

University of Groningen

Corporate Governance and Managerial Misconduct

Wijayati, Nureni

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.131947533](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.131947533)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Wijayati, N. (2020). *Corporate Governance and Managerial Misconduct: Evidence from Indonesia*. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.131947533>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Corporate Governance and Managerial Misconduct

Evidence from Indonesia

Nureni Wijayati

Publisher : University of Groningen
Groningen, the Netherlands

Cover photo : Humam S. Dawa
Sudirman Central Business District (SCBD), a bustling
business area in Jakarta where the Indonesia Stock
Exchange is located

Lay-out : Nureni Wijayati

Cover design : Devi Kristiana

Printed by : ProefschriftMaken
<https://www.proefschriftmaken.nl>

© Nureni Wijayati, 2020

All rights reserved. Copyright of the published articles is with the corresponding journals or otherwise with the author. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without prior written permission of the publisher or the copyright-owning journal.



university of
 groningen

Corporate Governance and Managerial Misconduct

Evidence from Indonesia

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
 University of Groningen
 on the authority of the
 Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
 and in accordance with
 the decision by the College of Deans

This thesis will be defended in public on
 Thursday 17 September 2020 at 11.00 hours

by

Nureni Wijayati

born on 11 November 1971
 in Semarang, Indonesia

Supervisors

Prof. R.L. Holzacker

Prof. C.L.M. Hermes

Prof. S. Utama

Assessment Committee

Prof. H.W. Hoen

Prof. T. Randøy

Prof. R.B.H. Hooghiemstra

Acknowledgments

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful

I had enjoyed my career in several private companies as an accountant until 2009, when I switched my path to be a full-time academic staff. Until that moment, taking a doctoral study abroad had never crossed my mind. However, the academic atmosphere encouraged me to go for a PhD scholarship. Praise be to Allah the Almighty, that my scholarship application (the Netherlands Fellowship Programme - NFP) was approved by Nuffic. Big thanks to NFP, a scholarship programme funded by the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs, for its financial support, which helped me to manage my life during my study in the Netherlands and during my field study in Jakarta.

Completing a PhD trajectory was a long long journey for me. I had no experience as a researcher when I first arrived in Groningen. I felt that writing a good academic paper was so challenging. Nevertheless, I was very lucky to be surrounded by three genius and generous supervisors. First and foremost, I would like to express my great appreciation to Professor Ronald Holzhaecker who opened the door by giving me a precious opportunity to pursue my doctoral degree at the University of Groningen. I never thought that I could broaden my perspective. He gave me a lot of insights and different points of view for my dissertation. He occasionally invited and entertained us (the SInGA members) at his home in Groningen. Moreover, he monitored me regularly by visiting Jakarta, introduced me to various notable persons, and more importantly motivated me to remain being optimistic.

My sincere gratitude to Professor Niels Hermes who not only encouraged me to finish my work on time, but also provided related references to my papers. Honestly, at times I felt that I was not able to fulfill his minimum requirements. However, step by step he mentored me patiently and guided me persistently. He frequently sent feedback and suggestions in weekends. No matter how busy he was, he always gave me constructive comments, which I will never forget. Every single comment of his was simply superb.

Many things I have learned from him, such as scholarly professionalism, humbleness, simplicity, parenting, and many more. He is not only a great guru, but also a nice person to discuss with.

I am also indebted to Professor Sidharta Utama, my supervisor at Universitas Indonesia, who shared corporate governance cases and practices from Indonesia. I was engrossed in discussing with him as he knows much about the real implementation of corporate governance in Indonesia. He also introduced me to the Indonesian Institute for Corporate Directorship (IICD) for gaining data on the ASEAN Corporate Governance Scorecard (ACGS). In addition, I am very grateful for his valuable comments and suggestions particularly for chapters 3 and 5.

Related to IICD, I would like to thank to Ms. Vita Diani as Executive Director of IICD who supplied me with the detailed corporate governance scorecards. Without her kind help, chapter 5 would never have been completed.

I would also like to say thank you to the Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants (IICPA) who gave me access that enabled me to distribute the questionnaires to its members. Chapter 3 would not have been possible without the help of Indonesian public accountants. Special thanks to Mr. Tarkosunaryo, MBA, CPA, President of IICPA (IAPI) who allowed me to contribute at the Certification Division. I have gained many insights from Mr. Tarko regarding auditing issues in Indonesia.

Much appreciation is given to the members of the assessment committee i.e., Professor Herman Hoen, Professor Trond Randøy, and Professor Reggy Hooghiemstra. Thank you for reading, assessing, and giving thoughtful suggestions for my manuscript.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Marijke Wubbolts for her kind assistance from the beginning of my PhD journey to the end of the journey. Many thanks also go to Hans Stavast who managed all matters related to my scholarship funding.

I want to express my credit to the Spirit Indonesia Groningen (SInGa) members consisting of Kang Tatang Muttaqin, Mas Taufiq Hidayat, Laksmi Kusumawati, Mala Sondang, Suwatin Miharti, Kuswanto, Annisa Paramita, Titissari Rumbogo, Yoga

Permana, Tri Efriandi, Isti Hidayati, and Petrus Farneubun. They always encouraged each other to finish their study, especially during hard times.

I would like to extend my grateful thanks to Ronny who was very helpful during ups and downs, cleaning and processing data, and brainstorming econometrics issues. We talked not only about how to finish the dissertation, but also about how to fix our bikes.

I missed my beloved friends around Harmonie complex/ *Academie Gebouw*: Stanati, Aynur Erde, Stefan Baack, Alisa Van der Haar, Yu Sun, Yingruo Wang, Joëlle Swart, Yara Marusyk. Thank you for sharing and having fun.

Thank you to Indonesian community in Groningen, especially Isti, Yassaroh, Fitrilia, Nahdia, Nuril, Achmad Suryadi, Icha, and Ali Syari'ati who assisted me in preparing the defense ceremony; Oom Yon-Tante Indah, Bude Ari-Oom Herman, Mbak Lia-Mas Yayok, Oom Rudie, Oom Archi-Tante Merry, Uwak Asiyah-Oom Menno, Bude Nunung. To all PPIG-ers Irawaty, Amak, Tiur, Kadek, Acul, Elda, Amirah, Angela, Putri, Doti, Karina, Nur Qom, Desti, Iging, Didik, Adhiyat, Radit, Nia, Ali, Ima, Ira S., Vera, Rosyta, Pak Asmoro, and others.

Last but not least, I am very grateful to my parents and my family who have continuously supported me throughout the PhD life. Most importantly, my sincere thanks go to my handsome boy, Humam Dawa. As a mother, I felt guilty that I was thousands of miles away from him when he faced the junior high school and senior high school national exams. I also apologised that I was unable to cuddle him when he was sick. I am very proud of him for being strong and courageous.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vii
List of Appendices	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Corporate Governance in Asia.....	3
1.3 Research Questions.....	5
1.4 Theoretical Background.....	7
1.4.1 <i>Agency theory</i>	7
1.4.2 <i>Resource dependence theory</i>	8
1.5 Structure of the Thesis.....	9
Chapter 2 Corporate Governance and Corruption: A Comparative Study of Southeast Asia	17
2.1 Introduction	18
2.1.1 <i>Background</i>	18
2.1.2 <i>Research questions</i>	22
2.1.3 <i>Scientific and social significance</i>	22
2.2 Literature Review	24
2.2.1 <i>Corporate governance</i>	24
2.2.2 <i>Agency problem and corruption</i>	28
2.2.3 <i>Corporate governance and misconduct</i>	29
2.2.4 <i>Shareholder rights</i>	30
2.2.5 <i>Board of directors</i>	31
2.2.6 <i>Accounting and auditing practices</i>	32
2.2.7 <i>Transparency</i>	33

2.3 Institutional Setting	34
2.4 Methodology	37
2.5 Discussion and Analysis	38
2.5.1 Comparative corporate governance institutional framework	38
2.5.2 Shareholder rights.....	39
2.5.3 Board of directors.....	42
2.5.4 Accounting and auditing.....	44
2.5.5 Transparency	51
2.6 Conclusions	52
Chapter 3 Fraud Risk Assessments and External Auditors' Perceptions.....	55
3.1 Introduction.....	56
3.2 Literature Review	59
3.2.1 The fraud risk assessment model: an overview.....	59
3.2.2 Fraud risk assessments	60
3.2.3 Fraud risk factors.....	61
3.2.4 Materiality judgment	61
3.2.5 Professional scepticism	63
3.2.6 Litigation risk	64
3.3 Hypothesis Development.....	64
3.3.1 Fraud risk factors and fraud risk assessment	64
3.3.2 Materiality judgment and fraud risk assessment	65
3.3.3 Professional scepticism and fraud risk assessment.....	66
3.3.4 Litigation risk and fraud risk assessment.....	67
3.3.5 Certification and fraud risk assessment.....	67
3.3.6 Experience and fraud risk assessment	68
3.3.7 Audit firm size and fraud risk assessment.....	69
3.4 Methodology	69
3.4.1 Questionnaire	70
3.4.2 Fraud risk factors evaluation.....	71
3.4.3 Materiality judgment	73

3.4.4 Professional scepticism	73
3.4.5 Litigation risk for auditors.....	75
3.4.6 Fraud risk assessments	75
3.4.7 Questionnaire distribution	76
3.4.8 Research model.....	78
3.5 Results	80
3.5.1 Descriptive statistics.....	80
3.5.2 Responses.....	82
3.5.3 Measurement models — confirmatory factor analysis.....	83
3.5.4 Structural equation modelling results.....	85
3.5.5 Bias tests.....	92
3.6 Conclusions	93
Chapter 4 The Value of Political Connections: The Impact of the Changing Political Landscape in Indonesia	103
4.1 Introduction	104
4.2 Literature Review and Hypothesis Development	106
4.2.1 The value of political connections.....	106
4.2.2 Hypothesis development	109
4.3 Data and Methodology	115
4.3.1 Data sources and sample	115
4.3.2 Variables	116
4.3.3 Model	120
4.3.4 Data description.....	121
4.4 Results	125
4.4.1 Main results.....	125
4.4.2 Additional analyses	131
4.4.3 Endogeneity.....	135
4.5 Discussion and Analysis.....	140
4.6 Conclusions	142

Chapter 5 Boards, Transparency, and Earnings Management: Evidence from Indonesian Listed Firms	145
5.1 Introduction	146
5.2 Literature Review and Hypotheses Development.....	148
5.2.1 <i>Earnings management, information disclosure and transparency, and the board of directors</i>	148
5.2.2 <i>Hypotheses development</i>	152
5.3 Methodology	159
5.3.1 <i>Sampling</i>	159
5.3.2 <i>Research models</i>	160
5.4 Results	169
5.4.1 <i>Descriptive statistics</i>	169
5.4.2 <i>Regression results and discussion</i>	174
5.5 Conclusions	187
Chapter 6 Conclusions.....	201
6.1 The Main Findings of Each Study	203
6.2 Policy Recommendations	208
6.3 Future Research.....	211
References	213
Summary	245
Samenvatting.....	251
Ringkasan.....	257

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Summary of the empirical studies of the dissertation.....	10
Table 2.1 Corruption rank in Southeast Asian countries, 2002-2012.....	19
Table 2.2 The percentage of family controlled firms in 2008.....	35
Table 2.3 Corporate governance score.....	36
Table 2.4 Key corporate governance institutional frameworks.....	45
Table 3.1 Fraud risk factors measurement.....	72
Table 3.2 Descriptive statistics.....	81
Table 3.3 Sample distribution.....	82
Table 3.4 Descriptive statistics – respondents’ answers.....	82
Table 3.5 Goodness-of-fit results.....	84
Table 3.6 Standardized factor loadings.....	84
Table 3.7 CR and AVE results.....	85
Table 3.8 Covariance matrix – latent variables.....	85
Table 3.9 Univariate estimation.....	87
Table 3.10 Structural equation results – initial model.....	88
Table 3.11 Goodness-of-fit indices – SEM.....	89
Table 3.12 SEM results —modified model.....	89
Table 4.1 Political connections, 2000-2011.....	122
Table 4.2 Descriptive statistics.....	123
Table 4.3 Comparing politically and non-politically connected firms.....	124
Table 4.4 Correlations.....	125
Table 4.5 Regression results.....	126
Table 4.6 Small firms versus large firms.....	129
Table 4.7 Multiple regressions – business groups.....	136
Table 4.8 Balancing test.....	138
Table 4.9 Summary of balancing test.....	139

Table 4.10 Average treatment effects.....	140
Table 5.1 Descriptive statistics	171
Table 5.2 Mean scores of main variables.....	172
Table 5.3 Correlations	173
Table 5.4 Earnings management and board skills	174
Table 5.5 Earnings management and board commitment.....	175
Table 5.6 Earnings management and board structure	175
Table 5.7 Earnings management and board inputs	176
Table 5.8 Voluntary disclosure and board inputs.....	178
Table 5.9 Mandatory disclosure and board inputs	179
Table 5.10 Board inputs, disclosure, and discretionary accruals.....	182
Table 5.11 Sobel test and Goodman test results – voluntary disclosures	185
Table 5.12 Sobel test and Goodman test results – mandatory disclosures.....	185
Table 5.13 SEM results – voluntary disclosures	186
Table 5.14 SEM results – mandatory disclosures.....	186
Table 6.1 Summary of the main findings	204

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Corporate governance mechanisms	7
Figure 2.1	A theoretical framework	26
Figure 3.1	Fraud risk assessment model	60
Figure 3.2	Fraud risk assessment – the research model	79
Figure 3.3	Full modified SEM	91
Figure 4.1	Distribution of PCF1 by industry	123
Figure 5.1	Research framework	151

List of Appendices

Appendix 3.1	The Case Material	95
Appendix 3.2	Distribution of the Questionnaires	98
Appendix 3.3	Goodness of Fit Statistics –CFA	100
Appendix 3.4	Goodness of Fit Statistics –Modified (Final) SEM	101
Appendix 4.1	Variable Definitions	144
Appendix 5.1	Earnings Management Model	190
Appendix 5.2	Company List	191
Appendix 5.3	Responsibilities of the Board Scorecard	194
Appendix 5.4	Three Constructs	198
Appendix 5.5	Variable Definitions	199

