University of Groningen

The Process of Death

Jones, Olivia

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.108355327](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.108355327)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Jones, O. (2019). *The Process of Death: a bioarchaeological approach to Mycenaean mortuary traditions in Achaia*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.108355327>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

The Process of Death

A Bioarchaeological Approach to
Mycenaean Mortuary Traditions in Achaia

Olivia A. Jones

ISBN of the printed version: 978-94-034-2243-5

ISBN of the electronic version: 978-94-034-2242-8

Copyright © 2019 O.A. Jones

Design and layout: Tamara M. Dijkstra

Printing: Ridderprint BV, The Netherlands

university of
 groningen

The Process of Death

A Bioarchaeological Approach to
Mycenaean Mortuary Traditions in Achaia

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on
Thursday 12 December 2019 at 16:15 hours

by

Olivia Anne Jones

born on 4 October 1982
in West Virginia, United States of America

Supervisors

Prof. S. Voutsaki
Prof. J.E. Buikstra

Assessment Committee

Prof. J. Bennet
Prof. C.J. Knüsel
Prof. I. Tournavitou

Contents

Acknowledgements	xi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 The State of the Research.....	2
1.2.1 Mycenaean Burial Practices	2
1.2.2 Mycenaean Secondary Burial	5
1.2.3 The History of Mycenaean Bioarchaeology	6
1.3 Research Problem and Aims	7
1.4 Methodology: A Bioarchaeological Approach.....	8
1.4.1 Bioarchaeology.....	8
1.4.2 Archaeological Context	8
1.4.3 Anthropological Methodology.....	9
1.4.4 Laboratory Procedures: Cleaning, Transportation and Analysis of Material	10
1.5 The Regional Context of Achaia.....	11
1.5.1 Geography of Achaia.....	12
1.5.2 Archaeological Activity in Achaia	14
1.5.3 Mycenaean Achaia.....	16
1.5.4 Mortuary Traditions of Mycenaean Achaia	17
1.5.5 An Internal Divide? Eastern versus Western Achaia	19
1.6 The Study Sites of the Dissertation	19
1.6.1 Chalandritsa.....	19
1.6.2 Petroto	20
1.6.3 Portes.....	22
1.7 Structure of the Thesis	23
2. Mortuary traditions in Achaia: adoption and adaptation.....	26
Publication data.....	26
Abstract.....	26
Keywords	26
Mycenaean Achaia, mortuary practices.....	26

2.1 Introduction.....	27
2.1.1 The Traditional View: Achaia as Periphery	27
2.1.2 Recent Debates: Achaia as Autonomous	29
2.1.3 Aims and Approach.....	29
2.1.4 Caveats	30
2.2 The Mortuary Traditions of Achaia through Time	31
2.2.1 Early Mycenaean Period (MH III-LH II).....	31
2.2.2 Palatial Period (LH IIIA-IIIB)	36
2.2.3 Post-Palatial Period (LH IIIC)	40
2.3 Discussion: Regional Traditions and Experimentations through Time.....	42
2.3.1 Early Experimentation and Hybridization.....	42
2.3.2 A Palatial Period Koine.....	43
2.3.3 A Post-Palatial Achaian Tradition.....	45
2.4 Conclusions	46
References	48
 3. Mycenaean secondary burial revisited: legacy data, taphonomy, and the process of burial in Achaia, Greece	53
Publication data.....	53
Abstract.....	53
Keywords	53
3.1 Introduction.....	54
3.2 Issues within Mycenaean Mortuary Archaeology.....	56
3.2.1 A Murky Terminology: Definitional Issues	56
3.2.2 Lack of Bioarchaeological Analyses	57
3.2.3 Challenges of Legacy Data.....	58
3.3 Materials: Mycenaean Cemeteries of Achaia.....	58
3.3.1 The Sample.....	59
3.3.2 The Tholos Tomb of Petroto	60
3.3.3 The Chamber Tomb Cemetery of Chalandritsa.....	60
3.3.4 The Cemetery of Portes.....	60
3.4 Methods: Identifying Secondary Burials.....	61
3.4.1 Bioarchaeological Methods	61
3.4.2 Contextual Analysis	64
3.5 Results.....	64

3.5.1 Petroto	64
3.5.2 Chalandritsa.....	67
3.5.3 Portes.....	69
3.6 Reconstructing the Burial Process	71
3.7 Discussion: From Burial Deposit to Mortuary Practice	75
3.8 Conclusions	77
References	79
 4. Timing is everything: radiocarbon dating multiple levels in the Mycenaean tholos tomb of Petroto, Achaia, Greece	84
Publication data.....	84
Abstract.....	84
Keywords	84
4.1 Introduction	85
4.1.1 Aegean Chronology.....	85
4.1.2 Previous Studies of Mycenaean Tomb Reuse	85
4.1.3 Issues & Aims	87
4.2 Material.....	87
4.2.1 The Region of Achaia.....	87
4.2.2 Achaian Tholoi	87
4.2.3 The Petroto Tholos Tomb	88
4.3 Methods & Calculation	88
4.4 Results.....	90
4.5 Discussion.....	91
4.6 Conclusion	95
References	96
 5. Demography and burial exclusion in Mycenaean Achaia, Greece	99
Publication data.....	99
Abstract.....	99
Keywords	99
5.1 Burial Exclusion in Mycenaean Burials	100
5.2 A sample of Cemeteries from Mycenaean Achaia	102
5.2.1 The Sites	102
5.2.2 The Sample.....	105

5.3 Bioarchaeological Methodology.....	106
5.3.1 Zonation MNI.....	106
5.3.2 Age at Death.....	107
5.3.3 Biological Sex.....	107
5.3.4 Paleodemographic Expectations.....	108
5.4 Demography of Burials in Mycenaean Achaia.....	108
5.4.1 Sites	109
5.4.2 Tomb Type	112
5.4.3 Time period: Palatial Period versus Post-Palatial Period.....	113
5.4.4 Burial Form	113
5.5 Assessing Burial Exclusion and Sample Biases	114
5.6 Conclusion.....	115
References	117

6. Bioarchaeology of the Mycenaean world: engaging with past assumptions and new opportunities.....	122
Publication data.....	122
Abstract.....	122
Keywords	122
6.1 The Demography of Mycenaean Burials.....	123
6.1.1 Mycenaean Mortuary Practices: Homogeneity and Diversity.....	123
6.1.2 Past Assumptions	123
6.1.3 New Opportunities: An Anthropological Revolution in Greece	127
6.1.4 Complications: Biases and Limitations.....	128
6.2 Materials: The Sample Data.....	130
6.3 Methods.....	131
6.3.1 Data Consolidation.....	131
6.3.2 Demographic Expectations.....	132
6.3.3 Statistical Analysis	135
6.4 Results: Bioarchaeological Data of the Mycenaean World.....	137
6.4.1 Intramural versus Extramural	138
6.4.2 Tomb Type	141
6.4.3 Regional Differences	141
6.4.4 Changes Through Time	143
6.5 Discussion: Age and Sex in Mycenaean Burials	145

6.5.1 Sex in Mycenaean Burials.....	145
6.5.2 Age-at-death in Mycenaean Burials.....	146
6.6 Conclusions.....	149
References	151
7. Discussion and conclusions.....	157
7.1 Processing Mycenaean Burial Traditions.....	157
7.1.1 Mycenaean Achaia.....	157
7.1.2 Taphonomy	157
7.1.3 Using Radiocarbon to Reconstruct Tomb Reuse	159
7.1.4 Demography in Mycenaean Achaia.....	160
7.1.5 Mycenaean Bioarchaeology.....	160
7.2 Conclusions.....	162
7.3 Future Directions in Mycenaean Bioarchaeology.....	162
7.3.1 Eastern versus Western Achaia.....	162
7.3.2 Mycenaean Bioarchaeology.....	163
References	164
Summary	170
Samenvatting.....	172
Περίληψη	175
Appendix: Bioarchaeological Data.....	177

Bodies intrigue us because they promise windows into the past that other archaeological finds cannot. They are literally the past personified -Sofaer 2006, 1.

Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without a vast number of people who have professionally and personally helped me along the way. The thanks expressed here will not adequately convey my appreciation since the last seven years have been both the most difficult and most rewarding in my life so far.

First, to Prof. dr. Jane E. Buikstra and Prof. dr. Sofia Voutsaki I owe my utmost gratitude. I have been incredibly fortunate to have been supervised by two strong, successful women, who are leaders in their respective archaeological fields. Without their unfailing patience (especially in respect to my writing) and guidance, this dissertation would not have come to fruition. Thank you both for showing me the academic ropes, letting me stay in your apartment or park in your driveway and generally being all-around awesome mentors. I hope more collaborations are in our future!

I would also like to express my deep thanks to the external examination committee, Prof. dr. Chris Knüsel, University of Bordeaux, Prof. dr. John Bennet, British School at Athens, and Prof. dr. Ifigenia Tournavitou, University of Thessaly. Your thorough reading of this thesis and constructive feedback improved this work immeasurably.

Of equal importance, I am forever grateful to the Greek archaeologists who granted me permission to work on the material contained within this study. Thus, I thank Dr. Michalis Petropoulos and Dr. Lena Papazoglou-Manioudaki for their permission but also their kindness and patience whenever I had a question about the Petrotolithos. Without their enthusiastic collaboration, the radiocarbon-dating of the tomb would not

have been possible. Also, I would like to thank Dr. Lazaros Kolonas for his permission to work on the material from Portes and Maria Stavropoulou-Gatsi for her permission to work on the material from Chalandritsa. Last, but not least, I thank Irene Vikatos for translating Greek diaries and notes during my first year and I wish her all the best on her current PhD journey.

Many thanks must also go to the people who helped me and friends that I made while working in the Wiener Laboratory at the American School of Classical Studies at Athens. My days spent in the lab were some of the most fun because of lovely people always there. To both Dr. Sherry Fox and Dr. Takis Karkanas I thank you both for creating such a wonderful environment in which I could learn as well as foster life-long friendships. To the lab crew of 2013-2014, especially Dr. Dan Fallu, Dr. Flint Dibble and Dr. Efi Nikita, you guys were invaluable by always being on-hand for random questions or 10:00pm pizza delivery. I'm glad that an AIA session came out of our late-night ramblings. Lastly, my deepest thanks to Zoi Chalatsi for being an absolute star with the conservation of the often very poorly preserved human remains in this study. Your expertise and friendship were priceless. I'll never forget the academically-stimulating or downright hilarious conversations that happened in that old basement lab.

Special gratitude must go to my "Greek family", Tini Aktypi, Michalis Gazis and their wonderful family. If it was not for you both, I think I would still be in the Patras store-rooms wading through crates. You guys have gone above and beyond being collaborators, you are both now dear friends and

I'm thrilled that we are planning to continue our collaboration!

When I came to the Netherlands in 2012, I was convinced that I would "get in and get out" and likely not make any friends because it was only going to be four years and I honestly thought I would be much older than the other students or too new for them to want to hang out. I now know those were incredibly naïve assumptions, the truth is that those fellow PhDs are your people because they know exactly what you're going through. I thank the kind group of PhDs at Arizona State University for being welcoming and inviting me to cohort socials while I was there for a semester. I thank the Groningen Institute of Archaeology PhDs for generally being a daily resource in all things Dutch or academic. I'm especially thankful for the lively discussions and general camaraderie of the "Writing Squad". Special thanks go to Dr. Tanja van Loon and Dr. Sarah Willemsen for always letting me come into your office for tea and conversation/commiseration. Your friendship and support made the GIA, and Groningen in general, feel like home.

To my dear paranymphs, Dr. Eleni Panagiotopoulou and the newly Dr. Tamara Dijkstra, I thank you for all of the feedback, formatting, and translating that went into this thesis. But more importantly, I thank you for your close friendship over the years; you have become like sisters to me over these last seven years. I have shared everything from apartments to hair straighteners with you two and I never regretted it for one second. You are both lifelong friends, so I

suggest you settle in for the long haul because in my mind, we are forever the "Greek girls" [insert karpoozi emoji].

Penultimate thanks are due to my patient, supportive family. They have been my unfailing cheerleaders since day one. They never once questioned my passion for archaeology and have been enthusiastic guests in London, Greece, Groningen, or wherever archaeology took me. It is to them that I truly owe any success since they never pressured me to pursue any other career path. To my brother and sister, I thank you both for (nearly) always answering the phone when I called just to talk. Patrick, thank you for all those times that I crashed at your flat in London. Jana, your ability to instantly distract me from productive work with non-stop chatter was the double-edged sword that I sometimes needed when I was too disconnected from home. Lastly, I dedicate this thesis to those who did not live to see it finished, my grandmother, Zelma Huffman and Max the dog. My grandma was always eager to hear about my life, be my cheerleader, or ready for a card game.

Finally, I must thank the person who was my biggest and more important supporter, my husband Zach. Five weeks before we got married, I was accepted for the PhD position in Groningen and from that day until now you never once questioned my choice. Rather, you made countless trips to and from the airport, and a few trips to Groningen and Athens to spend time with me and learn about my research. Your faithful support will always be the most meaningful gift that you could have ever given me.