

University of Groningen

Post-privatisation changes in management control, firm activities and performance

Redda, B.M.

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2007

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Redda, B. M. (2007). *Post-privatisation changes in management control, firm activities and performance: the case of Eritrea-based firms*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction and motivation.....	1
1.2	Background of Eritrean Manufacturing Firms.....	3
1.3	The role of MCS in economic development	4
1.4	Objectives of the study and research questions	8
1.5	Organisation of the book.....	11
2	Privatisation - its theoretical arguments, objectives, outcomes and limitations in relation to the influence of contextual factors	13
2.1	Introduction.....	13
2.2	Privatisation and its theoretical justification	13
2.2.1	Definition:.....	13
2.2.2	Theories of Privatisation.....	14
2.3	Privatisation: the objectives and its necessity for Developing Countries	15
2.4	Outcomes of Privatisation.....	19
2.4.1	Empirical evidence gathered from privatisation studies	19
2.4.2	Theoretical problems of privatisation.....	24
2.5	Factors that affect the success of privatised firms and MCS change in LDCs.....	26
2.5.1	General factors that affect the success of privatised firms.....	27
2.5.2	External contextual factors that shape MCS change in LDCs:	28
2.6	Summary and Conclusions	33
3	Management control system changes, influencing internal factors, firm performance, and the conceptual framework of the study.....	37
3.1	Introduction.....	37
3.2	The definition of MCS	37
3.3	Recent developments and internal change drivers of MCS:.....	41
3.3.1	Innovations in MCS:	42
3.3.2	Internal factors that influence MCS change	44
3.4	MCS techniques:	50
3.4.1	Planning and Budgeting.....	51
3.4.2	Product Costing and Pricing	51
3.4.3	Internal Reporting and Decision-making.....	52
3.4.4	Cost Control and Waste Minimisation	53
3.4.5	Performance Measurement and Evaluation	53
3.5	Privatisation and Expected Firm Performance	55
3.5.1	Evidence on firm performance and measurements used	55
3.5.2	Improved MCS practices in relation to firm performance.....	59
3.6	Towards a conceptual Framework	60
3.7	Summary and Conclusions	63

4	Research Methodology	65
4.1	Introduction.....	65
4.2	Operational definitions of the concepts and measurements used.....	65
4.3	Research Methodology.....	70
4.3.1	The case study approach:.....	71
4.3.2	Data collection	75
4.3.3	Data Analysis Methods:	78
4.3.4	Credibility of the research results	80
4.4	Summary and conclusions	82
5	Changes in the MCS practices, firm activities and performance of Asmara Sweater & Garment Factory (IMA)	83
5.1	Introduction and Background of the Firm	83
5.2	General changes during the transition period (<i>1998 up to the end of 2002</i>):	85
5.2.1	Realisation of the business and investment plans:.....	86
5.2.2	Changes in MCS practices, firm activities and performance in relation to the influence of internal and external factors.....	97
5.3	Developments during the beginning of 2003 until mid 2005:	107
5.3.1	Government regulations:.....	107
5.3.2	Computerisation:.....	108
5.3.3	Implementation of the business plan.....	108
5.3.4	The owners' views on control and the position of family members:	110
5.4	Discussion and conclusions:	112
6	Changes in the MCS practices, firm activities and performance of Asmara Wine & Liquor Factory (AW&LF)	115
6.1	Introduction and background of the factory	115
6.2	General changes during the transition period [1999 up to the end of 2002]:.....	116
6.2.1	Realisation of the business and investment plans:.....	117
6.2.2	Changes in MCS practices, firm activities and performance in relation to the influence of internal and external factors:.....	125
6.3	Developments from the beginning of 2003 until mid 2005:.....	139
6.3.1	Government regulations:.....	139
6.3.2	Computerisation:.....	140
6.3.3	Revisiting implementation of the business plan:.....	140
6.3.4	The shareholders' plans and control methods:	141
6.4	Discussion and Conclusions	142
7	Changes in the MCS practices, firm activities and performance of Red Sea Bottlers Share Company (RSBSC).....	147
7.1	Introduction and Background of the Company.....	147
7.2	General changes during the transition period [1997 up to the end of 2002]:.....	148
7.2.1	Realisation of the business and investment plans:.....	149
7.2.2	Changes in MCS practices, firm activities and performance in relation to the influence of internal and external factors:.....	157

7.3	Developments from the beginning of 2003 until mid 2005:.....	171
7.4	Discussion and conclusions:	176
8	Conclusions and implications.....	179
8.1	Objectives and research method	179
8.2	Theoretical arguments and expectations	179
8.3	The case firms under public ownership.....	181
8.4	The effects of privatisation.....	182
8.4.1	New ownership:	182
8.4.2	General changes:	182
8.4.3	Changes in MCS practices and firm performance	185
8.5	Developments in the contextual factors.....	192
8.5.1	Internal factors:	192
8.5.2	External factors:	194
8.6	Adaptation of the theoretical framework.....	198
8.7	Implications for actual practice.....	202
8.7.1	Implications for the case firms:	202
8.7.2	Implications for the government bodies and aid agencies:	203
8.8	Limitations of the study	204
8.9	Suggestions for further research	206
	References.....	207
	Appendix A: Data from Asmara Sweater & Garment Factory	225
	Appendix B: Data from Asmara Wine & Liquor Factory	232
	Appendix C: Data from Red Sea Bottlers Share Company	238
	Appendix D: An overview of post-privatisation developments [or changes] in the three case firms.	246
	Samenvatting	251

List of Tables and Figures

Tables:

Table 2.1	Contextual factors that influence the privatisation outcomes (MCS & Firm performance).....	34
Table 3.1	The implications of change drivers for organizational and MCS change	49
Table 3.2	MCS techniques and their components	54
Table 3.3	Measurements of firm performance and expected results	59
Table 4.1	List of MCS techniques and their components	68
Table 4.2	Firm Performance measurement techniques	69
Table 4.3	People interviewed in case firms and time spent (in minutes).....	78
Table 4.4	People interviewed outside of case firms (time in minutes).....	78
Table 5.1	Firm Performance of Asmara Sweater and Garment Factory (IMA) for the pre and post-privatisation periods (1995-2004)	106
Table 5.2	Summary of post-privatisation developments at IMA	111
Table 6.1	Firm performance of Asmara Wine and Liquor Factory [AW&LF] for the pre and post-privatisation periods (1993-2003)	138
Table 6.2	Summary of post-privatisation developments at AW&LF	142
Table 7.1	Firm performance of Red Sea Bottlers Share Company for the pre and post-privatisation periods (1993-2003)	172
Table 7.2	Summary of post-privatisation developments at RSBSC	175
Table 8.1	Summary of post-privatisation MCS practices at case firms.....	190
Table 8.2	Analysis of post-privatisation firm performance with former era	192

Figures:

Figure 2.1	The relationship between privatisation, its outcomes, and contextual factors.....	35
Figure 3.1	Relationship between the business strategy and the four control systems	40
Figure 3.2	Relations among change drivers, MCS practices, and firm operations and performance	48
Figure 3.3	Conceptual Framework: The relations among privatisation, MCS change, firm activities, performance, and contextual [internal and external] factors.....	61
Figure 4.1	Analytical steps followed in this study	79
Figure 8.1	Revised Conceptual Framework: The relations among privatisation, MCS change, firm activities, performance, and contextual [internal and external] factors.....	200