**INTRODUCTION**

All mammals, including humans, spend a large proportion of their lives asleep, time that is not spent on finding a partner, searching for nutrients or detecting danger. As such, one can only conclude that sleep has maintained its biological relevance across time. Despite the underscored importance of sleep, our sleep time has been reduced from approximately 8 to 6.5 hr per night (Survey 2005). This reduction has been reported to be a result of many factors, including working longer and increased social demands, as well as the more frequent usage of electronic devices in bed such as mobile phones, laptops, etc. The resulting deprivation and restriction of sleep impacts our body in many ways. Indeed, numerous studies have underscored the negative impact of sleep loss on our well-being (Bryant, Trinder, & Curtis, 2004; Harrison, Horne, & Rothwell, 2000). For example, even as little as 5 hr of sleep deprivation negatively impacts brain function through the misregulation of numerous signalling pathways (Abel, Havekes, Saletin, & Walker, 2013; Havekes & Abel, 2017; Havekes, Meerlo, & Abel, 2015; Havekes, Vecsey, & Abel, 2012; Puentes-Mestril & Aton, 2017; Raven, Van der Zee, Meerlo, & Havekes, 2018). A wealth of studies have emphasized that the hippocampus, a brain region critical for learning and memory formation, is particularly sensitive to sleep loss (Abel et al., 2013; Bjorness, Riley, Tyso, & Poe, 2005; Delorme, Kodoth, & Aton, 2019; Hagewoud, Bulsma, Barf, Koolhaas, & Meerlo, 2011; Hagewoud, Havekes, Novati, et al., 2010; Havekes & Abel, 2017; Havekes et al.,...
schedule with lights on at 07:00 hours (ZT 0). Mice had food and water available ad libitum. At an age of 9 weeks, 1 week prior to the sleep deprivation experiment, pairs were separated and one animal from each pair was randomly assigned to the sleep deprivation group and one to the non-sleep-deprivation control group. In this way, cage effects could be excluded. Experimental protocols were reviewed and approved by the Dutch Committee for Animal Experiments (CCD) in accordance with European laws for animal research and the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Groningen.

2.2 | Sleep deprivation

During the habituation phase, all mice were handled for 2 min on 5 consecutive days prior to the sleep deprivation (SD) experiment in the experimental room in order to habituate them to both experimenters without affecting synaptic plasticity (Vecsey et al., 2013). This was also done to prevent any contamination specifically in the batch of SD mice. After the habituation, the mice were randomly assigned to the control (C) or SD group. The animals of the SD group were sleep deprived for 5 hr, starting at lights out (ZT 0). SD was achieved by the gentle stimulation method as described in our previously published papers (Hagewoud, Havekes, Novati, et al., 2010; Hagewoud, Havekes, Tiba, et al., 2010; Havekes et al., 2014, 2016; Raven, Meerlo, Van der Zee, Abel, & Havekes, 2019; Tudor et al., 2016). Briefly, animals were kept awake by gently tapping the cage, gently shaking the cage and/or removing the wire cage top. Their bedding was disturbed only in cases when tapping or gently shaking the cage was insufficient. Importantly, we did not use any novel objects, cages or other arousing stimuli to keep the animals awake. We were also aware that additional food intake could occur in the mice that were kept awake for 5 hr, which may impact the microbiome (Daniel et al., 2014; Thaiss et al., 2014). However, food restriction by giving animals a cage with novel bedding will have a major impact on sleep quality and duration as well as physiology in both the control and sleep-deprived animals.

This method of SD was previously validated using electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings and prevents all rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and approximately 95% of all non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep (Meerlo, de Bruin, Strijkstra, & Daan, 2001). Furthermore, previous work by us and others has indicated that the deficits in memory and plasticity as a result of SD were not caused by elevated plasma corticosterone levels or the gentle stimulation method itself (van der Borght et al., 2006; Meerlo & Turek, 2001). The role of glucocorticoids in the endophenotypes related to SD has been extensively discussed previously (Havekes et al., 2012, 2015; Kreutzmann et al., 2015).

2.3 | Colonic samples processing and microbiota profiling

The luminal content of the colon was collected from the control group and SD group directly after their exposure to 5 hr of sleep deprivation. Samples were snap frozen and stored at −80°C until
further processing. DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the NucleoSpin® Soil Kit (Macherey Nagel, GmbH & Co). High-throughput sequencing of the V3-V5 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was performed by Eurofins on an Illumina MiSeq platform according to the standard protocols with minor adjustments. Briefly, the V3-V5 region was PCR amplified using universal primers that contained the adapter overhang nucleotide sequences for forward and reverse index primers. Primers used are 16S V3-V5 Fwd CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG (Klindworth et al., 2013) and 16S V3-V5 Rev GGGTTGCGCTCGTTGCGGG (Sacchi et al., 2002). Amplicons were purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and set up for the index PCR with Nextera XT index primers (Illumina). The indexed samples were purified using AMPure XP beads, quantified using the Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytical) and equal quantities from each sample were pooled. The resulting pooled library was quantified using the Bioanalyzer 7500 DNA Kit (Agilent) and sequenced using the v3 chemistry (2 × 300 bp paired-end reads).

2.4 | Bioinformatics and statistical analyses

Microbial ecology (QIIME) software was used and preliminary quality control steps were performed as described previously (Kuczynski et al., 2012) and chimera sequences were removed with ChimeraSlayer. The remaining effective sequences were binned into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with a cut-off of 97% identity to determine alpha diversity (Shannon, Simpson and evenness indices), richness abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE and Chao1) and Good’s coverage. Beta diversity was estimated by computing weighted UniFrac values and visualized using a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). Comparisons among groups were performed using the adonis function (ANOVA using distance matrices) of the permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) implemented in the Vegan R package. Samples with insufficient read counts were filtered. Samples with less than 1,000 sequence reads were removed. A linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) procedure was used to identify the biomarkers of the microbiota in the colonic samples at the family and genus levels, and an effect size threshold of 2 (on the logarithmic linear discriminant analysis [LDA] score) was used (Segata et al., 2011). Compared with standard statistical approaches, LEfSe, in addition to p-values, provides an estimate of the magnitude of the association between each OTU and the grouping categories based on the LDA score. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS data analysis program (version 21.0) and R packages (V.2.15.3). p-values < .05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Acute sleep deprivation does not affect the diversity of the gut microbiota

To investigate the differences between the colonic luminal microbial communities in SD and control groups, the ecological characteristics were evaluated using various indices based on the OTU level. Compared to the control group, the SD group exhibited similar Shannon, ACE and Chao1 indices (Figure 1a). To identify the between-subject similarity of microbial communities, a weighted UniFrac-based principal coordinated analysis (PCoA) was performed. PCoA of control versus SD groups revealed that the overall microbial composition did not deviate between the tested groups (PERMANOVAR, p = .955, R² = .05; Figure 1b).

**FIGURE 1** (a) Comparison of Shannon index between sleep deprivation (SD) and control (C) groups (p = .91 F = 0.012, ANOVA) and of Chao index between SD and C groups (p = .81 F = 0.058, ANOVA). (b) Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on weighted UniFrac analysis between SD and C groups.
3.2 Differentially abundant bacterial groups in mice following acute sleep deprivation

Next, we examined whether groups of OTUs with commonly assigned taxonomic ranks of the faecal microbiota were changed by acute sleep restriction (Figure 2a). No changes were detected at the phylum level (Figure 2b). At the family level, Lachnospiraceae has a tendency to be more abundant ($p = .035$, false discovery rate [FDR] = 1) in the SD group compared to the control group (Figure 2c). At the genus level, Oxobacter was more prominently present ($p = .0066$, FDR = 0.44) in the control group, whereas Moryella was more abundant ($p = .022$, FDR = 0.74) in the SD group (Figure 2d). At the species level, Oxobacter PPf50E4 ($p = .0066$, FDR = 0.81) was more enriched in the control group, whereas Moryella indoligenes ($p = 0.022$, FDR = 1) and Clostridium saccharolyticum ($p = .03$, FDR = 1) were more abundant in the SD group. Among the groups of OTUs with commonly assigned taxonomic ranks, despite the mildly significant $p$-values, none of the OTUs were statistically different between the microbiota of control mice and that of acute sleep-restricted mice based on the FDRs. Of these OTUs, Murimonas intestine_00000017 ($p = .035$, FDR = 1) and Oscillospira guilliermondii_00000235 ($p = .05$, FDR = 1) had a tendency to be higher in the faecal samples of SD mice. In contrast, Clostridiales_00000238 ($p = .042$, FDR = 1), Oxobacter_s__sp._PPf50E4_00000036 ($p = .045$, FDR = 1) and Clostridiales

**FIGURE 2** (a) Heatmap showing quantitative visualization of microbial community composition. Rows of the generated heatmap represent taxa; columns represent samples. Both are ordered by hierarchical clustering. Taxa abundances are presented in a colour code, ranging from red (highly abundant) to blue (rare or absent). Values of explanatory variables are presented as a separate heatmap on top of the main heatmap. Stacked bar graphs showing altered gut microbiota composition on (B) phylum, (C) family and (D) genus levels, respectively.
Clostridiales_00000255 (p = .045, FDR = 1) were more abundant in control mice (Table S1).

Finally, we used LDA LEfSe to identify the taxa most likely to explain differences in the microbiota composition between control and SD animals. When comparing both groups of mice, we found that three species (Oxobacter PPI50E4, Clostridium oroticum, and Adlercreutzia equolifaciens) were more abundant in the control group, whereas Murimonas intestini was over-represented in the SD group (Figure 3). Together, these findings suggest that the gut microbiota exhibit very subtle, if any, changes in their composition following a brief period of sleep deprivation.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, we showed that a single short period of SD in mice does not cause major shifts in the gut microbiota composition, but leads to subtle changes in the differential abundance of microbiota on specific taxa levels. These include members of the family Lachnospiraceae and members of the family Clostridiaceae, which belong to the Firmicutes phylum. This is in agreement with the study of Benedict et al. (2016), who reported an increase in the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio following two nights of restricted sleep versus normal sleep in humans (Benedict et al., 2016). Unlike our observation, Benedict et al. (2016) detected higher abundances of the families Coriobacteriaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae, and lower abundance of Tenericutes. This discrepancy could be due to differences in model organisms used (mice versus humans) and the targeted variable regions that were used for microbiota composition analysis (V3-V5 versus V4). Another study examining chronic sleep restriction in rats and humans, demonstrated that changes in the microbiota on specific taxa levels. These include members of the Firmicutes phylum. Changes in the occurrence of members of the Lachnospiraceae within the order Clostridiales represent one of the most dominant and prevalent bacterial groups within the Firmicutes. Changes in the occurrence of members of the Lachnospiraceae have been associated with as little as 2 hr of stressor exposure in mice (Galley et al., 2014). However, Galley et al. (2014) did not report any significant changes in inflammatory cytokine gene expression after exposure to a murine stressor for 2 hr, for either 1 or 6 consecutive days (Galley et al., 2014). This observation makes it highly unlikely that acute sleep deprivation results in stressor-induced intestinal inflammation, which could then ultimately lead to alteration in the abundances of the indigenous intestinal microbiota. Indeed, in our previous sleep deprivation studies we have assessed the impact of sleep deprivation on HPA-axis activation and showed that 5–6 hr of sleep deprivation leads to a minor increase in blood corticosterone levels comparable to the fluctuations observed across the 24-hr circadian cycle (van der Borght et al., 2006; Hagewoud, Havekes, Novati, et al., 2010; Palchykova, Winsky-Sommerer, Meerlo, Durr, & Tobler, 2006; Vecsey et al., 2013). The role of glucocorticoids in sleep deprivation-induced phenotypes has been discussed in our previous work (Havekes et al., 2012, 2015).

Intriguingly, in line with the subtle changes in the microbiota composition we observed following acute SD, recent work has reported similar alterations following short-term exposure to stress in mice (Galley et al., 2014). For example, in our study, SD mice had increased levels of Lachnospiraceae. Members of the family Lachnospiraceae within the order Clostridiales represent one of the most dominant and prevalent bacterial groups within the Firmicutes. Changes in the occurrence of members of the Lachnospiraceae have been associated with as little as 2 hr of stressor exposure in mice (Galley et al., 2014). Despite these similarities, it should be noted that a brief period of sleep deprivation similar to the one used in this study does not lead to a robust HPA-axis activation resulting in robustly elevated glucocorticoid levels (van der Borght et al., 2006). In fact, the glucocorticoid levels never reached levels beyond those observed across the 24-hr circadian cycle. Furthermore, removal of the adrenal
glands did not prevent SD-induced memory impairments in a hippocampus-dependent form of learning (Tiba, Oliveira, Rossi, Tufik, & Suchecki, 2008). The latter observation underscores the notion that SD rather than the mild arousal as a result of sleep deprivation or the sleep deprivation method underlies the widely reported impairments in hippocampal function. Finally, it is important to emphasize the fact that sleep deprivation per se leads to memory impairments, whereas slight increases in glucocorticoid levels if anything are beneficial for memory and synaptic plasticity (for extensive discussion see Havekes et al., 2015, 2012). Altogether, these data suggest that acute sleep deprivation and stress can both lead to increased levels of Lachnospiraceae. Based on the work described above, however, it is unlikely that such changes directly contribute to the memory deficits associated with a brief period of sleep deprivation.

The hippocampus, a region critical for learning and memory, is particularly sensitive to sleep loss (Abel et al., 2013; Delorme et al., 2019; Hagewoud, Havekes, Novati, et al., 2010; Hagewoud et al., 2011; Havekes et al., 2012, 2015; McCoy et al., 2013; Mueller et al., 2015; Prince et al., 2014; Tudor et al., 2016) and its impairment has been shown to cause abnormalities in gastrointestinal motility (Xia et al., 2016), a key regulator of microbiota composition (Vandeputte et al., 2015). For this reason, it is tempting to speculate that the changes seen at the microbiota level are a consequence of altered gut motility. The structures of the colonic mucosa-associated and luminal microbial communities are distinct and differentially affected by a protracted murine stressor. Gut Pathogens, 7, 32.

Galley, J. D., Yu, Z., Kumar, P., Dowd, S. E., Lyte, M., & Bailey, M. T. (2014). The multinucleated luminal microbial communities are distinct and differentially affected by a prolonged murine stressor. Gut Pathogens, 7, 32. The latter observation underscores the notion that SD rather than the mild arousal as a result of sleep deprivation or the sleep deprivation method underlies the widely reported impairments in hippocampal function. Finally, it is important to emphasize the fact that sleep deprivation per se leads to memory impairments, whereas slight increases in glucocorticoid levels if anything are beneficial for memory and synaptic plasticity (for extensive discussion see Havekes et al., 2015, 2012). Altogether, these data suggest that acute sleep deprivation and stress can both lead to increased levels of Lachnospiraceae. Based on the work described above, however, it is unlikely that such changes directly contribute to the memory deficits associated with a brief period of sleep deprivation.

The hippocampus, a region critical for learning and memory, is particularly sensitive to sleep loss (Abel et al., 2013; Delorme et al., 2019; Hagewoud, Havekes, Novati, et al., 2010; Hagewoud et al., 2011; Havekes et al., 2012, 2015; McCoy et al., 2013; Mueller et al., 2015; Prince et al., 2014; Tudor et al., 2016) and its impairment has been shown to cause abnormalities in gastrointestinal motility (Xia et al., 2016), a key regulator of microbiota composition (Vandeputte et al., 2015). For this reason, it is tempting to speculate that the changes seen at the microbiota level are a consequence of altered gut motility. The structures of the colonic mucosa-associated and luminal microbial communities are distinct and differentially affected by a protracted murine stressor. Gut Pathogens, 7, 32.
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