Chapter 4: Single-molecule studies of polymerase dynamics and stoichiometry at the bacteriophage T7 replication machinery

ABSTRACT: Replication of DNA plays a central role in transmitting hereditary information from cell to cell. To achieve reliable DNA replication, multiple proteins form a stable complex, known as the replisome, enabling them to act together in a highly coordinated fashion. Over the past decade, the roles of the various proteins within the replisome have been determined. Although many of their interactions have been characterized, it remains poorly understood how replication proteins enter and leave the replisome. In this study, we visualize fluorescently-labeled bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerases within the replisome while we simultaneously observe the kinetics of the replication process. This combination of observables allows us to monitor both the activity and dynamics of individual polymerases during coordinated leading- and lagging-strand synthesis. Our data suggest that lagging-strand polymerases are exchanged at a frequency similar to that of Okazaki-fragment synthesis and that two or more polymerases are present in the replisome during DNA replication. Our studies imply a highly dynamic picture of the replisome with lagging-strand DNA polymerases residing at the fork for the synthesis of only a few Okazaki fragments. Further, new lagging-strand polymerases are readily recruited from a pool of polymerases that are proximally bound to the replisome and continuously replenished from solution.
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4.1 Introduction

The organization of replisomes is highly conserved among various organisms (27), underlining the evolutionary importance of the replication machinery architecture. The bacteriophage T7 replication system offers an attractive model system to study the interplay between replication proteins since its replication machinery is relatively simple; a functional replisome can be reconstituted by just four purified proteins. Three of these proteins are encoded by the phage itself: helicase-primase (gp4), DNA polymerase (gp5) and single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) binding protein (gp2.5). A processivity factor for the gp5 polymerase, thioredoxin (trx), is provided by the host Escherichia coli. T7 gp4 assembles as a hexamer on ssDNA and provides both helicase and primase activities (36,95,96). The helicase unwinds the parental DNA to provide a ssDNA template for DNA replication, while the primase catalyzes synthesis of oligoribonucleotides at specific DNA sequences for use as primers to initiate Okazaki-fragment synthesis (97,98). T7 gp5 forms a complex with trx (29,30) to increase its processivity from a few nucleotides to approximately 1000 nucleotides per binding event (52). Basic regions of the DNA polymerase, located in the thioredoxin-binding domain (TBD) as well as on the front of the polymerase, loosely interact with the acidic C-terminal tails of the gp4 helicase (31,99,100), whereas a high affinity interaction with gp4 occurs when the polymerase is in a polymerization mode (37,101). T7 gp2.5 also has an acidic C-terminal tail that interacts with the same basic residues of the polymerase TBD (99,102). Beyond coating the ssDNA that is transiently exposed during replication, gp2.5 plays an important role in mediating and timing protein-protein interactions within the replisome (102,103,104).

The antiparallel nature of DNA complicates the directional DNA replication by the replisome. In 1975, Alberts et al. (24) proposed a ‘trombone model’ where a tightly bound polymerase replicates the leading strand continuously while the lagging strand is synthesized discontinuously. The Okazaki fragments are located within replication loops and are eventually ligated to form a continuous lagging strand. Upon completion of an Okazaki fragment, the lagging-strand polymerase recycles to a new primer, allowing the polymerase to remain bound to the replication machinery. However, biochemical studies suggest that the association of polymerase to the replisome is more transient. In vivo experiments by Lia et al. showed the exchange of lagging-strand polymerases at the E. coli replisome with cytosolic polymerases (50). In addition, experiments in which actively replicating T7 polymerases are challenged with either a mutant or a fluorescently labeled polymerase show a highly dynamic exchange for both the leading- and lagging-strand polymerase (48,80,103). These studies suggest that the two binding modes of the polymerase to the helicase provide a molecular switching mechanism. Relatively weak electrostatic interactions between the TBD or the front basic patch of the polymerase and the C-terminus of the helicase ensures the presence of a non-
synthesizing polymerase at the replisome, while an alternative and more tight interaction locks actively synthesizing polymerases onto the helicase (31,37,99,101). Consequently, the T7 helicase theoretically could bind up to six polymerases corresponding to the six C-termini of gp4. These loosely-bound, ‘spare’ polymerases can occasionally exchange with the polymerase locked in a replication mode offering a rapid switching mechanism (48) (Figure 11a). Also, studies of the *E. coli* replisome revealed the presence of a third DNA polymerase, in addition to the canonical leading- and lagging-strand polymerases (86,87).

Even though previous studies elucidated many of the properties of the molecular interactions between the T7 replication proteins in the replisome, important questions on the kinetics and stoichiometry still remain. In particular, direct visualization of the composition of the replication machinery during coordinated leading- and lagging-strand synthesis has not been possible. Observation of the kinetics with which polymerases enter and leave the replisome and the number of polymerases associated with the replication complex is needed to obtain a full picture of the dynamic interplay between the key components of the replisome. Here, we report the visualization of fluorescently labeled T7 DNA polymerases during coordinated replication of a single DNA molecule. To obtain a full description of the dynamic behavior of the polymerases at the replication fork and to identify exchange of polymerases, we perform replication reactions using a mixture of two differently labeled polymerases in equal ratio. The polymerases are labeled with either a blue or a red fluorophore and we visualize their action simultaneously on hydrodynamically stretched DNA molecules. This experimental design allows us to unravel both the total number of polymerases and their exchange kinetics: the summed intensity of the two colors provides information on the total number of polymerases associated with the replisome, while the fluctuations in the individual colors inform on the kinetics with which they exchange (by the replacement of one color by the second). These experiments show that up to six polymerases can be present within the replisome and that the polymerases are exchanged on the same timescale as the production of Okazaki fragments.
Figure 11: Single-molecule replication experiment. a) Schematic of hypothesized lagging-strand polymerase exchange. b) Experimental design. Biotinylated M13 dsDNA molecules are coupled to streptavidin-functionalized coverslips. Addition of the replication proteins and nucleotides initiates DNA replication. The elongated DNA products are flow-stretched and fluorescently labeled polymerases are observed by TIRF microscopy. c) Kymograph of the distribution of fluorescently-labeled polymerases on the DNA product over time. The fluorescently-labeled replisome elongates the DNA in the direction of flow and is visible itself as a bright spot moving away from the surface anchor point.
4.2 Results

The T7 replication proteins self-assemble into a replisome on a preformed replication fork (103). We have carried out replication reactions on circular double-stranded M13 DNA molecules bearing a replication fork coupled to the surface of a microfluidic flow cell (see Figure 11b) (105,106). Upon addition of gp4, gp5/trx, gp2.5 and \textit{E. coli} SSB as well as dNTPs, ATP, and CTP, DNA synthesis was initiated resulting in rolling-circle DNA replication (Methods). \textit{E. coli} SSB was introduced to the replication reactions to more faithfully mimic the physiologically relevant conditions of phage replication in the \textit{E. coli} host and to minimize nonspecific binding of T7 DNA polymerases to T7 gp2.5-coated ssDNA (Appendix 4.5 Figure 1).

During replication, the newly synthesized leading strand initially becomes part of the circle and is subsequently used as lagging-strand template. Such a rolling-circle design allows, in principle, for a replication template of indefinite length. By coupling the lagging strand to the surface and by using hydrodynamic flow to extend the continuously growing lagging-strand product, the M13 DNA circle with the replisome moves away from the anchor point of the DNA template. In the experiments described here, we visualized the binding of DNA polymerases to the replisome, located at the most down-stream position of the growing DNA chain (Figure 11c).

To visualize individual gp5/trx, the polymerase was labeled in a 1:1 protein: fluorophore ratio at the amino terminus with either Alexa Fluor 488 or Cy5. The two labeled proteins were used in equal concentrations in the replication reactions. Total-internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy was used near the critical angle to observe, in real time, the distribution of fluorescence along the entire length of the DNA product. The kymograph in Figure 11c shows the presence of a bright fluorescent spot containing contributions from both the blue (Alexa Fluor 488) and red (Cy5) polymerases. The polymerases move in the direction of the flow at rates consistent with the known kinetics of the T7 replication reaction. DNA staining after the replication reaction with an intercalating double-stranded DNA dye indicates that this spot resides at the end of the product (Appendix 4.5 Figure 2), corresponding to the expected location of the replication complex. Formation and release of loops, as has been shown before (51), were not observed during these experiments due to the lower resolution of our assay (~1,000 bp). As the reaction progresses, the signals from both fluorophores were present continuously, indicating that there are several polymerases bound within the replisome at all times.

Association and dissociation of polymerases at the replisome were detected by measuring the fluorescence intensity of the replisome spot as a function of time (Figure 12a). To extract characteristic time scales of fluctuations, we calculated the fluorescence intensity autocorrelation function \( \langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \), where \( I \) denotes
fluorescence intensity, \( t \) is time and \( \tau \) the time delay (107,108,109). For all conditions, we observed a double-exponential decay, indicating the presence of two different time scales (Figure 12b). The faster time scale was consistently observed to be around 1 second \((0.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ s})\) for the conditions used in Figure 12b, while the other was much longer \((22.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ s})\) and varied with the particular replication reaction conditions used (see below). Since both of the time scales are an order of magnitude lower than the observed lifetime of the fluorophores, bleaching kinetics had a marginal influence on the autocorrelation function (Appendix 4.5 Figure 3). We interpret the fast time scale as the stochastic binding of polymerases to the carboxy termini of either the gp4 or gp2.5 (99) and the long time scale as a result of the association and dissociation kinetics of single DNA synthesizing polymerases in the replisome. Though, it should be noted that the decay parameters of autocorrelation functions are not suitable to extract information on association and dissociation rate constants separately. Instead, they report on the sum of these properties, henceforth referred to as the exchange time. Due to the equal concentrations of Alexa Fluor 488- and Cy5-labeled polymerases in the DNA replication reactions, half of the polymerase exchange events comprised the exchange of polymerases labeled with the same fluorophore and were thus impossible to observe. Therefore, we halved the polymerase exchange time to correct for exchange of polymerases with the same label. Finally, the autocorrelation functions of both the Alexa Fluor 488- and the Cy5-labeled polymerases for any given reaction condition display the same kinetics, indicating that there is no preferential binding of one of the labeled polymerases at the replication fork. In the subsequent analysis we therefore average the decay constants of the autocorrelation functions of both fluorophores to determine polymerase exchange times.
Figure 12: Autocorrelation function of the fluorescence intensity of the replisome over time. a) Fluorescence intensity fluctuations of a single replisome over time. The corresponding kymograph is shown above the graph. The blue line represents the Alexa Fluor 488 intensity, whereas the red line shows the Cy5 intensity at the replisome. b) Averaged normalized autocorrelation function of the fluorescence intensity of 25 replisomes, replicating DNA in the presence of 150 µM ATP and CTP. The autocorrelation function of the Alexa Fluor 488-labeled polymerases is shown in blue whereas the red curve represents the autocorrelation function of the Cy5-labeled polymerases. The autocorrelation functions are fit with a dual exponential decay, where we interpret the fast time scale to represent transient electrostatic binding of gp5 to the carboxy termini of either gp4 or gp2.5 (0.92 ± 0.02 s and 0.66 ± 0.02 s for the red and blue curve, respectively) and the slower time scale shows the replacement kinetics of DNA synthesizing polymerases at the replisome (22.3 ± 0.5 s for the red and 22.9 ± 0.2 s for the blue curve). c) Bar plot of the slow and fast time scale of the autocorrelation function and the replication rate as a function of ATP and CTP concentration. The characteristic time scales of DNA synthesizing polymerase exchange (green bars) are constituted of the slower decay constants of the autocorrelation function divided by two, to correct for exchange events of polymerases with the same dye attached which decrease the exponential decay of the autocorrelation functions by two fold. The grey bars show the DNA replication rate computed by tracking the position of the replisome over time. Both the polymerase exchange times and DNA replication rates were calculated from trajectories of multiple individual replisomes.
Next, we varied the frequency of primer formation on the lagging strand by changing the concentration of ATP and CTP, the only ribonucleotides required for T7 primer synthesis (110). The priming frequency controls the length of Okazaki fragments (51) and potentially affects the exchange kinetics of polymerases at the fork. Figure 12c (green bars) shows a strong dependence of the slower time scale of the autocorrelation functions on ATP and CTP concentration, indicating that the polymerase exchange kinetics slow when less ATP and CTP is available. In addition, we tracked the position of the replisome over time to determine the rate of DNA synthesis (Figure 12c, grey bars). By multiplying the replication rate with the polymerase exchange time, we obtained a measure for the amount of DNA synthesized over the characteristic timescale of polymerase exchange (Figure 13a, green bars). Separating the replication reaction products on a denaturing gel (Figure 13b) and determining the average Okazaki-fragment length for the different ATP and CTP concentrations (Figure 13a, grey bars) allowed us to directly compare Okazaki-fragment lengths to the amount of DNA synthesized before polymerases exchange. The similarity between these two measures indicate that polymerases exchange occurs on the same time scale as the time required to synthesize at most a few Okazaki fragments.
Figure 13: Length of DNA replicated by a single polymerase in comparison with Okazaki fragment length. a) Bar plot of the average length of DNA replicated on the timescale of polymerase exchange and the Okazaki fragment length for different ATP and CTP concentrations. b) Alkaline agarose gel analysis of DNA products. DNA synthesis was carried out in the standard reaction containing minicircular DNA substrates (as described in (46)) with varying concentrations of ATP and CTP and with [α-32P]dCTP. After incubation for 15 minutes, the reaction products were denatured and analyzed by electrophoresis through a 0.8% (w/v) alkaline agarose gel. Lane 1 and 5 contain a HindIII digest of λ DNA to provide length markers. Lanes 2-4 represent the lagging-strand products for 300 µM NTPs, 150 µM NTPs and 30 µM ATP, and 10 µM CTP, respectively. c) Intensity profiles of lanes 2-4 of the denaturing gel. To determine the average product length for a given condition, the intensity profile along a lane was corrected to take into account the higher intensity of larger fragments and subsequently fit with a single Gaussian. The maximum of the Gaussian peaks are at 240 ± 100 bp, 300 ± 90 bp, and 510 ± 70 bp for reactions with 300 µM ATP, CTP, 150 µM ATP, CTP, and 30 µM ATP with 10 µM CTP respectively. Correction of the intensity profiles for different amounts of radiolabel present in different fragment lengths results in Okazaki-fragment lengths that are shorter (140-580 bp) than previously reported (1000-6000 bp) (46), but are more representative of their true distributions.
Polymerase exchange events require the presence of readily available polymerases at the replisome additional to the DNA synthesizing polymerases. Here, we quantified the fluorescence intensity of the replisome spots to determine the number of polymerases residing at the replication fork. First, we determined the average fluorescence intensity of a single dye-labeled polymerase. Given the challenges associated with controllably and stably binding a single polymerase to the end of a long DNA tether, we chose to perform this calibration by immobilizing individual polymerases in an agarose gel (111) and measuring the intensities of only those proteins that were at the same height above the cover slip, and thus subject to the same excitation intensity, as the polymerases in the replication experiment (Methods). The narrow nature of this fluorescence intensity distribution suggests that the majority of the polymerases are labeled with a single dye (Figure 14, black bars), consistent with the spectroscopically obtained ratio of dye to protein of 1:1.

The steady-state population of bound polymerases can be quantified by dividing the average fluorescence intensity of the replisomes by the fluorescence intensity of a single polymerase. Figure 14 shows the stoichiometry of labeled polymerases at the replication machinery as a function of the concentration of ATP and CTP. A clear correlation can be observed between the ATP, CTP concentration and the number of polymerases bound at the replisome. In particular, at low ribonucleotide concentration, and thus a low priming frequency and a slow polymerase turnover, we found an average of six polymerases to be present at the replication fork (Figure 14). At high ATP, CTP concentrations, the number of polymerases reduced to 2-3. The widths of the distributions mainly stem from the intensity fluctuations caused by the Brownian motions of the replisome in and out of the evanescent excitation field. This artificial broadening explains the apparent existence of a population corresponding to more than six polymerases bound to the replisome. This interpretation is further confirmed by the fact that in none of our experimental conditions was the center of the distribution observed to be higher than six polymerases bound.

The dependency of polymerase copy number on priming frequency is consistent with the notion that at the constant polymerase concentration in our experiments (20 nM), the association rate remains constant, whereas the dissociation rate is modulated by the frequency of primer and Okazaki-fragment synthesis. Notably, the amount of polymerases in the replisome is two or more for all ribonucleotide concentrations under the experimental conditions used. Thus, besides the leading- and lagging-strand polymerases, additional polymerases can bind to the replisome.
Figure 14: Stoichiometry of polymerases at the replication fork. The steady-state binding of polymerases at the replisome for different ATP and CTP concentrations was determined by dividing the fluorescence intensity at the replisome, averaged over 10 s by a sliding average window, by the intensity of a single labeled polymerase. The total fluorescence represents the summation of the number of Alexa Fluor 488- and Cy5-labeled polymerases. Fitting the distributions with Gaussians (black) resulted in maximum values with a standard deviation of 2.4 ± 0.3 gp5/trx, 4.4 ± 0.3 gp5/trx, and 6.1 ± 0.7 gp5/trx for 300 µM ATP and CTP, 150 µM ATP and CTP, and 30 µM ATP with 10 µM CTP, respectively.

4.3 Discussion

Extensive research over the past decades has provided insight into the functioning of the individual proteins involved in DNA replication. However, the architecture of the replisome and its dynamics during replication remain poorly understood. Here, we have visualized the DNA polymerases associated with individual replisomes during coordinated DNA replication. These single-molecule experiments have enabled us to examine the dynamics of polymerase exchange and to determine the number of polymerases under different conditions. An important molecular step controlling the dynamics of the T7 DNA replication machinery is the synthesis of a
primer and its handoff to the lagging-strand polymerase (52). This event initiates the synthesis of an Okazaki fragment and thus effectively controls the timing of the sequence of enzymatic events on the lagging strand. We observe that changing the frequency of primer synthesis directly impacts the dynamics of polymerase exchange. Under conditions where the concentration of DNA polymerase in solution is kept constant, thus giving rise to a constant rate of association of the polymerase with the replisome, a change in exchange dynamics can be interpreted as a change in the rate with which DNA polymerase dissociates from the replisome. A comparison between the observed exchange dynamics and the length of Okazaki fragments with different priming frequencies reveals that the exchange times are comparable to the time needed to synthesize only a few Okazaki fragments. This observation strongly suggests that the majority of the DNA polymerases do not remain associated with the replisome much longer than the time required to synthesize one, or at most a few, Okazaki fragments and are then triggered to be released.

It should be pointed out that the measured exchange dynamics also contain a contribution of the on and off rates of the leading-strand DNA polymerase. Loparo et al. (48) found the binding lifetime of the leading-strand polymerase under similar conditions to be ~43 seconds, significantly longer than the exchange times we observe for the total population of polymerases at the fork. In the current studies the exchange times varied from 5 to 23 seconds, at high and low priming frequencies, respectively. As a result, the higher frequency of lagging-strand polymerase exchange will dominate the decay constants of the autocorrelation function and will render our measurements less sensitive to the slow component corresponding to exchange of the leading-strand polymerase.

Loparo et al. demonstrated that during leading-strand DNA synthesis polymerases, in addition to the replicating DNA polymerase can associate with the replisome (48). Supported by earlier biochemical evidence (37,52), these data suggested that multiple DNA polymerases bind to the acidic C-terminal tail of the gp4 helicase. The question remains: How many polymerases are associated with the T7 replisome during coordinated leading- and lagging-strand synthesis? By monitoring the total fluorescence intensity corresponding to labeled polymerases, we show that under all priming conditions there are two or more polymerases bound to the replisome. At high priming frequencies, we observed the presence of 2-3 polymerases and at low frequencies, a total of six polymerases associate with the replisome. The dependence of the number of polymerases present at the fork on priming frequency can be understood by considering the faster dissociation of the polymerases with more frequent priming events. With a constant rate of association, an increase in off rate results in a lower steady-state population residing at the replisome. Conversely for lower priming frequencies, the low dissociation rate results in a build-up of a large population of DNA polymerases.
The picture that emerges is that of a highly dynamic replisome. Lagging-strand DNA polymerases dissociate frequently from the replisome, conceivably residing at the fork only for the synthesis of one or two Okazaki fragments, while a continuous populating of the gp4 C-terminal binding sites provides a nearby, readily employable, pool of DNA polymerases. The proximity of these DNA polymerases to the priming site results in an extremely high effective local concentration (>100 mM) of DNA polymerases. The result is an efficient recruitment of a polymerase to a newly synthesized primer.

The short exchange times of polymerases seem to be contradictory to the previous observation of leading- and lagging-strand synthesis being highly resistant to dilution and suggesting a very stable complex (46). However, dilution of the replication reaction greatly reduces the association rate of polymerases to the replication fork and thus greatly reduces the number of polymerases bound to the C-termini of gp4. In the absence of an excess of polymerases residing on the helicase, there will be no competition for the lagging-strand DNA polymerase to rebinding at a new primer and thus synthesize a larger number of Okazaki fragments. Such a mechanism is comparable to that observed for the re-use of β clamps in the E. coli replication system (106). In this system there is efficient exchange between β clamps at the replisome and those in solution in the presence of a solution pool of clamps. An efficient recycling of clamps at the fork occurs in the absence of free clamps. This ability of the replisome to revert to re-using components in the absence of a solution pool may be important to sustain DNA replication under suboptimal replication conditions.

A model in which lagging-strand DNA polymerases remain associated with the replisome for the duration of synthesis of only a few Okazaki fragments does call into question the mechanistic necessity of a replication loop. Originally proposed by Bruce Alberts (24), the replication loop is formed by the lagging-strand DNA polymerase associating with the replisome. This association gives rise to a loop that is created for every new Okazaki fragment, growing from the ssDNA product of helicase unwinding and the dsDNA product of lagging-strand synthesis. One of the attractive features of such a loop model is that the lagging-strand DNA polymerase remains associated with the replisome and thus could support many rounds of Okazaki-fragment synthesis. Even though many studies have demonstrated the existence of such loops (25,51,112), one can imagine that their formation is an accidental byproduct of the necessity of the DNA polymerase to associate with the replisome to support helicase-coupled synthesis at the leading strand and primer hand off at the lagging strand.
4.4 Methods

Single-stranded M13mp18 (New England Biolabs) is biotinylated by annealing a complementary biotinylated oligo to the M13 template. Subsequently, the primed M13 is filled in by adding T7 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), dNTPs and a replication buffer containing MgCl₂. Replication proteins are removed from the filled-in DNA products by phenol/chloroform extraction and stored in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA (TE) buffer (105).

Previously published protocols were used to prepare gp4 (113), gp5/trx (114), gp2.5 (115), and *E. coli* SSB (116). Polymerase labeling reactions were performed according to the procedure described by Etson *et al.* (117) with an excess of either Alexa Fluor 488 carboxylic acid succinimidyl ester (Invitrogen), or Cy5 NHS ester (Lumiprobe) for an hour at room temperature. The conjugation reactions were biased to the N-terminal groups by adjusting the pH to 7.6. The N-terminal α-amino group has a pKₐ of 7.7 ± 0.5, whereas the ε-amino group of a lysine side chain, the other major target of the conjugation reaction, has a pKₐ of 10.5 ± 1.1 (67). The T7 polymerase contains 1 N-terminal site versus 62 lysine side chains suggesting labelling to be about 10 times more specific for the N-terminal site than for the lysine side chains. Subsequently, the excess of unbound dyes is filtered out by size exclusion spin columns (Micro Bio-Spin 6 Columns, BioRad) and the degree of labeling was determined by measuring the absorbance spectrum of the samples and found to be 1.3 ± 0.1 fluorophore per gp5/trx for Alexa Fluor 488- and 1.0 ± 0.1 dye for Cy5-labeled polymerases.

Our replication reactions contained a protein mixture of 2.5 nM gp4, 20 nM gp5/trx of which 10 nM was Alexa Fluor 488-labeled and 10 nM Cy5-labeled, 180 nM gp2.5, and 100 nM *E. coli* SSB throughout the whole experiment. The experiments were performed in a buffer containing 40 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 50 mM potassium-glutamate, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mg/mL BSA, 0.75 µM DTT, 0.6 mM dNTPs, and various concentrations of ATP and CTP. To increase the lifetime of our fluorophores we added 1 mM Trolox, 10 % w/v glucose, 0.45 mg/mL glucose oxidase, and 21 µg/mL catalase to our replication reactions. In addition, the combination of 1 mM Trolox with an enzymatic oxygen-scavenging system was shown to eliminate Cy5 blinking and dramatically reduce photobleaching (118). Above mentioned reaction mixtures are continuously in the flow solution to continuously replenish fresh reaction components to the DNA replication reactions.

Fluorescently labeled polymerases were illuminated with a 488-nm and 641-nm laser (Coherent) through a 100x TIRF objective (Olympus, UApoN, NA = 1.49 (oil)). A dual-color inset was used to image both the Alexa Fluor 488 and the Cy5 fluorescent signals simultaneously. Images were captured with an EMCCD camera (Hamamatsu) using Meta Vue imaging software (Molecular Devices) with a typical frame rate of 5 frames per second.
To identify the fluorescence intensity of a single labeled polymerase, polymerases were dissolved in 6% low-gelling agarose (Sigma Aldrich) containing 10% w/v glucose, 0.45 mg/mL glucose oxidase, 21 µg/mL catalase, and 1 mM Trolox. We identified the height of the replisomes above the coverslip during the replication experiments by measuring the height difference in the focal plane of the flow cell coverslip and the replisomes. Subsequently, we imaged the single agarose-trapped polymerases at the same height above the coverslip to reproduce identical excitation conditions as in the replication experiments. The entire field of view was corrected for the illumination profile of the laser and rectified for the background. The fluorescence intensity distributions of the Alexa Fluor 488- as well as the Cy5-labeled polymerases were fit with a single Gaussian and normalized against their peak fluorescence intensity. The normalized fluorescence intensities of the Alexa Fluor 488- and the Cy5-labeled polymerases were added and normalized against the counts, resulting in the black fluorescence intensity distribution shown in Figure 14.

To determine the fluorescence intensity of the replisome, the entire field of view was corrected for the illumination profile of the laser and subsequently a region of interest was selected around the replisome spot and rectified for the background for each frame in the movie. Then, the fluorescence intensity of this region was calculated for each frame of the movie. The location of the replisome spot in time was tracked by fitting a two-dimensional Gaussian to the replisome spot for each frame. Replication rate is calculated by linear fitting of the position of the replisome in time.

Okazaki-fragment length distributions were determined using a denaturing alkaline agarose gel. Replication reactions were carried out for 30 minutes at room temperature using a minicircle template with [α-32P]dCTP and different concentrations of ATP and CTP. Subsequently, the reaction was terminated by adding EDTA and running the reaction products over a spin column (PD SpinTrap G-25, GE Healthcare). Then, the reaction products were loaded onto a 0.8% agarose alkaline gel and electrophoresis was performed for 6 hours at 4 V/cm. The products were precipitated with trichoroacetic acid. Subsequently, the gel was dried on filter paper overnight to decrease the gel volume for imaging purposes. The gel was imaged on a phosphor storage plate and read out by a Typhoon system (Amersham Biosciences, storage phosphor mode). Intensity profiles of the lanes were background rectified and divided by the DNA length, corresponding to migration distance in the gel. Consequently, the profiles were corrected for the amount of incorporated [α-32P]dCTP per DNA fragment length. Subsequently, intensity profiles were fit with a single Gaussian.
4.5 Appendix

Figure 1: Kymographs of reaction products with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled gp5/trx (blue) and Cy5-labeled gp2.5 (red). The overlap between Alexa Fluor 488-gp5/trx and Cy5-gp2.5 suggest that polymerases preferentially bind to gp2.5 coated ssDNA. The initial recruitment of DNA polymerases to the helicase is mediated by the acidic C-terminus of gp4 (115). The similarity between the gp4 C-terminal domain and the C-terminal region of the ssDNA-binding proteins causes DNA polymerases to interact with gp2.5-coated ssDNA, rendering it challenging to determine within the diffraction-limited spot of the replisome how many polymerases are tethered to the helicase and how many are bound to the transiently exposed nearby ssDNA. To selectively displace the polymerases nonspecifically bound to ssDNA, we included E. coli SSB, which has a ten times higher K_D for ssDNA than gp2.5 (103,115). Addition of E. coli SSB resulted in the binding of 1 labeled polymerase per ~1500 bp of ssDNA instead of 1 per ~500 bp (data not shown), which reduced the binding of fluorescent polymerases within the replication loop to less than 1. Hence, with the addition of E. coli SSB, the replisome intensity more accurately represents the amount of labeled polymerases bound.

Figure 2: Fluorescence images of replication intermediates on M13 DNA rolling-circle templates, with green representing the DNA products (labeled with Sytox Orange) and purple the labeled polymerases. The DNA products have a length of 19, 23, 32 and 31 kb from left to right, respectively.
Figure 3: Bleaching of labeled polymerases. a) Intensity over time of Cy5- (red) and Alexa Fluor 488- (blue) labeled gp5/trx dissolved in agarose over the whole field of view. Exponential fits gave a $t_{1/2}$ of 450 ± 10 s for Alexa Fluor 488-gp5/trx and 597 ± 2 s for Cy5-gp5/trx. These timescales are an order of magnitude higher than the decay constants of the autocorrelation function (Figure 12c), and therefore have a minor contribution to the autocorrelation function. b) Representative fluorescent bleaching traces of a single Cy5- (red) and Alexa Fluor 488- (blue) labeled polymerase positioned at the end of the DNA product. The red trace has been offset for clarity. Note that the data for both Cy5 and Alexa Fluor 488, measured at the same experimental conditions as our previous experiments, show no photophysical fluctuations.
Figure 4: Intensity distributions of the Cy5- (red) and Alexa Fluor 488- (blue) labeled polymerases at the replisomes for the different NTP concentrations used. The amount of gp5/trx was determined by dividing the total fluorescence intensity of the fluorophores at the replisome by the fluorescence intensity of a single fluorophore determined by dissolving single labeled polymerases in agarose. Median values of the distributions were found to be 1.1 ± 0.4 and 1.4 ± 0.6 for 300 μM ATP and CTP, 2.2 ± 0.4 and 2.8 ± 1.7 for 150 μM ATP and CTP, and 3.0 ± 0.8 and 4.0 ± 2.0 gp5/trx per replisome for 30 μM ATP and 10 μM CTP (values for Cy5- and Alexa Fluor 488-labeled polymerases, respectively). The structurally slightly higher values of the Alexa Flour 488-polymerases may indicate photophysical effects rendering the observed Cy5 intensity a bit lower. However, both the Cy5- and Alexa Fluor 488-labeled polymerases show similar dependence on ribonucleotide concentration.