

University of Groningen

Eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients with inhalation of dry powder tobramycin

Akkerman-Nijland, Anne M; Yousofi, Mina; Rottier, Bart L; Van der Vaart, Hester; Burgerhof, Johannes G M; Frijlink, Henderik W; Touw, Daan J; Koppelman, Gerard H; Akkerman, Onno W

Published in:
Therapeutic advances in respiratory disease

DOI:
[10.1177/1753466620905279](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753466620905279)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Akkerman-Nijland, A. M., Yousofi, M., Rottier, B. L., Van der Vaart, H., Burgerhof, J. G. M., Frijlink, H. W., Touw, D. J., Koppelman, G. H., & Akkerman, O. W. (2020). Eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients with inhalation of dry powder tobramycin. *Therapeutic advances in respiratory disease*, 14, [1753466620905279]. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1753466620905279>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients with inhalation of dry powder tobramycin

Anne M. Akkerman-Nijland¹ , Mina Yousofi, Bart L. Rottier, Hester Van der Vaart, Johannes G. M. Burgerhof, Henderik W. Frijlink, Daan J. Touw, Gerard H. Koppelman and Onno W. Akkerman

Abstract

Background: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*Pa*) is the predominant pulmonary pathogen in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF). Tobramycin nebulization is used for the eradication of *Pa* infection. Nowadays, tobramycin dry powder inhalation (DPI) is available as well. This study reports the results of eradicating *Pa* with tobramycin DPI *versus* nebulization.

Methods: Adult CF patients with a *Pa* isolation between September 2010 and September 2017 from the University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG), the Netherlands, were included in this retrospective study.

Results: In total 27 *Pa* isolations were recorded. In 13 of these, eradication was attempted with tobramycin, 7 with DPI and 6 with nebulization. DPI eradicated *Pa* successfully in six isolations (85.7%). Of these, one patient received additional oral ciprofloxacin and one received intravenous ceftazidime. Nebulization eradicated three *Pa* isolations (50.0%), in two of these, additional oral ciprofloxacin was given.

Conclusion: Eradication rates of DPI tobramycin are comparable with those for nebulized tobramycin reported in the literature. This study suggests that DPI tobramycin is an alternative to nebulized tobramycin for eradication of *Pa*.

Trial registration: The Medical Ethics Committee of the UMCG granted a waiver (METC2017-349), as they concluded that this study was not subject to the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act.

The reviews of this paper are available via the supplemental material section.

Keywords: cystic fibrosis, dry powder tobramycin, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Received: 22 August 2019; revised manuscript accepted: 9 December 2019.

Background

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (*Pa*) is the predominant pulmonary pathogen in adult patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), a chronic progressive disease of which the primary cause of death is respiratory failure resulting from chronic pulmonary inflammation and infection.¹ The presence of *Pa* is an unfavourable prognostic indicator and is associated with accelerated lung tissue destruction and decline in lung function, leading to increased morbidity and mortality.^{2–4} In Europe more than half

of the adult CF patients have a chronic *Pa* infection.⁵ Once chronic infection is established, *Pa* is virtually impossible to eradicate. However, early infections with *Pa* usually have a low bacterial load, offering an opportunity for eradication.^{6–9}

Different eradication strategies are available, including tobramycin or colistin inhalation or intravenous administration, sometimes combined with oral ciprofloxacin.^{10,11} The advantage of inhaled antibiotics consists of facilitating high

Ther Adv Respir Dis

2020, Vol. 14: 1–5

DOI: 10.1177/
1753466620905279

© The Author(s), 2020.

Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Correspondence to:
Anne M. Akkerman-Nijland
Department of Paediatrics,
University Medical Centre
Groningen, Beatrix
Children's Hospital,
University of Groningen,
Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ
Groningen, Groningen,
9713 GZ, the Netherlands
a.m.akkerman-nijland@
umcg.nl

Mina Yousofi
Hester Van der Vaart
Onno W. Akkerman
Department of
Pulmonary Diseases
and Tuberculosis,
University Medical Centre
Groningen, University of
Groningen, Groningen, the
Netherlands

Bart L. Rottier
Gerard H. Koppelman
Department of Paediatric
Pulmonology and
Paediatric Allergology,
University Medical
Centre Groningen,
Beatrix Children's
Hospital, University of
Groningen, Groningen, the
Netherlands

University of Groningen,
University Medical Centre
Groningen, Groningen
Research Institute
for Asthma and COPD
(GRIAC), Groningen, the
Netherlands

Johannes G. M. Burgerhof
Department of
Epidemiology, University
Medical Centre Groningen,
University of Groningen,
Groningen, The
Netherlands

Henderik W. Frijlink
Department of
Pharmaceutical
Technology and
Biopharmacy, University of
Groningen, Groningen, The
Netherlands

Daan J. Touw

University of Groningen,
University Medical Centre
Groningen, Groningen
Research Institute
for Asthma and COPD
(GRIAC), Groningen, the
Netherlands

Department of
Clinical Pharmacy
& Pharmacology,
University Medical Centre
Groningen, University of
Groningen, Groningen, The
Netherlands

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patients diagnosed with CF with clinical signs consistent with CF and sweat chloride >60 mEq/l or two CF-causing mutations identified - An initial or new <i>Pa</i> isolation from sputum cultures during the study period, treated with tobramycin nebulization or tobramycin dry powder (DPI tobramycin)* - Multiple sputum cultures after the end of treatment
Exclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chronic <i>Pa</i> infection - Patients receiving <i>Pa</i> suppressing therapy - Lung transplantation before <i>Pa</i> isolation - Incomplete exposure and/or outcome data

**Pa* isolation was defined as initial *Pa* isolation when the patient hadn't been infected with *Pa* prior to this *Pa* isolation. For new *Pa* isolation, patients had to be free of *Pa*, defined by the Leeds criteria, whereby all cultures taken in the last 12 months prior to *Pa* isolation had to be *Pa* negative.

CF, cystic fibrosis; DPI, dry powder inhalation; *Pa*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

drug concentrations at the target site in the lung, while minimizing systemic exposure and toxicity. The most frequently applied method of administration for inhaled antibiotics is by wet nebulization. Nowadays, dry powder inhalation of a few antibiotics is available in Europe since September 2010.¹²⁻¹⁴ Hypothetically, these dry powder antibiotics have several advantages over nebulization: more effective lung deposition, reduced administration time and lower risk of auto-re-infection when used with a disposable inhaler. In daily practice, these dry powder antibiotics are now used to eradicate *Pa* infections. To the best of the authors knowledge, whether these dry powder antibiotics are equally effective in eradicating *Pa* compared with administration through nebulization has not been studied previously.

This study compares the results of eradicating *Pa* with dry powder tobramycin (DPI tobramycin) with nebulized tobramycin from our own experience and in comparison with reported results from the literature.

Methods

This was a retrospective study from September 2010 until September 2017 concerning adult CF patients from the CF centre University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG) in the Netherlands. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1. We focused on incident *Pa* cases; thus, patients were included more than once when they had more than one *Pa* infection during the study period if they were declared free from *Pa* according to the Leeds criteria. Patients treated with DPI tobramycin received 112 mg twice daily for

28 days, for tobramycin nebulization dosage consisted of 300 mg twice daily for 28 days. The Medical Ethics Committee of the UMCG granted a waiver (METC2017-349), as they concluded that this study was not subject to the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act.

The primary outcome was the eradication of the *Pa* infection, defined as at least three *Pa* negative sputum cultures over 6 months. Secondary outcome parameters were time to recurrence of *Pa* after successful eradication, and development of chronic *Pa* infection.

Results

All 113 adult CF patients were assessed for eligibility. Of these, 69 (61.1%) were excluded. Reasons for exclusion were chronic *Pa* (53; 76.8%), lung transplantation before start of study (15; 21.7%) and one person had no sputum cultures taken due to mild CF (1.5%). Of the 44 included patients, 18 (40.9%) were found to have one or more *Pa* infection during the study period. In total 27 incident *Pa* isolations were recorded. A total of 14 were excluded due to receiving treatment other than tobramycin inhalation; too many missing data (in three patients too few sputum cultures were available after treatment); or not meeting Leeds criteria for early/new *Pa* isolation (two patients). In the end, 13 *Pa* isolations were found eligible for analysis, of which 7 were treated with DPI tobramycin, and 6 with tobramycin nebulization. Treatment with DPI tobramycin consisted of 112 mg twice daily for 28 days (Podhaler®). Patients treated with tobramycin nebulization received 300 mg twice daily for

Table 2. Clinical characteristics.

	Dry powder tobramycin (n = 7)	Nebulization tobramycin (n = 6)
Gender, n (%)		
Male	3 (42.9)	1 (16.7)
Female	4 (57.1)	5 (83.3)
Age in years, mean (range)		
	33.8 (23.8–51.5)	28.4 (18.6–39.3)
BMI, mean (range)		
	24.7 (20.8–29.5)	21.7 (16.6–29.1)
CFTR mutation, n (%)		
Homozygote_Phe508del	6 (85.7)	4 (66.7)
Heterozygote_Phe508del	–	2 (33.3)
Other	1 (14.3)	–
Unknown	–	–
Comorbidities, n (%)		
Cystic fibrosis-related diabetes	2 (28.6)	3 (50.0)
Cystic fibrosis-related liver disease	1 (14.3)	2 (33.3)
Pancreas insufficiency	7 (100.0)	5 (83.3)
Osteoporosis	1 (14.3)	1 (16.7)
Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second		
Percentage of predicted, mean (range, \pm SD)	80.7 (58–100, \pm 18.5)	78.8 (29–106, \pm 33.5)
Absolute, mean (range, \pm SD)	2.9 (1.88–4.1, \pm 0.8)	2.9 (0.99–4.76, \pm 1.4)
Pa infection new/first	4/3	6/0
Coinfection with pathogens, n (%)		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	7 (100.0)	4 (66.7)
<i>Haemophilus influenza</i>	2 (28.6)	2 (33.3)
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	0	0
<i>Aspergillus</i>	5 (71.4)	4 (66.7)
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	2 (28.6)	0
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	0	1 (16.7)
<i>Burkholderia</i>	0	0
<i>Nontuberculosis Mycobacteria</i>	0	0
BMI, body mass index; CFTR, Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; Pa, <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ; SD, standard deviation.		

28 days. Different nebulizers were used by the various patients. Table 2 lists the clinical characteristics of the 13 *Pa* infections.

Of the seven *Pa* isolations treated with DPI tobramycin, eradication was successful in six cases (85.7%), however in one case only two sputum cultures were available in the year after treatment instead of three. In only one case, *Pa* infection was not eradicated with DPI tobramycin. In five of the seven isolations, DPI tobramycin was used without comedication. In one of the six eradicated cases, oral ciprofloxacin (20 mg/kg twice daily, with a maximum total dosage of 1500 mg per day for 2 weeks) was added to DPI tobramycin; in one case, DPI was combined with intravenous ceftazidime (in a dosage of 8 gram/24 h, for 14 days).

In the group with nebulized tobramycin, eradication was achieved in three out of six cases (50.0%); in two of them, tobramycin nebulization was combined with oral ciprofloxacin. Statistical analysis using Fisher's exact test showed no significant difference in eradication rate between treatment with DPI and nebulization ($p=0.266$).

Mean time to reinfection or end of study for those without recurrence during the study period in the group treated with DPI tobramycin was 552.8 days versus 123.0 days in the nebulization group. The log-rank test showed a significant difference ($p=0.018$). No patients treated with DPI tobramycin developed chronic infection versus two patients in the nebulization group. Fisher's exact test showed no significant difference ($p=0.192$).

Discussion

Treatment with DPI tobramycin appears to be at least as effective as nebulization in achieving *Pa* eradication, since 85.8% of *Pa* was eradicated with DPI compared with 50.0% with nebulization. In the literature, numbers of eradication success from nebulized tobramycin vary widely.¹⁵ Gibson found an overall eradication efficacy of 74%, evaluated 1–3 months after ending treatment.¹⁶ In the first ever *Pa* isolations, 14 out of 15 persons (93%) remained free of *Pa* after 1 year of treatment with tobramycin nebulization.¹⁷ Proesmans found an eradication success of 79.3%, evaluated at the end of treatment with nebulized tobramycin for 28 days. At 1 year follow-up, 44.8% were still free of *Pa*.¹⁸ A

study by Taccetti recorded an eradication success of 65% with nebulized tobramycin for 28 days combined with oral ciprofloxacin, eradication defined as three negative cultures over 6 months.¹⁹

The lower eradication success by nebulization of 50% in our study may be owing to the fact that only adults were included in contrast with most other studies, causing the presence of not only first *Pa* isolations but also new *Pa* isolations. The acquisition of *Pa* at an older age negatively affects eradication success.⁹ For DPI tobramycin, we showed that its success rate of 85.7% is comparable with the numbers reported in the literature. As soon as treatment success is similar, other benefits such as ease of use, time burden and convenience become more important.

The main limitation of this study was the small population size, preventing us from drawing firm conclusions. Furthermore, it prevented us from performing statistical analyses corrected for confounding factors, such as forced expiratory volume in 1 second and body mass index, to reflect health status. Moreover, administration of either DPI tobramycin or nebulization was not randomized. However, looking at the characteristics of the 13 incident *Pa* infections, the clinical condition of the patients treated with DPI tobramycin seems to be similar to those treated with nebulized tobramycin.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that DPI tobramycin might be a good alternative to nebulized tobramycin for the eradication of *Pa*. Further research is needed to evaluate DPI tobramycin as an eradication strategy, as it can potentially increase treatment effectiveness and patient convenience, ultimately improving clinical outcome.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest statement

A.M.A.-N. has no conflicts of interest to disclose. M.Y. has no conflicts of interest to disclose. B.R. has no conflicts of interest to disclose. H.V.d.V. has no conflicts of interest to disclose. J.G.M.B. has no conflicts of interest to disclose. H.F. has a patent WO2004/110538 with royalties paid to

University of Groningen, and a patent WO2015/187025 with royalties paid to University of Groningen. D.J.T. reports grants from ZONmw, Chiesi, Tekke Huizinga Foundation and SKML, all outside the submitted work. G.H.K. reports grants from Lung Foundation of the Netherlands, TEVA the Netherlands, VERTEX, GSK, Ubbo Emmius Foundation, TETRI foundation, outside the submitted work. O.W.A. has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

ORCID iD

Anne M. Akkerman-Nijland  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7327-5422>

Supplemental material

The reviews of this paper are available via the supplemental material section.

References

- David PB. Cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Rev* 2001; 22: 257–264.
- Ballmann M, Rabsch P and von der Hardt H. Long-term follow-up of changes in FEV₁ and treatment intensity during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Thorax* 1998; 53: 732–737.
- Emerson J, Rosenfeld M, McNamara S, *et al.* *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other predictors of mortality and morbidity in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2002; 34: 91–100.
- Schaedel C, de Monestrol I, Hjelte L, *et al.* Predictors of deterioration of lung function in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2002; 33: 483–491.
- European Cystic Fibrosis Society. The ECFS patient registry 2015 annual report, https://www.ecfs.eu/sites/default/files/general-content-images/working-groups/ecfs-patient-registry/ECFS-Report2015_Nov2017.pdf
- Folkesson A, Jelsbak L, Yang L, *et al.* Adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the cystic fibrosis airway: an evolutionary perspective. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2012; 10: 523–530.
- Mogayzel PJ, Naurckas ET, Robinson KA, *et al.* Cystic fibrosis foundation pulmonary guideline: pharmacologic approaches to prevention and eradication of initial *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2010; 11: 1640–1650.
- Zemanick ET and Laguna TA. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* eradication: how do we measure success? *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 61: 716–718.
- Emiralioglu N, Yalcin E, Meral A, *et al.* The success of the different eradication therapy regimens for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2016; 41: 419–423.
- Döring G, Conway SP, Heijerman HG, *et al.* Antibiotic therapy against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16: 749–767.
- Langton Hewer SC and Smyth AR. Antibiotic strategies for eradicating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in people with cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 4: CD004197.
- Geller DE, Weers J and Heuerding S. Development of an inhaled dry-powder formulation of tobramycin using PulmoSphere technology. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv* 2011; 24: 175–182.
- Konstan MW, Flume PA, Kappler M, *et al.* Safety, efficacy and convenience of tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis patients: the EAGER trial. *J Cyst Fibros* 2011; 10: 54–61.
- Committee for medicinal products for human use (CHMP). *TOBI Podhaler*. EMA/570279/2010, 23 September 2010, https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/smop-initial/chmp-summary-positive-opinion-tobi-podhaler_en.pdf. London: European Medicines Agency.
- Schelstraete P, Haerynck F, Van Daele S, *et al.* Eradication therapy for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization episodes in cystic fibrosis patients not chronically colonized by *P. aeruginosa*. *J Cyst Fibros* 2013; 12: 1–8.
- Gibson RL, Emerson J, Mayer-Hamblett N, *et al.* Duration of treatment effect after tobramycin solution for inhalation in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2007; 42: 610–623.
- Ratjen F, Döring G, Nikolaizik WH, *et al.* Effect of inhaled tobramycin on early *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 983–984.
- Proesmans M, Vermeulen F, Boulanger L, *et al.* Comparison of two treatment regimens for eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros* 2013; 12: 29–34.
- Taccetti G, Campana S, Festini F, *et al.* Early eradication therapy against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 458–461.