Fate of peptides in peptidase mutants of *Lactococcus lactis*
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**Summary**

The utilization of exogenous peptides was studied in mutants of *Lactococcus lactis* in which combinations of the peptidase genes pepN, pepC, pepO, pepX and pepT were deleted. Multiple mutants lacking PepN, PepC, PepT plus PepX could not grow on peptides such as Leu–Gly–Gly, Gly–Phe–Leu, Leu–Gly–Pro, Ala–Pro–Leu and Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu, respectively, indicating that no other peptidases are present to release the essential amino acid Leu. In these mutants, peptides accumulate intracellularly, demonstrating that peptides are translocated as whole entities prior to degradation. The mutant lacking all five peptidases could still grow on Gly–Leu and Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu, which confirmed the presence of a dipeptidase and led to the identification of an unknown PepO-like endopeptidase. These studies have also shown that the general aminopeptidases PepN, PepC and PepT have overlapping but not identical specificities and differ in their overall activity towards individual peptides. In contrast, PepX has an unique specificity, because it is the only enzyme which can efficiently degrade Ala–Pro–Leu. The concerted action of peptidases in the breakdown of particular peptides revealed how these substrates are utilized as sources of nitrogen.

**Introduction**

The proteolytic system of *Lactococcus lactis* exemplifies a pathway present in several bacteria which utilize exogenous proteins and peptides as the nitrogen source. This pathway differs from the proteolytic system that is used by bacteria for endogenous protein turnover. One of the unique components is an extracellular proteinase (PrtP) that hydrolyses milk proteins to oligopeptides ranging from 4–30 amino acid residues in length (Juillard et al., 1995). For degradation of peptides, a multitude of peptidases have been characterized with respect to their ability to degrade selected peptides *in vitro*. The general aminopeptidases PepN and PepC are able to release N-terminal amino acid residues from a wide range of di-, tri- and oligopeptides. The aminopeptidases PepV and PepT also have broad specificities, but are specific for di- and tripeptides, respectively. The endopeptidases PepO, PepF and PepF2 hydrolyse internal peptide bonds of oligopeptides. More-specific sequences are recognized and cleaved by PepA (Glu/Asp/59(X)ₙ), PepR (Pro/59X), PepI (Pro/59(X)ₙ), PepQ (X₁Pro), PepP (X₁Pro–(X)ₙ) and PepX (X–Pro/59(X)ₙ) (cleavage sites are indicated by arrows; Kunji et al., 1996). Although kinetic parameters for different substrates have been determined, the data are insufficient to describe the role of these peptidases in the degradation of peptides *in vivo*.

Peptide degradation *in vivo* has been examined in some depth in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*, where the involvement of peptidases was studied in peptide catabolism and protein turnover (Miller and Mackinnon, 1974; Miller and Schwartz, 1978; Yen et al., 1980a,b; Miller and Green, 1983). To study the contribution of individual peptidases in the utilization of proteins and peptides as the source of nitrogen in *L. lactis*, single and multiple mutants were constructed which lacked combinations of the following five peptidase genes: pepO, pepN, pepC, pepT and pepX (Mierau et al., 1996). Inactivation of ever more peptidases caused increasingly lower growth rates of the mutants in milk and it was demonstrated that the observed growth defects were related to a decreased ability to degrade casein-derived peptides into free amino acids needed for growth. However, the complexity of the peptide mixture generated from caseins by the action of PrtP does not allow accurate evaluation of the role of individual peptidases in the proteolytic pathway.
In the present study, the role of the peptidases PepX, PepT, PepO, PepC and PepN in the degradation of model peptides as sources of essential amino acids for *L. lactis* was examined. This allowed us, for the first time, to determine the substrate specificity of single peptidases of *L. lactis* in vivo and to follow the fate of peptides intracellularly.

**Results**

**Design of growth experiments**

The *L. lactis* strains (see Table 1 later) were grown in a chemically defined medium (CDM) lacking the essential amino acid Leu, which was supplied in the form of Leu-containing peptides. Growth of a particular mutant was thus fully dependent on the ability of the organism to release Leu from the peptide. When Leu was omitted from CDM (Fig. 1), none of the strains grew, while in a complete amino acid medium, all strains grew equally well. When Leu–Gly was added as a source of Leu, growth was restored to wild-type levels, which was expected because the dipeptidase that hydrolyses this substrate (van Boven et al., 1988) was present in all mutants tested. These control experiments indicate that the mutations per se had no deleterious effect on growth in these media.

**Leu–Gly–Gly**

Studies with purified enzymes have shown that Leu–Gly–Gly can be broken down by a number of peptidases: PepT (Bosman et al., 1990), peptidase 53 (Sahlstrøm et al., 1993), PepN (Tan and Konings, 1990) and PepC (Neviani et al., 1989). When this peptide was used as the only source of Leu, most single- and double-peptidase mutants lacking PepC, PepO, PepN or PepX grew at wild-type rates (Fig. 1). The mutants [T][X][O][C][N] grew at wild-type rates in a complete amino acid medium, all strains grew equally well. When Leu–Gly was added as a source of Leu, growth was restored to wild-type levels, which was expected because the dipeptidase that hydrolyses this substrate (van Boven et al., 1988) was present in all mutants tested. These control experiments indicate that the mutations per se had no deleterious effect on growth in these media.
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[XTOC]− showed a 25–40% decrease in growth rate as compared to the wild-type strain, indicating that, in vivo, PepT is involved in breakdown of this peptide. The mutants [XTN]−, [XTON]−, [XTNC]−, and [XTOCN]− did not grow at all on this peptide, indicating that no peptidases other than PepT and PepN are involved in the release of Leu from Gly–Leu.

Gly–Phe–Leu

In growth experiments with Gly–Phe–Leu as source of Leu, the [XTNC]− and [XTOCN]− strains were unable to grow, while [XTN]− and [XTON]− had somewhat lower growth rates than the wild-type strain (Fig. 1). Comparison of [XTN]− with [XTNC]−, and [XTOC]− with [XTOCN]− indicates that both PepC and PepN are involved in the breakdown of Gly–Phe–Leu, respectively. The fact that [NC]− grew at wild-type rates, while [XTNC]− was unable to grow, points to an involvement of PepT and/or, less likely, PepX in the hydrolysis of Gly–Phe–Leu.

Leu–Gly–Pro

In CDM supplemented with Leu–Gly–Pro as source of Leu, [XTON]− and [XTOCN]− were able to grow, whereas [XTNC]− was not. As the fourfold peptidase mutant [XTNC]− was also unable to grow, PepN and PepC must be the only enzymes that can release Leu from Leu–Gly–Pro. Comparison of the growth characteristics of [XTNC]− with those of [CN]− proves the involvement of either PepT or PepX in the degradation of this peptide. In contrast to the breakdown of Gly–Phe–Leu, PepC activity alone was sufficient to release Leu at a rate allowing maximum growth rates.

Ala–Pro–Leu

The X–Pro–Y sequence suggests that Ala–Pro–Leu is a substrate of PepX (Booth et al., 1990). The growth experiments clearly showed that Ala–Pro–Leu is broken down by PepX and that this is the only peptidase which allows utilization of this peptide at a rate that sustains maximum growth rates (Fig. 1). Inactivation of PepX alone led to a more than sevenfold decrease of the growth rate. The residual growth seems to be owing to the peptidases PepN and PepT because all mutants lacking PepX, PepT and PepN showed a further decrease in growth rates up to the detection limit.

Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu

In principle, Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu can be degraded in various ways by PepN, PepC, PepT, PepX, PepO and the dipeptidase. In Fig. 1, two phenotypes can be distinguished. In a number of mutants the growth rates were not significantly affected by the absence of the various peptidases, whereas [CN]−, [XTN]−, [XTON]−, [XTNC]− and [XTOCN]− failed to grow on Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu. This shows that Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu is broken down efficiently by PepN or by the combination of PepC plus PepT. Strains lacking PepN and PepT, but still containing PepC, did not grow on Leu–Gly–Leu (data not shown), suggesting that PepC cleaved off the first Gly leaving the resulting Leu–Gly–Leu for further degradation by PepT. Neither PepX, PepO nor any other peptidases were apparently involved in the degradation of Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu.

Tyroglutamic acid accumulation levels were not proportional to the composition of the peptide, as has been observed for other peptides (Tynkkynen et al., 1993; Hagting et al., 1994). The endopeptidases PepF and PepF2 do not digest this peptide (Monnet et al., 1994; M. Nardi and V. Monnet, unpublished). Surprisingly, the [XTOCN]− mutant could still grow on Tyr–Gly–Leu–Gly–Pro, while no growth was observed on the tripeptide Leu–Gly–Pro–Leu (see above). To exclude the possibility that growth of the [XTOCN]− mutant was the result of impurities of di- or tripeptides in the Tyr–Gly–Leu–Gly–Pro solution, or to extracellular peptide degradation, a strain was used which lacks the oligopeptide-transport system. Indeed, the MG1363(opp) mutant strain did not grow on Tyr–Gly–Leu–Gly–Pro, but could use Leu–Gly–Pro because the di-tripeptide transporter DtpT and PepT were present (data not shown).

Fate of peptides in peptidase mutants of L. lactis

The observation that certain peptidase mutants were unable to utilize peptides in growth experiments prompted us to study the fate of these peptides in peptide-transport assays. When uptake of Leu–Gly–Leu was studied in wild-type cells of L. lactis, accumulation of both Gly and Leu was observed, but the tripeptide and derived dipeptides were not detected intracellularly (Fig. 2A). The initial uptake rate of Gly was approximately twice that of Leu, which is in agreement with the ratio of these amino acids in the transported tripeptide Leu–Gly–Leu. Final amino acid accumulation levels were not proportional to the composition of the peptide (Tynkkynen et al., 1993; Hagting et al., 1994). When uptake of Leu–Gly–Leu by the [XTOCN]− mutant was studied, no significant increase in intracellular amino acid concentrations was observed (Fig. 2B). Instead, accumulation of the whole peptide to approx. 25-fold against the concentration gradient was observed (Fig. 2B). This experiment clearly shows that the failure of the
mutant to use Leu–Gly–Gly as a source of leucine was because of its inability to degrade this peptide. In contrast, both the wild-type and [XTOCN]− strains transported Leu–Gly at similar initial rates (4.3 and 4.6 nmol per min per mg of protein, respectively) and both degraded the peptide fully (data not shown).

Upon addition of Ala–Pro–Leu, accumulation of free Ala, Pro and Leu, but not of the tripeptide, was observed in the wild-type strain (data not shown). The initial uptake rates of Ala, Pro and Leu were similar, indicating that Ala–Pro–Leu was transported prior to degradation. In the [XTO]− mutant, which grew poorly on Ala–Pro–Leu, accumulation of the whole peptide was observed. A small, but significant, increase in the intracellular concentrations of Ala, Pro and Leu was also observed (data not shown) possibly because of the ability of PepN to hydrolyse this peptide slowly.

Both the wild-type and [XTO]− strains were able to utilize Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu as a source of Leu for growth, while the [XTOCN]− mutant was not. In agreement with these results, the wild-type and [XTO]− strains hydrolysed the tetrapeptide completely, resulting in approximately equimolar uptake rates of the residues Gly and Leu (Fig. 3, A and B). In contrast, the [XTOCN]− strain accumulated the whole peptide intracellularly, while Leu was not increased significantly; some increase in Gly was observed (Fig. 3C). This demonstrates that oligopeptides are also taken up by the cells as whole entities. The small increase in free Gly is probably not the result of degradation of Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu, because neither Leu nor Gly–Leu pools were observed to increase (data not shown). A small and variable increase in Gly pools has previously been noticed in glycolysing cells of L. lactis resulting from the synthesis from precursors of the glycolytic pathway (see also Kunji et al., 1995). Comparison of the [XTO]− and [XTOCN]− mutants shows that Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu is degraded by PepN and PepC, confirming the results of the growth experiments.

The growth experiments showed that the [XTOCN]− mutant could still utilize Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu. Analysis

Fig. 2. Uptake of Leu–Gly–Gly (LGG) by wild-type (A) and [XTOCN]− mutant (B) cells. Cells were harvested during exponential growth in M17 medium. Prior to the transport assays, cells were de-energized as described in the Experimental procedures. Cells were pre-energized for 3 min with 0.5% (w/v) glucose in 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, before uptake was started by the addition of 0.5 mM LGG. Peptide and amino acid pools were determined as described in the Experimental procedures. The insets show the high-performance liquid chromatography profiles of intracellular fractions of the wild-type strain (A) and the [XTOCN]− mutant (B) at retention times during which standards of LGG were found to elute. The numbers indicate time-points of LGG uptake.
of the intracellular breakdown products of this peptide in the wild-type strain and the [XTO]^- and [XTOCN]^- mutants showed that Tyr, Gly, Phe and Leu accumulated in the wild-type and in the [XTO]^- mutant, whereas the pentapeptide, or its possible derived fragments, did not (Fig. 4, A and B). Gly accumulated with approximately twice the initial rate of that of the other residues, which is in agreement with the molar composition of the peptide. In contrast, the peptidase activity in the [XTOCN]^- mutant released Phe and Leu, but not Tyr and Gly. Instead, the tripeptide Tyr–Gly–Gly accumulated with an initial rate similar to that of Phe and Leu. These data substantiate the conclusions drawn from the growth experiments by showing directly that the [XTOCN]^- mutant is able to release Leu from Tyr–Gly–Phe–Leu.

Discussion

In this study, single peptides containing the essential amino acid Leu were offered to well-characterized peptidase mutants, and growth in a chemically defined medium as well as degradation of the peptide were monitored. Using this approach, several features of exogenous peptide metabolism in L. lactis were unravelled.

First, PepN, PepC, PepX and PepT are, in vivo, responsible for the release of amino acids from the triand tetrapeptides tested, while PepO does not act on these substrates. In those peptidase mutants in which growth was abolished, intracellular accumulation of whole peptides was observed, confirming the notion that remaining peptidase activities are unable to degrade these peptides. One surprising observation was that PepC alone is sufficient to allow L. lactis to grow on Leu–Gly–Pro as the sole source of Leu, but not on Leu–Gly–Gly. Biochemical analyses have shown that Leu–Gly–Gly can be broken down by PepC at activities that are comparable to those for other peptides (Neviani et al., 1989). Apparently, data obtained from in vitro studies cannot always be extrapolated to the in vivo situation. Because the growth defect is complete in mutants lacking PepT and PepN, it must be concluded that no other peptidases are present that can efficiently hydrolyse Leu–Gly–Gly.

Second, peptidases were identified which have overlapping specificities in vivo. This is true for the degradation of Gly–Phe–Leu and Leu–Gly–Pro by the general amino-peptidases PepN, PepC and PepT and for the degradation of Leu–Gly–Gly by PepT and PepN. Growth on these peptides was only abolished when mutations of the corresponding peptidases were combined. Although PepN
can replace PepT in the degradation of Leu–Gly–Gly, the ‘overall rate’ (defined as $[E] \cdot TN \cdot K_m^{-1}$, in which $[E]$ is the enzyme concentration, $TN$ is the turnover number of the enzyme and $K_m$ is the affinity constant for the substrate) of PepN is lower than that of PepT because strains lacking PepT, but containing PepN, grow at a reduced rate compared to strains containing PepT, but lacking PepN. Similar observations were made for the degradation of Gly–Phe–Leu by PepN and PepC compared to PepN, respectively, and of Ala–Pro–Leu by PepN and PepT compared to PepX, respectively. Overlapping substrate specificities have also been observed in peptidase mutants of E. coli and S. typhimurium (Miller and Schwartz, 1978; Miller and Mackinnon, 1974).

Third, L. lactis MG1363 contains peptidases with unique specificities, in addition to peptidases with general and overlapping specificities. PepX, for instance, is the only peptidase that has major activity to degrade the tripeptide Ala–Pro–Leu. No other peptidase or combination of peptidases could fully take over its function. PepN and PepT have very low overall degradation rates towards Ala–Pro–Leu, allowing only limited growth.

Fourth, concerted action of peptidases in the breakdown of peptides was observed. For instance, in the absence of PepN, Gly–Leu–Gly–Leu could only be degraded by the co-operative action of two peptidases, i.e. PepC and PepT. PepC removes the first Gly, but is unable to degrade the product Leu–Gly–Leu. PepT, which cannot hydrolyse tetrapeptides, subsequently liberates Leu from the tripeptide.

Fig. 4. Initial rates of Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu (YGGFL) uptake by wild-type (A), [XTO] (B) and [XTOCN] (C) strains. The transport experiments were performed as described in the legend to Fig. 2. Uptake was started by the addition of 0.5 mM Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu to glucose-energized cells. The initial rates were calculated as the derivative at time-point zero of a second-order polynomial fitted to the uptake curves of the hydrolysis products of Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu.
Experimental procedures

Strains and media

The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The strains were grown and maintained in M17 medium (Terzaghi and Sandine, 1975) with 0.5% glucose (GM17) at 30°C as standing cultures. CDM was prepared according to Rogosa et al. (1961) with modifications: in 90 ml water were dissolved 29 mg tyrosine (in boiling MilliQ water), 2.1 g Na-β-glycerophosphate, 0.1 g KH₂PO₄, 60 mg (NH₄)₂ citrate and 0.1 g Na-acetate (Exterkate and de Veer, 1987). Subsequently, 1 ml vitamin mix (Jensen and Hammer, 1993), 1 ml metal mix (Jensen and Hammer, 1993), 5 ml amino acid mix (Poolman and Konings, 1988), 0.1 ml of a MnCl₂ solution in water (28 mg/ml⁻¹), 25 mg cysteine (optional) and 0.5% glucose were added. The pH was adjusted to 6.4 and the medium was sterilized by filtration. For the growth experiments with leucine-containing peptides, leucine was omitted from the amino acid mix. All peptides were used at a concentration of 0.4 μM. The growth experiments in CDM were conducted as follows: 1 ml of an overnight culture in GM17 was washed twice with 0.9% NaCl solution and inoculated at 1% (v/v) into CDM. Subsequently, 250 μl of the culture was transferred into a well of a microtitre plate (Greiner GmbH) and covered with 50 μl of silicone oil (1.01 g ml⁻¹; Wacker-Chemie GmbH) in order to apply semi-anaerobic conditions and to prevent evaporation. Growth was monitored at 595 nm in the THERMOMax kinetic microtiterplate reader (Molecular Devices Corporation). Each growth experiment was performed in triplicate and the means ± standard deviation (SD) was calculated to indicate the degree of reproducibility.

Table 1. L. lactis strains used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L. lactis subsp. cremoris strain</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Name*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MG1363</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Gasson (1983)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL291</td>
<td>ΔpepX [X]⁻</td>
<td>K. J. Leenhouts et al. (submitted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM3</td>
<td>ΔpepT [T]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM4</td>
<td>ΔpepC [C]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM5</td>
<td>ΔpepN [N]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM6</td>
<td>ΔpepX [XT]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM7</td>
<td>ΔpepX [XN]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM8</td>
<td>ΔpepN [ON]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM16</td>
<td>ΔpepX [XTOCN]⁻</td>
<td>Mierau et al. (1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM17</td>
<td>Δopp [Opp⁻]</td>
<td>L. Mierau unpublished</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The name by which these strains, for convenience, are referred to in this article.
was carried out in duplicate and on different days. Growth rates were estimated from logarithmic equations fitted to data points taken during exponential growth.

Peptide-transport assays

Wild-type and mutant strains were grown in GM17 and harvested during late exponential growth. Subsequently, cells were washed with 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, and concentrated to an OD$_{660}$ of approximately 25. To inhibit protein synthesis, chloramphenicol (50 μg ml$^{-1}$) was present in all buffers during further steps. To release amino acids, cells were de-energized with 10 mM 2-deoxyglucose for 20 min at 30°C, washed again twice with 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, and resuspended in the same buffer. For transport assays, cells (OD$_{660}$ approx. 15) were pre-incubated for 3 min in the presence of 25 mM glucose, after which 0.5 mM of peptide was added. Transport was monitored by determining the intracellular amino acid and peptide pools at various time intervals as described (Kunji et al., 1993; Mierau et al., 1996). Differences in efficiency of dansylation of peptides and amino acids were corrected by calibrating with the appropriate standards at different concentrations.

Chemicals

All peptides were obtained from Bachem Feinchemikalien AG: Leu–Gly, Leu–Gly–Gly, Leu–Gly–Pro, Ala–Pro–Leu, Gly–Phe–Leu, Gly–Gly–Gly–Leu, Leu–Gly–Leu, and Tyr–Gly–Gly–Phe–Leu and the amino acids were all in the L-configuration. MilliQ water was used throughout all experiments.
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