
Academic year 2023/2024

Assessment Plan

Research Master Arts and Culture



rijksuniversiteit groningen

1 / Vision of teaching and assessment

The Arts and Culture ReMa teaching vision is: 1) *research driven*, 2) *multidisciplinary*, 3) *student-centred* and 4) *activating*.

1. Research driven

Research is incorporated at all levels of teaching. The core courses place an emphasis on familiarising the students with different theories and methodologies. Students are confronted with the practice of scholarly research and are taught the necessary skills through relevant assignments, which eventually lead to their own research project in the form of a thesis. Additionally, through research tutorials and internships, students have access to experts in their own, and neighbouring disciplines.

2. Multidisciplinary

Students are confronted with a range of disciplinary approaches to the research topic or research problem under scrutiny and are encouraged to explore and combine several academic disciplines. Additionally, the majority of core courses are (co)taught by a team of lecturers with different disciplinary backgrounds.

3. Student-centred

All forms of instruction are designed to suit the required educational development of the student. Students are encouraged to build their own research profiles tailoring the programme to suit their individual needs.

4. Activating

The programme is organised around small, interactive seminar groups which guarantees active participation from the students. Through discussions and varied assessments (written assignments, oral presentations, peer-reviewing) students are stimulated to engage critically with subject matter and reflect on their own learning process. Additionally, students are encouraged to play an active role inside and outside of class, for instance in staff-supervised research projects. Students are also expected to work towards their own research goals with increased independence.

The assessment policy is geared towards meeting the main aims and learning outcomes of the programme. To accomplish this, the assessment policy is 1) *valid*, 2) *reliable*, and 3) *transparent*.

1. Valid

Tests are relevant and the assessment forms are chosen in accordance with the intended learning outcomes. This entails a strong focus on the assessment of research and presentation skills.

2. Reliable

The assessment delivers comparable results in courses with different teachers ensuring fair and consistent grading. Additionally, set assessment forms for the thesis, internships, and tutorials, ensures a standardised process of assessment.

3. Transparent

We give our students an accurate understanding of how the intended learning outcomes are assessed. This information is found in the course manuals which include detailed information about the forms of assessment, assessment criteria, weight of the various components, and resit possibilities.

The programme values continuous assessment as this supports the learning process of the students. Students are assessed throughout the programme through formative assessments with corresponding moments for feedback. The assessment formats align with the research-driven nature of our programme. Students practise, among other things, how to assess existing research in their fields and how to set up and carry out new research projects.

When designing or adjusting course assessment, the programme refers to the Assessment plan and the Matrix of Realised Learning Outcomes (see TER, article 3.2).

2 / How to follow the assessment cycle (from design to evaluation and optimisation)

As is evident in Appendix 1, the assessment of compulsory courses consists of writing assignments (research papers, project proposals, reports) combined with oral presentations and active participation in seminar discussions. The guidelines for the assessments and the evaluation criteria are described in the study guides and discussed during the seminars.

For writing assignments:

- Set up of the assignment
- Test the assignment in accordance with the intended learning outcomes and modes of instruction
- Discuss assessment criteria with students
- First opportunity (and resit)
- Assessing the assignment in written form (using the assessment form/rubric or a narrative commentary)
- Communication of results and feedback
- Evaluation

For oral presentations:

- Discuss assessment criteria with students
- Presentation
- (Peer) feedback, using the assessment form/rubric
- Communication of results
- Evaluation

3 / Assessment dossier

a. The assessment dossier

The requirements of the assessment dossier are set out in §4 of the 'Protocol for Quality Assurance in the Assessment of Course Units' drawn up by the Board of Examiners.

All the examiners of a degree programme must provide the Board of Examiners with an 'assessment dossier' containing the following:

- a. the syllabus
- b. the list of marks, comprising all marks that count towards the final mark
- for assessments in the narrower sense of the word (i.e. tests): the test that was set, incl. model answers/key
- for written assignments: the formulation of the assignment, information about the assessment method (assessment criteria and standards) if this is not listed in the syllabus, and the completed assessment forms

- for final assignments: the completed assessment forms and the work submitted (with or without the lecturer's comments)
- d. the assessment blueprint, minutes of peer-support meetings, evaluations and self-evaluations of course units, etc., if available
- e. an evaluation form completed by the examiner with information about how the assessment proceeded.

b. Parties involved

The following parties are involved in fulfilling duties related to the assessment dossier:

- The *Programme Committee* and the *Cluster Board* approve the assessment plan
- The *examiners* provide the syllabus, the list of marks, the assessment forms and the evaluation forms
- This information is checked by the *secretariat*. In the case of tutorials and theses, the secretariat is responsible for archiving the assessment forms and the final writing assignments

4 / Assessment timetable

Overview of the intended learning outcomes of the course units and their modes of	Appendix 1
assessment	
Schematic overview of time periods intended for assessment, modes of assessment used	Appendix 2
and, if relevant, learning pathways	
Additional regulations for the graduation dossier	Appendix 3

The matrix of learning outcomes (TER, article 3.2) defines the course units that include summative assessments and distinguishes between a) course units with assessments that *lead up to* the attainment level set out in the programme-level learning outcomes and b) course units with assessments *at this attainment level* (in the latter case the learning outcomes of the course unit are thus identical to the programme-level learning outcomes of the degree programme).

In order to gain insight at the programme level into whether the chosen modes of assessment tie in with the learning outcomes of the course units and create a balanced mix in the run-up to the programme-level learning outcomes of the degree programme, the intended learning outcomes of the course units are related to their modes of assessment (Appendix 1).

Specific rules may apply to certain components of the graduation dossier (e.g. for the thesis: approval of the thesis proposal, amount of supervision, resit). These rules are set out in Appendix 3.

5 / Safeguarding of assessment quality by the Board of Examiners

The Board of Examiners is responsible for safeguarding the quality of assessment at both the degree programme level and the level of individual course units.

a. Safeguarding of assessment quality at degree programme level

The Board of Examiners annually issues advice on each degree programme's draft assessment plan for the coming academic year.

b. Safeguarding of assessment quality at course unit level

The Board of Examiners aims to evaluate the assessments for all course units in a degree programme in a three-year cycle – in other words, to examine each course unit's assessment at least once every three years, bearing in mind the position of the course unit in the curriculum and in a specific learning pathway. In addition, a substantiated selection of theses is also evaluated.

6 / Archiving

The examiner and the secretariat are responsible for archiving assessment dossiers. In case of tutorials and internships (approval of the Board of Examiners is required), the complete assessment dossier needs to be sent for assessment to the Board of Examiners.

After completion of tutorials and internships the examiners send the assessment form to the secretariat for archival purposes.

7 / List of documents relevant to assessment

Faculty vision of teaching and assessment – see

https://myuniversity.rug.nl/infonet/medewerkers/let/onderwijs/vision-for-education.

Rules and Regulations – the internal regulations of the Board of Examiners.

Teaching and Examination Regulations (TER = OER; Onderwijs- en examenregeling)

– a legally binding document that applies to the entire cohort of students who start a degree programme in a certain academic year. The TER may also contain transitional arrangements for previous cohorts.

Matrix of learning outcomes – a part of the TER that specifies the course units with *summative* assessment leading up to or at the level specified in the programme-level learning outcomes.

Placement manual – a manual for the placement course unit.

Syllabus – a document that sets out the details of the TER and the Assessment Plan at course unit level in accordance with the information in Ocasys.

Assessment Plan – a document that is enclosed as an appendix with the TER and is therefore legally binding.

Assessment programme – a schematic overview of time periods intended for assessment, modes of assessment used and, if relevant, learning pathways (previously known as the 'assessment plan').

Assessment protocol – the implementation of the TER, Part A, Article 8.7.

Appendix 1. Learning outcomes and their assessment in course units

Arts, Media and Literary Studies

YEAR 1, ser	YEAR 1, semester 1					
Course unit code	Course unit title	Learning outcomes	Assessment			
LWR012M 05	Theories of Culture and Research	Insight into the nature of culture, and in the implications thereof for the scientific and/or scholarly study of culture (theory, observation, (critical) interpretation). Insight into the main scientific and critical/discursive approaches to culture, into the main critical debates, and into the interdisciplinary character of contemporary Humanities. After completing this module the student should have an understanding of the nature of culture and should be able to systematically relate different research perspectives in the study of culture.	Assignments, class participation, essay (active participation in class (10%), 2 assignments (2 x 25%); critical, comparative essay on one or more theoretical/methodological approaches in the study of culture (40%))			
LWR011M 05	Theories and Analysis	Insight into the broad range of scientific and/or scholarly approaches in the study the arts, media and literature, now and in the past, with special attention to a selection of currently prominent families of approaches. Insight into their epistemological and normative assumptions, and into their kind of relevance, modes of argumentation and validation. Insight into issues of theorising, interpretation,	Assignments, class participation, essay (active participation in class, 1 assignments (20%); critical, comparative essay on one or more theoretical approaches in the study of culture (80%))			

	LWR026M 05	Research Methods III	"subjectivity/objectivity", values and normativity, and interdisciplinarity. After completing this module the student should have a thorough understanding of the role of the arts, media and literature in culture and society; they should have knowledge of a variety of research perspectives on the arts, media and literature media, and understand their specific relevance and modes of operating; they should be aware of the intricate rapport throughout history, between developments in the arts, media and literature, on the one hand, and cultures and societies on the other. They should also understand what are main challenges for the humanities today. This course will explore the notion of 'the archive' within the contemporary landscape, where the fluidity of concepts like databases, corpus, collections, and archives has been significantly amplified by processes of digitization. Moreover, these ideas have become increasingly disputed by diverse groups. In this context, it becomes crucial to question who holds the authority to determine what ought to be preserved (archivalization) and how to assume accountability for the method of cataloging objects and documents (Words matter). The archive has emerged as a focal point in a multitude of debates encompassing collective memory, personal memories, decolonization, gender, and social activism.	Practical archive assignments, final essay.
--	---------------	-------------------------	---	---

LWR015M	Research	Upon successful completion of the course unit,	Assignments
05	Methods I	students are:	(Students will be assessed on their ability to: 1.
		1. Able to critically use ethnographic research	Complete actual fieldwork excursions and related
		methods in their own research and writing and design	assignments; 2. Contribute to discussions on course
		practical research plans using ethnographic methods	readings on ethnographic work; 3. Construct an
		while considering both the research potential of those	analytical approach to handling ethnographic data; 4.
		methods and the potential impacts of that research on	Compile a research plan for continuing and expanding
		the individuals and communities studied and/or	the ethnographic research that has been started during
		affected (Degree Programme learning outcomes 4, 8,	the course.)
		9, 10, 13, 15, 16)	
		2. Familiar with current state of affairs and current	
		and past debates in various fields as they relate to the	
		use of ethnographic research methods in those fields	
		and the use of ethnographic methods to understand	
		cultural artifacts and activities in particular cultural	
		contexts (Degree Programme learning outcomes 1-3,	
		7)	
		3. Able to develop theory through practice on a level	
		adequate to the research MA (Degree Programme	
	T1	learning outcomes 14, 15)	
variable	Electives	Variable	Students choose electives from the other MA programs
			in the Faculty of Arts, based on their research interests and in consultation with their mentor. They can also do
			electives at other faculties or universities, or design (in
			discussion with staff members) personal tutorials; in
			the latter cases, the modules (and the forms of
			assessment) need to be approved by the DoS and the
			Board of Examiners.

YEAR 1, sen	YEAR 1, semester 2					
LWR025M 10	Arts and Media in Society	After this course the student is able to: - summarise, in their own words, the historical background of and theoretical issues at stake within contemporary debates on 'identity politics' - give an overview of the crucial philosophical and sociological questions involving the relation between identity and politics - critically reflect on and analyse (given and self-selected) cases of art, in oral and written form, on the basis of the literature - do research by finding the relevant (literature and/or media) sources to execute a case study - work together with other students on a project that thematises the topic of the course.	Oral presentation including written report (20%) and a final essay (80%)			
LWR016M 05	Research Methods 2	In this course students focus their research on questions of historical embeddedness: how are cultural artefacts and media stored and kept alive for posterity in archives and how did they become part of historical discourses to which they contributed in an aesthetic way? While Research Methods 1 focused on ethnographic methods, Research Methods 2 is devoted to historical and archival work. In the first part of the course we look at archival theory and methods. As historical records, (collections of) artworks, films and literary texts form part of archives, to which they were once consigned and which are constantly reappraised, revisited and rethought, both as material and theoretical constructs. Through studying these works from an archival	Essay and oral presentation			

perspective, students will learn to make sense of sources across temporal, spatial and cultural boundaries. In the second part of the course, we will zoom in on a particular approach that relies heavily on archival work: the New Historicism (also called: Poetics of Culture). In this part we will study artworks, films and literature as manifestations of historical discourses. Rather than considering them as self-enclosed, autonomous works, New Historicism treats them as texts that made contributions to larger social debates and cultural formations at a certain moment in time. Among the scholars we will read are Stephen Greenblatt, Anton Kaes, T.J. Clark, Lorraine Daston, Wolfgang Ernst, Ann Stoler and others. After completing this module successfully, students know how to use the methods described in the overview in their own research, in a reasoned and critical manner. The seminar is geared towards the further Portfolio Research ((1) Participation and weekly preparatory assignments: exploration, evaluation and application of research Design skills in the domain of Literary and Cultural Studies. precondition for further participation in the course. (2) It specifically serves the process of designing and Portfolio (100%). The portfolio contains all written writing a master thesis by critically studying and assignments and concluding remarks (1 page) discussing current scholarly developments, PhD regarding the personal learning outcomes of the theses, etc. After completion of this course, students course.) are able to reflect critically on the scholarly and societal dimensions of academic research in the Humanities and to report on the implications of these dimensions for their own current research. By selecting, studying and discussing key texts related to

		their own research (thesis), this seminar mainly serves thesis preparation.	
variable	Electives	Variable	See above
YEAR 2, sen	nester 1		
variable	Specialisation	Variable	This specialisation phase may also consist of a placement in a research environment, participation in one of the programmes of the national research schools, or an extra research seminar or methodology course unit. Assessment is variable.
YEAR 2, sen	nester 2		
LWR998M 30	Research Master's Thesis AMLS	In the Research Master's thesis the student demonstrates that s/he has achieved the learning outcomes for the Research Master's programme and is able to put what s/he has learned (knowledge, understanding and skills) into practice by setting up and carrying out a substantial and academically sound research project. For more details see the thesis regulations (Appendix 4).	Thesis (100%)

Cultural Leadership

YEAR 1, semester 1						
Course Unit		Learning outcomes	Assessment			
unit code	title					
LCR012M1	Cultural	Reflect upon and elucidate the concept of 'cultural	Small assignments and oral presentation; semi-			
0 Leadership leadership' in the context of different types of cultural		leadership' in the context of different types of cultural	structured interview; written paper.			
		organisations. Besides, students recognise different				
		meanings of the terms culture and of leadership and	Students will be assessed on the basis of:			
		are able to reflect upon language differences that	Block A: Participation in class, including small			
		indicate different meanings.	assignments (25%)			

			Identify and characterise different approaches to the study of cultural leadership. Present orally the results of small assignments. Organise and execute a semi-structured interview. Draw up a written portrait of a cultural leader, based on an interview, in a well-structured way using the literature studied and the knowledge gained during the seminars.	Block A: Assignment (reflective portrait of interviewee) (25%) Block B: In due time, Dr. Johan Kolsteeg will inform the participants about which assessments will be made that account for the remaining 50% of the final grade.
LW 05	7R012M	Theories of Culture and Research	Insight into the nature of culture, and into the implications thereof for the scientific and/or scholarly study of culture (theory, observation, (critical) interpretation). Insight into the main scientific and critical/discursive approaches to culture, into the main critical debates, and into the interdisciplinary character of contemporary Humanities. After completing this module the student should have an understanding of the nature of culture and should be able to systematically relate different research perspectives in the study of culture.	Assignments, class participation, essay (active participation in class (10%), 2 assignments (2 x 25%); critical, comparative essay on one or more theoretical/methodological approaches in the study of culture (40%))
LCl 5	R009M0	Public Cultural Policy in	Upon successful completion of the course unit, students are able to: 1. Explain art sociological approaches to researching	Testing is through two written assignments: - A short paper critically analysing a cultural policy
		Global Context	public cultural policy to an audience of informed listeners. 2. Identify the main actors in European cultural policy on local, national and international level. 3. Give an overview of the status questionis in	document of a public authority (local, national, international). The analysis should demonstrate what the values behind the policies in the document are, the instruments that are described in the documents and the extent to which the policy might be
			academic art policy research and in the practical policy discourse regarding a particular issue in	successful in achieving its goals. The paper is graded on correct application of the theories of cultural

		European public art policy. 4. Critically discuss their work and that of others in an open and scientific manner. 5. Present their work, orally and in written form, in a scientific manner.	policy and ample presentation of empirical material from the document, as well as clarity of argumentation. (ca. 2000 words) - A literature review of a topic of the student's choosing within the field of cultural policy research. The review analyses the type of research that has been done on the topic describing at least 8 sources) and ends with a (brief) description of a topical research question and the methodology needed to answer that question. (ca. 5.000 - 8.000 words)
variable	Disciplinary Elective	variable	Disciplinary electives comprise several seminars, lectures and individual meetings. This course unit will always include the writing of a paper or article and oral presentation, and may include a written exam, debating assignments, assessment of participation, etc. These may be replaced by courses offered by Research Schools or tutorials in consultation with the DOS, assessment variable. The students will submit their work in principle in English. Students will complete a self-reflection on their personal learning outcomes after each semester, to be discussed with the DOS.
YEAR 1, sen		A Good in come discrete locality and in the	0.1
LWR025M 10	Arts and Media in Society	After this course the student is able to: - summarise, in their own words, the historical background of and theoretical issues at stake within contemporary debates on 'identity politics' - give an overview of the crucial philosophical and	Oral presentation including written report (20%) and a final essay (80%)

[no course code yet]	Leadership, personal leadership and organizational development.	sociological questions involving the relation between identity and politics - critically reflect on and analyse (given and self-selected) cases of art, in oral and written form, on the basis of the literature - do research by finding the relevant (literature and/or media) sources to execute a case study - work together with other students on a project that thematises the topic of the course. This 5-ects course will thematise leadership, personal leadership, and organizational development. The course reflects a need that has been highlighted both in the accreditation report and in student evaluations of the CL program about clarifying the notion of leadership. Although the CL program is based in a humanities department and not a management school, the title of the program, but it is currently taught only sporadically in the program (mostly covered by the first-year, first-semester course in Cultural Leadership). To solve this matter, this new mandatory course on notions of leadership, personal leadership, and	Participation, assignments, and final paper.
Variable	Disciplinary Electives	organizational development will be offered. variable	Disciplinary electives comprise several seminars, lectures and individual meetings. This course unit will always include the writing of a paper or article and oral presentation, and may include a written

WEAD 2			participation, etc. These may be replaced by courses offered by Research Schools or tutorials in consultation with the DOS, assessment variable. The students will submit their work in principle in English. Students will complete a self-reflection on their personal learning outcomes after each semester, to be discussed with the DOS.
YEAR 2, sen	Cultural	The traineeship constitutes one-half of the second year	Presentation of internship (20%), professional
0	Leadership	of the Research Master track Cultural Leadership.	document (80%), internship report.
	Research	During the placement students demonstrate the ability	The methodology class, which students follow to
	Traineeship	to study an issue in the field of cultural leadership in	prepare for their traineeships, is finished by writing
		an academically responsible way, and to present the	the research proposal for the traineeship research.
		results adequately to colleagues and, if relevant, non- specialist interested parties. When doing this, students	This is a pass/fail assessment, so it does not count towards the grade of the traineeship.
		demonstrate that the learning outcomes of the degree	towards the grade of the transeesing.
		programme have been sufficiently realised.	
YEAR 2, sen	nester 2		
LCR999M3	Research	The thesis is the final assessment tool of the Research	Thesis (100%)
0	Master's	Master programme. In the Master's thesis, students	
	Thesis	demonstrate the ability to study a problem in the field	
	Cultural	of cultural leadership independently and in an	
	Leadership	academically responsible way and to present the results adequately to both specialists in their field and,	
		if relevant, non-specialist interested parties. When	
		doing this, students demonstrate that the learning	
		outcomes of the degree programme have been	
		sufficiently realised.	

Appendix 2. Schematic overview of the assessment programme

Research Master track Arts, Media and Literary Studies

YEAR 1, semester 1		Block 1		Block 2	
Course unit title	Course unit code	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams/resits	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Theories of Culture and Research in the Humanities	LWR012M05	Seminars and lectures	Various assignments, written and oral		
Research Methods 3	LWR026M05	Seminars and lectures	Various practical assignments		
Theories and Analysis of Arts, Media and Literature	LWR011M05			Seminars and lectures	Various assignments, written and oral
Research Methods 1	LWR015M05			Seminars, lectures, group work & independent work	Oral and written assignments
Electives	Various	·		course unit includes the writing of assignments, assessment of par	
Total number of ECTS from examinations	30				
YEAR 1, semester 2		Block 3	1	Block 4	
Course unit title	Course unit code	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Arts and Media in Society	LWR026M05	Seminars, lectures, group work	Oral and written assignments	Group and personal projects.	Final assignment
Research Methods 2	LWR016M05	Seminars, lectures, group work & independent work	Oral and written assignments		
Research Design	LWR014M05			Seminars; group work; independent work	Oral and written assignments t.b.s.
Research seminars	Various			and individual meetings. This course unit include the writing of a ea written exam, debating assignments, assessment of	
Total number of ECTS from examinations	30				

YEAR 2, semester 1		Block 1		Block 2	
Course unit title	Course unit code	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams/resits	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
LCS: Specialisation 1	LWR017M10				•
LCS: Specialisation 2	LWR018M10	This specialisation phase may include a tutorial, a placement in a research environment, an additional research seminar,			
LCS: Specialisation 3	LWR019M05	or a methodology course unit. Assessment consists minimally of a written (or audio-visual) paper.			
Total number of ECTS from examinations	30				
YEAR 2, semester 2		Block 3		Block 4	
Course unit title	Course unit	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Research Master's Thesis in AMLS	LWR998M30	Thesis proposal, writing thesis, interim discussions of chapters	Writing thesis, interim discussions of chapters	Writing thesis, interim discussions of chapters	Students submit the first version of their thesis, assessment and feedback by supervisor. Final assessment and marking.
Total number of ECTS from examinations	30				

Research Master track Cultural Leadership

YEAR 1, semester 1		Block 1		Block 2	
Course unit title	Course unit code	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Cultural Leadership	LCR012M10	9, 9, 0 ap a 10 a 10	sions, interview, small assignme	ents – written and oral	Written assignment
Theories of Culture and Research	LWR012M05	Seminars and lectures	Various assignments, written and oral		

Public Cultural Policy in Global Context	LCR009M05			Seminars and lectures	Written paper
Disciplinary electives	Variable	writing of a paper or article and participation, etc. These may be assessment variable. The stud	veral seminars, lectures and indiction of the description of the descr	ude a written exam, debating a y Research Schools or tutorial nciple in English. Students will	assignments, assessment of s in consultation with the DOS,
Total number of ECTS from	30				
examinations		Plo	ck 3	P	look 4
YEAR 1, semester 2		ВІО	CK 3	Block 4	
Course unit title	Course unit code	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams, resit	Weeks 11-17: lectures	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Arts and Media in Society	LWR026M05		•		
Leadership, personal leadership and organizational development					
Disciplinary electives	Variable				
Total number of ECTS from examinations	30				
YEAR 2, semester 1					
Course unit title	Course unit code		Block 1		Block 2
Cultural Leadership Research Traineeship	LCR000 M30	Weeks 1-7: lectures	Weeks 8/9/10: exams/resits		Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits
Total number of ECTS from	30	Internship	Internship		Professional document

examinations		(end paper)
	Methodology Class Written assignment: paper with methodological set up of research for traineeship (5 EC)	Internship report

YEAR 2, semester 2					
	Course unit		Block 3		Block 4
Research Master's Thesis Cultural Leadership	LCR999 M30	Weeks 1-7: lectures		Weeks 8/9/10: exams	Weeks 18/19/20: exams/resits

Total number of ECTS from examinations		Thesis proposal	Writing thesis, interim discussions of chapters	Students submit the first version of their thesis, assessment and feedback by supervisor. Final assessment and marking
	30			

