Contributions of Gut Bacteria and Diet to Drug Pharmacokinetics in the Treatment of Parkinson’s Disease
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Parkinson’s disease is the second-most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide. Besides deciphering the mechanisms that underlie the etiology of the disease, it is important to elucidate the factors that influence the efficacy of the treatment therapeutics. Levodopa, which remains the golden treatment of the disease, is absorbed in the proximal small intestine. A reduction in levodopa absorption leads to reduction in striatal dopamine levels and, in turn, an “off”-episode. In fact, motor fluctuations represent a major problem during the progression of the disease and alteration between “on” (mobility often with dyskinesia) and “off” (immobility, akinesia) episodes contribute to a decreased quality of life. Dietary amino acids can interfere with the absorption of levodopa from the gut lumen and its transport through the blood brain barrier. In addition, higher abundance of specific gut bacteria that restrict levodopa absorption plays a significant role in motor fluctuations in a subset of Parkinson’s disease patients. Here, we review the impact of factors potentially interfering with levodopa absorption, focusing on levodopa transport, diet, and gut bacterial interference with the bioavailability of levodopa.
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INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second-most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide (1). In 2015–2016, 6.1–6.2 million individuals were diagnosed with PD all over the globe (1, 2). The prevalence of PD globally increases with age and peaks at 1.5% between 85 and 89 years of age (2). During the progression of PD, patients encounter increasing severity of symptoms, which is associated with rising costs for medical treatment, hospitalizations and nursing home care (3), besides a significant decrease in the quality of life (3–6). The aggregation of α-synuclein in Lewy bodies and loss of dopaminergic neurons (pars compacta) in the substantia nigra is the main feature observed in PD patients (7). Although the exact factors contributing to the etiology of PD are not well understood, the gut microbiota is likely to be a key contributor. This is evident from the alteration in gut microbiota composition detected in fecal samples of PD patients compared to healthy controls (HC) (8–12). Moreover, the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), the main metabolic products produced by the large intestinal bacteria, is reduced in PD patients (12). The latter has been shown to be involved in α-synuclein pathology in the gut in mouse models (13) supporting the hypothesis that α-synuclein pathology starts in the enteric nervous system (14), which synergizes with the finding of α-synuclein aggregates in colon tissue and appendix prior to the onset of PD (15, 16). Equally important to elucidating the mechanisms involved in the cause
of PD is to uncover the microbial and dietary interference with the pharmacological treatment of the disease. Previous studies have shown that Helicobacter pylori (HP) can interfere with levodopa treatment and can bind to levodopa (3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; L-DOPA) (17, 18). Recently, we showed that bacteria can alter the levels of levodopa treatment in the gut (19) resulting in quenching the availability of the drug to be effective in the brain. This bacterial mediated reduction in levodopa absorbed from the small intestine would lead to reduction in striatal dopamine levels and an "off"-episode, especially in patients with advanced stage PD, who have a reduced capacity to store dopamine in the brain (20, 21). Besides, fluctuating levodopa plasma levels could result in increased pulsatile stimulation which is associated with dyskinesia (22).

The pharmacological treatment of PD and the gastrointestinal (GI) dysfunction in PD have been extensively reviewed (23, 24), mainly from a clinical perspective. This review focuses on the impact of levodopa transport, gut bacterial degradation of PD medication, and its impact on drug bioavailability. Furthermore, we discuss the potential mediators that could lead to a vicious circle where certain conditions (i.e., proton pump inhibitors and gut motility) would favor the colonization of small-intestinal bacteria, ultimately restricting the absorption of levodopa.

ADMINISTRATION ROUTES AND TRANSPORT PROCESS OF LEVODOPA

The most common route for levodopa administration is orally via immediate-release or extended-release formulations of levodopa, where the latter might have potential benefits over other levodopa formulations, reviewed in Mittur et al. (25). Parenteral administration via subcutaneous injections are impossible due to the low solubility of levodopa (26) and continuous intravenous administration, although effective (27), is impractical, as it requires large volumes of daily injections. A promising alternative option to conventional levodopa therapy for advanced PD patients with motor fluctuations and dyskinesia is intestinal infusion of a levodopa/carbidopa gel via a nasoduodenal tube (28) or via gastrojejunostomy (22).

When levodopa is administered orally, it is absorbed in the proximal small intestine (29), where it has to be actively transported from the lumen over the intestinal epithelial barrier into the blood stream (30). To prevent peripheral and intestinal levodopa metabolism by DOPA decarboxylase (DDC), peripheral DDC inhibitors, such as carbidopa, are co-administered with levodopa. Levodopa (Figure 1) is a non-proteinogenic large neutral amino acid (LNAA), and is therefore transported by amino acid transporters in the GI-tract and at the blood brain barrier (BBB) (Figure 2). The human body contains at least 11 different epithelial amino acid transport systems expressed in the intestine, 10 of which are also expressed in the renal epithelia, which was thoroughly reviewed before (31). Only two amino acid transporters are expressed on the blood brain barrier (BBB), LAT1 (SLC7A5) and SNAT5/11 (SLC38A5/11) (32). The amino acid transporters, which are most likely responsible for the transport of levodopa from the GI-tract to the blood and over the BBB, based on in vitro/ex vivo studies, are discussed below and summarized in Figure 2.

As a model for the BBB, a mouse brain endothelial cell line (MBEC4), was tested for the expression of 4F2hc/LAT1 (SLC3A2/SLC7A5) and [3H]-levodopa transport was evaluated in the presence of other amino acids (1:100 levodopa/amino acids). The study showed that tryptophan, tyrosine, phenylalanine, isoleucine, leucine, histidine, and 2-amino-2-norbornane-carboxylic acid (BCH), which is used as the defining synthetic amino acid for the L-system (consisting of LAT1 to 4) (33), inhibited at least 80% of the [3H]-levodopa uptake independent of Na+ (34). However, the potential contribution of 4F2hc/LAT2 (SLC3A2/SLC7A8) or other transporters were not addressed. Similar results were obtained in Caco2 cells (35–38), renal proximal tubular epithelial cells (39), and opossum kidney cells with either a high (HC) or a low (LC) Na+ influx. Comparing the HC and LC cell lines indicated that there was a minor contribution of Na+ dependent transport. The authors concluded that 4F2hc/LAT2 (apparent from BCH transport) and rBAT/b0,+ (SLC3A1/SLC7A9; apparent from the uptake of the rBAT defining amino acid dimer, cystine) were involved in levodopa transport (40). Although these studies indicate which transporters are involved in levodopa transport in the GI-tract, renal epithelia and the BBB, it remains unclear which specific transporter is involved.

Studies using Xenopus laevis oocytes, an ideal single-cell expression system for transporters due to its relatively large size and low background activity (41), showed that 4F2hc/LAT1 (from rat C6 glioma cells) (42), 4Fhc/LAT2 (43), rBAT/b0,+ (from rabbit intestine and human) (43, 44), and LAT1 (SLC16A10) (from rat intestine) (45) are independently responsible for levodopa transport. Only substrates with both positive and negative charges at the α-carbon (the relative positive and negative charges are from the amine-group and carboxyl-group from levodopa, respectively, Figure 1) are being able to be transported via 4F2hc/LAT1 (42). Importantly levodopa analogs (m-O-methylDOPA, α-methylphenylalanine, α-methyltyrosine, α-methylDOPA), gabapentin [γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) analog], melphalan (a chemotherapeutic agent), and thyroid hormones (T3, triiodothyronine and T4, thyroxine) were able to inhibit transport of L-[14C]-phenylalanine, and thus levodopa (42), showing the broad range of potential levodopa transport inhibitors. In fact, anti-thyroid treatment in a 70-year-old male subject with PD on levodopa treatment had a beneficial effect on the exaggerated Parkinsonian tremor (46). The authors could not explain why the Parkinsonian tremor was aggravated by the presence of hyperthyroidism. However, a plausible explanation, which was not discussed, is the interference of exaggerated thyroid hormone levels with levodopa uptake in the brain. Thus, hyperthyroidism, which is prevalent at higher age, should be considered in PD patients (46).

In X. laevis oocytes expressing TAT1, around 80% of L-[14C]-tryptophan uptake was inhibited by tyrosine and tryptophan and about 40% was inhibited by phenylalanine, levodopa, and m-O-methylDOPA, indicating that TAT1 is an aromatic amino acid transporter partly responsible for levodopa uptake. Using N-acetylated amino acids, the authors concluded that
Human and bacterial levodopa metabolism. Levodopa is produced by hydroxylation of the meta-position of the phenyl-ring from tyrosine by TH (tyrosine hydroxylase) using molecular oxygen. Sequentially levodopa can be decarboxylated to the active neurotransmitter dopamine by the AADC [aromatic amino acid decarboxylase, also known as DDC (DOPA decarboxylase)], or can be methylated by COMT (catechol-O-methyltransferase). Bacterial TDC (tyrosine decarboxylase) can decarboxylate (m-)tyrosine to (m-)tyramine but also levodopa to dopamine. Furthermore, bacteria can dehydroxylate the para-hydroxyl group of either levodopa or dopamine and can sequentially deaminate the dehydroxylated products.

FIGURE 1 | Human and bacterial levodopa metabolism. Levodopa is produced by hydroxylation of the meta-position of the phenyl-ring from tyrosine by TH (tyrosine hydroxylase) using molecular oxygen. Sequentially levodopa can be decarboxylated to the active neurotransmitter dopamine by the AADC [aromatic amino acid decarboxylase, also known as DDC (DOPA decarboxylase)], or can be methylated by COMT (catechol-O-methyltransferase). Bacterial TDC (tyrosine decarboxylase) can decarboxylate (m-)tyrosine to (m-)tyramine but also levodopa to dopamine. Furthermore, bacteria can dehydroxylate the para-hydroxyl group of either levodopa or dopamine and can sequentially deaminate the dehydroxylated products.

the α-carboxyl group (Figure 1) is essential for substrate recognition by TAT1. Furthermore, it was shown that TAT1 is mainly expressed throughout in the rat GI-tract and in the liver, in particular, on the basolateral side of rat small intestine (45) (Figure 2). Using trans-well culturing and everted murine jejunal sacs, the authors concluded that 4F2hc/LAT2 (LAT1 was not tested) and TAT1 are responsible for the basolateral transport of levodopa (30). In contrast to 4F2hc/LAT1, 4F2hc/LAT2, and TAT1, which are expressed basolaterally, rBAT/b0,+AT is expressed apically and thus is mainly responsible for levodopa absorption from the intestinal lumen. Further characterization of rBAT/b0,+AT showed that the common co-administered
inhibitors of peripheral levodopa degradation, carbidopa, benserazide (decarboxylase inhibitors) and entacapone (catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitor) were unable to compete with rBAT/b0,+AT mediated levodopa transport, indicating that other transporters/mechanisms are involved in the uptake of peripheral levodopa metabolism inhibitors (30). The transport of levodopa via other apical transporters, PAT1, SIT1/ACE2, ASCT2, and B0AT1/ACE2 (the main other natural amino acid transporter), expressed in X. laevis oocytes was investigated and showed that none of them was able to transport levodopa, indicating that rBAT/b0,+AT is the main apical levodopa transporter (30) (Figure 2).

**EFFECT OF DIET AND AGE ON THE BIOAVAILABILITY OF LEVODOPA**

Early studies *in vivo*, using radiolabeled levodopa ([14C]-levodopa) showed that ~90% of the total radioactivity is transported into the circulatory system as measured in urine samples after 48 h (47–49). Notably, only ~13% of the total radioactivity in blood plasma after the first hour was from intact levodopa, and decreased further overtime. When carbidopa was used in combination with levodopa the intact levodopa after the first hour increased to ~43% (47). These studies indicate that less than half of the administered levodopa would reach the brain and that approximately 10% of the total levodopa radioactivity is not absorbed and could end up in fecal samples. Moreover, levels of unabsorbed levodopa increase over age. For example, a 10-fold increase (24.6–35.4% vs. 2.7–3.5% recovered radioactivity) in levels of levodopa (including its metabolites) were detected in fecal samples of old rats (0.5–2 years old) when compared with their younger counterparts (5–15 weeks old) after oral administration of [14C]-levodopa (50). This was not related to an increased fecal excretion or decreased jejunal blood flow, suggesting that there is impaired uptake at older age (50). When levels of levodopa were measured over time in plasma (AUC), older animals (1–2 years) had a higher AUC and a longer half-life (T1/2) of systemic levodopa compared to younger animals (9–26 weeks), suggesting an age-dependent slower total body clearance of levodopa (50). Furthermore the study showed that the intestinal metabolism (mainly by DDC), which prevents levodopa to reach the brain and decreases over age, contributes the most to the increased systemic availability of levodopa at older age (50). The decreased clearance of levodopa at higher age in rats is in agreement with a study performed in healthy human subjects, who were administered levodopa without DDC inhibitors (51). Coherently, a higher AUC and systemic levodopa bioavailability (AUCoral/AUCintravenous) for levodopa was observed in elderly (71.0 years n = 9) compared to young subjects (21.8 years n = 8). Administration of carbidopa diminished the differences in systemic levodopa bioavailability between the two groups, while a higher AUC was still observed in the elderly group. This suggests a lower systemic clearance at higher age because carbidopa abolished the age differences in systemic levodopa bioavailability (51). In PD patients, age correlated significantly with higher levodopa (supplied with DDC inhibitor) AUC and decrease in clearance (52, 53). However, the high scatter in the correlation (r² = 0.15–0.24) from that study implies that other factors besides age contribute to the variation among PD patients in the pharmacokinetics of levodopa (52).
Indeed, impaired uptake of [14C]-levodopa into the brain was observed when rats were supplied intravenously with the amino acids, phenylalanine, tryptophan, and to a lesser extent histidine (54). The same effects were reported in humans, for example, a clinical study showed that PD patients (n = 9), who received levodopa/carbidopa intravenously directly after a protein rich meal (containing LNAAs) or administration of LNAAs, had increased Parkinsonian symptoms. Similarly, when levodopa/carbidopa was taken orally, levodopa absorption from the intestine was delayed after a protein-rich meal (55). When levodopa/benzerazide (another DDC inhibitor) was infused intraduodenally, motor functions decreased after protein ingestion (56), indicating fluctuation in levodopa uptake in the brain. Nonetheless no decrease in levodopa absorption was observed (56) suggesting that the variability in plasma LNAAs, absorbed from the intestine, could be responsible for the fluctuating levodopa uptake in the brain (57). The authors concluded that during ingestion of regular (hospital) diets, 10% of the levodopa brain uptake variability is explained by LNAAs in plasma and the other 90% by levodopa plasma levels (57). These hospital diets contained 2–3.7-fold less LNAAs compared to other human studies (615 ± 105 µM (57) compared to 1,235–1,973 µM (55), 1,615–2,012 µM (58), 1,624–2,292 µM (56)) indicating that high LNAAs levels do interfere with levodopa absorption in PD patients but are not solely responsible for the “on”–“off” fluctuations observed in PD patients. Notably, cationic (lysine) or small (glycine) amino acids had no effect on the “on”–“off” fluctuations (55). Using regional jejunal perfusion of levodopa in healthy human subjects it was shown that the LNAA L-leucine interfered with the levodopa absorption from small intestine (59), at least at high concentrations. This finding supports the involvement of the L-transport system for levodopa transport (as described above) from the intestine to the blood circulation, and, ultimately, to the brain (Figure 2).

In vitro data and clinical investigations on the effect of amino acids on the transport and bioavailability of levodopa clearly indicate that amino acids can interfere with the uptake of levodopa from the lumen or the systemic circulation. Therefore, low protein diets (LPD) or protein redistribution diets (PDR), where all dietary protein is ingested only during the evening meal, are proposed for PD patients with motor fluctuations (60). Refined physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling for GI absorption (WB-ACAT, Whole Body—Advanced Compartmental Absorption and Transit Model) combined with dynamic flux balance analysis (which measures the flow of metabolites through a metabolic network) on an epithelial cell (sIEC) model for small intestine segmented into 7 parts (WB-ACAT-sIEC), was used to investigate the spatiotemporal relationship between amino acids and levodopa uptake kinetics (61). Simulation of levodopa absorption during an aproteic or proteic meal showed that that dietary intervention would be beneficial for PD patients with Hoehn and Yahr scale 3/4 (HY3/4; HY describes the disease progression from (mild = 1) to severe = 5) (61). These findings are in agreement with the guidelines for PD treatment, where dietary interventions are proposed for advanced PD patients (20, 21). Comparing a LPD (in silico administration of 0.8 g/kg amino acids together with 200 mg levodopa) vs. a PRD (assuming a high fraction of amino acids present in the systemic circulation before the morning levodopa dose) in the WB-ACAT-sIEC model showed a cumulative increase in AUC of levodopa during PRD. Furthermore, the AUC after a morning levodopa dose was higher (11.23%) during PRD than during a fasting state, which was attributed to a higher influx of residual systemic LNAAs from the last protein meal taken the evening before levodopa administration. This higher influx through the basolateral antiporter induced a higher efflux of levodopa (trans-stimulation) into the circulation (61) (Figure 2). Although PRD could provide short-term benefits as evident by the reported response rates of >80% (60), it might not provide a long-term solution as it is undesired by patients and is an imbalanced diet (20, 21) that results in weight loss among patients (60). Extending the WB-ACAT-sIEC model with kidney and brain compartments and setting the objective function (a desired outcome) for optimizing levodopa transport across the BBB revealed that threonine, serine and asparagine resulted in the highest brain bioavailability of levodopa. This led the authors to propose that a serine-rich meal taken after the last levodopa treatment could be beneficial for the levodopa bioavailability (61). Nonetheless, sensitivity analyses (i.e., the variable that contributes most to the dependent outcome) showed that intestinal loss of levodopa was the most influential factor on levodopa bioavailability (61). Indeed, changes in the levels of levodopa in the small intestine are affected by gut bacterial interference (17, 19), as discussed in the next section.

GUT BACTERIAL INTERFERENCE WITH LEVODOPA BIOAVAILABILITY

Levodopa is a non-proteinogenic amino acid produced by the hydroxylation at the meta-position of the phenyl ring of tyrosine. Subsequently, levodopa can be converted to dopamine by DDC or to m-O-methylDOPA by COMT methylation of the m-hydroxyl group in the human body (Figure 1). The microbiota also poses enzymes able to perform similar or additional reactions, which metabolize levodopa. In the early 70s, a study, comparing the metabolic profile of germ-free and conventional rats, showed production of m-hydroxyphenylacetic acid and m-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid (Figure 1) only in conventional rats when fed with levodopa, suggesting that a bacterial dehydroxylation reaction was involved (62). When rat caecal content was incubated with levodopa or dopamine for 6 days also m-tyramine was found, confirming earlier findings in humans (63). Metabolites were detected over periods of 3 days in the urine indicating that the detected metabolites could originate from in the large intestine, which is supported by the caecal incubations (62). Since the main site of levodopa absorption is the proximal small intestine, it is unlikely that bacterial metabolism of levodopa in the large intestine would affect the drug bioavailability. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate potential bacterial interference with levodopa treatment in the proximal small intestine.
Recently, we showed that gut bacteria harboring tyrosine decarboxylases (TDC), mainly enterococci, can effectively decarboxylate levodopa to dopamine in the small intestine of rat. The study concluded that the natural variation of the tdc-gene negatively correlated with the levodopa levels in the blood of rats and positively correlated with the daily dose requirement of levodopa in PD patients (19). High abundance of these bacteria in PD patients, which could be caused by small intestinal overgrowth (SIBO), could have implications on the absorption of levodopa from the small intestine (Figure 2). To assess the contribution of those bacteria to the bioavailability of levodopa in PD patients, we are currently performing further clinical studies.

In healthy conditions, SIBO is prevented by the ileocecal valve, pancreatic enzyme activity, gut motility and gastric acid (64). Importantly in PD patients, the prevalence of gut motility dysfunction (constipation) and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) usage is relatively high (77.1 and 39.6% respectively, n = 39) (65) and is associated with SIBO (66). Studies looking at the alteration of the microbiota in subjects using PPIs showed increased levels of Bacilli (including Lactobacillus, Staphylococcus, and Enterococcus) in fecal samples (67, 68). In duodenal samples, SIBO was also observed in 56% of patients on PPIs (n = 25) and included mainly genera from the Bacilli class (69). Bacterial species from the Bacilli class are of importance as they harbor TDCs, which are able to interfere with levodopa levels (19). When SIBO is eradicated in PD patients with Helicobacter pylori infection using rifaximin, a common non-absorbable antibiotic used to treat SIBO (70), motor fluctuations were improved as apparent from the significant decreased delayed “on” episodes/day and daily “off” time, although no significant increase in levodopa pharmacokinetics was observed (71). The underlying explanation of improved motor fluctuations following SIBO eradication remains to be elucidated. However, a plausible explanation is that eradication of bacterial degradation of levodopa in the small intestine altered levels of the levodopa metabolite, dopamine, in the small intestinal lumen (19), and/or eliminated SIBO-induced small intestinal inflammation (71).

In 2001, investigators observed a clinical improvement in PD patients after treatment with antibiotics used to eradicate Helicobacter pylori in two almost identical reports. When HP-infections were treated, the mean AUC of levodopa in the blood significantly increased by ~1.2-fold. A UPDRS-III motor examination showed indeed a significant decrease in motor score (72, 73). A follow-up study confirmed these findings in a larger cohort (n = 17) and showed that either 2 weeks or 3 months after HP eradication, PD patients had higher levodopa blood levels (AUC) and lower UPDRS-III motor scores compared to before the eradication (18). Other studies did not find a significant difference in pharmacokinetics (74) or LEDD (levodopa equivalent daily dose) (75, 76) of levodopa between PD patients tested positive or negative for HP infection. In addition, no motor improvement (UPDRS-III) was found after HP eradication in 34 patients (75). Despite the discrepancy among studies, Helicobacter pylori might still play a significant role in drug absorption. The mechanism of Helicobacter pylori affecting the levodopa absorption is unclear, one possible explanation for altered drug absorption might be the gastric acidity, which is altered by Helicobacter pylori infection and therefore interferes with drug pharmacokinetics of levodopa, delavirdine, and thyroxine (77). Interestingly, an in vitro study showed that adhesins exposed on the outer membrane of Helicobacter pylori might bind to levodopa and therefore might contribute to the lower pharmacokinetics in Helicobacter pylori infected PD patients (17). No follow-up studies were published and it remains to be elucidated which adhesin(s) are responsible for binding levodopa. Besides, whether the antibiotic cocktail used to treat Helicobacter pylori infections (1,000/500 mg amoxicillin/clarithromycin) could also eradicate other bacterial species in the small intestine, which might interfere with the availability of levodopa, and thus could be the actual reason behind the observed increase in blood levels of levodopa, was not investigated.

EFFECT OF Dopamine AND Dopamine Agonists ON GUT MOTILITY

Bacterial species from the Bacilli class, especially enterococci, are able to produce luminal dopamine (19). Importantly, dopamine and their agonists have been shown to affect the gut motility (discussed below), which could potentially favor the colonization of levodopa decarboxylating bacteria (19) (Figure 2). In addition, the dopamine agonists, which are usually used in combination with levodopa treatment, could have a similar effect on influencing gut motility to favor colonization of specific bacterial species. Therefore, studies investigating the effects of dopamine on gut motility of rodents, dogs, and humans were reviewed, with a complete overview in Table 1.

Using electrical field stimulation (EFS) on longitudinal muscle strips of guinea pig ileum in organ baths, dopamine (1–100 µM) and bromocriptine (0.15–15 µM), a dopamine agonist used in PD treatment, inhibited the cholinergic twitch up to ~46 and ~82%, respectively. Neither dopamine antagonists, metoclopramide nor pimozide prevented the observed inhibition by dopamine or bromocriptine. When using the α-adrenoceptor antagonist, phenotolamine, only the observed inhibition of dopamine but not of bromocriptine was rescued, indicating that dopamine acts through the α-adrenoceptors (78). The same conclusions on the inhibitory effect of dopamine were shown in an almost identical study using ileum of guinea pig (79). Notably, tyramine, a product of bacterial TDC, resulted in similar inhibitions of cholinergic twitch (79). Dopamine, bromocriptine, and to a lesser extent tyramine, were also able to relax methacholine-contracted jejunal tissues from guinea pig (80). In rats, dopamine initiated directly a short longitudinal contraction followed by relaxation within 5 min in the duodenum and jejunum. However, in the ileum, only relaxations were observed (81). In addition, dopamine had also an inhibitory effect on the spontaneous contractions of longitudinal muscle strips from rat distal colon (82). The motility of mouse longitudinal fixed ileum (83), circular muscle strips of colon (84) and longitudinal fixed colon (85)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Effect on motility</th>
<th>Tested agonists (µM)</th>
<th>Dopamine receptor antagonist (µM)</th>
<th>Other inhibitors</th>
<th>Effect inhibited by</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zar et al.</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Ileum; longitudinal muscle; electrical field stimulation</td>
<td>Relaxation</td>
<td>Dopamine (1–100), Bromocriptine (0.15–15)</td>
<td>Pimozide (1)</td>
<td>Phentolamine (5), Metoclopramide (90)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Phenolamine (only DA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorich et al.</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Ileum; longitudinal fixation (reserpine pretreatment)</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Dopamine, Noradrenaline, Clonidine (and tyramine) (1–100)</td>
<td>Metoclopramide (1–30), sulpiride (1–300), domperidone (0.01–0.1), pimozide (0.01–0.1) cis-flupenthixol (0.1–1)</td>
<td>Tolazoline (0.3–3)</td>
<td>Reserpine (VMAT2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Metoclopramide, sulpiride, tolazoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucchelli et al.</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Jejunum; longitudinal fixation; methacholine induced contraction</td>
<td>Relaxation</td>
<td>Dopamine (1–000), Apomorphine (3–100), Bromocriptine (1–56), Fenoldopam (1,000), and tyramine 1–3,000 (data not shown)</td>
<td>Haloperidol (1,3), cis-flupenthixol (1), SCH-23390 (1,3)</td>
<td>Phentolamine (1,3), propranolol (0.3,1,3,10)</td>
<td>Reserpine (P. 5 mg/kg), TTX (0.3)</td>
<td>Phenolamine (only ~7%) and propranolol (up to ~45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirschstein et al.</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Duodenum, jejunum, ileum; longitudinal fixation</td>
<td>Relaxation and Constriction</td>
<td>Dopamine (100)</td>
<td>SCH-23390 (1), raclopride (1)</td>
<td>Propranolol (3), Prazosin (30)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>All tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Distal colon; longitudinal strips</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Dopamine (5–30)</td>
<td>SCH-23390 (10), Sulpiride (10)</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>TTX (1)</td>
<td>SCH-23390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zizzo et al.</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Ileum; longitudinal fixation</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Dopamine (1–300), SKF-38393 (0.003–100)</td>
<td>SCH-23390 (3,10), Sulpiride (10), Domperidone (5)</td>
<td>Propranolol (10), SR-59223A (0.1), Phentolamine, (10) Yohimbine (10)</td>
<td>DDA (10), Aparin (0.1), Charbydotoxin (0.1), Iberixtoxin (0.1), TTX (1), L-NAME (100), A tropine (1), DPCPX (10), DMPX (10), MRS-1220 (0.1), Methysergide (1)</td>
<td>SR-59230, Phentolamine, Yohimbine (at high concentration of DA), SCH-23390 and SCH-23390 in combination with Sulpiride or Domperidone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Effect on motility</th>
<th>Tested agonists (µM)</th>
<th>Dopamine receptor antagonist (µM)</th>
<th>Other inhibitors</th>
<th>Effect inhibited by</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auteri et al. (84)</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Colon; circular muscle strips; Carbachol precontracted or electrical field stimulation</td>
<td>Relaxation/Inhibitory</td>
<td>Dopamine (1–300), SKF-38393 (up to 100), bromocriptine (0.3–100), isoproterenol</td>
<td>SCH-23390 (3), domperidone (9)</td>
<td>Prazosin (1), Yohimbine (1), propranolol (1), SR-59230A (0.1)</td>
<td>TTX (1), ω-conotoxin (0.1), SNX-482 (0.1), ω-agatoxin TK (0.1), L-NAME (100)</td>
<td>Domperidone (during carbochol contraction); SCH-23390 (during electrical field stimulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al. (85)</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Organ bath</td>
<td>Distal colon (WT and DAT-/-); Longitudinal fixation; Electrical field stimulation</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Dopamine (0.01–300)</td>
<td>SCH-23390 (10), sulpiride (10)</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sch-23390/sulpiride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fioramonti et al. (86)</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Implanted Ni/Co electrodes</td>
<td>Duodenum and jejunum</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Intracerebroventricularly dopamine (10 µg/kg); Intravenous dopamine (100 µg/kg)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bueno et al. (87)</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Implanted strain gauge transducers</td>
<td>Ascending, traverse, descending colon</td>
<td>Inhibitory and Inducing</td>
<td>Iv injections of dopamine at 1 mg/kg/h or bromocriptine 40 µg/kg/h</td>
<td>Haloperidol (0.2 mg/kg); Phentolamine (0.1 mg/kg), Tolazoline (2 mg/kg), Propranolol (0.2 mg/kg), Prazosin (0.5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Phenothalmine, prazosin and haloperidol for dopamine inhibitory effect,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marzio et al. (88)</td>
<td>Human, healthy</td>
<td>Intestinal radiopaque tube consisting of four polyvinyl catheters with 4 side openings equally spread perfused with 1.59 ml/min with distilled water. Closure of the openings gives rise 100 mm hg/sec</td>
<td>Duodenum, proximal jejunum</td>
<td>Inducing</td>
<td>Intravenously dopamine 5 µg/kg/min for 15 min</td>
<td>Domperidone (10 mg) and sulpiride (100 mg)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Domperidone and sulpiride</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
**TABLE 1 | Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Effect on motility</th>
<th>Tested agonists (µM)</th>
<th>Dopamine receptor antagonist (µM)</th>
<th>Adrenergic receptor antagonist (µM)</th>
<th>Other inhibitors</th>
<th>Effect inhibited by</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marzio et al. (89)</td>
<td>Human, healthy</td>
<td>Nasoduodenal probe consisting of 5 polyethylene catheters with evenly spaced openings 20 cm apart continuously perfused with 0.5 ml/min distilled water</td>
<td>Stomach, Duodenum, Proximal Jejunum</td>
<td>Inducing/Inhibitory</td>
<td>Intravenously dopamine 5 µg/kg/min for 15 min</td>
<td>Domperidon (20 mg)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Domperidon</td>
<td>Dopamine induced phase-III like MMCs during fed state in the small intestine, which was inhibited by domperidone, and decreased the motility of the stomach. After the phase-III MMCs a short period of complete quiescence was observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leven et al. (90)</td>
<td>Human, healthy</td>
<td>Paracetamol AUC; orocecal transit time</td>
<td>Mouth -&gt; Ileum</td>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
<td>Intravenously dopamine 5 µg/kg/min</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Dopamine reduced the AUC(50 min) of paracetamol significantly, associated with a delayed gastric emptying; OCT time was significantly longer then controls indicating a delayed gastric emptying and gut motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dive et al. (91)</td>
<td>Human, critically ill adults under mechanical ventilation without suffering from active gastrointestinal disease</td>
<td>Multilumen tube consisting of polyvinyl catheters with side openings, 1.5 cm apart for stomach and 10 cm apart for duodenum continuously perfused with 0.2 ml/min distilled water</td>
<td>Stomach, duodenum</td>
<td>Inhibitory/Inducing</td>
<td>Intravenously dopamine 4 µg/kg/min</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Decreased number of contractions in the gastric antrum (only significant during fasting) and induced phase III motor activity in the duodenum (only significant during feeding)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pA2, the concentration that produces a 2-fold shift in the agonist concentration-response curve; Dopaminergic antagonists: SCH-23390, D1 receptor antagonist; Domperidone, Haloperidol, Metoclopramide, Pinazide, Raclopride, Sulpiride, D2 receptor antagonist; cis-flupentixol, D1 and D2 receptor antagonist; Adrenergic antagonists: Tolazoline, Phentolamine, Prazosin, a1 adrenergic receptor antagonist; Yohimbine, a2 adrenergic receptor antagonist; Propranolol, b adrenergic receptor antagonist; SR-59230A, b3-adrenoceptor antagonist; Other antagonists and inhibitors: Apanin, SKCa channel blocker; Ab tropine, Muscarinic receptor blocker; Carbachol, Cholinergic agonist; Charybdotoxin, IKCa-BKCa channel blocker; DDA, Adenylyl cyclase inhibitor; DMPX, Adenosine A2 receptor antagonist; DPCPX, Adenosine A1 receptor antagonist; Tetrodotoxin, BKCa channel blocker; L-NAME, NO synthase inhibitor; Methysergide, 5-HT receptor antagonist; MRS-1220, Adenosine A3 receptor antagonist; MRS-2179, Purinergic P2Y1 receptor antagonist; Reserpine, VMAT inhibitor; SNX-482, PIQ-type Ca2+ channel blocker; TTX, Na+ voltage-gated neural channel blocker; ω-agatoxin TK, R-type Ca2+ channel blocker; ω-conotoxin, N-type Ca2+ channel blocker.
were all inhibited by dopamine and in the latter study also by bromocriptine, attributed to dopaminergic and/or adrenergic receptors. In dogs, the gut motility of the small intestine (86) and the colon (87) was monitored in vivo using implanted electrodes. Injection of dopamine (10 µg/kg) intracerebroventricularly 1 h before a meal decreased the duration of the migrating motor complex (MMC; intestinal motility pattern of the interdigestive state) episodes in the small intestine compared to controls, although this effect was not observed when dopamine was injected intravenously (100 µg/kg) (86). In the colon, a similar inhibition was observed, although with a 10 times higher concentration of dopamine (1 mg/kg/h) injected intravenously (87). Importantly, bromocriptine had an opposite effect, where it induced the colon motility instead (87). In fasted human subjects, intravenous administration of dopamine (75 µg/kg in 15 min) induced phase-III like MMCs (last phase in the MMC cycle which consists of strong contractions to completely occlude the lumen) in the duodenum (88), which is in contrast to the previous studies in rodents (organ bath experiments) and dogs. The MMCs were similar to spontaneous phase-III MMCs, although with a slightly longer period of complete inhibition after phase-III MMCs (88). Similar results were found in terminally ill patients (91). A follow up study in humans during fed state showed that dopamine disrupted the fed state MMCs and induced phase-III like MMCs, followed by a short period of complete quiescence (phase-I like MMCs), which was inhibited by the dopamine receptor D2 blocker (DRD2) domperidone, suggesting the involvement of peripheral D2 receptors (89). Lastly, when the gut motility was investigated using orocaecal transit time (OCT) and paracetamol pharmacokinetics as gastric emptying marker during intravenous injection of dopamine (90), a reduction in the AUC_{60min} of paracetamol was observed. This suggests that dopamine causes delayed OCT time, which could be due to delayed gastric emptying and a decrease in gut motility (90). Functional studies investigating the dopamine receptors in the GI-tract of mouse showed that the dopamine receptor D2 (Drd2) is important for gut motility. Mice lacking Drd2, but not Drd3, receptor showed an increased gut transit time compared to the controls (92) suggesting that endogenous dopamine has an inhibitory effect on intestinal motility (92). The findings confirm the earlier organ bath experiments with rodent tissue. In summary, these studies (Table 1) show that in rodents and dogs the GI motility is inhibited by dopamine through dopaminergic and adrenergic receptors.

In contrast, in humans, dopamine seems to inhibit stomach motility and induce phase-III like MMCs followed by a short time of quiescence through dopaminergic receptors. A potential explanation of the discrepancy among the human and the animal studies might be the experimental setup. In rodents, dissected intestinal parts were placed in an organ bath ex vivo and in dogs electrodes were implanted on the basal side of segments of the GI-tract (86, 87). In contrast, in human studies, nasojejunal luminal-tubes consisting of catheters with side openings were fluoroscopically placed in the GI-tract and perfused with 0.2–1.59 mL/min water (88, 89, 91). The latter might induce an altered gut motility per se in a non-physiological manner. More studies should be conducted to test the effects of dopamine on the gut motility in humans, and especially in PD patients, who might already have an altered gut motility (4).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The “on”/“off” motor fluctuations in PD patients are highly dependent on the pharmacological treatment and factors contributing to its efficacy. Dietary amino acids and gut bacterial interference with levodopa treatment can contribute to the reduction of levodopa dosage absorbed in the small intestine, thereby restrict the effectiveness of the treatment. Especially luminal dopamine, which is produced by gut bacterial degradation of levodopa and is affecting the gut motility, would enhance the overgrowth of these bacteria in the small intestine and result in a vicious circle that enhances SIBO. The effect of dopamine on (small) intestinal motility, urges the investigation of the effect luminal dopamine and dopamine agonists on the gut motility of PD patients. Finally, it is crucial to accurately measure levels of SIBO in PD patients, especially in those who administer PPIs, and to diagnose other possible underlying diseases, such as hyperthyroidism. These precautions will help reduce the factors contributing to compromised levodopa bioavailability and the unwarranted side effects that result from increased frequency of dosage treatment regimen.
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