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Summary

The PrsA protein is a membrane-anchored peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase in Bacillus subtilis and most other Gram-positive bacteria. It catalyses the post-translocational folding of exported proteins and is essential for normal growth of B. subtilis. We studied the mechanism behind this indispensability. We could construct a viable prsA null mutant in the presence of a high concentration of magnesium. Various changes in cell morphology in the absence of PrsA suggested that PrsA is involved in the biosynthesis of the cylindrical lateral wall. Consistently, four penicillin-binding proteins (PBP2a, PBP2b, PBP3 and PBP4) were unstable in the absence of PrsA, while muropeptide analysis revealed a 2% decrease in the peptidoglycan cross-linkage index. Misfolded PBP2a was detected in PrsA-depleted cells, indicating that PrsA is required for the folding of this PBP either directly or indirectly. Furthermore, strongly increased uniform staining of cell wall with a fluorescent vancomycin was observed in the absence of PrsA. We also demonstrated that PrsA is a dimeric or oligomeric protein which is localized at distinct spots organized in a helical pattern along the cell membrane. These results suggest that PrsA is essential for normal growth most probably as PBP folding is dependent on this PPIase.

Introduction

Intracellular folding of a protein into a native functional structure is assisted by molecular chaperones and foldase enzymes. A class of foldases ubiquitous in all types of cells and cell compartments is formed by peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerases (PPIases), which catalyse the isomerization of peptide bonds immediately preceding proline residues (Schiene and Fischer, 2000; Wang and Heitman, 2005; Lu and Zhou, 2007). Three families of PPIases have been identified: cyclophilins, FK506-binding proteins and parvulins (Rahfeld et al., 1994). The archetype of the parvulin family of PPIases is the Escherichia coli Par10, which consists of 92 amino acid residues comprising the minimal catalytic domain (Kühlewein et al., 2004). In other parvulins, a catalytic domain is flanked with N- and C-terminal regions of various lengths and roles in substrate binding and/or chaperone-like catalysis of folding (Lu et al., 1996; Yaffe et al., 1997; Uchida et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2000; Behrens et al., 2001; Vitikainen et al., 2004). Human Pin1 and its counterpart parvulins in other eukaryotic cells specifically recognize proline residues that are preceded by phospho-rylated serine or threonine residues (Hani et al., 1999; Lu et al., 1999; Yao et al., 2001; Lu and Zhou, 2007). Other parvulins have a wider substrate range, as their substrate recognition is independent of phosphorylation (Hennecke et al., 2005; Stymest and Klappa, 2008).

PrsA is a lipoprotein bound to the outer face of the cytoplasmic membrane in Bacillus subtilis and other Gram-positive Firmicutes (Kontinen and Sarvas, 1993; Vitikainen et al., 2004). It consists of a diacylglycerol membrane anchor, a large functionally unknown N-terminal domain followed by a PPIase domain with similarity to the parvulin...
family of PPIases and a small functionally unknown C-terminal domain (Vitikainen et al., 2004). Bacillus subtilis PrsA exhibits PPIase activity but may also have a chaperone-like activity in vivo (Vitikainen et al., 2004). The presence of a deletion in the PPIase domain of the Lactococcus lactis PrsA-like protein PmpA suggests that it may function only as a chaperone (Drouault et al., 2002). The periplasmic SurA of E. coli is also a chaperon with a specialized role in the folding and assembly of outer-membrane proteins (Behrens et al., 2001). Several extracellular proteins in various Gram-positive bacteria are secreted or maturated in a PrsA-dependent manner (Kontinen and Sarvas, 1993; Hyyryläinen et al., 2000; Vitikainen et al., 2001, 2005; Drouault et al., 2002; Lindholm et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2006; Alonzo et al., 2009; Zemansky et al., 2009). Overexpression of PrsA enhances α-amylase secretion from Bacillus and L. lactis cells (Kontinen and Sarvas, 1993; Vitikainen et al., 2001, 2005; Lindholm et al., 2006) including the biotechnologically important thermoresistant Amyl. α-amylase of Bacillus licheniformis (Kontinen and Sarvas, 1993). Some other extracellular proteins are also secreted at increased levels from PrsA-overexpressing cells (Wu et al., 1998; Williams et al., 2003). In B. subtilis, PrsA is an essential cell component in normal growth conditions indicating that it has an indispensable role in protein folding at the membrane-cell wall interface (‘periplasm’) (Vitikainen et al., 2001). All three domains are essential for PrsA function (Vitikainen et al., 2004). Inactivation of the α-alanylation system of the teichoic acids (Dlt) restores slight growth of B. subtilis cells lacking PrsA, suggesting that the increased net negative charge of the wall in the absence of Dlt partially suppresses the growth defect (Hyyryläinen et al., 2000). In contrast to the rod-shaped B. subtilis, PrsA is a dispensable protein in several cocci, L. lactis (Drouault et al., 2002), Streptococcus pyogenes (Ma et al., 2006) and Staphylococcus aureus (Vitikainen et al., unpublished).

In this study our purpose was to identify the indispensable cell component(s) which is (are) folded in a PrsA-dependent manner and to elucidate why PrsA is an essential protein in the rod-shaped bacterium B. subtilis, but non-essential in cocci. A hypothesis explaining this difference could be that PrsA catalyses the folding of a protein(s) involved in the biosynthesis of the cylindrical (lateral) cell wall and determination of the rod cell shape. The bacterial cell shape is maintained by a peptidoglycan cell wall (murein sacculus) and the actin-like proteins Mbl, MreB and MreBH, which form helical cables (cytoskeleton) that encircle the cell immediately beneath the cell membrane (Jones et al., 2001; Carballido-Lopez and Errington, 2003; Soufo and Graumann, 2003; Defeu Soufo and Graumann, 2004; Stewart, 2005; Kawai et al., 2009). The rod shape of B. subtilis is also dependent on other proteins including MreC and MreD, which are membrane proteins and interact with each other and Mbl (Defeu Soufo and Graumann, 2006; van den Ent et al., 2006). In the absence of any of these Mre proteins, cells are spherical or aberrant in shape or non-viable in normal growth conditions (Jones et al., 2001; Leaver and Errington, 2005; Kawai et al., 2009). Studies on the cell shape determination of Caulobacter crescentus and B. subtilis have also shown that MreB, MreC and MreD interact with penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (Figg et al., 2004; Divakaruni et al., 2005; van den Ent et al., 2006; Kawai et al., 2009). Peptidoglycan precursors are incorporated into the wall at distinct sites organized in a helical pattern along the lateral wall (Daniel and Errington, 2003; Tiyanont et al., 2006; Divakaruni et al., 2007). The Mre proteins and two PBP1-associated cell division proteins, EzrA and GspB, are involved in the determination of the spatial organization and dynamics of the peptidoglycan synthesis (Claessen et al., 2008; Kawai et al., 2009). In Corynebacterium glutamicum, which does not have the mreB gene (Daniel and Errington, 2003), peptidoglycan precursors are incorporated for the whole lateral wall via the newly formed division poles. In S. aureus and probably in cocci more generally, peptidoglycan synthesis takes place only at the division septum and the hemispherical poles derived from it after cell division (Pinho and Errington, 2003).

High-molecular-weight PBPs are membrane-bound transglycosylase and transpeptidase enzymes which use peptidoglycan precursors to synthesize peptidoglycan chains and cross-link adjacent glycan chains to form a murein sacculus (Popham and Young, 2003; Sauvage et al., 2008). Class A high-molecular-weight PBPs possess both transglycosylase and transpeptidase activities, whereas class B high-molecular-weight PBPs have only transpeptidase activity (Scheffers et al., 2004). In addition to these two classes of high-molecular-weight PBPs, bacterial cells also contain low-molecular-weight PBPs (class C) which have neither transglycosylase nor transpeptidase activity, but function as carboxypeptidases or endopeptidases (Popham and Young, 2003; Sauvage et al., 2008). The B. subtilis genome sequence has revealed 16 PBP-encoding genes, many of which are functionally redundant (Scheffers et al., 2004). The PBPs have several distinct localization patterns in the cell suggesting dedicated functional roles for them in cell wall growth or cell division (Scheffers et al., 2004). The PBP3 and PBP4a monofunctional transpeptidases and the PBP5 D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase are localized in distinct spots or bands in the region of the lateral cell wall, suggesting their involvement in the elongation of the lateral wall. The bifunctional PBP1 is involved in the growth of both the lateral wall and the septum (Pedersen et al., 1999; Scheffers et al., 2004; Claessen et al., 2008; Kawai et al., 2009). The PBP2a and PbpH transpeptidases have a redundant, essential activity in the lateral wall synthesis and rod-shape determination.
MreC and PBPs possess a number of proline residues (about 3% of amino acid residues) and the functional domains of these proteins are localized in the same cell compartment as PrsA suggesting that their folding could be dependent on PrsA. Misfolded proteins are rapidly degraded by the quality-control proteases such as HtrA (Hyyryläinen et al., 2001). We studied the stability of MreC and PBPs in cells depleted of PrsA to find out whether misfolding of these proteins occurs in the absence of PrsA. Stabilities of three divisome proteins with a ‘periplasmic’ domain, FtsL, DivIB and DivIC (Daniel et al., 1998; Katis and Wake, 1999) were also determined in a similar manner. Membrane proteomes were analysed to identify other possible PrsA-dependent membrane proteins. Various methods and approaches including electron microscopy, muropeptide analysis and labeling of PBPs and peptidoglycan precursors with fluorescent antibiotics were used to characterize the cell wall biosynthesis defect of PrsA-depleted cells and the functional role of PrsA in cell shape determination. Furthermore, we studied whether PrsA is evenly or non-evenly distributed along the cell membrane. The results showed that several PBPs are folded in a PrsA-dependent manner, suggesting that this is the likely cause for the growth arrest in the absence of PrsA.

Results

B. subtilis cells depleted of the PrsA protein are able to grow in the presence of a high concentration of magnesium

In order to modulate cellular amount of PrsA, we have placed the B. subtilis prsA gene under the transcriptional control of the IPTG-inducible Pspac promoter (Vitikainen et al., 2001). Transmission electron microscope images of cells expressing Pspac-prsA (IH7211) at low levels showed that PrsA depletion causes distinct changes in cell morphology. Severely PrsA-depleted cells which were still able to grow (Pspac-prsA induced with 8 μM IPTG) were rod shaped but much larger than cells of the parental wild-type strain (compare Fig. 1A and B). At a PrsA level that was insufficient to support normal growth, large spherical cells were observed (Fig. 1C and D). Thick cell wall material was seen in residual division septa between the spherical cells, whereas in the periphery in many sites only a thin wall layer was left, suggesting that PrsA may be required for the biosynthesis of the lateral cell wall. These changes in cell morphology are similar to those seen in defects of the cell wall polymer biosynthesis and cell shape determination (Wei et al., 2003; Leaver and Errington, 2005).

The MreC and MreD cell shape-determination proteins are required for lateral cell wall biosynthesis and normal growth of B. subtilis. However, a high concentration of magnesium (20 mM) in the growth medium restores some growth even in the complete absence of these proteins (Leaver and Errington, 2005). Cells depleted of MreC or MreD in the presence of 20 mM magnesium are spherical in shape. The mechanism of this suppression is currently unknown. Because magnesium also rescues growth of other mutants with defects in different aspects of cell wall biosynthesis (ΔponA and ΔmreB), and which in contrast to mreC and mreD mutants maintain a wild type-like rod shape, it may affect indirectly peptidoglycan structure or turnover (Formstone and Errington, 2005). We studied whether the growth defect of PrsA-depleted cells can be suppressed by magnesium. The strain IH7211 (Pspac-prsA) was grown in Antibiotic Medium 3 in the presence or absence of IPTG and the effect of 20 mM MgCl₂ on the growth was determined. Interestingly, magnesium restored the growth of PrsA-depleted IH7211 (Fig. 2). However, even though the PrsA-depleted cells grew in the
presence of magnesium, it did not restore the ‘normal’ morphology of PrsA-expressing cells but they remained thick rods (Fig. 1E and F).

**PrsA is required for the stability of several penicillin-binding proteins**

The above results suggest that PrsA is involved in the folding of a membrane protein(s) which has (have) a large ‘periplasmic’ domain with an essential role(s) in the wall biosynthesis and/or cell shape determination. We used N-terminal green fluorescence protein (GFP) tags and specific antibodies against GFP, MreC and PrsA to determine effects of the PrsA depletion on the stability of potential PrsA-dependent membrane components. We started this study with GFP fusions, as most of these constructs were available and used previously in other studies (Scheffers *et al.*, 2004; Leaver and Errington, 2005). We were particularly interested in finding out whether the stability of MreC and PBPs is dependent on PrsA. The PBP 2b (PBP2b) interacts with the divisome proteins DivIB, DivIC and FtsL and affects their stability (Daniel *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, an NMR study of DivIB suggested that cis-trans isomerization of a specific prolyl peptide bond causes a major structural change in this divisome protein and it was proposed that the cis-trans isomerization may function as a regulatory switch in cell division (Robson and King, 2006). Therefore, we were also interested in determining the stability of DivIB, DivIC and FtsL in PrsA-depleted cells.

The absence of PrsA did not decrease cellular levels of MreC and GFP-MreC as determined by immunoblotting with anti-MreC antibodies, suggesting that PrsA is not essential for the folding and stability of this cell shape-determination protein (Fig. S1). Hence, despite of the similar suppression of the growth defects of PrsA-depleted and MreC-depleted cells by magnesium, the growth inhibition in the absence of PrsA is most probably not caused by misfolding of MreC. In contrast, PBP2a, a high-molecular-weight PBP, which is involved in the lateral cell wall biosynthesis (Wei *et al.*, 2003), is most probably a PrsA-dependent protein, as indicated by a clear decrease in the level of GFP-PBP2a in PrsA-depleted cells expressing this fusion protein (Fig. 3A) and in their isolated membranes (Fig. S1). The PrsA dependency of PBP1a/b, the largest of the PBPs of *B. subtilis*, was also studied with a GFP-PBP1a/b construct. GFP-PBP1a/b was stable in PrsA-depleted cells (Fig. S1), suggesting that PBP1a/b folding is independent of PrsA. The PrsA-dependency analysis of the DivIB, DivIC and FtsL divisome proteins revealed that none of them are PrsA dependent (Fig. S2).

The binding of β-lactam antibiotics to the native fold of PBPs can be used to visualize and determine cellular levels of enzymatically active PBPs. In order to elucidate the effect of the PrsA depletion on the levels of correctly folded PBPs, cell membranes were isolated from PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells and PBPs were labeled with fluorescent penicillin, Bocillin-FL, and analysed with SDS-PAGE. There are 16 PBPs in *B. subtilis* and seven of them, PBP1a/b, PBP2a, PBP2b, PBP2c, PBP3, PBP4 and PBP5, could be identified with Bocillin-FL labeling (Fig. 3B). The identification of these PBPs is based on a similar labeling experiment with null mutants of the corresponding genes with the exception of PBP2b (Fig. S3) and their known migration in SDS-PAGE as determined in a previous study by another group (Popham and Setlow, 1996). The level of PBP2c encoded by the *pbpF* gene was clearly lower than the levels of the other PBPs. It was detected as a very weak band below the band of PBP2b (Fig. 3B and Fig. S3). The two forms of PBP1, a and b (Popham and Setlow, 1995), could not be separated in the mini-gels used in this analysis. The data demonstrate that four out of seven PBPs were affected by the PrsA depletion (Fig. 3B). The levels of active PBP2a, PBP2b, PBP3 and PBP4 were clearly lower in cells ‘lacking’ PrsA as compared with non-depleted cells, suggesting that their stability is dependent on the PrsA foldase, whereas the levels of PBP1a/b, PBP2c and PBP5 were not decreased, suggesting their independence of PrsA. These results are consistent with the similar effects of the PrsA depletion on GFP-PBP2a and GFP-PBP1a/b observed in the above immunoblotting experiments. The levels of the four PrsA-dependent PBPs were decreased in a similar manner also...
**Fig. 3.** PrsA depletion destabilizes penicillin-binding proteins.  
A. Effect of PrsA depletion on the cellular level of GFP-PBP2a. Whole cell samples from *B. subtilis* IH8437 (P\textsubscript{xyI}-gfp-pbpA P\textsubscript{spac}-prsA) were prepared as described in *Experimental procedures* and levels of GFP-PBP2a and PrsA were determined by immunoblotting and chemiluminescence detection. P\textsubscript{xyI} was induced with 0.5% xylose.  
B. Cytoplasmic membranes were isolated and levels of active PBPs were visualized by staining with Bocillin-FL (see *Experimental procedures*) and separation in SDS-PAGE. Effect of PrsA depletion and magnesium on the levels of active PBPs. The genes encoding PBP1a/b, PBP2a, PBP2b, PBP3, PBP4 and PBP5 are *ponA*, *pbpA*, *pbpB*, *pbpF*, *pbpC*, *pbpD* and *dacA* respectively.  
C. Effect of the *prsA* null mutation (ΔprsA; *B. subtilis* IH9024) on levels of active PBPs (Bocillin FL staining) and total levels of PBP2a, MreC and PrsA (immunoblotting).  
D. Levels of PBP2a-Myc in PrsA-depleted cells in the presence (ΔprsA; *B. subtilis* IH9027; *pbpA-myc P\textsubscript{spac}-prsA*) and absence of CssS (ΔprsA IH9016; *pbpA-myc P\textsubscript{spac}-prsA cssS::spec*) as determined by immunoblotting.  
E. Misfolding of PBP5-Myc in the absence of both PrsA and CssS. Bocillin-FL staining of active PBPs and immunoblotting of total PBP5-Myc in membranes of PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells of IH9028 (dacA-myc P\textsubscript{spac}-prsA) and IH9029 (dacA-myc P\textsubscript{spac}-prsA cssS::spec).
in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂, suggesting that magnesium does not suppress their instability (Fig. 3B).

**The prsA gene can be deleted in the presence of a high concentration of magnesium**

The suppression of the growth defect of PrsA-depleted *B. subtilis* IH7211 cells in the presence of a high concentration of magnesium suggests that it might be possible to construct a prsA null mutant (gene replacement) on plates supplemented with magnesium. Indeed this was the case (see **Experimental procedures** for the mutant construction). The prsA null mutant grew on Antibiotic Medium 3 – plates supplemented with 20 mM MgCl₂ forming very tiny homogeneous colonies. Microscopic inspection of cells in the colonies showed that all bacteria were strongly deformed; either giant vesicular cells or thick twisted rods. These cells looked quite similar as PrsA-depleted cells of IH7211. In corresponding liquid cultures, the large deformed cells changed so that in overnight grown cultures small motile cocci-like cells, short bent rods, which were thinner than those in the exponential growth phase, and also fairly normal-looking rods were observed (see Fig. 4). The appearance of viable cocci-like prsA null mutant cells corroborates the evidence about the involvement of PrsA in lateral cell wall biosynthesis and cell elongation. However, the presence of some rod-shaped bacteria in overnight cultures suggests that they were capable of synthesizing the cylindrical lateral wall in the absence of PrsA. We did not see on plates any fast-growing suppressors that would have overgrown the more slowly growing ΔprsA mutant.

Bocillin-FL labeling of prsA null mutant membranes showed that the level of activity of the same set of PBPs as in the case of PrsA-depleted IH7211 (PBP2a, PBP2b, PBP3 and PBP4) was decreased (Fig. 3C). Again, no effect was seen on PBP1a/b and PBP5. There was some experimental variation in the staining of PBP3 in membranes from PrsA-expressing strains (compare Fig. 3B and C), but not with the other PBPs. It may be more unstable than the other ones. We also determined protein levels of PBP2a and MreC in the null mutant and the wild-type parental strain by immunoblotting with anti-PBP2a and anti-MreC antibodies respectively. The PBP2a amount was clearly lower (> 50% reduction) in the absence of PrsA (Fig. 3C), whereas like in the case of PrsA-depleted IH7211 no effect of PrsA was seen on the MreC amount. This result suggests that in the absence of PrsA significant misfolding and degradation of several PBPs occurs. PrsA may not be involved in the folding of MreC.

**The CssRS two-component system is involved in the quality control of penicillin-binding proteins**

There are several ‘periplasmic’ proteases which could be involved in the quality control and degradation of PBPs including HtrA, HtrB, PrsW and WprA (Margot and Karamata, 1996; Stephenson and Harwood, 1998; Hyyryläinen et al., 2001; Ellermeier and Losick, 2006; Heinrich et al., 2008). Accumulation of misfolded proteins in the cell wall (misfolding or secretion stress) induces *htrA* and *htrB* gene expression in a manner dependent on the CssRS two-component system (Hyyryläinen et al., 2001; Darmon et al., 2002). CssRS is most probably dedicated to regulate the expression of only these two quality control protease genes (Hyyryläinen et al., 2005). We used a knockout mutation of the *cssS* gene (cssS::spec) to study whether CssRS and the HtrA/B proteases it specifically regulates are involved in PBP degradation. We constructed strains which express PBP2a-Myc or PBP5-Myc proteins (PBPs modified with a C-terminal Myc-tag) and determined their levels in the presence and absence of cssS::spec and in PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells by immunoblotting with anti-Myc antibodies. Bocillin-FL staining was also used to determine levels of active correctly folded PBPs in the cssS::spec mutant cells.

Immunoblotting revealed that the cssS::spec mutation decreased PBP2a-Myc degradation in PrsA-depleted cells (Fig. 3D), suggesting that HtrA and HtrB proteases are
involved in the degradation of misfolded PBP2a. The amount of PBP5-Myc as determined by immunoblotting was not significantly affected by cssS::spec (Fig. 3E). It was independent of CssRS and PrsA. However, Bocillin-FL labeling revealed that in the absence of CssS the level of active PBP5-Myc was clearly lower in PrsA-depleted cells than in non-depleted cells (Fig. 3E). The PrsA depletion and cssS::spec mutation alone had no effect on the level of active PBP5-Myc. These results show that CssRS, probably via HtrA/B proteases, and PrsA both are involved in the formation (folding) of active PBP5 (an overlapping function). The Bocillin-FL labeling (Fig. 3E) also suggested that absence of CssS (and HtrA/B proteases) increased the level of active PBP2a, PBP2b and PBP4 in PrsA-depleted cells. It seems that if PBP2a degradation is prevented (cssS::spec), at least some active correctly folded PBP2a is nevertheless formed with time despite of the absence or very low level of PrsA. PBP2a and PBP5 are quite different in this respect.

Incorrectly folded PBP2a is formed in PrsA-depleted cells

In order to demonstrate that PrsA-depletion causes misfolding of PBP2a, we quantitated the levels of PBP2a-Myc in membranes of PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells of B. subtilis IH9016 (Pspac-prsA pbpA-myc cssS::spec) by immunoblotting with anti-Myc antibodies and the proportion of correctly folded PBP2a-Myc by Bocillin-FL labeling (Zhao et al., 1999). Similar quantitation was also performed with the strain B. subtilis IH8266 (Pspac-prsA cssS::spec) and anti-PBP2a antibodies. IH8266 expresses wild-type PBP2a instead of PBP2a-Myc.

The quantitation was performed with a series of twofold diluted membrane samples analysed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. S4). It was observed that the level of Bocillin-FL binding, correctly folded full-length PBP2a-Myc and PBP2a were decreased by 27–40% in PrsA-depleted cells as compared with non-depleted cells. This indicates that PBP2a misfolding occurs in the absence of PrsA.

Peptidoglycan cross-linkage degree is decreased and amount of muropeptides with pentapeptide chain is increased in cells depleted of PrsA

To elaborate the cell wall defect caused by the PrsA depletion, we performed a muropeptide analysis of cell walls isolated from PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells of IH7211 (Pspac-prsA) and the wild-type strain RH2111. Peptidoglycan was extracted from the cells in the late stationary phase as described in Experimental procedures and reduced muropeptides were analysed by RP-HPLC. The muropeptide profile consisted of 37 peaks, which were analysed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Table 1).

At a low level of PrsA (Pspac-prsA induced with 8 μM IPTG) the amount of muropeptides with pentapeptide chain was significantly increased (P < 0.0001) as compared with that in RH2111 with the wild-type level of PrsA (Table 2). The induction of Pspac-prsA expression in IH7211 with 1 mM IPTG restored a value close to that of the wild-type strain. The PrsA level obtained with the induction is about 60% of the wild-type PrsA level (Vitikainen et al., 2001). The cross-linkage degree was significantly decreased (P < 0.05) by 2% in the PrsA-depleted cells compared with the wild-type strain (Table 2) and was restored to the wild-type level by the induction of Pspac-prsA with 1 mM IPTG. Thus, we conclude that the observed differences for peptidoglycan structure are caused by the PrsA depletion.

Van-FL imaging of the cell wall defect of prsA mutants

The cell wall defect was further characterized by imaging peptidoglycan biosynthesis in the prsA null mutant and PrsA-depleted cells of B. subtilis IH7211 with a fluorescent vancomycin (Van-FL). Van-FL binds to the terminal D-Ala-D-Ala moieties in non-cross-linked peptidoglycan precursors and growing glycan chains (Daniel and Errington, 2003; Tiyanont et al., 2006). It has been shown by using Van-FL staining and fluorescence microscopy that lateral wall peptidoglycan polymers are synthesized in distinct spots organized in a spiral pattern (Daniel and Errington, 2003; Tiyanont et al., 2006). PBPs that are located in the lateral wall in a similar spiral organization pattern are responsible for the synthesis of the lateral wall peptidoglycan. On the other hand, PBPs that normally synthesize the division septum are also capable of synthesizing lateral wall peptidoglycan (Daniel and Errington, 2003).

In cells of B. subtilis 168 and IH7211 (Pspac-prsA) induced with 1 mM IPTG, fluorescence was mainly seen in the division septum (Figs 4D and 5C, right panels, respectively), but the spiral synthesis pattern of lateral wall peptidoglycan was also observed particularly in the case of exponential-phase cells of B. subtilis 168 (Fig. 4D). The prsA null mutant and PrsA-depleted cells of IH7211 (8 μM and 16 μM IPTG) were more intensively fluorescent than wild-type and non-depleted cells. In thick rods and spherical severely PrsA-depleted cells, fluorescence was strongly increased in the entire wall. As compared with the fairly moderate effect of severe PrsA depletion on the cross-linkage index, only 2%, the strong diffuse Van-FL fluorescence is surprising. This result may suggest that peptidoglycan (lipid II) precursors are more abundant in the membrane of PrsA-depleted than non-depleted cells and distributed evenly around whole deformed cells. Stationary-phase cells of the prsA null mutant, including the small coccilike ones (Fig. 4B and
C), were less fluorescent than the deformed exponential-phase cells.

PrsA-dependent membrane proteins as revealed by membrane proteome analysis

The instability of several PBPs in the absence of PrsA suggests that PrsA facilitates the folding of membrane proteins which have a large ‘periplasmic’ hydrophilic domain(s). In order to identify the PrsA-dependent membrane proteins, membrane proteome analysis of IH7211 (Pspac-prsA) was performed by using metabolic labeling of PrsA-depleted and non-depleted cells with 14N/15N and mass spectrometric quantification of the changes in relative amounts of peptides derived from the labeled proteins (see Experimental procedures for methodological details). The proteome analysis consisted of two biological replicates (M1 and M2a) and a technical replicate of the experiment M2a (M2b). With the minimum criterion of two identified peptides, 192 different proteins could be quantified.

Table 1. Muropeptide structures and quantification from peptidoglycan of B. subtilis RH2111 and conditional mutant IH7211 (Pspac-prsA) cultured with 8 μM or 1 mM IPTG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak number</th>
<th>Proposed structures</th>
<th>Amount of muropeptides (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RH2111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ds-tri</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ds-tri (deAc)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ds-tri with 1 amidation</td>
<td>10.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ds-tri (deAc) with 1 amidation</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ds-tetra</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ds-tetra with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ds-di</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ds-tetra with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ds-tri-di with 2 amidations</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ds-tri-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ds-penta with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra with 1 amidation and missing GlcNac</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ds-tetra-tetra with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ds-tri-tetra-tetra with 1 amidation</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>ds-tri-tetra-tetra with 2 amidations and missing GlcNac</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>anhydro ds-tri with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra (deAc) with 1 amidation</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra (deAc) with 1 amidation</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>26.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra (deAc) with 2 amidations</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra (deAc) with 2 amidations</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra (deAc x 2) with 2 amidations</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>ds-penta-ds-tetra with 1 amidation</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>ds-penta-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 3 amidations</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 3 amidations</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>ds-penta-ds-tetra (deAc) with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 3 amidations</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 3 amidations</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra (deAc) with 3 amidations</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra (deAc x 2) with 3 amidations</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>anhydro ds-tri-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>anhydro ds-tri-ds-tetra with 2 amidations</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>anhydro ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 4 amidations</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>anhydro ds-tri-ds-tetra-ds-tetra with 4 amidations</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Peak numbers refer to the different peaks separated by RP-HPLC from the muropeptide digest of B. subtilis peptidoglycan.
b. Proposed structures according to the masses determined by Maldi-Tof and to the identifications by Atrih et al. (1999).
c. ds, disaccharide (GlcNAc-MurNAc); tri, tripeptide (L-Ala-D-Glu-mDAP); tetra, tetrapeptide (L-Ala-D-Glu-mDAP-D-Ala); penta, pentapeptide (L-Ala-D-Glu-mDAP-D-Ala-D-Ala); mDAP, meso-diaminopimelic acid; deAc, deacetylated; +Ac, acetylated.
d. Percentage of each peak was calculated as the ratio of the peak area over the sum of areas of all the peaks identified in the table. The values presented are the mean values obtained on three independent experiments for each strain.
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tified which were predicted to contain transmembrane helices or signal peptides. A majority of them were predicted to be membrane-localized proteins (157–171, depending on the algorithm used), either integral membrane proteins or lipoproteins, but also some established or likely exported/cell wall-associated proteins were identified (the proteins and their predicted subcellular localizations are listed in Table S1).

In PrsA-depleted cells, i.e. Pspac-prsA induced with 2 mM IPTG, the level of PrsA protein was about 10% of that in non-depleted cells, i.e. Pspac-prsA induced with 1 mM IPTG (Table 3). The levels of PBP2b, PBP3 and PBP4 were decreased (log2 ratio < -1) in PrsA-depleted cell membranes (Table 3), consistent with the Bocillin-FL labelling and immunoblotting results above. Their amount was 30–40% of that in non-depleted cells and the decrease was observed in the both biological replicates as well as in the technical replicate. Furthermore, the level of PBP2a was decreased in M2a (Table 3). Peptides derived from PBP1a/b, PBP2c, PBP5 and PbpX were also quantified, but their levels were not significantly changed by PrsA depletion. Only a few other proteins were quantified decreased, most notably the bacteriophage SPP1 adsorption protein YueB, which was strongly decreased in all three replicates, being at the lowest only 15% of the level of the PrsA-expressing cells in M2a (Table 3). Transmembrane topology prediction of YueB (TMHMM) suggests that it has membrane-spanning segments close to the N- and C-termini and between them a large ‘periplasmic’ domain (about 900 amino acids). The reduced amount of YueB protein at a low level of PrsA suggests that this domain folds in a PrsA-dependent manner. The levels of OxaA2, ComE and YvrA proteins were slightly decreased in one or two of the replicates. The proteome analysis also suggested that some proteins were more abundant in PrsA-depleted membranes than in non-depleted ones. Among them are the WapA wall-associated protein and the LytA membrane protein, which were detected at about twofold higher levels in two of the replicates (Table S1).

PrsA is localized in spots with a spiral-like pattern of organization along the cell membrane

In order to find out whether the PrsA lipoprotein is distributed evenly around the cell membrane or in an uneven manner like MreC and several PBPs, we constructed the B. subtilis IH8478 strain which expresses PrsA modified with a C-terminal Myc-tag. This strain was subjected to a procedure (see Experimental procedures) in which PrsA-
Myc was stained with anti-c-Myc antibodies, secondary antibodies conjugated with biotin and ExtrAvidin conjugated with Cy3. The stained PrsA-Myc was visualized by fluorescence microscopy. The localization pattern of PrsA-Myc was determined both in cells from the exponential and stationary phase of growth. To show specific binding of the antibodies, *B. subtilis* strain 168 (RH2111) was used simultaneously as a negative control. Indeed, no Cy3 signal was detected in the control strain (see Fig. S5).

The fluorescence images showed that PrsA is not distributed evenly in the membrane but it is localized in distinct spots that are lined up in spirals (Fig. 6). This pattern is stable throughout vegetative growth until stationary phase. However, the spiral structures are better resolved in exponentially growing cells than in stationary phase cells.

**PrsA is an oligomeric protein**

It has been shown that MreC is a dimeric protein and this structure may be important for its putative function as a scaffold for recruiting PBPs and spatially organizing the lateral cell wall synthesis (van den Ent et al., 2006). We used formaldehyde cross-linking of PrsA and PrsA-Myc in whole cells and immunoblotting with anti-c-Myc and anti-PrsA antibodies to study whether PrsA is a monomeric or dimeric/multimeric protein. The non-random localization pattern suggests that PrsA may be associated with some other protein(s) which has the same or similar localization pattern, e.g. MreC or some of the PBPs. The cross-linking approach might also reveal such interactions.

The cross-linking of cells expressing either wild-type PrsA or PrsA-Myc revealed, in addition to the 33 kDa PrsA or PrsA-Myc monomers, two other PrsA-containing bands of higher molecular weights, one migrating (in SDS-PAGE) at approximately 65 kDa and the other one slightly above it (~68 kDa) (Fig. 7). The 65 kDa form was very heat resistant; it did not disappear at heating for 30 min at 95°C and analysis in SDS-PAGE in the presence or absence of dithiotreitol (Fig. 7 and data not shown). A small amount of this protein could also be detected in non-cross-linked cells. The molecular weight suggests that it might be a PrsA dimer. Consistently, *B. subtilis* PrsA expressed in and purified from *E. coli* contained this same form and its amount increased by cross-linking of the purified PrsA. The 68 kDa band was detected only in lanes containing cross-linked *B. subtilis* cell or PrsA protein (from *E. coli*) samples and it disappeared by heating at 95°C. It most probably contains oligomeric PrsA which migrated clearly faster than what is expected for PrsA trimers or tetramers. A few very weak bands of high-molecular-weight complexes (>68 kDa) were also detected, but further studies are needed to elucidate

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>Protein Names</th>
<th>Weighted average ratio (given by CENSUS)</th>
<th>Normalized Ratio</th>
<th>Number of quantified Peptides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>M1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prsA</td>
<td>PPIase for penicillin-binding protein folding</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pbpB</td>
<td>Penicillin-binding protein 2b</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yueB</td>
<td>Bacteriophage SPP1 adsorption protein YueB</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgaA</td>
<td>BgaA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M2a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prsA</td>
<td>PPIase for penicillin-binding protein folding</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pbpB</td>
<td>Penicillin-binding protein 2b</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yueB</td>
<td>Bacteriophage SPP1 adsorption protein YueB</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgaA</td>
<td>BgaA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M2b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prsA</td>
<td>PPIase for penicillin-binding protein folding</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pbpB</td>
<td>Penicillin-binding protein 2b</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yueB</td>
<td>Bacteriophage SPP1 adsorption protein YueB</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgaA</td>
<td>BgaA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The shading shows more than 2-fold decreased levels.

whether they contain PrsA associated with some other components of the membrane/wall.

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) was another method to elucidate the multimeric structure of PrsA (Rodgers et al., 1996). *Escherichia coli*-produced PrsA protein was subjected to SAXS and the radius of gyration ($R_g$) was determined. PrsA had the $R_g$ of 27–29 Å (Fig. S6), which further suggests that PrsA forms oligomers.

**Discussion**

PrsA peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase has an essential role in extracytoplasmic protein folding in rod-shaped bacteria. The localization of the enzyme domain at the membrane-cell wall interface suggests that PrsA may assist the folding of membrane proteins which have large functional domains on the outer surface of the membrane. In this study we used several methodological approaches to identify membrane proteins which are dependent on PrsA for folding with the emphasis on identifying the PrsA-dependent component(s) that is (are) involved in cell shape determination and/or cell wall synthesis.

PrsA is most probably involved in lateral cell wall biosynthesis of *B. subtilis* as suggested by the morphological changes in the absence of PrsA. Severely PrsA-depleted cells are spherical in shape and pearl necklace-like round-cell chains are formed, but a high concentration of magnesium probably stabilizes peptidoglycan enabling the bacterium to maintain its rod shape (thick) at very low PrsA levels. Consistently also small cocci-like cells were formed in stationary phase cultures of the *prsA* null mutant. In the wild type-like rods of the *prsA* null mutant, the lateral wall synthesis may have been restored by some compensation mechanism for instance a secondary suppressor mutation.

Our results suggest that PrsA is required for lateral cell wall biosynthesis as the folding and stability of those PBPs which are involved in the lateral wall synthesis are

![Figure 6](image-url)
PrsA dimers and oligomers as revealed by formaldehyde cross-linking of whole B. subtilis cells and purified PrsA. Cross-linked PrsA (A) or PrsA-Myc (B) complexes were detected by immunoblotting.

A. Non-lipomodified PrsA expressed in the cytoplasm of E. coli and purified (lanes 1–3) and lipomodified PrsA in whole cells of B. subtilis RH2111 (lanes 4–7). Heating of non-cross-linked (lanes 1, 2, 4 and 5) or cross-linked (lanes 3, 6 and 7) samples in SDS-PAGE sample buffer at 37°C for 10 min (lanes 1, 3, 4 and 6) or at 95°C for 30 min in the presence of 10 mM dithiothreitol (lanes 2, 5 and 7).

B. Lipomodified PrsA-Myc in B. subtilis IH8478 cross-linked and heated at 37°C for 10 min (lane 1) or at 95°C for 30 min (lane 3). Corresponding samples from B. subtilis RH2111, the parental strain not expressing PrsA-Myc, were used as negative controls (lanes 2 and 4 respectively).

Fig. 7. PrsA dimers and oligomers as revealed by formaldehyde cross-linking of whole B. subtilis cells and purified PrsA.
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both these conformers is similar and the position of the isomeric state of a Tyr-Pro peptide bond in the extra- 

A wider search for PrsA-dependent proteins was performed by analysing PrsA-dependent changes in membrane proteome by mass-spectrometry. The proteome analysis suggested that PPB2a, PPB2b, PPB3 and PPB4 are the main PrsA-dependent proteins in the membrane. In addition to them, only a few other membrane proteins exhibited decreased stability in PrsA-depleted cells, most significantly the SSP1 receptor YueB. This fairly small set of PrsA-dependent proteins supports a direct rather than an indirect effect if PrsA determines the isomeric state of a prolyl bond in a third component, e.g. MreC, the stability of both these conformers is similar and the position of the prolyl switch affects the folding and stability of PBPs.

Despite of the rod cell shape, Corynebacteria such as C. glutamicum and Corynebacterium diphtheriae, which belong to the Actinobacteria group of Gram-positive bacteria, do not possess PrsA (Kalinowski et al., 2003). Obviously they do not need a PrsA-like foldase/chaperone to synthesize the lateral wall and maintain the rod cell shape. The PrsA-independency may be due to the different mode of lateral wall synthesis in these bacteria as compared with B. subtilis and most probably other rod-shaped Firmicutes; it has been shown that in C. glutamicum peptidoglycan is incorporated into the wall via cell poles in a manner dependent on the DivIVA protein (Daniel and Errington, 2003; Letek et al., 2008). PrsA is also a dispensable protein in cocci (Drouault et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2006). Because in cocci peptidoglycan is assembled at the division septum and the hemispherical poles derived from it (Pinho and Errington, 2003), the same reason may explain why PrsA is dispensable in them.

In B. subtilis, the DivIB, DivIC and FtsL cell division proteins and the PBP 2b form a protein complex that assembles in an interdependent manner (Daniel et al., 2006). It has also been shown that FtsL and DivIC are unstable in cells depleted of PBP2b (Daniel et al., 2006). FtsL is a particularly unstable protein in the absence of the interacting proteins (Bramkamp et al., 2006). PBP2b was one of those PBPs which were degraded in the absence of PrsA and this could affect the stability of the whole complex. Therefore, we studied the effect of PrsA depletion on the stability of the divisome proteins by using N-terminal GFP fusions of these proteins. Surprisingly, their stability was independent of the expression of PrsA in this assay. The membrane proteome analysis of PrsA-depleted cells also showed a clear decrease in the level of PBP2b but no effect on the level of DivIB; FtsL and DivIC could not be detected. An NMR study has shown that the DivIB protein of Geobacillus stearothermophilus is in two distinctly different conformations depending on the cis-trans isomerization of a Tyr-Pro peptide bond in the extra-
cytoplasmic j-domain and it was speculated that the cis-trans isomerization may modulate the assembly of the divisome complex (Robson and King, 2006). Our finding that PrsA depletion has no effect on the stability of DivIB, DivIC and FtsL does not support the hypothesis about a regulatory role of cis-trans isomerization in the divisome complex assembly in B. subtilis.

We also determined the localization of PrsA in the membrane by taking advantage of a B. subtilis strain that expresses Myc-tagged PrsA. Because the prsA-myc fusion gene is present as a single copy in the chromosome and under the control of the native prsA promoter, artefacts due to overproduction were avoided. The results showed that PrsA is not randomly distributed in the membrane. It (PrsA-Myc) was localized to the lateral cell membrane in which it formed distinct spots organized in a helical pattern. To our knowledge this is the first lipoprotein which has been shown to have the helical organization pattern. Still little is known about the mechanism underlying helical distribution of proteins along the membrane. The helical organization of PrsA raises the question whether it is associated with any of the other proteins with the similar organization pattern including the cytoskeleton proteins and PBPs. The helical pattern might be dependent on them. It has been shown that a cytoskeleton protein (MreBH) can determine the helical organization of a protein (LytE) on the extracytoplasmic side of the membrane (Carballido-Lopez et al., 2006). We also demonstrated that PrsA forms dimers/oligomers, but the functional significance of the dimeric/oligomeric structure is still unclear.

PrsA foldase/chaperone catalyses post-translocation folding of exported proteins (Jacobs et al., 1993; Hyryläinen et al., 2001; Vitikainen et al., 2004). It has been shown that overexpression of PrsA over the wild-type level enhances secretion of some extracellular proteins particularly a-amylases of Bacillus sp. from industrial Gram-positive bacteria (Kontinen and Sarvas, 1993; Vitikainen et al., 2001, 2005; Williams et al., 2003; Lindholm et al., 2006). Therefore, PrsA is an important tool for increasing yields in industrial protein production especially thermoresistant a-amylases for various applications needing degradation of starch to smaller sugars, including bioethanol production. Now we have shown that PrsA also has a housekeeping role in the cell. It is required directly or indirectly for PBP folding and lateral cell wall biosynthesis. A class of most important current antibiotics, j-lactams, exerts the antimicrobial effect by inhibiting PBPs. Our results suggest that inhibiting PrsA might be an alternative and additive way to inhibit cell wall biosynthesis of pathogenic rod-shaped bacteria and treat infectious diseases. PrsA-targeted antimicrobials could also inhibit bacterial pathogenesis by inhibiting secretion of critical virulence factors for instance listeriolysin O and broad-range phospholipase C in Listeria monocytogenes (Alonzo et al.,
2009; Zemansky et al., 2009). Because human and other eukaryotic cells also have functionally important parvulin-type PPIases, PrsA inhibitors should be PrsA specific. The designing of PrsA inhibitors could take advantage of structural and mechanistic differences between bacterial and eukaryotic parvulins (Heikkinen et al., 2009). The expression of P

**Table 4. B. subtilis strains used in this study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain*</th>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IH7211</td>
<td>168 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>Vitikainen et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8266</td>
<td>168 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA cssS::spec</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8435</td>
<td>3417 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8437</td>
<td>3103 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8441</td>
<td>2083 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8445</td>
<td>JAH66 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8456</td>
<td>168 ΔpbpA::ery</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8458</td>
<td>168 dacA::cat</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8460</td>
<td>168 ΔpbpD::ery</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8462</td>
<td>168 ΔponA::spec</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8464</td>
<td>168 pbpC::spec</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8471</td>
<td>168 divIC::pKTH3806 PxyI-gfp-divIC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8473</td>
<td>168 divIB::pKTH3814 PxyI-gfp-divIB</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8478</td>
<td>168 prsA::pMUTIN-cMyc prsA-myc</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8480</td>
<td>IH8471 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8483</td>
<td>IH8473 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH8999</td>
<td>168 pbpA::ery</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9003</td>
<td>168 pbpA::pKTH3828 PbpA-myc</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9013</td>
<td>IH9003 cssS::spec</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9016</td>
<td>IH9013 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9024</td>
<td>168 ΔprsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9025</td>
<td>168 dacA::pKTH3831 dac-myc</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9027</td>
<td>IH9003 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9028</td>
<td>IH9025 prsA::pKTH3384 Pspac-prsA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH9029</td>
<td>IH9028 cssS::spec</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2083 (RH2225)</td>
<td>168 ponA::pSG1492 PxyI-gfp-ponA</td>
<td>Schefers et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3103 (RH2227)</td>
<td>168 pbpA::pSG5043 PxyI-gfp-pbpA</td>
<td>Schefers et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3417 (RH2224)</td>
<td>168 mecC::pSG5276 PxyI-gfp-mecC</td>
<td>Leaver and Errington, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPVB207 (RH2223)</td>
<td>PS832 ΔpbpH::spec pbpA::ery amyE::PxyI-psyH</td>
<td>Wei et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1869 (RH2234)</td>
<td>PS832 ΔpbpF::ery</td>
<td>McPherson et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1900 (RH2235)</td>
<td>PS832 dacA::cat</td>
<td>Popham et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2022 (RH2236)</td>
<td>PS832 ΔpbpD::ery</td>
<td>Popham and Setlow, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2062 (RH2237)</td>
<td>PS832 Δona::spec</td>
<td>McPherson et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2328 (RH2238)</td>
<td>PS832 pbpC::spec</td>
<td>Wei et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS832</td>
<td>Wild type, Trp+ revertant of 168</td>
<td>Wei et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 (RH2111)</td>
<td>trpC2</td>
<td>Kunst et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAH66 (RH2229)</td>
<td>1012 amyE::gfp-ftsL</td>
<td>Heinrich et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In parenthesis are shown the strain codes in the collection of THL.

Experimental procedures

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

*Bacillus subtilis* strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 4 and Table S2 respectively. *Escherichia coli* DH5α was used for cloning. Bacteria were grown in LB or TY medium and on corresponding agar plates at 37°C, but also some other culture media were used for particular experiments. The metabolic labelling for membrane proteome analysis was performed with cells grown in a synthetic minimal medium (BMM) (Stulke et al., 1993). Cultivations were performed in Antibiotic Medium 3 when the effect of magnesium on PrsA-depleted cells and Van-FL staining of peptidoglycan synthesis in *prsA* null mutant were studied. The growth media were supplemented with appropriate antibiotics when needed to maintain plasmids and chromosomal plasmid integrants in the cells. The antibiotics (and their concentrations) used in the cultivations were ampicillin (100 μg ml⁻¹), erythromycin (0.5, 1 or 100 μg ml⁻¹), lincomycin (12.5 μg ml⁻¹), chloramphenicol (5 μg ml⁻¹) and spectinomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹). The expression of *Pspac-prsA* was induced with 1 mM (full induction) or with 0, 2, 8, 16 or 24 μM (PrsA depletion) IPTG. For the PrsA depletion experiments, bacteria were taken from fresh agar plates, washed several times in LB medium and then used to inoculate the cultures. The expression from P*xyI* was induced with 0.5% xylose.
Plasmid constructions

To construct pKTH3805, a fragment of the coding region of prsA (bp 633–938) was PCR-amplified with the primers prsAmyc-fw (5’-caccagctcatggaacacatcgaaggtg-3’) and prsAmyc-rv (5’-caccagccgcctttgtagtctgtagatgaaaggtg-3’). The amplified fragment was inserted into the pGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega). The recombinant plasmid was digested with KpnI and Eagl, the released ‘prsA’ fragment was ligated with pMUTIN-cMyc (Kaltwasser et al., 2002) cut with the same restriction enzymes and the ligation mixture was used to transform competent E. coli DH5α cells. The obtained plasmid carrying the ‘prsA’ fragment (pKTH3805) was used to transform B. subtilis RH2111 (168). The Campbell-type integration of pKTH3805 into the prsA gene resulted in the formation of prsA-myc, which encodes PrsA bearing a C-terminal Myc-tag. The chromosomal integration of pKTH3805 replaced the wild-type prsA with prsA-myc. The viability of the resulted strain B. subtilis IH8478 indicates that PrsA-Myc is functional.

Bacillus subtilis IH9003 expressing pbpA-myc was constructed by transforming 168 with plasmid pKTH3828. This plasmid was constructed by PCR-amplifying a ‘pbpA-myc’ fragment with the primers pbpA-fw (5’-cacagtcgacttacagatcttcttcgctgccgttttcgctgttcg) and pbpA-rv (5’-cacagtcgacttacagatcttcttcgctgccgttttcgctgttcg) and inserting the fragment between the BamHI and SalI sites of the pSG4902 plasmid (Scheffers et al., 2004). The Campbell-type integration of pKTH3828 into the pbpA gene resulted in the formation of pbpA-myc.

Bacillus subtilis IH9003 expressing dacA-myc was constructed in a similar manner as B. subtilis IH9003. The ‘dacA-myc’ fragment in pKTH3831 was PCR-amplified with the primers dacA-fw (5’-cacagtcgaagggacagcttgactccctcctctg) and dacA-rv (5’-cacagtcgacttacagatcttcttcgctgccgttttcgctgttcg) and inserted between the BamHI and SalI sites of the pSG4902 plasmid (Scheffers et al., 2004). The Chromosomal DNA was isolated from B. subtilis RH2111. The Campbell-type integration of pKTH3828 into the pbpA gene resulted in the formation of pbpA-myc.

To construct pKTH3814, a fragment of the divIB gene (bp 295–633) was PCR amplified with the primers divIB-fw (5’-cacagtcgacttacagatcttcttcgctgccgttttcgctgttcg) and divIB-rv (5’-cacagtcgacttacagatcttcttcgctgccgttttcgctgttcg) and inserted between the BamHI and SalI sites of the pSG4902 plasmid (Scheffers et al., 2004). The resulted plasmid was released by digesting with BamHI and SalI, and the ligation mixture was used to transform competent B. subtilis strain H17011 expressing 20 mM MgCl₂ and chloramphenicol (5 μg ml⁻¹).

SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of PrsA, MreC, PBP2a and GFP-fusion proteins

Whole cell samples were prepared from cultures in the late exponential (Klett100 + 1 h) or early stationary (Klett100 + 3 h) phase of growth. Cells were harvested by centrifugation from 1 ml of culture, resuspended in 50 μl of protoplast buffer (20 mM potassium phosphate pH7.5, 15 mM MgCl₂, 20% sucrose and 1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme) and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Then 50 μl of 2×Laemmli sample buffer was added and the samples were boiled for 10 min at 100°C. Proteins were separated in SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a PVDF nylon filter (Immobilon-P transfer membrane; Millipore). The immunodetection of PrsA, PrsA-Myc, MreC, PBP2a and GFP-fusion proteins was performed using specific antibodies against these proteins (or Myc-tag) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L)-HRP conjugate (Bio-Rad). ECL reactions were performed using an Immun-Star WesternC kit according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Bio-Rad) and proteins were visualized and quantified with a FluorChem HD2 imager and AlphaEase FC software (Alphalnnotech).

Isolation of bacterial cell membranes and Bocillin-FL labelling of penicillin-binding proteins

Bacterial cell membranes were prepared from 500 ml of culture in LB medium. Cells were harvested at the cell density of Klett100 by centrifugation, washed once with potassium phosphate buffer (20 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl) and resuspended in the washing buffer. The cells were disrupted by passing the suspension through a French press cell twice at 20 000 lb in⁻¹. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 8000 g for 10 min, followed by centrifugation of the supernatant at 217 500 g for 1 h. The membrane pellet was resuspended in the phosphate buffer (1 ml). Protein concentrations of the membrane preparations were determined using a 2-D Quant Kit (GE Healthcare) or Micro BCA (Pierce) according to the instructions of the manufacturers.

In the Bocillin-FL labelling reaction, cell membranes (300 μg protein) were treated with 10 μM Bocillin-FL in a final volume of 100 μl. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 35°C for 30 min, followed by addition of 100 μl 2×Laemmli buffer and incubation at 100°C for 3 min. Samples corresponding 15 μg of protein were separated in 10% SDS-PAGE. Fluorescent PBPs were visualized using a fluoroimager Typhoon 9400 (Amersham Biosciences) with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 520 nm. Levels of active Bocillin-FL-labelled PBP2a were quantified with the ImageQuantTL software (Amersham Biosciences).
**Peptidoglycan extraction and muropeptide analysis**

*Bacillus subtilis* cells were grown in LB medium, a volume corresponding V × OD = 300 was taken in the late stationary phase (Klett100 + 6 h) and the cells were harvested by centrifugation. Peptidoglycan extraction and muropeptide analysis were performed as described previously for *L. lactis* (Courtin *et al.*, 2006). Purified peptidoglycan was digested with mutanolysin (muramidase from *Streptomyces globisporus*; Sigma-Aldrich). Soluble muropeptides were reduced with sodium borohydride as described (Atrih *et al.*, 1999) and separated on a Hypersil-100 column (C18, 250 by 4.6 mm, 5 μm; Thermo Finnigan) at 50°C by RP-HPLC. The column was eluted with 10 mM ammonium phosphate pH 4.6 and 0.18% sodium azide for 5 min, followed by a linear gradient of methanol (0–20%) for 270 min as described previously (Courtin *et al.*, 2006). Samples of eluted muropeptides were analysed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Voyager DE STR, Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA) with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix. For most fractions, 1 μl of sample was sufficient. For less abundant fractions, 100 μl was concentrated on a Zipping C18 pipette tip (Millipore) and eluted with 1 μl of solvent (50% acetonitrile and 0.15% trifluoroacetic acid) prior to analysis.

The cross-linkage degree was calculated according to Glauner (1988) with the formula: (1/2 Σ dimers + 2/3 Σ trimers + 3/4 Σ tetramers)/ Σ all muropeptides.

The percentage of muropeptides with a certain side peptide chain (X = di, tri, tetra and penta) with free COOH (donor chain) was calculated according to Glauner (1988).

\[
\text{percentage (X)} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum \text{monomers(X)} + \frac{2}{3} \sum \text{dimers(X)} + \frac{1}{4} \sum \text{trimers(X)} + \frac{1}{3} \sum \text{tetramers(X)} \right] / \Sigma \text{all muropeptides.}
\]

Statistical analysis of the data was performed with Statgraphics Plus software (Manugistics, Rockville, MD, USA). The results obtained with two strains were compared with a two-sample comparison analysis and a *t*-test.

**Van-FL staining and fluorescence microscopy**

*Bacillus subtilis* strains 168 and ΔprsA were grown over night in 10 ml of Antibiotic medium 3 (Difco) supplemented with 20 mM MgCl2 and 5 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol when needed. Over night cultures were diluted in 20 ml of fresh medium to samples were collected for Van-FL staining. *TD* containing 1 mM erythromycin. Material from plate was suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to OD₆₅₀ = 1.0 and washed three times with 1 ml of PBS. 20 μl of the suspension was used to inoculate 10 ml of TY containing 1 μg ml⁻¹ erythromycin and IPTG at three different concentrations: 8 μM, 16 μM and 1 mM. At OD₆₅₀ = 0.6, samples were collected for Van-FL staining.

A culture of 0.5 ml was incubated 20 min with 1 μg ml⁻¹ fluorescently labelled vancomycin (BODIPY® FL vancomycin, Invitrogen) mixed in 1:1 ratio with unlabelled vancomycin (Sigma). The cells were spotted on microscope slides (Knittel Gläsér, Germany). The Van-FL-stained cells were viewed immediately under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX71) equipped with a Cool Snap HQ2 camera (Photometrics). Van-FL fluorescence was visualized with a bandpass 470/40 nm excitation filter and a bandpass 525/50 nm emission filter. Images were analysed using ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/) and Adobe Photoshop CS2 Version 9.0.

**Electron microscopy**

For electron microscopy, cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight at 4°C as has been described (Leaver and Errington, 2005). The fixed cells were washed twice in phosphate buffer and then treated with 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 h. The samples were dehydrated with a series of treatments with ethanol and acetone, followed by embedding in Epon resin. The microscopy was performed in the Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, by using a JEOL 1200EX II electron microscope.

**Quantification of the effect of a minimal PrsA concentration on the membrane proteome of B. subtilis**

For metabolic labelling, *B. subtilis* IH7211 (*P_{sac}*-prsA) was grown aerobically at 37°C in a synthetic minimal medium (BMM). The medium was either supplemented with 15N-ammonium sulphate, 15N-L-tryptophan and 15N-L-glutamate (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, USA) or 14N-ammonium sulphate, 14N-L-tryptophan and 14N-L-glutamate. Cells from overnight cultures grown with 40 μM IPTG were washed with warm BMM and used to inoculate the cultures for the metabolic labelling. In order to realize two different biological states, 2 μM IPTG was used in the cultivation M1 with the light form of nitrogen source and 1 mM IPTG with the heavy one (see below). A biological replicate (M2a) was performed with identical cultures but the labels were switched. Cells were harvested in the exponential phase of growth and equivalent OD-units of bacteria either grown with 15N-BMM or 14N-BMM were combined in proportion 1:1 and stored at –20°C.

The combined cells were disrupted on ice using a French press cell, followed by separation of cell debris by centrifugation and extraction of cell membranes according to the method described by Eymann and collaborators (Eymann *et al.*, 2004). Membranes were centrifuged at 100 000 g for 60 min at 4°C, followed by purification steps with high salt buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche)), alkaline Na₂CO₃ buffer (100 mM Na₂CO₃-HCl, pH 11, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl) and 50 mM TEAB. Finally the purified membranes were solubilized in SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing 20% SDS. Proteins were analysed using GeLC-MSMS analysing the resulting tryptic digests using an UPLC coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap. In addition to the two biological replicates, a technical replicate, M2b, was performed. For this replicate, the proteins of M2a were separated and analysed with GeLC-MSMS for a second time. The data-analysis and relative quantification of the proteins was performed as described in Supporting Information (Table S1).
Determination of the localization of PrsA

Single colonies of *B. subtilis* 168 and IH8478 (prsA-myc) grown on TY plates were used to inoculate 5 ml of TY liquid medium. Overnight cultures were diluted in 20 ml of TY medium to OD600 = 0.1 and grown till stationary phase. TY medium was supplemented with erythromycin to a final concentration of 1 µg ml⁻¹ when needed. Samples for immunofluorescence assay were collected 2 h before and 4 h after the transition from the exponential to the stationary growth phase (Fig. 6). Immunofluorescence staining was performed according to the method described by Harry and collaborators (Harry et al., 1995) with the modifications described below.

Cells were fixed and made permeable as follows. 0.5 ml of bacterial culture in TY was mixed with an equal volume of 2X fixative solution containing 2.68% paraformaldehyde and 0.0050% glutaraldehyde and incubated for 15 min at room temperature (21–23°C) and 30 min on ice. After fixation the cells were washed three times in PBS and resuspended in 0.0050% glutaraldehyde and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. After fixation the cells were immediately spotted on multiwell slides (MP Biomedicals LLC) coated with 0.01% poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich). After 5 min incubation wells were washed with PBS and left to air dry.

For immunostaining, cells were blocked with PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.01% Tween (hereafter referred to as ‘blocking solution’) for 15 min at room temperature. Next cells were incubated with mouse anti-c-Myc antibodies (Gentauro) diluted 1:1000 in the blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. After washing the cells 10 times with PBS, secondary anti-mouse biotin-conjugated antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:1000 in the blocking solution for 1 h. Cells were washed again 10 times with PBS and incubated 1 h with 1:25 diluted ExtrAvidin Cy3 conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature in the dark. Samples were washed 10 times with PBS and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Slides were stored at −20°C.

Sample imaging was performed using a wide-field Zeiss Axioscop50 fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a Princeton Instruments 1300Y digital camera. Cy3 fluorescence was visualized with a bandpass (546/12 nm) excitation filter, a 560 nm dichromatic mirror, and a bandpass (575–640 nm) emission filter. Images were analysed using ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/) and Adobe Photoshop CS2. Wide-field images were corrected for bleaching and unstable illumination using the Huygens Professional deconvolution software by Scientific Volume Imaging (http://www.svi.nl/).

Cross-linking of PrsA

Protein cross-linking was performed with 1% formaldehyde (pH 6.8) and cross-linked with formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were pelleted, washed once in phosphate buffer and solubilized in SDS-PAGE lysis buffer as has been described (Healy et al., 1991). Cross-linked PrsA or PrsA-Myc proteins were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Samples were heated either at 37°C for 10 min or at 95°C for 30 min (breaks formaldehyde cross-links) prior to SDS-PAGE.
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