Dysregulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis is believed to play a key role in the pathophysiology of depression. Moreover, depression and its course may be partly genetically determined. We previously found a higher cortisol awakening response in people with a current or a remitted major depressive disorder. Together with other reports, this may indicate that HPA axis dysregulation represents a trait rather than a state marker. A similarly elevated cortisol awakening response was observed for current panic disorder with agoraphobia. To further investigate whether this dysregulation of the cortisol morning curve represents a trait marker and whether it reflects a biological vulnerability for, rather than a consequence (i.e. a state factor), we compared cortisol levels in unaffected people with and without a parental history of depressive or anxiety disorders. We additionally examined whether possible HPA axis dysregulations resemble those observed in participants with depression or anxiety disorders.

Method

Data were from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety. Within the participants without a lifetime diagnoses of depression or anxiety disorders, three groups were distinguished: 180 people without parental history, 114 with self-reported parental history and 74 with CIDI-diagnosed parental history. These groups were additionally compared with people with major depressive disorder or panic disorder with agoraphobia (n = 1262). Salivary cortisol samples were obtained upon awakening, and 30, 45 and 60 min later.

Results

As compared with unaffected participants without parental history, unaffected individuals with diagnosed parental history of depression or anxiety showed a significantly higher cortisol awakening curve (effect size (d) = 0.50), which was similar to that observed in the participants with depression or anxiety disorders. Unaffected people with self-reported parental history did not differ in awakening cortisol levels from unaffected people without parental history.

Conclusions

Unaffected individuals with parental history of depression or anxiety showed a higher cortisol awakening curve, similar to that of the participants with depression or anxiety disorders. This suggests that a higher cortisol awakening curve reflects a trait marker, indicating an underlying biological vulnerability for the development of depressive and anxiety disorders.
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Method

Data are from the baseline assessment (September 2004 to February 2007) of the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NEDSA). Respondents (aged 18–65) were recruited from the community, general practice and specialised mental healthcare services, and included people with and without psychopathology. General exclusion criteria were: a primary diagnosis of psychotic disorder, obsessive–compulsive disorder, bipolar disorder or severe addiction disorder and not being fluent in Dutch. The research protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of participating universities and all respondents provided written informed consent.

Within the participants with no lifetime history of panic disorder, generalised anxiety disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, major depressive disorder or dysthymia as assessed by the DSM–IV Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI version 2.1) and no subthreshold symptoms (Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) ≤ 20, Inventory of Depressive Symptoms (IDS) ≤ 14) or use of antidepressants or benzodiazepines, three groups were compared.

Participants without parental history

These participants reported no parental history of depression or anxiety disorder, as assessed by the family history inventory (n = 238) on which the respondent reported on the presence of an anxiety or depressive disorder in all individual first-degree relatives.
Participants with self-reported parental history

These participants reported at least one biological parent with depression and/or anxiety (n = 173).

Participants with diagnosed parental history

These participants (n = 122) had previously participated in the Adolescents at Risk of Anxiety and Depression (ARIADNE) study, into the development of depression and anxiety disorders among offspring (aged 13–25) of people with psychiatric disorders, approximately 4 years before participating in NESDA. Parents were treated for depression or panic disorder in specialised mental healthcare. Both offspring and parent were interviewed with the CIDI.17

Comparison group

A comparison group of participants consisted of 2010 people who were diagnosed with a remitted or current major depressive disorder and/or current panic disorder with agoraphobia as assessed by the CIDI, for whom we observed the highest cortisol levels as compared to people without psychopathology.6,8

Exclusions and final sample

We subsequently excluded 22 pregnant or breastfeeding women and 140 participants on corticosteroids, leaving 2381 respondents. Of these, 1630 (68.5%) returned sufficient saliva samples: 180 (81.8%) without parental history; 114 (70.4%) with self-reported parental history; 74 (61.7%) with diagnosed parental history and 1262 (67.2%) with a psychiatric diagnosis, P < 0.001.

Salivary cortisol

A minimally intrusive way to measure basal cortisol level is through saliva sampling, reflecting the active unbound form of cortisol.18 As described in more detail elsewhere,19 participants were instructed to collect saliva samples at home on a regular (working) day shortly after the interview. Instructions concerning salivary sampling prohibited smoking, eating, drinking tea or coffee or brushing teeth within 15 min before sampling. Furthermore, no dental work 24 h prior to sampling was allowed. Saliva samples were obtained using Salivettes (Sarstedt, Germany) at four time points; upon awakening (T1) and 30 (T2), 45 (T3) and 60 (T4) min later. After return by mail, samples were stored at –80 °C. Cortisol analysis was performed by competitive electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (E170 Roche, Switzerland). The functional detection limit was 2.0 nmol/l and the intra- and interassay variability coefficients in the measuring range were less than 10%. Data cleaning excluded values > 2 standard deviations above the mean.

In addition to conducting linear mixed models analyses (see statistical analyses section) using all four morning saliva samples, we calculated the area under the curve with respect to the increase (AUCi) and with respect to the ground (AUCg) using Pruessner’s formulas.20 The AUCg is an estimate of the total cortisol secretion formulas.20 The AUCg is an estimate of the total cortisol secretion and was calculated with Cohen’s d. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 15.0 for Windows.

Covariates

Previously, we described effects of gender, age, time of awakening, working status, season, sleep duration, physical activity and smoking on salivary cortisol levels, which were considered as covariates. Respondents reported time of awakening and working status on the sampling day. Season was categorised into less (October through to February) and more daylight (March through to September) months. Average sleep duration during the past 4 weeks was dichotomised as ≤6 or >6/h/night, and smoking status as current versus non-smoker. Physical activity was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire and expressed in 1000 MET-min (metabolic energy turnover per min) a week.26 Menstrual cycle phase, menopausal status or use of oral contraceptives were not associated with salivary cortisol and were therefore not included as covariates.19

Explanatory factors

To examine whether found effects were influenced by neuroticism, childhood trauma and life events we adjusted all analyses for these factors. Neuroticism was measured with the 12-item subscale of the NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO–FFI) Questionnaire ranging from 0 (low neuroticism) to 48 (high neuroticism). In order to examine the role of earlier childhood trauma, we constructed a cumulative childhood trauma index using the NEMESIS childhood trauma interview, which summarises the frequency of four reported traumas before the age of 16 – emotional neglect, psychological abuse, physical abuse and sexual abuse – resulting in an index score between 0 and 8. Finally, negative life events in the past year were assessed by the Brugha questionnaire and included 12 specific events and one ‘other’ category asking about another serious (not specified) negative life event. Since symptom severity scores were not associated with salivary cortisol levels in our previous studies, these were not included as covariates.

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were compared using χ2 and ANOVA statistics. Area under the curves showed normal distributions, 1-h awakening cortisol levels were slightly skewed and therefore log-transformed for linear mixed models analyses. Back-transformed values were used in the figure. To analyse differences in 1-h awakening cortisol across groups, ANCOVA analyses with AUCi and AUCg were conducted. First, we compared the three unaffected parental history groups. Additionally, parental history groups were compared with the group of participants with a psychiatric diagnosis. Random coefficient analysis of the four morning cortisol levels was performed using linear mixed models, which keeps original values on all four data points, can accommodate for incomplete cases, and takes correlation between repeated measurements into account. Parental history groups, time point (T1, T2, T3, T4) and all covariates were entered as fixed factors, participants were treated as a random effect and a random intercept was estimated. To examine whether the course of cortisol level after awakening was different across groups, we added a group × time interaction term. For significant findings, effect sizes were calculated with Cohen’s d. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 15.0 for Windows.

Results

Sample characteristics are presented in Table 1. Participants with diagnosed parental history were younger, had later awakening times, less often slept ≤6 h/night, had a lower trauma index score...
and higher neuroticism scores than other unaffected participants (Table 1). Participants with self-reported parental history reported more childhood trauma and had higher neuroticism scores than unaffected participants without parental history. Compared with parents in the diagnosed parental history group, parents in the self-reported parental history group more often had only anxiety disorders. Diagnosed parents mostly had depression with or without comorbid anxiety disorder.

In total 68.5% of the respondents showed an increase in cortisol levels within 1 h of waking up (65.0% in the group without parental history, 68.4% in the self-reported parental history group, 77.0% in the diagnosed parental history group, \( P = 0.17 \)). Parental history groups showed significant differences in \( A_{UCg} \) (d.f. = 2/316, \( F = 3.47, P = 0.03 \)), but not in \( A_{UCi} \) (d.f. = 2/316, \( F = 1.73, P = 0.18 \)). The group with diagnosed parental history showed higher overall adjusted cortisol levels than participants without parental history, reflected by a larger \( A_{UCg} \) (\( P = 0.01 \), effect size (Cohen’s \( d \)) = 0.50, Table 2) and confirmed by a borderline significant direct effect in linear mixed models analyses (\( F = 3.39, P = 0.07 \), data not shown). There was a trend towards a larger \( A_{UCi} \) (\( P = 0.08, d = 0.35 \), Table 2) for the diagnosed parental history group, but the interaction with time was not significant (\( F = 1.09, P = 0.35 \)). The group with self-reported parental history did not show significant differences in morning cortisol compared with the group without parental history (Table 2, linear mixed models analyses: direct effect: \( F = 0.05, P = 0.82 \); interaction with time: \( F = 0.85, P = 0.47 \)).

### Additional analyses

When comparing unaffected people with diagnosed parental history with participants with a psychiatric diagnosis, no difference was observed (mean \( A_{UCg} \)= 20.0 (s.e. = 0.9) vs. 19.5 (s.e. = 0.2) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.62 \), mean \( A_{UCi} \)= 2.6 (s.e. = 0.8) vs. 2.6 (s.e. = 0.2) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.46 \), respectively, after full adjustment). However, this group of participants with a psychiatric diagnosis did differ from the group without parental history (mean \( A_{UCg} \)= 17.7 (s.e. = 0.6) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.002 \), mean \( A_{UCi} \)= 1.4 (s.e. = 0.5) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.03 \) and participants with self-reported parental history (mean \( A_{UCg} \)= 17.8 (s.e. = 0.7) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.02 \), mean \( A_{UCi} \)= 2.1 (s.e. = 0.6) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.46 \). These results are graphically represented in Fig. 1.

Additional adjustment for childhood trauma, neuroticism and life events in the past year did not essentially change results. In order to conduct analyses in which age groups are more equal, we repeated the analyses excluding all participants older than 30 years. Mean \( A_{UCg} \) was higher for diagnosed parental history (18.6 (s.e. = 0.8) nmol/l/h, \( P = 0.03 \), \( d = 0.65 \), \( n = 68 \)) and also for

### Table 1 Sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Participants without lifetime depression/anxiety</th>
<th>Participants with major depressive disorder and/or panic disorder with agoraphobia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No parental history (n = 183)</td>
<td>Self-reported parental history (n = 120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years: mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>47.9 (11.8)</td>
<td>47.8 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of awakening, mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>07.00 (1 h 11)</td>
<td>07.22 (1 h 02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working on day of sampling, %</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>65.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling in month with more daylight, %</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 h of sleep, %</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker, %</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity, 1000 MET-min/week: mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.2)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life event in past year, %</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma index, mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>0.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (1.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism, mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.7)</td>
<td>25.0 (5.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of parental disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety only, %</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression only, %</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity, %</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Results of age-adjusted and fully adjusted ANCOVA analyses associating parental history with the cortisol awakening curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No parental history (n = 183)</th>
<th>Self-reported parental history (n = 120)</th>
<th>Diagnosed parental history (n = 74)</th>
<th>Diagnosed v. no parental history (n = 1262)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_{UCg} ), nmol/l/h</td>
<td>17.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>17.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>19.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{UCg} ), nmol/l/h</td>
<td>17.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>17.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>20.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{UCi} ), nmol/l/h</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{UCi} ), nmol/l/h</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Area under the morning curve with respect to the ground \( A_{UCg} = (T1 + T2/2 + 0.5)(T2 + T3/2 + 0.25) + (T3 + T4/2 + 0.25) \). Area under the morning curve with respect to the increase \( AUCg = AUCg-T1 (0.5 + 0.25 + 0.25) \).
b. Adjusted for age.
c. Additionally adjusted for gender, working status, time of awakening, season, sleep, physical activity and smoking.
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The higher cortisol levels were only found in offspring of patients with a CIDI diagnosis treated in specialised mental
healthcare and not in offspring with affected parents based on
self-report. Although often used to assess parental history, our
findings may indicate that self-report of parental psychopathology
is of insufficient reliability. Alternatively, the parents reported on
by their offspring may represent the milder cases and be associated
with lower familial loading, especially since it was not asked
whether parents were treated, in contrast to the diagnosed parents
of the ARIADNE sample for whom referral status was required for
enrolment in the study. Higher familial loading in the diagnosed
parental history group might also be reflected by the higher
neuroticism scores in this group relative to the self-reported
parental history group. In addition, when excluding participants
older than 30 years, effect sizes were higher in both parental
history groups relative to the group without parental history, also
possibly reflecting the importance of familial loading. Presumably,
and consistent with the idea that cortisol elevation represents a
liability for later onset of anxiety and depression, part of the
younger parental history group may not have developed
depression or anxiety yet. However, the older group, being still
unaffected, may never develop depression or anxiety disorder.
The larger proportion of anxiety disorder without comorbid
depression in the self-reported parental history group did not
explain the results, since additional analysis excluding anxiety
disorder without comorbid depression generated similar results.

Our results are in line with one study reporting higher
morning cortisol,9 but in contrast with studies reporting no
association with morning cortisol in unaffected people with
parental history.3,11 However, the latter two studies measured
the morning curve with only one and two saliva samples,
respectively. Participants with diagnosed parental history showed
overall higher cortisol levels (AUCg) and a trend towards a higher
increase (AUCi), indicating that especially the total cortisol
secretion within the first hour after awakening is elevated. This
emphasises the importance of collecting multiple morning
samples. The increased AUCg is possibly reflective of basal
hyperactivity of the HPA axis, since it is related to cortisol levels
during the rest of the day, whereas the AUCg is less dependent
on the diurnal cortisol rhythm and is regarded an indicator of
reactivity of the HPA axis.21–23 It has been hypothesised that the
AUCg is particularly suited to measure trait effects, whereas the
AUCg is better suited for state effects.29

An important finding was that cortisol levels were
comparable with those found in participants with a psychiatric
diagnosis. Previous studies did not compare morning cortisol
levels of individuals with familial history with people with
depression/anxiety. However, reports on cortisol release on the
dexamethasone/corticotropin-releasing hormone test showed
cortisol levels in between controls and patients.30

Main findings

This study shows that cortisol awakening levels in unaffected
participants with diagnosed parental history of depression or
anxiety were significantly higher than in unaffected participants
without parental history, and similar to those in participants with
major depressive disorder or panic disorder with agoraphobia.6,8
In fact, the effect size for unaffected participants with diagnosed
parental history was medium (d = 0.50) and higher than the effect
sizes (d = 0.15–0.32) previously described for major depressive
disorder and panic disorder with agoraphobia.6,8 Our results
suggest that a higher cortisol awakening curve represents a trait
factor and reflects an underlying biological vulnerability
marker.

The higher cortisol levels were only found in offspring of patients with a CIDI diagnosis treated in specialised mental
self-reported parental history (17.7 (s.e. = 1.7) nmol/l/h, P = 0.13,
d = 0.50, n = 13) compared with participants without parental
history (14.5 (s.e. = 1.5) nmol/l/h, n = 20).

Since the self-reported parental history group had relatively
more parents with anxiety compared with depression or
comorbidity, we reanalysed differences only including participants
with self-reported parental depression or comorbid disorders.
Results showed a higher AUCg in the diagnosed parental history
AUCg in the self-reported parental history group (mean
AUCg = 17.3 (s.e. = 0.8), P = 0.96) as compared with controls
(mean AUCg = 17.3 (s.e. = 0.5) nmol/l/h).

Discussion

Fig. 1 Mean salivary cortisol levels for unaffected participants
without parental history, with self-reported parental history
and with diagnosed parental history and for participants with
depression or panic disorder.

Adjusted for gender, age, working status, time of awakening, sleep duration, season, physical activity and smoking. Results of linear mixed models analyses (compared with controls without parental history): self-reported parental history: P = 0.92, interaction with time: P = 0.48. Diagnosed parental history: P = 0.07 and interaction with time: P = 0.25. Personal diagnosis: P = 0.01 and interaction with time: P = 0.29. Error bars illustrate standard errors. (a) P < 0.05 for group with personal diagnosis compared with controls; (b) P < 0.05 for personal diagnosis and diagnosed parental history compared with controls.

Genetic factors

Genetic factors could account for our findings.3,31 The cortisol
awakening response has a heritability of 32–48%.32,33 and depression
has a heritability of 37%.1 It could be that the same genes underlie
both depression and morning cortisol regulation, for example
the serotonin transporter gene,33 mineralocorticoid or glucocorticoid
receptor genes.2 Epigenetic factors such as histone modification or
methylation of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) as a result of early
life stress could also play a role.34

Also, early childhood trauma and adversity could be more
prevalent in people growing up in families with psychiatric
problems, which may exert direct effects on inflammatory and
glucocorticoid signalling.35,36 However, adjustment for childhood
trauma, including emotional abuse, did not change our results,
since these variables were not associated with saliva cortisol levels.
Also subthreshold symptoms, as measured using the IDS or BAI score, were not associated with salivary cortisol levels. Therefore, it is unlikely that subthreshold symptoms or past traumatic events can completely account for the relationship found in our study. Possibly, a differential home environment due to parental depression could have played a role, for example less structure provided by parents and chronic family stress. Exposure to parental depression could also have resulted in more stress among the children, even when the depression is in remission, because of possible personality deviances and role function problems of the parents.

Strengths and limitations

Our study had several strengths, including offspring from parents with confirmed diagnoses, the use of four morning cortisol samples and an additional comparison group of participants with a psychiatric diagnosis. Moreover, we studied an adult sample, whereas most studies included children, showing that HPA axis dysregulations persist into adulthood. Some limitations have to be acknowledged. First, non-adherence to the sampling instructions could have resulted in a blunted cortisol response after awakening. However, even when awakening is closely monitored at least 15% of all participants still do not respond with a cortisol rise. Moreover, we have no reason to believe that possible non-adherence is unevenly distributed among our groups. Second, since the majority of parents with a diagnosis had a depressive disorder, our results are mostly restricted to depression with or without comorbid anxiety. Future studies are warranted to specifically examine HPA axis activity and parental history of anxiety disorders.

Implications

Our study adds to the evidence that HPA axis alterations in depression and anxiety represent a trait factor that may indicate a biological vulnerability for the development of these disorders. Therefore, the cortisol awakening curve may constitute an important endophenotype of depression (and anxiety) for genetic research. Although the clinical relevance of the difference in 1-hour awakening cortisol needs to be explored in further large-scale research, there is some evidence that morning cortisol levels predict unfavourable metabolic and mental health outcomes.
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