.20$), moderate ($d = 0.50$), and large ($d = 0.80$) effect sizes.

To explore mediating effects of pre-posttest change in cognitive and motor domain test scores on pre-posttest change in ADL performance, a mediation analysis was done following Hayes & Preacher (2013). Multiple regression analyses were conducted to assess the three proposed mediation models for KATZ, E-ADL, and PPT-7 difference scores (Figure 6.2). Dummy coding was used to test the direct and indirect effect of the Combined and Aerobic group, using the Social group as reference group on each of the three dependent ADL variables. Cognitive and motor domain difference scores, published previously, were used as mediators. Bootstrap with 5000 resamples was used for the regression analysis and the 95% confidence interval calculation.

RESULTS

Table 6.1. Baseline characteristics of the Combined group (N = 35), Aerobic group (N = 35) and Social group (N = 35) as mean (SD) or percentage per group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Combined group</th>
<th>Aerobic group</th>
<th>Social group</th>
<th>p-value assessing group difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>85.7 (5.2)</td>
<td>85.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>85.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch education level (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished primary school or lower</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower than finished higher education</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished higher education</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of walking aid (%)</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Mental State Examination score</td>
<td>15.9 (4.4)</td>
<td>15.3 (4.8)</td>
<td>15.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Comorbidity Index $^c$</td>
<td>2.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.8)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of dementia (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Dementia</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease / Vascular Dementia</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type not reported</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^a$, ANOVA; $^b$, Chi-Square Test; $^c$, theoretical range 0 – 30 and a higher score indicates better performance; $^d$, theoretical range 0 – 18 and a higher score indicates more comorbidities.

Changes in total ADL outcome scores
Figure 6.1 describes the study flow. In total, 495 institutionalized patients were screened for eligibility of whom 118 enrolled in the study. Table 6.1 shows that there were no significant differences for population characteristics at baseline between the three groups. No significant differences were found in adherence rate between the Combined (89.1% ± 10.2%), Aerobic (90.1% ± 9.1%), and Social group (92.8% ± 6.7%) (p = .136).
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Figure 6.1. CONSORT flowchart of participants

Table 6.2 presents the ADL data for the KATZ, E-ADL, and PPT-7. The three groups did not significantly differ at baseline for the three ADL tests. Kruskal-Wallis tests revealed a group effect for pre-posttest difference scores in KATZ (Chi^2 = 8.09(2), p = .018), E-ADL (Chi^2 = 16.40(2), p < .001), and PPT-7 (Chi^2 = 11.93(2), p = .003).

For the KATZ, Mann-Whitney tests showed that pretest-posttest difference scores in the Combined group, compared to the Social group, were significantly higher (Z = -3.833, p < .001) with a large effect size (d = 0.85). Pretest-posttest difference scores between the Aerobic group and Social group was also significantly higher (Z = -2.916, p = .008) with a moderate effect size (d = 0.53).

For the E-ADL, Mann-Whitney tests showed that pretest-posttest difference scores in the Combined group, compared to the Social group, was significantly higher (Z = -3.337, p = .002) with a moderate effect size (d = 0.62). Changes between the Aerobic and the Social group were similar (Z = -1.664, p = .192).

Mediating effect of cognitive and motor difference scores on ADL difference scores

Figure 6.2 illustrates the three mediation models, which represent the effects of the Combined versus Social (Figure sub-part a), and Aerobic versus Social group (Figure sub-part b) on pretest-posttest difference scores of the KATZ (Figure 6.2, panel I), E-ADL (Figure 6.2, panel II), and PPT-7 (Figure 6.2, panel III). For clarity reasons, sub-panels a and b are presented separately but represent data from one model. Significant mediating paths are drawn with bold lines.

KATZ

Only the difference score in global cognition significantly mediated the effect between the Combined group and KATZ difference score. As Figure 6.2-Ia illustrates, the standardized mediating effect of the Combined group on KATZ via global cognition was (.81)*(.22) = .18 (CI = .05 to .41). Thus, the mediation was statistically significant. There were no statistically significant mediating effects in the Aerobic group.

E-ADL

The difference score in leg strength significantly mediated the effect between the Combined group and E-ADL difference score. As Figure 6.2-IIa illustrates, the standardized mediating effect of the Combined group on E-ADL via leg strength was (.72)*(.26) = .19 (CI = .03 to .43). Thus, the mediation was statistically significant. There were no statistically significant mediating effects in the Aerobic group.

PPT-7

The difference score in walking endurance and leg strength significantly mediated the effect between the Combined group and PPT-7 difference score. As Figure 6.2-IIIa illustrates, the standardized indirect effect of the Combined group on PPT-7 via walking endurance was (.69)*(.21) = .15 (CI = .01 to .36) and via leg strength was (.72)*(.23) = .17 (CI = .01 to .43). Thus, these indirect effects were statistically significant. There were no statistically significant mediating effects in the Aerobic group.

For the E-ADL, Mann-Whitney tests showed that pretest-posttest difference scores in the Combined group, compared to the Social group, were significantly higher (Z = -3.833, p < .001) with a large effect size (d = 0.85). Pretest-posttest difference scores between the Aerobic group and Social group was also significantly higher (Z = -2.916, p = .008) with a moderate effect size (d = 0.53).

For the PPT-7, Mann-Whitney tests showed that pretest-posttest difference scores in the Combined group, compared to the Social group, was significantly higher (Z = -3.337, p = .002) with a moderate effect size (d = 0.62). Changes between the Aerobic and the Social group were similar (Z = -1.664, p = .192).
Table 6.2. ADL data for the Combined (N = 35), Aerobic (N = 35) and Social group (N = 35) and between group difference assessment of the pre-posttest difference scores with Cohen’s d effect sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>Pre-post</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>Prepost Δ</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Posthoc b</th>
<th>Posthoc b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KATZ</td>
<td>-10.49</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>8.91 1.70</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>12.06 1.29</td>
<td>-11.11 1.24</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-ADL</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>28.15 2.68</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>20.80 3.55</td>
<td>27.34 3.80</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT-7</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>14.21 3.54</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>13.41 5.11</td>
<td>13.23 4.92</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Data were analyzed with Mann-Whitney tests; d, Cohen’s d effect size was calculated between the Combined group vs. Social group and between Aerobic group vs. Social group. 0.20–0.50 indicates a small effect, > 0.50–0.70 a medium effect, and > 0.70 a large effect; KATZ-index score: range 6–18. A higher score indicates better basic ADL performance; E-ADL, E-ADL activities of daily living; Range 0–10. A higher score indicates better basic instrumental ADL performance; PPT-7, Physical performance test 7-items, Range 0–28. A higher score indicates better physical basic ADL performance.

Figure 6.2. Effects of the Combined and Aerobic group on difference scores KATZ (I), E-ADL (II), and PPT-7 (III) via mediators global cognition, visual memory, verbal memory, executive function, walking endurance, leg strength, balance, and mobility. For clarity reasons, sub-panels a (paths from the Combined group) and b (paths from the Aerobic group) are presented separately but represent data from one model. Significant mediating paths are drawn with bold lines. Note: T1–T0, pretest-posttest difference score; *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
We found large differences in effect sizes between the two measures: zero to small effects, when using the proxy-reported ADL measures, compared with moderate to large effects, when using the performance-based ADL measures. Proxy-report measures are known to cause either an overestimation (due to social desirability bias) or an underestimation of the actual effect (due to limited sensitivity for change). Our data confirm the underestimation of ADL performance when measured with a proxy-reported ADL test. Specifically, we think that this inaccuracy is related to a lack of sensitivity to change in the KATZ questionnaire, that minimized the variability in the training effects. Most likely, the narrowness of the 3-point Likert scale is causing the poor sensitivity of this test. Therefore, there is a need in future studies to use proxy-report ADL-questionnaires with a wider scoring range, making the questionnaire more sensitive to change in ADL performance.

In line with the current study, previous studies found beneficial effects on proxy-reported ADL performance after exercise training. However, these studies failed to determine how reduced impairments convert to improved ADL. The current mediation analysis is the first to suggest that exercise intervention-induced improvements in global cognition significantly mediate the increases in proxy-reported ADL performance. Such a mediation between proxy-reported ADL and global cognition may be explained by the fact that proxy-questionnaires also involve the experience of the nurse in handling more complex ADL tasks, which require planning and help from a nurse. By improving global cognition, patients with dementia may be better able to perform basic ADL tasks that include orientation in time and space, understanding of instructions, and remembering and recognizing tasks. Therewith, communication and cooperation between nurse and patient may be enhanced, resulting in better ADL performance and lower care burden. On the other hand, motor related improvements in leg-muscle strength and walking endurance mediate improvements in performance-based ADL. The performance-based measures include single-component ADL tasks that require little planning, or an underestimation of the actual effect (due to limited sensitivity for change). Based on the present results, we recommend that future exercise intervention studies should combine aerobic and strength training to most effectively increase cognitive and motor function because such changes can in turn improve proxy-based and performance-based ADLs in patients with dementia, thereby lowering healthcare burden.

The current study has some limitations. First, it was not possible to blind the nurses who filled in the KATZ to the treatment because they worked with the participant on a daily basis. However, based on the underestimation of the proxy-reported ADL effect, it seems that there was no or little desirability bias in the current study.
Furthermore, as a consequence of a general research setting in the clinic, an involved nurse, who fills in a proxy-report, is aware of patients’ daily routines and activities. Therefore, one advantage of using a performance-based ADL test, in addition to proxy test, is that such a test informs the nurse what a patient with dementia can still do, compared with proxy-report information that provides information on what the nurse thinks a patient can do. Information derived from a combination of proxy- and performance-based ADL data is of clinical interest because it can tune nurses’ perception as to what patients can and should be able to do in terms of ADL. This combined information can be used to increase ADL independency and therewith, improve quality of life in patients with dementia.¹

CONCLUSION

In patients with dementia, a short-term, nine-week-long combined aerobic and strength exercise program is superior in improving ADL, compared with aerobic-only exercise. Factors that mediate improved ADL in patients with dementia include improved walking endurance, leg strength, and global cognition. The current study stresses the importance of physical exercise participation, as part of a physically active lifestyle, in the maintenance of ADL in institutionalized elderly with dementia.
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