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Imaging in immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced polymyalgia rheumatica

We read with great interest the article ‘Addressing immune-related adverse events of cancer immunotherapy: how prepared are rheumatologists?’ by Kostine et al.1 The introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy has been a major breakthrough in the management of metastatic cancer. On the downside, ICI therapy may induce unwanted autoimmune effects, the so-called immune-related adverse effects (irAEs). Various irAEs have been described that resemble a regular rheumatic disease, including polymyalgia rheumatica (ICI-PMR).2 3 The authors report that rheumatologists may lack confidence in diagnosing irAEs. Therefore, recommendations for the diagnosis of rheumatic irAEs are needed. Based on our experience with ICI-PMR, we propose that imaging could be an important part of such recommendations.

We investigated six consecutive patients with ICI-PMR by ultrasonography, and five of these patients also by [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET/CT) scan. Five patients fulfilled the provisional American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria for PMR.4 A normal C-reactive protein level in the absence of an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) test precluded PMR classification in one patient. However, this patient fulfilled both the clinical and ultrasound criteria for PMR,4 and showed findings suggestive of PMR on the FDG-PET/CT scan.5 The median age was 73 years (range 59–83; online supplementary table 1). Patients received anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) treatment, that is, nivolumab or pembrolizumab. ICI therapy resulted in near-complete cancer remission (n=3) or a partial response (n=3). Following the start of ICI therapy, the first symptoms suggestive of ICI-PMR developed after a median of 70 days (range 1–86).

Ultrasonography of patients with ICI-PMR demonstrated findings consistent with PMR.6 Shoulder examination revealed biceps tenosynovitis in five patients and subacromial–subdeltoid bursitis in three patients (online supplementary table 1, online supplementary figure 1A). Glenohumeral synovitis was not detected. Hip ultrasound was performed in three patients, but revealed no coxofemoral synovitis or trochanteric bursitis. One patient received a glucocorticoid injection of the shoulder 14 weeks before ultrasonography, while another patients used a prednisolone equivalent of 7.5 mg/day for 5 weeks due to hypophysitis and adrenal insufficiency. The other patients received no glucocorticoids prior to ultrasonography.

FDG-PET/CT scans of patients with regular PMR may demonstrate FDG uptake at the shoulders, hip joints, greater trochanters, ischial tuberosities, sternoclavicular joints and cervical/lumbar interspinous bursae.3 FDG-PET/CT scans of patients with ICI-PMR showed inflammation at these exact sites (online supplementary figure 1B). All FDG-PET/CT scans were obtained prior to initiation of any glucocorticoids. Scoring of FDG uptake was performed: 0, no uptake; 1, uptake lower than liver; 2 uptake equal to liver; 3, uptake higher than liver.7 All patients showed grade 2–3 uptake at the shoulders, and grade 1–3 uptake at the hip joints, greater trochanters and ischial tuberosities (figure 1A). FDG uptake at the sternoclavicular joints and cervical/lumbar interspinous bursa was present in part of the patients. In accordance with studies in regular PMR,8 part of the patients with ICI-PMR showed FDG uptake at the elbows (n=2) and hands/wrists (n=3; online supplementary figure 2). This was associated with mild synovitis of the hands/wrists on physical examination in one patient only. Recently, Calabrese et al also reported peripheral synovitis in patients with ICI-PMR.2 No evidence of giant cell arteritis was found in any of the patients.

Four patients underwent a FDG-PET/CT scan prior to ICI therapy. These scans showed grade 1–2 FDG uptake at the shoulders and hips (figure 1B). Although this mild metabolic activity may also be seen in non-inflammatory conditions, it could suggest that low-grade, subclinical inflammation was already present at these sites before ICI therapy. The checkpoint molecule PD-1 might have initially prevented the development of full-blown inflammation in these patients.

In conclusion, FDG-PET/CT and ultrasound findings in ICI-PMR are comparable to those seen in regular PMR.3 5 Imaging may thus help to confidently diagnose ICI-PMR. Low-grade FDG uptake was already observed on the FDG-PET/CT scan prior to ICI therapy, and progressed towards the full-blown PMR pattern after initiation of ICI therapy. It remains to be elucidated whether or not baseline imaging before ICI therapy may help to predict the development of rheumatic irAEs.

Figure 1 Grading of PET uptake at distinct sites in patients with immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced polymyalgia rheumatica (ICI-PMR). PET uptake was graded at the shoulders, sternoclavicular (SC) joints, cervical and lumbar interspinous bursae, hip joints, hip trochanters and ischial tuberosities (n=5). Grading was performed as previously described:1 0, no uptake; 1, uptake lower than liver; 2 uptake equal to liver; 3, uptake higher than liver. (A) PET uptake in five patients (ie, patient 1–4, and patient 6) at diagnosis of ICI-PMR. (B) PET uptake in four patients at diagnosis of ICI-PMR and prior to ICI therapy and onset of ICI-PMR.
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