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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Although attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and eating disorders (EDs) frequently co-occur, little is known about the shared etiology. In this study, we comprehensively investigated the genetic association between ADHD and various EDs, including anorexia nervosa (AN) and other EDs such as bulimia nervosa.

METHODS: We applied different genetically informative designs to register-based information of a Swedish nationwide population (N = 3,550,118). We first examined the familial coaggregation of clinically diagnosed ADHD and EDs across multiple types of relatives. We then applied quantitative genetic modeling in full-sisters and maternal half-sisters to estimate the genetic correlations between ADHD and EDs. We further tested the associations between ADHD polygenic risk scores and ED symptoms, and between AN polygenic risk scores and ADHD symptoms, in a genotyped population-based sample (N = 13,472).

RESULTS: Increased risk of all types of EDs was found in individuals with ADHD (any ED: odds ratio [OR] = 3.97, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 3.81, 4.14; AN: OR = 2.68, 95% CI = 2.15, 2.86; other EDs: OR = 4.66, 95% CI = 4.47, 4.87; bulimia nervosa: OR = 5.01, 95% CI = 4.63, 5.41) and their relatives compared with individuals without ADHD and their relatives. The magnitude of the associations decreased as the degree of relatedness decreased, suggesting shared familial liability between ADHD and EDs. Quantitative genetic models revealed stronger genetic correlation of ADHD with other EDs (.37, 95% CI = .31, .42) than with AN (.14, 95% CI = .05, .22). ADHD polygenic risk scores correlated positively with ED symptom measures overall and with the subscales Drive for Thinness and Body Dissatisfaction despite small effect sizes.

CONCLUSIONS: We observed stronger genetic association with ADHD for non-AN EDs than for AN, highlighting specific genetic correlation beyond a general genetic factor across psychiatric disorders.
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Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and eating disorders (EDs)—including anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN)—significantly impair the health and quality of life of the affected individuals (1–4) and have been associated with elevated premature mortality (5–9). In both clinical and population settings, ADHD has been reported to be commonly co-morbid with disordered eating behaviors (10–14), in particular with binge eating–related phenotypes, compared with restricting behaviors (13–15). In a Swedish adult sample (N = 1165) with clinically diagnosed EDs, the prevalence of ADHD symptoms was as high as 35% to 37% in BN and AN binge eating/purging subtype versus 18% in AN restricting subtype (15). ADHD symptoms during early childhood also predict binge-eating behaviors during adolescence (16,17).

Importantly, common treatment strategies for ADHD and binge eating–related symptoms exist. For instance, a stimulant medication, lisdexamfetamine, with well-established beneficial effects on ADHD symptoms (18), has been shown to be effective in the short-term management of binge eating disorder (19) and to reduce the risk of binge eating relapse over 6 months (20). Stimulant medication is under consideration for the treatment of BN as well (15), warranting refined understanding of the shared etiology between ADHD and EDs.
The genetic liability for ADHD and EDs has been established separately by twin and family studies (21–24) and genomewide association studies (GWASs); single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) heritability was .22 (SE = .01) for ADHD (25) and .20 (SE = .02) for AN (26), but the genetic overlap remains unclear. The available GWAS findings revealed a nonsignificant genetic correlation between ADHD and AN that was difficult to interpret owing to the low statistical power (27). GWASs for non-AN EDs are not available, and only one twin study has reported a moderate genetic correlation (.35) between self-reported non-AN EDs (i.e., binge eating behaviors) and ADHD symptoms in a Swedish adult twin sample (28). Replications at population level with clinically stringent definitions for both ADHD and disordered eating are needed.

Although shared heritability has been reported across multiple psychiatric disorders (27,29,30), it has not been obvious for ADHD and EDs. Moreover, various EDs might be genetically differently associated with ADHD given their differential phenotypic associations [e.g., binge eating behaviors are more correlated with ADHD compared with restricting behaviors (13–15)] and warrant further investigation. We used multiple complementary approaches to comprehensively explore the phenotypic and genetic associations between ADHD and various EDs at both diagnostic and symptomatic levels. With the Swedish national register data, we first examined the familial coaggregation of clinically diagnosed ADHD and EDs, specifically AN and other EDs (OEDs), including BN. We then applied quantitative genetic modeling to estimate the genetic and environmental correlations between ADHD and EDs. Next, we incorporated GWAS findings and symptom measures in a child and adolescent twin sample, the Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS), where we derived polygenic risk scores (PRSs) for ADHD and AN separately and estimated the associations between ADHD PRSs and ED symptoms and between AN PRSs and ADHD symptoms, respectively. Convergent results across these methodologies would allow us to draw more definitive conclusions regarding the nature of the relation between ADHD and EDs.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The use of the Swedish national registers and CATSS was approved by the Regional Ethics Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden. In the CATSS, informed consent was provided by parents for twins aged 9 or 12 years and by twins themselves (aged 15 years) (31).

Data Sources

The Swedish National Registers. Using the unique individual identification numbers, we linked several registers in Sweden (data updated until December 2013). We acquired information on birth year, death date, and migration type and date from the Total Population Register (32); clinically diagnosed ADHD and EDs from the National Patient Register (based on the ICD-9 or ICD-10) (33), Prescribed Drug Register (34), and several treatment quality registers (based on the DSM-IV) (35–38); and familial relatedness from the Multi-Generation Register. The description of these registers is detailed in the Supplement.

We identified ADHD based on registered lifetime diagnosis and medication prescriptions (23) and identified EDs (including any ED, AN, OED, and BN) based on lifetime diagnosis (9) (detailed in Supplement). Any ED, AN, and BN were defined as in previous research (9). OED was defined as having any lifetime ED other than AN (i.e., non-AN ED).

Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden. CATSS is an ongoing study (since 2004) targeting all twins born in Sweden since July 1, 1992 (31) (data updated until December 2015). Parent reports on mental health of the twins were collected when the twins were 9 years old (born 1995–2005) or 12 years old (born 1992–1995). Follow-up questionnaires were distributed to both parents and twins when the twins reached 15 and 18 years of age. Genotype data were available for 13,472 individuals after standard data processing and quality control (Supplement).

Parent-reported ADHD symptoms were measured with a validated instrument, the Autism–Tics, ADHD, and Other Comorbidities inventory (39), at 9 or 12 years of age. The ADHD measures contained 19 items, with subscales of Inattention (9 items) and Hyperactivity/impulsivity (10 items). Questions were answered on a scale of “no” (coded 0), “yes, to some extent” (coded 0.5), and “yes” (coded 1) (39). Self-reported ADHD symptoms were measured by 3 of 11 subscales in the Eating Disorder Inventory-2 (EDI-2) (40), validated in Nordic countries in female individuals (41,42), at 15 years of age, including the subscales of Drive for Thinness (7 items), Bulimia (7 items), and Body Dissatisfaction (8 items). Questions were answered on a scale with 6 options ranging from “never” (coded 1) to “always” (coded 6). We computed the sum score of the ADHD symptom questions and mean score of EDI-2 questions at both full scale and subscales (score distributions detailed in Supplemental Figure S1).

Statistical Analysis

Associations Between ADHD and EDs and Familial Coaggregation. Analyses were performed in a Swedish nationwide population born in Sweden between 1970 and 2005 after excluding individuals who died or emigrated before 6 years of age and adoptees (N = 3,550,118; age range = 8–44 years, mean = 26.6, SD = 10.3). To study familial coaggregation, we used data for full-siblings (4,191,852 pairs), maternal half-siblings (697,763 pairs), paternal half-siblings (829,126 pairs), and cousins (16,347,002 pairs).

We first estimated odds ratios (ORs) of any ED, AN, OED, and BN in individuals with ADHD compared with individuals without ADHD using logistic regression. We then evaluated familial coaggregation patterns of ADHD and EDs by estimating ORs of EDs in each type of relative of individuals with ADHD compared with the same type of relative of individuals without ADHD. If ADHD and EDs share genetic and/or family environmental causes, ORs of EDs would be above 1 in relatives of individuals with ADHD (i.e., familial coaggregation). We adjusted for birth year and sex and addressed nonindependence of data due to familial clustering with robust (sandwich) estimator of standard errors in all regression models. We further adjusted for ADHD in the relatives as sensitivity tests (9,43) (Supplement).
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Quantitative Genetic Modeling. We used full-sisters and maternal half-sisters for the quantitative genetic analyses. Because the prevalence of EDs was too low in male individuals, we restricted the analyses to female individuals. We randomly selected one pair of full-sisters or maternal half-sisters from each family, resulting in 334,433 pairs of full-sisters (age range = 9–44 years, mean = 26.5, SD = 9.6) and 57,036 pairs of maternal half-sisters (age range = 9–44 years, mean = 26.2, SD = 9.3). Twin pairs were excluded because their genetic and/or environmental sharing was potentially higher than that of full-sisters who were not twins.

Each binary trait (i.e., ADHD, AN, OED, or BN) was analyzed in a liability threshold setting (44). We first estimated tetrachoric correlations, including within-trait cross-sister, phenotypic (i.e., cross-trait within-individual), and cross-trait cross-sister correlations (Supplement). Next, bivariate quantitative genetic models were fitted to quantify genetic and environmental contributions to ADHD and EDs and their associations. Analyses were performed using OpenMx (version 2.7.9) in R 3.3.2 (45). Quantitative genetic modeling decomposes variance of each disorder and covariance between two disorders into additive genetic effects (A), dominant genetic effects (D), shared environmental effects (C), and unique environmental effects (E, including measurement error). On average, full-sisters share additive (coefficient .25) genetic variance and shared (coefficient .50) and dominant (coefficient .25) genetic variance and shared (coefficient 1.00) environment variance, whereas maternal half-sisters share additive (coefficient .25) genetic variance and shared (coefficient 1.00) environment variance. For each combination of ADHD and ED, we fitted bivariate models that included A, C, and E components (ACE model), A and D components (ADE model), and A and E components (AE model) and interpreted results of the best-fit model (measured by the lowest Akaike information criterion. The main results included heritability, coheritability (the proportion of the phenotypic covariance explained by the genetic covariance), and the genetic correlation for ADHD and ED.

Molecular Genetic Approach Using PRSs. We derived ADHD and AN PRSs for 13,472 eligible individuals in CATSS using imputed genetic data (Supplement). ADHD PRSs were generated based on independent summary statistics from the largest available GWAS of clinically diagnosed ADHD (19,099 cases and 34,194 controls, European ancestry) (46). We followed standard procedure (25) and derived ADHD PRSs based on 84,969 SNPs after linkage disequilibrium clumping ($r^2 > .1$ within 1000 kb; with minor allele frequency $\geq 0.05$ and acceptable imputation quality INFO $\geq 0.80$) using 1000 genomes (European ancestry) as reference (47). AN PRSs in CATSS were derived using independent summary statistics of the largest available AN GWAS (3495 cases and 10,982 controls, European ancestry; 84,278 SNPs after linkage disequilibrium clumping with the above parameters) (26). PRSs were calculated in each individual by scoring the number of reference alleles (weighted by the allelic effect size) across the set of remaining SNPs after clumping (PLINK 1.9; http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink) (48). We derived and standardized ADHD and AN PRSs at the $p$-value threshold $p < 1.00$ and used them for primary analyses (49); PRSs at stricter thresholds ($p < .0001, p < .001, p < .01, p < .05, p < .10, and p < .50$) were derived and standardized for sensitivity analyses.

We evaluated the associations between ADHD PRSs and the ED symptom score (dependent variable) and between AN PRSs and the ADHD symptom score (dependent variable) using linear regressions, adjusted for sex, birth year, and the top 5 principal components; variance in symptom score explained by PRSs was measured by the difference in $R^2$ between the full model and the nested model without PRSs. To account for the correlated nature of the data, we applied generalized estimating equations to estimate the regression coefficients ($\beta$) and the standard error [R package dgrees (50)]. $\beta$ can be interpreted as the change in the symptom score per standard deviation of PRS. We stratified analyses by sex in sensitivity analyses.

RESULTS

The prevalence of ADHD was 3.1% in the Swedish nationwide population ($N = 3,550,118$; 2.2% in female individuals and 3.8% in male individuals) during the observed period. As shown in Table 1, individuals with ADHD had significantly higher prevalence of EDs than individuals without ADHD; the prevalence of all EDs in the study population during the observed period was higher in female individuals than in male individuals and was stable across different types of relatives (Supplemental Table S1).

Associations Between ADHD and EDs and Familial Coaggregation

In both sexes, individuals with ADHD had increased risk of any ED (female OR = 3.95, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 3.78, 4.12; male OR = 3.88, 95% CI = 3.41, 4.42). The ORs of OEDs

---

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the Total Study Population and Each Type of Relative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population ($N = 3,550,118$)</th>
<th>Female Individuals ($n = 1,726,311$)</th>
<th>Male Individuals ($n = 1,823,807$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>108,443 (3.5%)</td>
<td>57,165 (3.3%)</td>
<td>51,278 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ADHD</td>
<td>3,441,675 (96.5%)</td>
<td>1,169,146 (66.7%)</td>
<td>2,272,531 (77.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AN, anorexia nervosa; BN, bulimia nervosa; ED, eating disorder; OEDs, other eating disorders (i.e., non-AN eating disorders).
Table 2. Numbers, Correlations, and Results in Quantitative Genetic Modeling for ADHD and ED (AN, OEDs, and BN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Number of Individuals or Pairs</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Results of Quantitative Genetic Modeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within Disorder</td>
<td>ED and ADHD</td>
<td>Within Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concordant Pairs (^a) (Both Affected/Both Unaffected)</td>
<td>With Both Disorders</td>
<td>Concordant Pairs (^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>Full-sister</td>
<td>787,032,529</td>
<td>11,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal half-sister</td>
<td>292,52,031</td>
<td>4713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>Full-sister</td>
<td>107,328,436</td>
<td>5890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal half-sister</td>
<td>5,56,103</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEDs</td>
<td>Full-sister</td>
<td>250,324,912</td>
<td>9271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal half-sister</td>
<td>19,55,133</td>
<td>1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>Full-sister</td>
<td>34,331,325</td>
<td>3074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal half-sister</td>
<td>4,56,481</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations were tetrachoric correlations (presented with 95% CI). Within-trait cross-sister correlations were the tetrachoric correlations of a disorder between two sisters in a pair. Phenotypic correlations with ADHD were the tetrachoric correlations between ADHD and ED within an individual. Cross-sister cross-trait correlations with ADHD were the tetrachoric correlations between ADHD in one sister and ED in the other sister in a pair. Results are from three bivariate AE models for ADHD–AN, ADHD–OEDs, and ADHD–BN. Results are presented as point estimates (95% CI). ADHD heritability was estimated in each combination of ADHD and ED, and the estimates were similar. The presented heritability and variance explained by unique environmental variance were extracted from the bivariate AE model of ADHD–OED, which was the best powered model compared with the other two models. The heritability of ADHD was estimated to be approximately 82%, and the heritabilities of the EDs were estimated to be approximately 40% to 45%. Approximately 42% of the phenotypic covariance between ADHD and AN was explained by their genetic covariance, whereas approximately 73% of the phenotypic covariance between ADHD and OEDs was explained by their genetic covariance, and the proportion for ADHD and BN was estimated to be approximately 58%. The remaining proportion of the phenotypic covariance between ADHD and each ED was explained by their unique environmental covariance. The genetic correlation between ADHD and AN was estimated to be approximately .14 (.05, .22), whereas higher genetic correlation with ADHD was found in OEDs (.37 [.31, .42]) and potentially also in BN (.28 [.20, .39]).

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AN, anorexia nervosa; BN, bulimia nervosa; CI, confidence interval; ED, eating disorder; OEDs, other eating disorders (i.e., non-AN eating disorders).

\(^a\)The number of pairs with both sisters affected vs. (/) the number of pairs with both sisters unaffected.

\(^b\)The number of pairs where one sister was affected with the disorder and the other was unaffected.

\(^c\)The number of pairs where one sister was affected with ADHD and the other affected with ED.
Table 3. Associations Between ADHD PRS and ED Symptom Measures and Between AN PRS and ADHD Symptom Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom Measure</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any ED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-sibling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal half-sibling</td>
<td>3.97 (3.81, 4.14)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.44 (1.36, 1.52)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>1.22 (1.11, 1.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index person</td>
<td>2.68 (2.51, 2.86)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-sibling</td>
<td>1.18 (1.08, 1.29)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.12 (0.97, 1.29)</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.18 (1.02, 1.37)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>1.01 (0.96, 1.06)</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index person</td>
<td>4.66 (4.47, 4.87)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-sibling</td>
<td>1.58 (1.49, 1.68)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.26 (1.14, 1.39)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.07 (0.96, 1.20)</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>1.13 (1.09, 1.18)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index person</td>
<td>5.01 (4.83, 5.41)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-sibling</td>
<td>1.44 (1.29, 1.61)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal half-sibling</td>
<td>1.27 (1.05, 1.53)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal half-sibling</td>
<td>0.99 (0.80, 1.22)</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin</td>
<td>1.08 (1.01, 1.15)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows the results of the primary analysis, where ADHD PRS and AN PRS were derived based on all single nucleotide polymorphisms after linkage disequilibrium clumping (p-value threshold < 1) and standardized before analysis. ADHD PRSs were significantly associated with symptom measures of Drive for Thinness (p = .02), Body Dissatisfaction (p = .007), and the full scale (p = .015), but they were not significantly associated with the measure of Bulimia. R² represents the proportion of variance in the symptom measures explained by the variance in the PRS; for example, the variance in ADHD PRS explained approximately 0.1% variance in the measure of Drive for Thinness (R² = .0010) and approximately 0.13% variance in the measure of Body Dissatisfaction (R² = .0013). Regression coefficient (β) reflects the change in symptom measures per standard deviation increase of the PRS; for example, when ADHD PRS increased by 1 standard deviation, the symptom measure would increase by 0.032 points for Drive for Thinness, by 0.042 for Body Dissatisfaction, and by 0.027 for the full scale. AN PRS was not significantly associated with any of the symptom measures of ADHD. Standardized Cronbach’s α was presented as a measure for internal consistency within each subscale; higher values correspond to higher internal consistency. The range for acceptable values was .70 to .95. ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AN, anorexia nervosa; CI, confidence interval; ED, eating disorder; EDI-2, Eating Disorder Inventory-2; PRSs, polygenic risk scores.

*The total number (%) of individuals with each symptom measure in the study population (N = 13,472) is shown.
95% CI = 1.08, 1.29), OEDs (OR = 1.58, 95% CI = 1.49, 1.68), and BN (OR = 1.44, 95% CI = 1.29, 1.61) compared with full-siblings of individuals without ADHD (Figure 1). Other relatives of individuals with ADHD were also at increased risk of EDs and the magnitude of ORs was attenuated with decreasing genetic and/or familial environmental relatedness, suggesting familial liability shared between ADHD and EDs. Familial coaggregation remained statistically significant for ADHD and any ED and OED after adjusting for ADHD in relatives, further supporting the shared familial liabilities (Supplemental Table S4).

Quantitative Genetic Modeling

The ORs of EDs in the quantitative genetic modeling sample were comparable to those in the female population (Supplemental Table S3). AE models had the lowest Akaike information criterion (Supplemental Table S5) and were therefore interpreted (Table 2).

As shown in Table 2, within-trait cross-sister correlations for ADHD, AN, OEDs, and BN were higher in full-sisters than in maternal half-sisters, suggesting genetic effects on each disorder given that the two types of sisters were assumed to have equal environmental sharing but that full-sisters share more...
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generic variance than maternal half-sisters. Heritability was estimated to be 82% in ADHD, 42% in AN, 45% in OEDs, and 40% in BN from the AE models.

The phenotypic correlation between ADHD and each ED was comparable between sister types; OEDs manifested higher phenotypic correlation with ADHD than AN, which agreed with the observed pattern of ORs of OEDs and AN in individuals with and without ADHD. The cross-twin cross-sister correlations between ADHD and EDs were also higher in full-sisters than in maternal half-sisters, suggesting genetic effects on the association between ADHD and each ED. The coheritability with ADHD was estimated to be 73% for OEDs, suggesting that 73% of the phenotypic covariance between OEDs and ADHD was explained by genetic covariance of the two disorders. Approximately 58% of the phenotypic covariance between BN and ADHD and 42% of the phenotypic covariance between AN and ADHD were attributable to their genetic covariance. Genetic correlation with ADHD was numerically higher in OEDs (.37, 95% CI = .31, .42), and potentially in BN (.28, 95% CI = .20, .39), than in AN (.14, 95% CI = .05, .22).

PRS Analysis

The subscales of ADHD and ED symptoms showed high internal consistency except the subscale Bulimia [Cronbach’s alpha (51)] (Table 3). ADHD PRSs were significantly associated with the EDI-2 full scale ($\beta = .027$, 95% CI = .005, .049, $R^2 = .0012$, $p = .015$) and the subscales Drive for Thinness ($\beta = .032$, 95% CI = .005, .059, $R^2 = .0010$, $p = .022$) and Body Dissatisfaction ($\beta = .042$, 95% CI = .011, .072, $R^2 = .0013$, $p = .007$) but not the subscale Bulimia ($\beta = .004$, 95% CI = -.013, .021, $p = .654$) (Table 3). In contrast, AN PRSs were not significantly associated with ADHD full scale or the subscales.

The sensitivity analyses of ADHD PRSs at different $p$-value thresholds showed consistency in variance explained (Figure 2A) and regression coefficient (Figure 2B) for all EDI-2 measures; the associations appeared to be stronger in female individuals, but sex differences were not statistically significant (Supplemental Table S7). The associations between AN PRSs and ADHD symptoms were less consistent. AN PRSs at the $p$-value thresholds $p < .00001$, $p < .01$, and $p < .05$ showed weak negative associations with the subscale Inattention (Figure 2C, D), which might be driven by male individuals who had higher variation in the symptoms than female individuals (Supplemental Tables S8 and S9 and Supplemental Figures S2 and S3).

DISCUSSION

Although genetic correlations are found across several psychiatric disorders (27,30), little is known about how various EDs genetically correlate with other disorders, specifically ADHD, given their common clinical co-occurrence (11,12,15,16). Here we reported convergent results from different genetically informative study designs revealing the genetic associations with ADHD across various EDs, especially non-AN EDs compared with AN, highlighting specific genetic correlations beyond a general genetic factor across psychiatric disorders (29). Our finding of marked genetic correlations between ADHD and non-AN EDs was in line with a previously found genetic correlation between ADHD symptoms and binge eating behaviors (28) and might in part explain the stronger associations of ADHD with binge eating–related symptoms than with restrictive symptoms (13–15,17). We further found that common genetic risk variants for ADHD were significantly associated with ED symptoms, which was also consistent with our familial coaggregation and quantitative genetic findings. Our results enhance the understanding of why EDs and ADHD co-occur and underscore the importance of screening for comorbid symptoms in individuals with EDs and ADHD.

To explore the genetic overlap, we used 3 analytical approaches: familial coaggregation analysis, quantitative genetic modeling, and a molecular genetic approach using PRSs. Converging evidence across different methods strengthens the support for a genetic overlap between ADHD and EDs. It also demonstrates that the genetic associations with ADHD are present not only in clinically diagnosed EDs (which may represent the extreme end of a symptom spectrum) but also in dimensional ED traits in the general population. The findings complement discussions about the categorical versus dimensional conceptualizations of mental disorders (30,52) and show the value in using both types of measures in genomic research.

Our findings have important clinical implications. First, the observed associations and familial coaggregation patterns between ADHD and EDs suggest that family history may help with early detection and risk identification of the two disorders. ADHD has been shown to predict ED symptoms (16); regular screening for ADHD symptoms in those with EDs and for ED symptoms in those with ADHD may hasten detection of and appropriate intervention for comorbid conditions. When interpreting the estimated ORs, it is important to acknowledge that other comorbidities were not accounted for because the adjustment could introduce bias (53) owing to the complex yet unclear interdependencies between disorders. Second, our findings marked stronger phenotypic and genetic correlations with ADHD in non-AN EDs compared with AN, implying different etiologies and potential treatment strategies among patients with ADHD with different comorbid ED conditions. Stimulant medication has been shown to be effective in both ADHD (18) and binge eating disorder (19,20), and applying stimulant medication to treat BN is under consideration (15). Our finding of shared genetic underpinnings of ADHD and non-AN EDs may provide further support for common treatment strategies for the two disorders. Third, recent studies have reported an association between ADHD and obesity (54–56), and disordered eating may support this hypothesis and encourages more detailed investigation to determine the extent to which disordered eating contributes to obesity in individuals with ADHD.

The genetic overlap between ADHD and EDs may in part reflect a more general genetic susceptibility to psychopathology (29,59). Our finding that the genetic sharing of ADHD was stronger with non-AN EDs than with AN does, nevertheless, support genetic specificity beyond such a general genetic factor. For instance, genetic factors underlying (food-related) impulsivity (60) might be of specific importance for the observed genetic overlap between ADHD and non-AN EDs. How BN PRSs correlate with different subscales of ADHD will be of value once BN GWASs become available.
Despite the fact that PRSs were statistically significantly associated with the phenotype measures, their effect sizes were generally small, possibly owing to 1) PRSs being based on tagged but not necessarily causal SNPs, 2) polygenic measures possibly not reflecting more complex genetic architecture (61), and 3) the effect of each genetic variant being estimated with error, which may be improved with increased discovery sample size [as seen in schizophrenia (62)]. These may also explain the discrepancy between our results of positive ADHD–AN genetic correlation and the nonsignificant association between AN PRSs and ADHD symptoms, which was nevertheless consistent with previous genomic findings (27). Increased proportion of phenotypic variance explained by PRSs is anticipated with increased discovery sample sizes and PRSs that better capture causal genetic effects.

Limitations need to be considered. First, register-based data captured only treatment-seeking individuals. Individuals who had EDs but did not seek treatment were therefore misclassified in our study. BN was not identifiable in the Swedish version of ICD-9, resulting in additional misclassification. If the misclassification of EDs was differential for ADHD diagnosis (e.g., the diagnosis of ADHD increased the chance for discovering EDs in the individual) and vice versa, the associations between ADHD and EDs might be overestimated. Nevertheless, the diagnosis of ADHD was less likely to influence the chance of discovering EDs in a relative, so the estimated familial coaggregation might be less biased. Second, the EDI-2 subscale Bulimia had low internal consistency and low variation, which might be due to the fact that participants in the CATSS (15 years old) might have not yet developed pathological binge eating behaviors that typically begin during late adolescence or early adulthood (35,63). Third, the study was underpowered to perform the quantitative genetic modeling in male individuals. Better detection of EDs and/or continuous symptom measures in male individuals might provide sufficient statistical power for the analyses in male individuals and examination of sex differences. In conclusion, using 3 convergent methodological approaches, we observed significant genetic associations between clinically diagnosed ADHD and EDs as well as dimensional measures of ED pathology. The genetic association with ADHD was stronger in non-AN EDs compared with AN, highlighting specific genetic correlations beyond a general genetic factor across psychiatric disorders. We expect that as genetic sample sizes grow, PRSs will become more robust and the next iteration of PRS analyses will have greater statistical power. Nonetheless, our convergent results encourage clinical vigilance for copresentation of EDs (especially non-AN EDs) and ADHD and suggest that, at least in part, the observed association between these 2 presentations is due to shared genetic factors.
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