

07-19-2013 | 1

Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Comparison between Consumption- and Production-Based CO₂ Emission

University of Groningen Yan Xu Slide 1

RUG1	To set the date:
	* >Insert >Date and Time
	* At Fixed: fill the date in format mm-dd-yy
	* >Apply to All
	RUG, 30-Aug-07



Environmental Kuznets Curve





Environmental Kuznets Curve



per capita income



- > International Trade \rightarrow Emission Outsource
- > US: 2.5 tons per person EU: 4 tons per person



Source: Steven J. Davis and Ken Caldeira (2010). Consumption-based accounting of CO2 Emissions. PNAS.



Research Question

- > Is the inverted-U-shaped curve still exist using the consumption-based emissions?
- > If so, different from using production-based emissions? How different?

Test EKC using production-based and consumptionbased CO2 emissions.

Compare the shape and turning point.



Literature

> EKC with Ecological Footprint (Global Footprint Network):

Wackernagel et al. (1997), York et al. (2004), Bagliani et al. (2008), Caviglia-Harris et al. (2009), and Hervieux and Darne (2013)

 $E_c = E_p + (im-ex) * EI$

- EI: world average emission intensity
- Can not capture the full global supply chain and is able to cover feedback effects
- Better estimation of consumption-based emissions with WIOD



Model

 $y_{it} = \alpha y_{i,t-1} + x'_{it}\beta + u_i + \mathcal{E}_{it}$

>where y_{it} is CO2 emissions for country *i* at time *t*, and x_{it} is a vector of explanatory variables

>The error terms, u_i and ε_{it} represent the typical error term decomposition that includes unobserved individual heterogeneity.

>Arellano and Bond's two-step difference GMM estimator

>fixed effects estimation assuming an auto-regressive
pattern is present in the error term



Model

> For the two-step difference GMM model, the differencing removes any fixed individual effects:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \alpha \Delta y_{i,t-1} + \Delta x'_{it} \beta + \Delta \varepsilon_{it}$$

> For the fixed effects model with autoregressive errors, the error term is assumed to take the form:

$$\mathcal{E}_{it} = \rho \mathcal{E}_{i,t-1} + \mathcal{V}_{it}$$



Production-based Emissions v.s. Consumption-based Emissions

- There are evidence of a invert-U-shaped curve for both production-based and consumption-based CO2 emissions.
- The turning point of consumption-based CO2 emission are further than the turning point of production-based CO2 emission.
- The invert-U shaped curve are flatter for the consumption-based emissions



mm-dd-yy | 10

Production-based v.s. Consumption-based EKC





mm-dd-yy | 11

Production-based v.s. Consumption-based EKC





mm-dd-yy | 12

Production-based v.s. Consumption-based EKC





Limitations

- Not enough years of consumption-based emissions.
 Cannot apply time-series model for each country
- > Medium-term rather than long-term



Thank you for your attention! Questions & Comments?

Yan Xu yan.xu@rug.nl University of Groningen